IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GLOBETECTRUST LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 12-1245-RGA

LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff GlobeTecTrust LLC, files this complaint for patent infringement against Defendant Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3"):

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff GlobeTecTrust LLC ("GlobeTecTrust") is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at GlobeTecTrust LLC, c/o Wilmington Trust SP Services, Inc., 1105 North Market Street, Suite 1300, Wilmington, DE 19801.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Level 3 is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 1025 Eldorado Blvd., Broomfield, CO 80021.

JURISDICTION

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

Case 1:12-cv-01245-RGA Document 9 Filed 12/20/12 Page 2 of 45 PageID #: 51

4. On information and belief, Level 3 is subject to this Court's jurisdiction because Level 3 has, upon information and belief, transacted business in the District and in the State of Delaware. Specifically, Level 3 either directly and/or through intermediaries, upon information and belief, ships, distributes, offers for sale, and/or sells (including via the provision of such services over the Internet) products and services in this District. Additionally, Level 3 is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. Level 3 thus has, upon information and belief, minimum contacts with this District and State, has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in this District and State, regularly conducts and solicits business within the State of Delaware, and has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and State.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

COUNT I

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,920,410)

6. GlobeTecTrust incorporates and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-5 as if fully set forth herein.

7. On July 6, 1999, the USPTO duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 5,920,410 (the "410 patent"), entitled "Access Networks," to Philip John Smith and David Wynford Faulkner, who assigned their rights and interests in the '410 patent to British Telecommunications Public Limited Company. A true and correct copy of the '410 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

8. GlobeTecTrust is the exclusive licensee of the '410 patent and has the legal right to enforce rights under the '410 patent, sue for infringement, and seek all available relief and damages.

9. Upon information and belief, Level 3 is infringing (literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents) the '410 patent in this District and throughout the United States by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale and/or selling a communications network as claimed in the '410 patent that provides redundant local access according to the method claimed in the '410 patent. Level 3's infringing networks include, without limitation, networks utilizing a Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) architecture on which Level 3 provides its Internet services.

10. Level 3 committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

11. As a result of Level 3's infringement of the '410 patent, GlobeTecTrust has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

12. GlobeTecTrust has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the '410 patent.

3

COUNT II

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,272,548)

13. GlobeTecTrust incorporates and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-5 as if fully set forth herein.

14. On August 7, 2001, the USPTO duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 6,272,548 (the "548 patent"), entitled "Dead Reckoning Routing of Packet Data Within a Network of Nodes Having Generally Regular Topology," to David Cotter and Martin C. Tatham, who assigned their rights and interests in the '548 patent to British Telecommunications Public Limited Company. A true and correct copy of the '548 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

15. GlobeTecTrust is the exclusive licensee of the '548 patent and has the legal right to enforce rights under the '548 patent, sue for infringement, and seek all available relief and damages.

16. Upon information and belief, Level 3 is infringing (literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents) the '548 patent in this District and throughout the United States by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale and/or selling a communications network that includes nodes as claimed in the '548 patent that route network traffic according to the method claimed in the '548 patent. Level 3's infringing networks include, without limitation, networks utilizing a Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) architecture on which Level 3 provides its Internet services.

17. Level 3 committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

18. As a result of Level 3's infringement of the '548 patent, GlobeTecTrust has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

19. GlobeTecTrust has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the '548 patent.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff GlobeTecTrust, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

For the above reasons, GlobeTecTrust respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief in favor of GlobeTecTrust and against Level 3:

(a) A judgment in favor of GlobeTecTrust that Level 3 has directly infringed
 (either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) one or more claims of the'410 and
 '548 patents ("the Asserted Patents");

(b) A permanent injunction enjoining Level 3 and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert or participation with Level 3, from infringing the Asserted Patents;

(c) A judgment and order requiring Level 3 to pay GlobeTecTrust its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Level 3's infringement of the Asserted Patents;

(d) A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding GlobeTecTrust its reasonable attorneys' fees; and

(e) Any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Date: December 20, 2012

OF COUNSEL: Marc Fenster Alexander C.D. Giza Russ August & Kabat 12424 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90025 mfenster@raklaw.com agiza@raklaw.com (310) 826-7474 /s/ Kenneth L. Dorsney

Kenneth L. Dorsney (#3726) MORRIS JAMES LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Phone: (302) 888-6800 kdorsney@morrisjames.com Attorneys for Plaintiff GlobeTecTrust LLC

EXHIBIT A

United States Patent [19]

Smith et al.

[54] ACCESS NETWORK

- [75] Inventors: Philip John Smith; David Wynford Faulkner, both of Ipswich, United Kingdom
- [73] Assignce: British Telecommunications public limited company, London, United Kingdom
- [21] Appl. No.: 08/737,641
- [22] PCT Filed: Jun. 6, 1995
- [86] PCT No.: PCT/GB95/01298

§ 371 Date: Dec. 18, 1996

- § 102(e) Date: Dec. 18, 1996
- [87] PCT Pub. No.: WO95/34182

PCT Pub. Date: Dec. 14, 1995

[30] Foreign Application Priority Data

Jun. 8, 1994 [EP] European Pat. Off. 94304139

- [51] Int. Cl.⁶ H04B 10/20; H04B 14/00

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,615,036 3/1997 Emura 359/124

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

A 0249056 12/1987 European Pat. Off. . A 93 12624 6/1993 WIPO .



