The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 AVOCENT REDMOND CORP., a NO. C12-01342 RSM Washington corporation, 10 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT **INFRINGEMENT** 11 Plaintiff, v. AND JURY DEMAND 12 BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., a 13 Delaware corporation, and BELKIN INC., a Delaware corporation. 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, Avocent Redmond Corp. ("Avocent"), brings this action for infringement of 17 U.S. Patent No. 5,884,096 ("the '096 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,112,264 ("the '264 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,113,978 ("the '978 patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 7,818,367 ("the '367 patent") 18 19 in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Plaintiff alleges the following facts upon actual knowledge with respect to itself and its own acts and upon information and belief as to all other matters. 20 21 THE PARTIES 22 1. Plaintiff, Avocent, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the 23 State of Washington, having its principal place of business in Redmond, Washington. Avocent, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Avocent Corporation, and formerly known as Apex PC Solutions, 24 Inc. and Apex Inc., is the plaintiff in a related case, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Rose Electronics, 25 26 et al., Case No. C06-1711-RSL, filed with this Court in 2006, currently awaiting a trial date. 27 Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

25

26

27

- 2. Avocent develops and markets computer hardware devices and systems, including Keyboard, Video, Mouse ("KVM") switches that enable workstations to communicate with, and switch between, connected computers.
- 3. Defendant Belkin International, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 12045 E. Waterfront Drive, Playa Vista, CA 90094. Defendant Belkin, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 12045 E. Waterfront Drive, Playa Vista, CA 90094. Belkin International, Inc. and Belkin, Inc. are referred to hereafter collectively as the "Belkin Defendants."
- 4. The Belkin Defendants are in the business of developing, making, using, importing, selling, offering to sell, marketing, and/or distributing, directly or through their agents or affiliates, KVM switch products that enable workstations to communicate with, and switch between, remote computers. The Belkin Defendants transact business in this District and elsewhere by making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products (including products that are the subject of this action) in this District.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, particularly 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281-285. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and/or (d), and 1400(b).
- 7. The Belkin Defendants are each subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court. Among other things, the Belkin Defendants have transacted business and committed and/or induced acts of infringement in the State of Washington and, more particularly, within this District. The Belkin Defendants have sold, and continue to sell, accused KVM switch products directly and/or through intermediaries to customers in the State of Washington including customers in this District. The Belkin Defendants reside in this District for purposes of venue,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

'264, '978, and '367 patents.

## **COUNT ONE – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '096 PATENT**

- 8. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-7 above.
- 9. Avocent is the owner, by assignment, of all right, title and interest in the '096 patent, which was duly issued on March 16, 1999 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The '096 patent claims inventions to KVM switches having on-screen switch control.
- 10. The Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '096 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, and/or by importing into the United States, without authorization, KVM Switches having on-screen switch control that employ and embody the inventions of the '096 patent, other than those at issue in Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Rose Electronics, et al., Case No. C06-1711-RSL now pending before this Court. Further, the Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '096 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by contributing to infringement of that patent by others, and/or by inducing others to infringe that patent.
- 11. As a result of the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '096 patent, Avocent has been damaged and will continue to be damaged unless such infringement is enjoined by this Court. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Avocent is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including, inter alia, lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty. In addition, Avocent has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 12. The Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '096 patent has been and is willful, rendering this case exceptional.

## **COUNT TWO – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '264 PATENT**

13. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-7 above.

8

6

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

14. Avocent is the owner, by assignment, of all right, title and interest in the '264 patent, which was duly issued on August 29, 2000 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The '264 patent claims inventions to KVM switches having on-screen switch control.

- 15. The Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '264 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, and/or by importing into the United States, without authorization, KVM switches having on-screen switch control that employ and embody the inventions of the '264 patent, other than those at issue in Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Rose Electronics, et al., Case No. C06-1711-RSL now pending before this Court. Further, the Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '264 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by contributing to the infringement of that patent by others, and/or by inducing others to infringe that patent.
- 16. As a result of the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '264 patent, Avocent has been damaged and will continue to be damaged unless such infringement is enjoined by this Court. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Avocent is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including, inter alia, lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty. In addition, Avocent has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 17. The Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '264 patent has been and is willful, rending this case exceptional.

## **COUNT THREE – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '978 PATENT**

- 18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-7 above.
- 19. Avocent is the owner, by assignment, of all right, title and interest in the '978 patent, which was duly issued on September 26, 2006 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The '978 patent claims inventions to KVM switches having on-screen switch control.
- 20. The Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '978 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, and/or by importing into the United States, without authorization, KVM Switches having on-screen

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

switch control that employ and embody the inventions of the '978 patent, other than those at issue in Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Rose Electronics, et al., Case No. C06-1711-RSL now pending before this Court. Further, the Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '978 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by contributing to infringement of that patent by others, and/or by inducing others to infringe that patent.

- 21. As a result of the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '978 patent, Avocent has been damaged and will continue to be damaged unless such infringement is enjoined by this Court. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Avocent is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including, inter alia, lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty. In addition, Avocent has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 22. The Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '978 patent has been and is willful, rendering this case exceptional.

## **COUNT FOUR – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '367 PATENT**

- 23. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-7 above.
- 24. Avocent is the owner, by assignment, of all right, title and interest in the '367 patent, which was duly issued on October 19, 2010 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The '367 patent claims inventions to KVM switches having on-screen switch control.
- 25. The Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '367 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, and/or by importing into the United States, without authorization, KVM Switches having on-screen switch control that employ and embody the inventions of the '367 patent. Further, the Belkin Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '367 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by contributing to infringement of that patent by others, and/or by inducing others to infringe that patent.
- 26. As a result of the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '367 patent, Avocent has been damaged and will continue to be damaged unless such infringement is enjoined by this

1	Court. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Avocent is entitled to damages adequate to compensate for		
2	the infringement, including, inter alia, lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty. In addition,		
3	Avocent has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate		
4	remedy at law.		
5	27. The Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '367 patent has been and is willful,		
6	rendering this case exceptional.		
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Avocent prays that the Court enter a judgment against the		
9	Belkin Defendants as follows:		
10	A. A decree that the Belkin Defendants have each infringed the '096 patent, the '264		
11	patent, the '978 patent and the '367 patent;		
12	B. Permanent injunctions restraining the Belkin Defendants and their officers,		
13	directors, partners, agents, employees, representatives, distributors, servants, attorneys and all		
14	persons in active concert or participation with them from further acts of infringement of the '096		
15	patent, the '264 patent, the '978 patent and the '367 patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;		
16	C. An award of damages against the Belkin Defendants sufficient to compensate		
17	Avocent for the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '096 patent, the '264 patent, the '978		
18	patent, and the '367 patent in an amount not less than Avocent's lost profits and/or a reasonable		
19	royalty, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;		
20	D. An award of treble the damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;		
21	E. An award of prejudgment interest, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, from the date of		
22	each act of infringement of the '096 patent, the '264 patent, the '978 patent, and the '367 patent		
23	by the Belkin Defendants to the day a damages judgment is entered, and a further award of post-		
24	judgment interest, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, continuing until such judgment is paid;		
25	F. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees against the Belkin Defendants pursuant to		
26	35 U.S.C. § 285, and Avocent's costs of suit against the Belkin Defendants, pursuant to 35		
27			

1	U.S.C. § 284, based on the Belkin Defendants' infringement of the '096 patent, the '264 paten		
2	the '978 patent, and the '367 patent; and,		
3	G. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.		
4	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
5	Avocent hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable by a jury in this action.		
6	DATED this 6th day of December, 2012.		
7			
8	s/John A. Knox John A. Knox, WSBA #12707		
9	WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC		
	601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98101-2380		
10	Tel: (206) 628-6600		
11	Fax: (206) 628-6611		
	Email: <u>jknox@williamskastner.com</u>		
12	J. Scott Davidson		
13	James D. Berquist		
	Donald L. Jackson		
14	DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY, LLP		
15	4300 Wilson Blvd., Suite 700		
	Arlington, Virginia 22203		
16	Tel: (703) 894-6400		
17	Fax: (703) 894-6430		
17	Email: sdavidson@davidsonberquist.com		
18	jberquist@davidsonberquist.com		
19	djackson@davidsonberquist.com		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff Avocent Redmond Corp.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that, on December 6, 2012, the foregoing was		
3	electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send		
4	notification of such filing to the following:		
5	Counsel for Belkin International, Inc. and Belkin, Inc.:		
6	Michael A. Moore Seann C. Colgan	Robert W. Dickerson, Jr. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP	
7	CORR CROŇIN MICHELSON BAUMGARDNER & PREECE LLP	2049 Century Park East Suite 700	
8	1001 4th Ave, Suite 3900 Seattle, WA 98154-1051	Los Angeles, CA 90067-3109	
9	Yasser M. El-Gamal	Jeffrey A. Miller	
10	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP Two Park Plaza, Suite 900	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 700 Hansen Way	
11	Irvine, CA 92614-3519	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1016	
12	DATED this 6th day of December, 2012.		
13		s/John A. Knox, WSBA #12707 WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC	
14		601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98111-3926	
15		Telephone: (206) 628-6600 Fax: (206) 628-6611	
16		Email: jknox@williamskastner.com	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			