

1 **GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.**

2 Randall T. Garteiser (SBN 231821)
3 Christopher A. Honea (SBN 232473)
4 44 North San Pedro Road
5 San Rafael, California 94903
6 [Tel.] (415) 785-3762
7 [Fax] (415) 785-3805
8 randall.garteiser@sftrialattorneys.com
9 chris.honea@sftrialattorneys.com

10 **NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.**

11 Barry J. Bumgardner (*Pro Hac Vice*)
12 Steven W. Hartsell (*Pro Hac Vice*)
13 3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
14 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
15 [Tel.] (817) 377-9111
16 [Fax] (817) 377-3485
17 barry@nbclaw.net
18 shartsell@nbclaw.net

19 **BURNS & LEVINSON LLP**

20 Howard J. Susser (*Pro Hac Vice*)
21 Paul T. Muniz (*Pro Hac Vice*)
22 Zachary R. Gates (*Pro Hac Vice*)
23 Alexandra Capachietti (*Pro Hac Vice*)
24 125 Summer Street
25 Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1624
26 [Tel.] (617) 345-3000
27 [Fax] (617) 345-3299
28 hsusser@burnslev.com
pmuniz@burnlev.com
zgates@burnslev.com
acapachietti@burnslev.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF GPNE CORP.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

<p>GPNE CORP.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>NOKIA CORPORATION AND NOKIA, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>		<p style="text-align: center;">Civil No. 4:12-CV-03056-LHK</p> <p style="text-align: center;">JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</p>
--	--	--

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Pursuant to the Court’s Case Management Order (Dkt. No. 49), Plaintiff GPNE Corp. submits this First Amended Complaint as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff GPNE is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal place of business in Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

2. Defendant Nokia Corporation (“Nokia Corporation”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Finland with its principal place of business in Espoo, Finland. Nokia Corporation has been served with process and has appeared.

3. Defendant Nokia, Inc. (“Nokia, Inc.”) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nokia Corporation and is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in White Plains, New York. Nokia Inc. has been served with process and has appeared.

4. Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc. are collectively referred to as “Nokia” or “Defendants.”

5. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Nokia because Nokia has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district. Nokia’s cellular phones are sold and offered for sale throughout this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1
2 6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United
3 States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and
4 1338(a).

5 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and
6 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendants are deemed to reside in this judicial district,
7 have committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, have purposely transacted business
8 in this judicial district and/or have regular and established places of business in this judicial
9 district.

10 8. Defendants are subject to this Court’s specific and general personal jurisdiction
11 pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial
12 business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of their infringing activities
13 alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business and, accordingly, deriving
14 substantial revenue from goods and services provided to California residents.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ALLEGATIONS

15
16 9. On June 30, 2009, United States Patent No. 7,555,267 (“the ‘267 patent”) was
17 duly and legally issued for a “Network Communication System Wherein A Node Obtains
18 Resources For Transmitting Data By Transmitting Two Reservation Requests.” A true and
19 correct copy of the ‘267 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit “A.”

20 10. The ‘267 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with
21 Title 35 of the United States Code.

22 11. On August 4, 2009, United States Patent No. 7,570,954 (“the ‘954 patent”) was
23 duly and legally issued for a “Communication System Wherein A Clocking Signal From A
24 Controller, A Request From A Node, Acknowledgement Of The Request, And Data Transferred
25 From The Node Are All Provided On Different Frequencies, Enabling Simultaneous
26 Transmission Of These Signals.” A true and correct copy of the ‘954 patent is attached hereto as
27 Exhibit “B.”
28

1 25. Nokia's C2-01 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
2 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

3 26. Nokia's C5 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

4 27. Nokia's C5 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
5 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

6 28. Nokia's C5-03 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

7 29. Nokia's C5-03 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
8 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

9 30. Nokia's C6-00 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

10 31. Nokia's C6-00 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
11 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

12 32. Nokia's C6-01 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

13 33. Nokia's C6-01 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
14 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

15 34. Nokia's C7 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

16 35. Nokia's C7 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
17 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

18 36. Nokia's E5 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

19 37. Nokia's E5 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
20 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

21 38. Nokia's E6 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

22 39. Nokia's E6 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
23 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

24 40. Nokia's E7-00 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

25 41. Nokia's E7-00 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
26 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

27 42. Nokia's Lumia 710 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS
28 networks.

1 43. Nokia's Lumia 710 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as
2 those operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

3 44. Nokia's Lumia 800 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS
4 networks.

5 45. Nokia's Lumia 800 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as
6 those operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

7 46. Nokia's Lumia 900 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS
8 networks.