[11] Patent Number: 5,920,410

[45] **Date of Patent:** Jul. 6, 1999

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Abiven et al, "Molene:Systeme de Distribution d'acces a 2Mbit/s sur reseau optique passif", Commutation et Transmission, vol. 14, No. 4, 1992, Paris, Fr, pp. 27–34, XP328635.

Lecroq, "Satflex 2:equipements pour reseaux optiques flexibles", Commutation et Transmission, vol. 15, No. 1, 1993, Paris, Fr, pp. 11–18, XP345516.

Berlinet, "Researux optiques flexibles", Commutation et Transmission, vol. 15, No. 1, 1993, Paris, Fr, pp. 5–10, XP345515.

Imai et al, "A Study on Subscriber Optical Line–Switching Networks", Electronics and Communications in Japan, vol. 74, No. 10, Oct. 1991, New York, US, pp. 25–36, XP303551.

Sankawa et al, "A Study on Subscriber Optical Fiber Switching Networks", Journal of Optical Communications, vol. 13, No. 4, Dec. 1992, Berlin De, pp. 150–155, XP278867.

Primary Examiner—Kinfe-Michael Negash Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.

[57] ABSTRACT

A telecommunications access network includes a plurality of customer terminals and a plurality of network nodes. Each network node is connected to a plurality of the customer terminals by respective local access lines, whereby each customer terminal is connectable to a switch of a telecommunications core network via one of the network nodes. At least one of the customer terminals is connected to two of the network nodes by respective local access lines.

10 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets





U.S. Patent

Jul. 6, 1999

Sheet 2 of 3

5,920,410



U.S. Patent

Jul. 6, 1999

Sheet 3 of 3

5,920,410



5,920,410

30

40

1 ACCESS NETWORK

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a telecommunications access network, and in particular to an optical fibre telecommunications access network.

2. Related Art

In the United Kingdom, the telecommunications network $\ ^{10}$ includes a trunk network which is substantially constituted by optical fibre, and a local access network which is substantially completely constituted by copper pairs. Flexibility in the copper access network is provided at two points en route to the customer; firstly, at street-side cabinets serving 15 up to 600 lines; and secondly, at distribution points (DPs) serving around 10-15 lines. Eventually, it is expected that the entire network, including the access network, will be constituted by fibre.

The ultimate goal is a fixed, resilient, transparent tele- $^{\rm 20}$ communications infrastructure for the optical access network, with capacity for all foreseeable service requirements. One way of achieving this would be to create a fully-managed fibre network in the form of a thin, wide-25 spread overlay for the whole access topography, as this would exploit the existing valuable access network infrastructure. Such a network could be equipped as needs arise, and thereby could result in capital expenditure savings, since the major part of the investment will be the provision of terminal equipment on a 'just in time' basis. It should also enable the rapid provision of extra lines to new or existing customers, and flexible provision or reconfiguration of telephony services.

In order to be future proof, the network should be single mode optical fibre, with no bandwidth limiting active electronics within the infrastructure. Passive optical networks (PONs) offer total transparency and freedom for upgrade.

The most common optical network is the simplex single star, with point-to-point fibre for each transmit and receive path, from the exchange head end (HE) to the customer network terminating equipment (NTE). This network design involves high fibre count cables, and unique electro-optic provision at HE and NTE for each customer. The resulting inherent cost can only be justified for large business users, 45 that customer. who generally also require the security of diverse routing, which increases the cost still further.

The advent of optical splitters (power dividers) allows the power transmitted from a single transmitter to be distributed amongst several customers, thereby reducing and sharing 50 the capital investment. In 1987, BT demonstrated this technology in a system for telephony on a passive optical network (TPON), with a 128-way split and using time division multiplex (TDM) running at 20 Mb/s. This combination enabled basic rate integrated service digital network 55 (ISDN) to be provided to all customers. In practice, the competitive cost constraint of the existing copper network precludes domestic customers from having just telephony over fibre, due to the high capital cost of equipment. This may change in the future. In the meantime, telephony for 60 small business users (for example those having more than 5 lines) can probably break this barrier.

The wider range of services and higher capacity required by business customers makes a 32-way split more attractive for a 20 Mb/s system, and this has been demonstrated by 65 BT's local loop optical field trial (LLOFT) at Bishop's Stortford.

In summary, the use of splitter-based PON architecture will reduce the cost of fibre deployment in the access network. When compared with point-to-point fibre, savings will result from:

- (i) reducing the number of fibres at the exchange and in the network;
- (ii) reducing the amount of terminal equipment at the exchange:
- (iii) sharing the cost of equipment amongst a number of customers:
- (iv) providing a thin, widespread, low cost, fibre infrastructure; and
- (v) providing a high degree of flexibility, and allowing 'just in-time' equipment and service provision.

Additionally, PON architecture can be tailored to suit the existing infrastructure resources (duct and other civil works).

Total network transparency will retain the option for future services to be provided on different wavelengths to the telecommunications, which for TPON is in the 1300 nm window. By transmitting at other wavelengths, other services, such as broadband access for cable television and high definition television, or business services, such as high bit rate data, video telephony or video conferencing, can be provided. The huge bandwidth potential of fibre promises virtually unlimited capacity for the transparent network. Eventually, it may be possible to transmit hundreds of wavelengths simultaneously, as the development of technology in optical components, such as narrow band lasers, wavelength division multiplexers (WDMs), optical filters, fibre amplifiers and tuneable devices, moves forward.

For this potential to remain available, and for the access network to be used to provide many and varied services, then it must be designed and engineered to provide very high 35 levels of security and resilience. Even for simple POTS (plain old telephony service), advance warning and live maintenance are essential to limit disruption.

A particularly important aspect of resilience is the reliability of the network, and this is particularly the case for business customers. Thus, even if a business customer has several lines, these will tend to come from the same DP, so that a failure between that DP and the upstream cabinet, or a failure between that cabinet and the exchange, or even a failure at the exchange itself will result in loss of service to

The problem of resilience is discussed in Commutation et Transmission, Vol. 14, No. 4, 1992, Paris, FR, pages 27-34, J. Abiven et al, MOLENE:systeme de distribution d'acces a 2 M bit/s sur reseau optique passif", and Commutation et Transmission, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1993, Paris, FR, pages 5-10, D. Berlinet et al: Researux optiques flexibles", wherein resilience is achieved with the provision of a dependent, duplicated backup network.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a telecommunications local access network including at least two independent subnetworks, wherein each of the independent subnetworks serves a respective group of user terminations in the same local area, and wherein each subnetwork includes transmission links connecting the respective group of user terminations to a respective switch of a telecommunications core network, wherein a plurality of user terminations are each served by at least a first and a second of the independent subnetworks so that telecommunications service(s) are routinely available thereto over both of the first and second subnetworks, with service(s) continuing to be provided from

15

20

25

30

60

one of the first and second subnetworks in the event that the other of the first and second subnetworks fails.

In a preferred embodiment, each independent subnetwork further includes network nodes, wherein each respective 5 group of user terminations are connected to each respective switch with transmission links via respective network nodes.

Thus, if one of the transmission links (or one of the associated network nodes) of said at least one user termination fails, that user can still receive service via its other transmission link, thereby providing that user with resilience,

In a preferred embodiment, the network nodes are configured at first level nodes associated with a switch and second level nodes, each first level network node being connected to a plurality of the second level network nodes by respective transmission links. Advantageously, said at least one user termination may be connected to two second level network nodes. Alternatively, said at least one user termination may be connected to two first level network nodes. Thus, customers can be provided with resilience at either the DP (second level of network nodes) level, or at the cabinet (first level of network nodes) level. In either case, resilience is provided at all network node levels downstream of the core network switch.

Preferably, each of said independent subnetworks is connected to a different switch of the core network. In this way, further resilience is provided in that users provided with resilience can still receive service even if one of the switches fails.

Connection to the first level of network nodes may be provided by a ring of transmission links, Conveniently, each of said rings may be connected to its respective switch via a respective concentrator and a further ring.

Advantageously, the transmission links are constituted by 35 optical fibres. In this case, each of said independent subnetworks may constitute a PON.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention will now be described in greater detail, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic representation of a telecommunications network which illustrates the principle of the inven- 45 tion:

FIG. 2 is a schematic representation of a first form of telecommunications network embodying the invention; and

FIG. 3 is a schematic representation of a second form of telecommunications network embodying the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXEMPLARY **EMBODIMENTS**

Referring to the drawings, FIG. 1 is a schematic repre- 55 sentation of a telecommunications access network having first and second fibre rings 1 and 2, each of which is centred on a local exchange (not shown). The ring 1 is provided with a plurality of nodes 3, each of which is connected to a plurality of customer premises 4 by respective optical fibres 5. Similarly, the ring 2 is provided with a plurality of nodes 6, each of which is connected to a plurality of customer premises 7 by respective optical fibres 8. Each of the nodes 3 and 6 is constituted by a passive optical splitter, so that these nodes can be considered as DPs.

In order to provide resilience, some of the customer premises of the ring 1 (identified by the reference numerals Δ

4') are connected to nearby nodes 6 of the ring 2 by respective optical fibres 5'. Similarly, some of the customer premises of the ring 2 (identified by the reference numerals 7) are connected to nearby nodes 3 of the ring 1 by respective optical fibres 8'. The optical fibres 5 and 8 are termed "main" fibres, and the optical fibres 5' and 8' are termed "standby" fibres. The customer premises 4' and 7' are, therefore, each connected to both rings 1 and 2, thereby ensuring that those premises receive service if their main 10 fibres 5 and 8 fail, or if either of the rings 1 and 2 fails, or if either of the associated nodes 3 and 6 fails. If further resilience is required, the two rings 1 and 2 would be centred on different local exchanges, thereby protecting customers opting for resilience against exchange failure as well as against fibre failure.

FIG. 2 shows a practical realisation of the invention which embodies the principle of interleaved PONs. The access network of FIG. 2 includes two adjacent digital local exchanges (DLEs) 11 and 12 associated respectively with PONs 13 and 14. The PON 13 is connected to the DLE 11 via a fibre ring 15, a concentrator 16, and a synchronous digital hierarchy (SDH) fibre ring 17. Similarly, the PON 14 is connected to the DLE 12 via a fibre ring 18, a concentrator 19, and an SDH ring 20.

The PON 13 has three levels of split, including a first splitter 21 associated with the ring 15, and having a 2×4-way split. The second level of split is constituted by four splitters 22, each having a 4-way split. The third level of split is constituted by sixteen splitters 23, each having a 16-way split. The splitters 23 can be considered as DPs. Respective optical fibres 24 lead from each of the splitters 23 to associated customer premises 25. For reasons of clarity, not all the splitters 22, 23 and 24 and the associated fibres and customer premises are shown in FIG. 2.

