

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION**

Case No. _____

ARRIVALSTAR S.A. and MELVINO
TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NIKE, INC.,

Defendant.

_____/

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs, ArrivalStar S.A. and Melvino Technologies Limited (collectively “Plaintiffs”),
by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby sue NIKE, INC. (“NIKE), for patent
infringement, and in support, allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE LAWSUIT

1. This is an action for patent infringement of United States Patent Numbers:
6,952,645; 7,400,970; and, 6,904,359, arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35
of the United States Code.

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND THE PARTIES

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; 28 U.S.C.
§ 1338; and 35 U.S.C. § 271.

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over NIKE pursuant to, *inter alia*, Florida’s
long-arm statute, § 48.193, in that NIKE: (a) operates, conducts, engages in, and/or carries on a
business or business adventure(s) in Florida and/or has an office or agency in Florida; (b) has
committed one or more tortious acts within Florida; (c) was and/or is engaged in substantial and

not isolated activity within Florida; and/or (d) has purposely availed itself of Florida's laws, services and/or other benefits and therefore should reasonably anticipate being hailed into one or more of the Courts within the State of Florida.

4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 28 U.S.C. § 1400.

THE PLAINTIFFS

5. ArrivalStar S.A. is a corporation organized under the laws of Luxembourg, having offices located at 67 Rue Michel, Welter L-2730, Luxembourg. ArrivalStar is the authorized licensee of the patents alleged as being infringed in this lawsuit, with the right to sub-license the patents at issue.

6. Melvino Technologies Limited is a corporation organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands of Tortola, having offices located at P.O. Box 3174, Palm Chambers, 197 Main Street, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands. Melvino owns all rights, title and interests in the patents alleged as being infringed in this lawsuit.

THE DEFENDANT

7. Defendant NIKE is an Oregon Corporation with a principal place of business located at One Bowerman Drive, Beaverton, Oregon 97005-6453. NIKE has stores and office located throughout Florida, including, but not limited to, Naples, Dania Beach, Islamorada, Destin, Orlando, Fort Meyers, Fort Lauderdale, Boca Raton, and Miami to name only a few. NIKE is also specifically authorized to transact business in the State of Florida and has a Registered Agent located in Tallahassee. Thus, NIKE does and has had substantial, systematic and continuous business transactions in Florida, and has, as is readily apparent, at a minimum, offered to provide and/or has provided to customers specifically within this Judicial District and

throughout the State of Florida services and/or products that infringe claims of the ‘359, ‘645, and ‘970 patents.

THE PLAINTIFFS’ PATENTS

8. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 6,952,645 (“the ‘645 patent”), entitled “System and Method for Activation of an Advance Notification System for Monitoring and Reporting Status of Vehicle Travel”, issued October 4, 2005. A copy of the ‘645 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

9. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 7,400,970 (“the ‘970 patent”), entitled “System and Method for an Advance Notification System for Monitoring and Reporting Proximity of a Vehicle”, issued July 15, 2008. A copy of the ‘970 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

10. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 6,904,359 (“the ‘359 patent”), entitled “Notification System and Methods with User-Defineable Notifications Based Upon Occurrence of Events”, issued June 7, 2005. A copy of the ‘359 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

11. The ‘359 patent referenced in Paragraph 10 above was the subject of an Inter Partes reexamination at the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A Reexamination Certificate was issued on May 25, 2010 and is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

COUNT I **DIRECT PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

12. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 11 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

13. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), NIKE has directly infringed claims of the ‘359, ‘645, and ‘970 patents through, among other activities, products, programs, applications, functions, systems and methods, the use of tracking and notification technologies within its “Advance Ship Notice”, “Order Confirmation Email”, “Order Status”, “Order Status Emails”, and “Shipment/Shipping Confirmation Email” services that are protected by the ‘359, ‘645 and ‘970 patents, as every claim limitation, or its equivalent, is found in these applications, solutions, devices, programs, products, services, methods and/or systems.

14. NIKE’S direct infringement has injured and will continue to injure Plaintiffs unless and until a monetary judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and/or the Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the ‘359, ‘645, and ‘970 patents.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully ask this Court to enter judgment against BPS and its subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with BPS, granting the following relief:

A. An award of damages against NIKE adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for the infringement that has occurred with respect to NIKE, together with prejudgment interest from the date that NIKE’S infringement of the patents at issue began;

B. Increased damages as permitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

C. A finding that this case is exceptional and award to Plaintiffs their attorneys’ fees and costs as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;

D. A permanent injunction against NIKE prohibiting further infringement of the patents at issue; and,

E. All other relief as the Court or a jury may deem proper and just in this instance.

COUNT II
INDIRECT PATENT INFRINGEMENT

15. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 14 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

16. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b) and (c), NIKE has indirectly infringed claims of the ‘359, ‘645, and ‘970 patents through, among other activities, products, programs, applications, functions, systems and methods, the use of tracking and notification technologies within its “Advance Ship Notice”, “Order Confirmation Email”, “Order Status”, “Order Status Emails”, and “Shipment/Shipping Confirmation Email” services that are protected by the ‘359, ‘645 and ‘970 patents, as NIKE actively and intentionally induced infringement with knowledge that the induced acts constituted infringement, or acted with willful blindness; and/or contributed to infringement by one or more third parties as NIKE had knowledge, rather than intent, that its activity caused such infringement.

17. NIKE’S contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has injured and will continue to injure Plaintiffs unless and until a monetary judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and/or the Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the ‘359, ‘645, and ‘970 patents.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully ask this Court to enter judgment against NIKE and its subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with NIKE, granting the following relief:

A. An award of damages against NIKE adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for the infringement that has occurred with respect to NIKE, together with prejudgment interest from the date that NIKE'S infringement of the patents at issue began;

B. Increased damages as permitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

C. A finding that this case is exceptional and award to Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees and costs as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;

D. A permanent injunction against NIKE prohibiting further infringement of the patents at issue; and,

E. All other relief as the Court or a jury may deem proper and just in this instance.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 24, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William R. McMahon
William R. McMahon, Esquire
Florida Bar Number: 39044
McMahon Law Firm, LLC
11435 West Palmetto Park Road
Suite E
Boca Raton, Florida 33428
Telephone: 561-218-4300
Facsimile: 561-807-5900
Email: bill@mlflc.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs