1 2	BENEDICT O'MAHONEY (Bar No. TERRA LAW 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor	,	
3	177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200	Filed	
4	Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com	OCT 2 9 ZU1Z	
5	JONATHAN T. SUDER (Pro Hac Vice	Ce To Be Filed) RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	TODD I. BLUMENFELD (Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed) SAN JOSE		
8	Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4 th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102		
9	[Telephone: (817) 334-0400		
10	Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: vowell@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com		
11		See poid	
12	EDWARD W. GOLDSTEIN (Pro Ha GOLDSTEIN LAW, PLLC	c Vice To Be Filed) Fee poid	
13	1210 000011, 101100 / 102/		
14	Telephone: (713) 877-1515 Facsimile: (713) 877-1737		
15	Email Cegoldstein@gliplaw.com		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.		
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
21	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	0.04 $12-0.5546$ H	
22	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR	
23	vs.	INFRINGEMENT OF	
24	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION.	U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765	
25	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
26			
27			
28			
ı			

Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, alleging as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SOFTVAULT") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principle place of business in the State of Washington.
- 2. Upon information and belief INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION ("DEFENDANT" or "IBM") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business in Armonk, New York. Defendant may be served with process through its registered agent CT Corporation System, 818 West 7th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3407.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 4. Upon information and belief, IBM is subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. IBM has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be hailed into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. IBM makes, uses, and/or sells infringing products within the Northern District of California and has a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Northern District of California, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief, IBM has transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, is continuing to transact business within the Northern District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

_

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 5. On June 19, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,249,868 BI ("the '868 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '868 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.
- 6. On July 15, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,594,765 B2 ("the '765 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.
- 7. The '868 Patent and the '765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively as "the Patents-in-Suit."
- 8. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the '868 and '765 Patents disclose the utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized the embedded agent disables the device or component.

(Patent Infringement)

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

3 4 9.

10.

SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

.5

SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

6 7

8

9

Upon information and belief, IBM is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) 11. for direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

12. More specifically, IBM infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer system through the ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components through an authorization process performed by an embedded agent in the component device and a server. By way of example only, IBM's Tivoli Endpoint Manager, at a minimum, in the past directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least Claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least Claim 9 of the '765 Patent.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13. IBM's Tivoli Endpoint Manager system includes the capability to enable or disable a mobile device, such as a laptop or smart phone, to prevent misuse of the system by rogue devices and/or rogue servers. The Endpoint Manager system includes an intelligent agent that is installed on a mobile device and communicates with an Endpoint Manager server. This communication includes a series of message exchanges constituting a handshake operation between the intelligent agent and the Endpoint Manager server. Through these exchanges the Endpoint Manager server can authenticate and authorize a device in

which the intelligent agent is embedded. When the intelligent agent is authorized by the Endpoint Manager server, the mobile device operates normally and when the intelligent agent is not authorized, the mobile device is remotely locked and disabled.

- 14. IBM has actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the filing of this Complaint.
- 15. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of IBM's infringing conduct. IBM is, thus, liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for IBM's infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against IBM, and that the Court grant SoftVault the following relief:

- Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by IBM;
- b. Judgment that IBM account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of IBM's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That IBM, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;

1	d.	That SoftVault be granted pre	e-judgment and post-judgment interest on	
2		· ·	reason of IBM's infringing activities and	
3		other conduct complained of l		
4	e.	That this Court declare this a	an exceptional case and award SoftVault	
5			and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C.	
6		§ 285; and		
7	f.	That SoftVault be granted suc	ch other and further relief as the Court	
8		may deem just and proper under the circumstances.		
9	JURY DEMAND			
10	Plain	tiff hereby requests a trial by	jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal	
11	ŀ	vil Procedure.		
12	,			
13				
14	DATED: O	ctober 26, 2012.	/s/ Benedict O'Mahoney	
15			Benedict O'Mahoney (Bar No.152447)	
16			TERRA LAW	
17			177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113	
18			Facsimile: 408-299-1200 Facsimile: 408-998-4895	
19			Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com	
20			Attorney for Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	
21			Of Counsel:	
22			Jonathan T. Suder	
23			Corby R. Vowell Todd Blumenfeld EDJEDMAN SUDER & COOKE	
24			FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4 th Street, Suite 200	
25			Fort Worth, Texas 76102	
26			Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com	
27			Email: blumenteld(a)tsclaw.com	
28			Email: vowell@fsclaw.com	

Edward W. Goldstein GOLDSTEIN LAW, PLLC 1177 West Loop South, Suite 400 Houston, Texas 77027 Telephone: (713) 877-1515 Facsimile: (713) 877-1737 Email: egoldstein@gliplaw.com