9 47. Nokia's Lumia 900 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as
10 those operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

11 48. Nokia's Lumia 900 is advertised as having the ability to operate with Long Term
12 Evolution ("LTE") networks.

13 49. Nokia's Lumia 900 can transmit and receive data over LTE networks, such as
14 those operated by AT&T or Verizon in the United States.

15 50. Nokia's N8 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

16 51. Nokia's N8 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
17 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

18 52. Nokia's Nokia 808 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS
19 networks.

20 53. Nokia's Nokia 808 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as
21 those operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

22 54. Nokia's X2-01 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

23 55. Nokia's X2-01 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
24 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.

25 56. Nokia's X3-02 is advertised as having the ability to operate with GPRS networks.

26 57. Nokia's X3-02 can transmit and receive data over GPRS networks, such as those
27 operated by AT&T or T-Mobile in the United States.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT ONE

(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '267 PATENT)

58. GPNE incorporates paragraphs 1 through 57 herein by reference.

59. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

60. On information and belief, Defendant are directly infringing one or more claims of the '267 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, including at least claims 1, 4, 8-9, 11-14, 18-23, 30-32, 39-42, and 45, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing cellular phones with the ability to send and receive data via the GPRS including, without limitation, the (1) 700, (2) 2720, (3) 6350, (4) C1-01, (5) C2-01, (6) C5, (7) C5-03, (8) C6-00, (9) C6-01, (10) C7, (11) E5, (12) E6, (13) E7-00, (14) Lumia 710, (15) Lumia 800, (16) Lumia 900, (17) N8-00, (18) Nokia 808, (19) X2-01, and (20) X3-02, to customers

61. On information and belief, Defendant are directly infringing one or more claims of the '267 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, including at least claims 1, 2, 4, 7-8, 10, 19-21, 39-43, and 45, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing cellular phones with the ability to send and receive data via LTE including, without limitation, the Lumia 900, to customers.

62. GPNE has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to GPNE in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendants' infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT TWO

(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '954 PATENT)

63. GPNE incorporates paragraphs 1 through 62 herein by reference.

64. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

1 710, (15) Lumia 800, (16) Lumia 900, (17) N8-00, (18) Nokia 808, (19) X2-01, and (20) X3-02,
2 to customers.

3 71. On information and belief, Defendants are directly infringing one or more claims
4 of the '492 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, including at least
5 claims 2, 16-17, 28, and 37-41, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling
6 and/or importing cellular phones with the ability to send and receive data via LTE including,
7 without limitation, the Lumia 900, to customers.

8 72. GPNE has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described
9 in this Court. Defendants are, thus, liable to GPNE in an amount that adequately compensates it
10 for Defendants' infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together
11 with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

12 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

13 GPNE requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court
14 grant GPNE the following relief:

15 A. Judgment that one or more claims of the '267, '954, and/or '492 patents have been
16 infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants;

17 B. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to GPNE all damages to and costs
18 incurred by GPNE, including attorneys' fees, because of Defendants' infringing activities and
19 other conduct complained of herein;

20 C. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to GPNE a reasonable, on-going,
21 post judgment royalty because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained
22 of herein;

23 D. That GPNE be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages
24 caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and

25 E. That GPNE be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just
26 and proper under the circumstances.

27
28

1 Dated: October 24, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,

3 **GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.**

4 /s/ Randall Garteiser
5 Randall T. Garteiser (SBN 231821)
6 Christopher A. Honea (SBN 232473)
7 44 North San Pedro Road
8 San Rafael, California 94903
9 [Tel.] (415) 785-3762
[Fax] (415) 785-3805
randall.garteiser@sftrialattorneys.com
chris.honea@sftrialattorneys.com

10 **NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.**

11 Barry J. Bumgardner (*Pro Hac Vice*)
12 Steven W. Hartsell (*Pro Hac Vice*)
13 3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
14 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
15 [Tel.] (817) 377-9111
[Fax] (817) 377-3485
barry@nbclaw.net
shartsell@nbclaw.net

16 **BURNS & LEVINSON LLP**

17 Howard J. Susser (*Pro Hac Vice*)
18 Paul T. Muniz (*Pro Hac Vice*)
19 Zachary R. Gates (*Pro Hac Vice*)
20 Alexandra Capachietti (*Pro Hac Vice*)
21 125 Summer Street
22 Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1624
23 [Tel.] (617) 345-3000
[Fax] (617) 345-3299
hsusser@burnslev.com
pmuniz@burnlev.com
zgages@burnslev.com
acapachietti@burnslev.com

24 **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF GPNE CORP.**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 24, 2012, I electronically submitted the foregoing FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT using the electronic case files system of the court. The electronic case files system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to individuals who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

/s/ Randall Garteiser
Randall T. Garteiser