Similarly, the PON 14 has three levels of split, including a first splitter 26 associated with the ring 18 and having a 2×4-way split. The second level of split is constituted by four splitters 27, each having a 16-way split. The third level of split is constituted by sixteen splitters 28, each having a 16-way split. The splitters 28 can be considered as DPs. Respective optical fibres 29 lead from each of the splitters 28 to associated customer premises 30. For reasons of clarity, not all the splitters 26, 27 and 28 and the associated fibres and customer premises are shown in FIG. 2.

In order to provide resilience, some of the customer premises of the ring 15 (identified by the reference numerals 25') are connected to nearby splitters 28 of the ring 18 by respective optical fibres 24'. Similarly, some of the customer $_{50}$ premises of the ring 18 (identified by the reference numerals 30') are connected to nearby splitters of the ring 15 by respective optical fibres 29'. The customer premises 25' and 30' are, therefore, each connected to both the rings 15 and 18, thereby ensuring that those premises receive service if their main fibres 24 and 29 fail, or if any of the rings 15, 17, 18 and 20 fails, or if any associated interconnecting node (splitter) 21, 22, 23, 26, 27 or 28 fails. As the rings 15 and 18 are centred on different DLEs 11 and 12, the customers opting for resilience are also protected against exchange failure as well as against fibre or node failure.

The embodiment of FIG. 2 also shows a further form of resilience, in that a customer premises 30" (which may be, for example, the premises of a large business customer) is connected directly to adjacent splitters 22 and 27 of the two 65 PONs 13 and 14 by respective fibres 31 and 32.

FIG. 3 shows a second form of access network embodying the principle of interleaved PONs. The network of FIG. 3 is

5,920,410

very similar to that of FIG. 2, and so like reference numerals are used for similar parts, and only the modifications will be described in detail. The main modification shown in FIG. 3 is that each of the rings 15 and 18 is associated with both the concentrators 16 and 19, so that each of the PONs 13 and 14 is connected to each of the DLEs 11 and 12. This gives additional resilience to customers not connected to splitters 23 and 28 of the two rings 15 and 18. This network has the further advantage of providing additional resilience to customers connected to splitters 23 and 28 of the two rings 15 and 18 in that protection is provided against two failures in the network, as alternative routes round faults are available.

The interleaved PONs of the networks of FIGS. 2 and 3 ensure that the DPs (that is to say the splitters 23 and 28) are fed alternately to the DLEs 11 and 12. Customers who require a highly resilient service could pick up connections ¹⁵ from both the DLEs 11 and 12, thereby providing such customers with separate routes to the two DLEs. Consequently, there is no duplication of any hardware, apart from the final drop from the DPs to the customers. In the event of any DLE, concentrator or link failure, another ²⁰ connection route is always available.

It should be noted that, in each of the embodiments described above, only those customers who require resilience would be supplied with both main and standby fibres. Moreover, in principle, there is no distinction between main 25 and standby fibres, so that customers opting for resilience could choose to operate using a main and standby approach, or could split their traffic between the two connections.

It should be apparent that modifications could be made to the networks described above. In particular, the principle of the invention could be incorporated into local access network arrangements utilising copper pairs as the local access lines. In this case, customers requiring resilience would be connected to the DPs of two local access networks centred on different local exchanges by separate copper pairs. It would also be possible to use the principle of the invention in local access networks having radio transmitters providing the final drop to customers. In this case, customers requiring resilience would be provided with two narrow angle transmitters, each of which would be directed towards access networks of two local access networks centred on different local exchanges.

What is claims is:

1. A telecommunications local access network comprising:

at least two independent subnetworks each of said independent subnetworks being connected to serve respective group of user terminations in the same local area, and 6

- each subnetwork including transmission links connecting its respective group of user terminations to a respective switch of a telecommunications core network,
- a plurality of user terminations each being served by at least a first and a second of said independent subnetworks so that telecommunications service(s) are routinely available thereto over both of said first and second subnetworks, with services continuing to be provided from one of said first and second subnetworks in the event that the other of said first and second subnetworks fails.
- 2. A network as in claim 1, wherein:
- the network nodes are configured as first level nodes associated with a switch and as second level nodes,
- each first level network node being connected to a plurality of the second level network nodes by respective transmission links.
- 3. A network as in claim 2, wherein:
- connection to the first level nodes is provided by a ring of transmission links associated with a respective switch.
- 4. A network as in claim 3, wherein:
- each ring of transmission links is connected to its respective switch via a respective concentrator and a further ring of transmission links.

5. A network as in claim 2 wherein: said at least one user termination is connected to two second level network nodes.

6. A network as in claim 2 wherein:

- said at least one user termination is connected to two first level network nodes.
- 7. A network as in claim 1 wherein:
- each said subnetwork is connected to a different switch of the core network.
- 8. A network as in claim 1 wherein:
- said transmission links are constituted by optical fibres.
- 9. A network as claimed in claim 8 wherein:
- each said subnetwork is a passive optical network.

10. A network as in claim **1** wherein each independent subnetwork further comprises:

network nodes; and

45

wherein each respective group of user terminations are connected to each respective switch with transmission links via respective network nodes.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT B

Case 1:12-cv-01245-RGA Document 9



(12) United States Patent

Cotter et al.

(54) DEAD RECKONING ROUTING OF PACKET DATA WITHIN A NETWORK OF NODES HAVING GENERALLY REGULAR TOPOLOGY

- (75) Inventors: David Cotter, Woodbridge; Martin C Tatham, Ipswich, both of (GB)
- (73) Assignee: British Telecommunications public limited company, London (GB)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 09/000,455
- (22) PCT Filed: Jul. 26, 1996
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/GB96/01823
 - § 371 Date: Jan. 28, 1998

§ 102(e) Date: Jan. 28, 1998

(87) PCT Pub. No.: WO97/05725PCT Pub. Date: Feb. 13, 1997

(30) Foreign Application Priority Data

Jul. 28, 1995	(GB)	 9515536
Sep. 19, 1995	(EP)	 95306590

- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ G06F 15/173

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,731,878	*	3/1988	Vaidya 359/165
4,805,091	*	2/1989	Thiel et al 712/12
4,811,210	*	3/1989	McAulay 364/200
4,825,206	*	4/1989	Brice, Jr. et al 340/825.02
4,933,933	*	6/1990	Dally et al 370/406



5,105,424		4/1992	Flaig et al	
5,327,552	*	7/1994	Liew	714/4
5,341,234	*	8/1994	Suzuki et al	359/117
5,452,075	*	9/1995	Edwall	356/73.1
5,488,608	*	1/1996	Flammer, III 3	370/85.13
5,602,838	*	2/1997	Kartalopoulos	370/406
5,606,551	*	2/1997	Kartalopoulos	370/406
5,617,413	*	4/1997	Monacos	370/400
5,742,585	*	4/1998	Yamamoto et al	370/223
5,875,185	*	2/1999	Wang et al	370/331
5,912,753	*	6/1999	Cotter et al	359/137
5,917,820	*	6/1999	Rekhter	370/392

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cotter et al, Ultrafast Self–Routing Networks (Invited), BT Labs (Ipswich, UK), Paper Presented at the Thirteenth Annual Conference on European Fibre Optic Communications and Networks, Brighton, England 1995.

Kawanishi et al, "200 Gbit/s, 100 km TDM Transmission Using Supercontinuum Pulses with Prescaled PLL Timing Extraction and All–Optical Demultiplexing", NTT Optical Network Systems Laboratories 1–2356 Take, Yokosuka, Kanagawa, 238–03, Japan, 1995.

Sauer et al, "A Soliton Ring Network", J. Lightwave Technol., vol. 11, pp. 2182–2190 (1993).

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner-Mark Rinehart

Assistant Examiner-Jason D. Cardone

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.

(57) ABSTRACT

A packet is routed by "dead reckoning" on a network having a generally regular topology. The packet is received at a node where a local routing decision is made. The packet is output in a direction selected according to the routing decision. The packet carries in addition to a destination address a directional flag indicating explicitly the preferred direction of onward travel and the routing decision is made using this flag. Several flags may be used, corresponding to different dimensions of the network.

36 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



Case 1:12-cv-01245-RGA Document 9 Filed 12/20/12 Page 17 of 45 PageID #: 66

US 6,272,548 B1

Page 2

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cotter et al, "Algorithm for Binary Word Recognition Suited to Ultrafast Nonlinear Optics", Elect. Lett., vol. 29, pp. 945–946 (1993).

Cotter et al, "Self-Routing of 100 Gbit/s Packets Using 6-bit 'Keyword' Address Recognition", Elect. Lett. (in press).

Maxemchuk, "Regular Mesh Topologies in Local and Metropolitan Area Networks", AT&T Tech. J., vol. 64, pp. 1659–1685 (1985).

Maxemchuk, "Routing in the Manhattan Street Network", IEEE Trans. on Commun., vol. 35, pp. 503–512 (1987).

Maxemchuk, "Comparison of Deflection and Store-and-Forward Techniuqes in the Manhattan Street and Shuffle-Exchange Networks", Proc. Infocom '89, pp. 800–809 (1989).

Choudhury et al, Performance Analysis of Deflection Routing in the Manhattan Street Network, Proc. ICC '91, pp. 1659–1664 (1991).

Hunter et al, "Optical Contention Resolution and Buffering Module for ATM Networks", Elect Lett., vol. 29, pp. 280–281 1993).

Prucnal, "Optically–Processed Self–Routing, Synchronisation and Contention Resolution for 1D and 2D Photonic Switch Architectures", IEEE J. Quant. Electr., vol. 29, pp. 600–612 (1993).

Partridge, Gigabit Networking, Addison Wesley, pp. 143–147 (1994).

Liew, "A General Packet Replication Scheme for Multicasting in Interconnection Networks", Proc. Infocom '95, pp. 394–400 (1995). Baransel et al, "Routing in Multihop Packet Switching Networks: Gigabit-per-second Challenge", IEEE Network, vol. 9, pp. 38–61 (May/Jun. 1995).

Bononi et al, Soliton Ultrafast All-Optical Mesh Networks, IEE Proc. J., vol. 140, pp. 285–290 (1993).

Nowatzyk et al, Are Crossbars Really Dead? The Case for Optical Multiprocessor Interconnect Systems, ISCA '95 Intern. Conf. on Comp. Architecture, Margherita Ligure, Italy (Jun. 1995).

Glesk et al, "All–Optical Address Recognition and Self–Routing in a 250 Gbit/s Packet–Switched Network", Elect. Lett., vol. 30, pp. 1322–1323 (1994).

Shabeer et al, "Self-Synchronisation Scheme for High-Speed Photonic Networks", Elect. Lett., (in press).

Globecom, vol. 1, Dec. 1993, USA, pp. 119–125, F. Borgonovo et al, "Unslotted deflection ro all-optical networks".

Phoenix Conference on Computers and Communications, Mar. 1989, USA, pp. 214 T.Y. Chung, "A routing scheme for datagram and virtual circuit services in MSN".

Osborn et al., "A Comparative Study of Flow Control Methods in High–Speed Networks", IEEE, pp. 353–359, 1993.*

Matta et al., "Type-of-Service Routing in Dynamic Datagram Networks", IEEE, pp. 8a.2.1-8a.2.8, 1994.*

Dolev et al., "Bubbles: Adaptive Routing Scheme for High-Speed Dynamic Networks", STOC' 95, pp. 528–532, 1995.*

* cited by examiner

```
U.S. Patent
```

Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 1 of 16

Fig.1.





Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 2 of 16





U.S. Patent

Aug. 7, 2001







Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 4 of 16







U.S. Patent

Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 6 of 16



Fig.11.



U.S. Patent

Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 7 of 16






```
U.S. Patent Aug. 7, 2001
```


Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 12 of 16

U.S. Patent

Aug. 7, 2001

Sheet 14 of 16

DEAD RECKONING ROUTING OF PACKET DATA WITHIN A NETWORK OF NODES HAVING GENERALLY REGULAR TOPOLOGY

BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method of routing packets on a network, and to networks and nodes adapted to 10 packet level can be performed using high-speed electronics implement the method.

2. Related Art

Packet routing networks may be used, for example, to interconnect the different processors of a multi-processor computer, or as the basis of a LAN interconnecting a number 15 of different computers. In the future, it is envisaged that such networks might be used for distributed processing applications such as the provision of shared virtual-reality plex visualisation of data, for example in financial institu- $^{\rm 20}$ tions. Such a network might also be used for the internal architecture of a packet switch used, for example, in a telecommunications network.

All the examples discussed above will benefit from net-25 works capable of operating at ultrafast speeds, e.g 10 GBit/s or more. To achieve such speeds, it is essential that there is efficient routing of packets to minimise transit times from source to destination and without the process of making routing decisions itself providing a bottleneck. It has previously been proposed to use a class of techniques known as "self-routing", as described, for example, in the present applicant's copending international application PCT/GB 95/01176.

Self-routing is a method of navigation through a packet-35 switched network in which the onward route at each node is determined locally without consulting a network database in a centralised or distributed form (for a formal definition of self-routing, reference is made to the paper by Baransel et al cited below as reference [14]). The routing decision is made 40 based on information (usually the destination address) extracted from the packet header. In such a network, the time required to make a routing decision must be no longer than the transmission time for a single packet. If this condition is not observed the system becomes unstable since the ratio of the packet arrival rate to the service rate at a node can become greater than 1, so that queue lengths can grow indefinitely. For high transmission speeds, or for short packet lengths, this stability criterion is more difficult to satisfy. It becomes a very severe constraint in the case of 50 ultra-high speed networks operating at multi-Gbit/s transmission rates, particularly when the transmission format uses fixed-length packets or cells of at most a few tens of bytes in length.

Assuming, for example, the 53-byte length of ATM cells 55 and a peak bit rate of 100 Gbit/s [1], a node has only a few nanoseconds to perform the following tasks for each cell that arrives: select the appropriate output link on which the cell should be transmitted; and resolve contention. The situation can be alleviated by breaking these tasks into a number of separate procedures which are performed in pipe-line mode. Nevertheless it is essential for ultrafast networks that the procedures used for routing and contention resolution should be as simple as possible to minimise the processing time.

60

A further motivation for simplifying the routing and 65 contention-resolution procedures in ultrafast networks is the technology limitations of photonic devices. Recent experi2

ments have demonstrated the potential for photonic networks to carry data at single-wavelength, single-channel rates approaching 100 Gbit/s and beyond [2]. In these networks the transmission bit-rate is higher that the speed capability of electronic devices. However, the procedures for routing involve combinations of processes at two distinct levels of granularity—the bit level and the packet level [1]. For ultrafast networks, processes at the bit level require photonic devices with response times at least as fast as the bit period (picosecond scale), whereas processing at the at the packet rate (nanosecond scale). Photonic logic devices are much less developed than electronic ones, they have primitive functionality and are relatively poorly integrated, power hungry and costly and are unlikely to achieve a comparable level of development for many years to come. Therefore a further requirement for ultrafast self-routing networks is that the number and complexity of bit-level processes should be reduced to the absolute minimum.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,105,424 discloses one example of a routing scheme, intended for use within an integrated electronic massively parallel processor. The scheme involves determining at the source of a packet the entire path that the packet should follow from the source to the destination. The path is defined as a sequence of relative addresses and is added to the message packet in the form of a header. Routing is carried out by routing automata which are associated with the different computing nodes. The direction in which the packet is to be output is determined at the automate by referring to the relative address in the header, and the header is updated by deleting the parts that relate to the previous portion of the path. This has the disadvantage that the address has to be read, processed and modified at every node. This imposes a significant overhead of complex bitlevel processing. Also, since this approach does not allow for deflection from the predetermined path, deflection routing cannot be used. This makes it necessary for the nodes to include large buffers to resolve contention under conditions of heavy traffic load.

The paper by T Y Chung published in Phoenix Conference on Computers and Communications, March 1989, USA, pages 214-218, discloses a routing scheme which, like that in the U.S. patent discussed above, fully determines the route of the packet at the source, and programs this route in the header of the packet. It differs however in that the route is determined using a numerical algorithm rather than from a look-up table at the source. But as in the above scheme, the intermediate routing nodes, termed in this paper "tandem nodes", simply read the routing information and act upon it, rather than making an autonomous routing decision. The approach adopted in this paper still requires that all the routing information coded into the packet header must be read bit-by-bit, updated, and the packet header must be overwritten with the updated routing information. Again, this amount of bit-level processing is a significant disadvantage in the context of the system intended to operate at high bit rates. Although the paper makes reference to the possibility of deflection routing, the routing scheme, because of its deterministic nature, is not well adapted for such an approach. In this scheme, if deflection occurs, then the deflecting node has to recompute the routing information for the entire onward path leading to the packets destination, just as though the deflecting node which effects the deflection was itself the original source of the packet. Since these methods use predetermined routes encoded in the packet header, neither is a self-routing method.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of routing a packet carried on a network having a generally regular topology comprising:

20

25

(a) receiving a packet at a node;

- (b) reading a destination address and a directional flag, both of which are carried with the packet, the directional flag indicating explicitly a preferred direction of onward travel for the packet;
- (c) making a local routing decision according to the value of the directional flag; and
- (d) outputting the packet from the node in a direction selected in accordance with the routing decision.

The term "directional flag" as used herein denotes a 10 simple unit of data which indicates a preferred direction of travel of a packet from its source to its destination without fully determining the path, that is the specific sequence of links and routing nodes followed by the packet, from the source of the packet to the destination. It may comprise just $_{15}$ a single bit for each dimension of the network.

Correspondingly, the term "local routing decision" as used herein denotes a selection of output paths which is made locally at the routing node and which is not predetermined when the packet leaves its source.

The present invention provides a self-routing protocol with minimal processing overhead, yet that can provide a routing efficiency and network performance comparable to that of the most sophisticated routing mechanisms. It uses an approach based on the concept of dead reckoning (selfnavigation without the use of landmarks). This approach greatly reduces the total processing at network nodes, and also simplifies and minimises bit-level processes. Like random routing, another primitive protocol, dead reckoning is robust, tolerant of network irregularities and faults, is simple 30 to implement and manage, and is readily scalable. However, unlike random routing which is typically very inefficient, dead reckoning can provide good routing efficiency and network performance.

Preferably the packet is an optical packet carried on an 35 optical network.

Although the method provides particular advantages in optical networks, especially where photonic devices are used, it is by no means limited to use with such networks. It also gives advantages when used, for example, with high- 40 tions for an 8×8 MS Net embodying the present invention as speed electronic networks, or with optical networks using electronic switching logic.

Preferably the network has at least two dimensions, and the packet carries at least two directional flags, one for each dimension of the network.

45 The network may comprise a mesh-connected array of nodes such as the Manhattan Street Network described in further detail below. The dead reckoning method then makes use of the fact that the network has a regular or predominantly regular layout. For example, in a regular rectangular $_{50}$ mesh network with rows and columns associated with the principal axes of the compass, a packet may have knowledge that its destination is located north and east. The packet self-navigates through the network by choosing whenever possible to travel in a direction that leads broadly towards 55 tion in use as a WAN to interconnect a number of LANs; the destination. When the packet encounters a routing node, it simply instructs the node as to the preferred direction of onward travel: the node does not compute an optimum direction. The main tasks for the node are merely to check whether the packet's destination address matches that of the $_{60}$ node either wholly or in part, and to resolve contention.

According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a node for routing packets carried on a generally regular network, the node comprising:

a) an input for receiving a packet;

b) a routing decision unit for making a local routing decision using information carried by the packet, the

routing decision unit including means responsive to a directional flag carried by the packet and indicating explicitly a preferred direction of onward travel;

- c) a plurality of outputs for directing the packet onto the network in different respective directions; and
- d) means for directing a packet to different respective ones of the plurality of outputs depending on an output of the routing decision unit.

According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a network having a generally regular topology and including a plurality of nodes in accordance with the second aspect of the invention.

According to a fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a computer system comprising a plurality of processors interconnected by a network in accordance with the third aspect of the invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Systems embodying the invention in its different aspects will now be described in further detail, by way of example only, and contrasted with the prior art, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a flow diagram illustrating the logic of a self-routing protocol implementing the present invention;

FIG. 2 shows a 16-node Manhattan Street Network, utilizing switches set in accordance with the novel routing scheme of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a graph showing routing efficiency using dead reckoning in MS-Nets of size n×n, versus network dimension n:

FIG. 4 is a schematic showing the structure of one of the nodes of FIG. 2;

FIG. 5 is a graph showing the hop probability distribution for routing using the dead-reckoning protocol in an 8×8 MS Net with no buffers for various values of the probability of packet insertion in a free slot, 0.003 to 0.99;

FIG. 6 is a graph showing the fraction of packet defleca function of the probability of packet insertion in a free slot;

FIG. 7 is a graph showing relative throughput of an 8×8 MS-Net embodying the present invention as a function of the probability of packet insertion in a free slot;

FIG. 8 is a graph showing the relative throughput of an 8×8 MS-Net using shortest-path routing as a function of the probability of packet insertion in a free slot;

FIG. 9 is a graph showing the mean number of packet hops for an 8×8 MS-Net embodying the present invention as a function of the probability of packet insertion in a free slot;

FIG. 10 shows a network embodying the present invention used as a LAN interconnecting a number of computers;

FIG. 11 shows a network embodying the present inven-

FIG. 12 is a schematic showing a network embodying the present invention used as the backbone of a multiprocessor computer system;

FIG. 13 shows a buffered N×N packet switch;

FIG. 14 shows an ultra fast packet network embodying the present invention used as the internal architecture of a packet switch;

FIG. 15 shows an alternative network topology used in a ₆₅ system embodying the present invention;

FIG. 16 is a detailed schematic of the processing stages in a node;

5

20

30

35

40

45

FIG. 17 shows the optical layout of a node;

FIG. 18 illustrates the orientation of a cross-point switch with respect to the row and common directions;

FIG. 19 shows a routing logic processor;

FIG. 20 shows a contention-resolution logic processor;

FIG. 21 shows the structure of a network time slot;

FIG. 22 shows the sub-division of the switchband;

FIG. 23 shows the structure of circuits on the input to the access switch; and,

FIG. 24 shows circuits for insertion of signals into a packet leaving the node.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXEMPLARY **EMBODIMENTS**

As shown in FIG. 2, one example an optical network 1 in accordance with this invention comprises a plurality of nodes N interconnected in a regular mesh pattern. In the example shown in FIG. 2, a Manhattan Street Network (MS-Net) topology is used. This is a two-connected, regular network with unidirectional links. There is an even number of rows and columns with two links arriving and two links leaving each node N. Logically, the links form a grid on the surface of a torus, with links in adjacent rows or columns travelling in opposite directions. FIG. 2 shows a 16-node 25 (4×4) MS-Net.

FIG. 4 shows the structure of an individual node N. It incorporates a switch 2 which is set to route an incoming packet either to the node's row output O_r , to the column output O_c or to the host local to the node. This host may, for example, be one of a number of processors connected to respective nodes and forming in combination a multiprocessor parallel processing computer system. The switch 2 also has an input from the host so that, when appropriate, the node can insert a packet from the local host onto the network.

The switch is set using a novel routing scheme illustrated in FIG. 1 and termed by the present inventors "dead reckoning". This method of operating the node is described in further detail below. Circuits implementing this method are also described below with reference to FIGS. 16 to 20, and examples of appropriate components are identified in the section below headed "Implementing Technologies".

Dead Reckoning

In the traditional approach to self-routing, each packet carries its destination address in the header. At each network node encountered by the packet along its route, the destination address is read and this information is used to compute the optimum path for onward transmission. Typi- 50 cally the routing algorithm is made tractable by using a sequential arithmetic addressing scheme for the nodes which reflects the regularity of the network topology.

The dead-reckoning approach introduced here also relies on the network having a regular (or mainly regular) struc- 55 ture. In the layout of the logical interconnections, the links connecting nodes are oriented parallel to the principal axes of the topology. In this case, in addition to the destination address, each packet carries some elementary information about the general direction of its destination. For example, 60 in a two-dimensional rectangular grid network with rows and columns associated with the principal axes of the compass, a packet may have knowledge that its destination is located somewhere 'north and east'. The packet selfnavigates through the network by choosing, whenever 65 possible, to travel in a direction that leads broadly towards the destination. When the packet encounters a routing node,

6

it simply instructs the node as to the preferred direction of onward travel; the node does not compute an optimum direction. As noted above, the main tasks for the node are merely to check whether the packet's destination address matches that of the node either wholly or in part, and to resolve contention.

The logical function of a routing node using dead reckoning is summarised in FIG. 1. The bit-level process of detecting a match between corresponding fields in the packet $^{10}\,$ destination address and the node address can be performed very efficiently using an elementary single-step operation with ultrafast photonic devices [3-5], without the need to read and process the full destination address bit-by-bit.

When a packet is first placed on the network, a look-up 15 table or shortest-path algorithm is used to determine the directional bearings towards the destination node, and this information is coded into the packet header, together with the destination address. The algorithm or look-up procedure has to operate only at the relatively slow access rate of the local host. The place from which a new packet starts out is the only point in the network where the packet has access to this routing information. The necessary amount of directional information that each packet must carry can be very small: just one bit for each principal axis of the network topology. For example, as described in the following section, the directional information is only 2 bits in the case of a Manhattan Street Network (MS-Net). This directional information is carried by the packet across the network to its destination, and is modified only occasionally during the journey. The information may be modified when the packet encounters a routing node whose address contains a field that correctly matches the corresponding field of the packet destination address (e.g. in a MS-Net when the routing node is situated in the same row or column as the packet destination). The destination is found when the two addresses are wholly matched.

Manhattan Street Networks

The dead-reckoning approach is now described in more detail in the context of the well-known MS-Net [6-8], which, as described above, is a two-connected, regular network with unidirectional links. The attractive features of this network stem from its rich connectivity. The MS-Net is well suited to a simple deflection strategy for contention resolution. It performs well under high loading with no buffers ('hot potato' routing) or a small number of buffers [8,9]. This is an especially useful feature for ultrafast photonic networks, in which technological limitations constrain practical buffer depths to small values [10]. The rich connectivity also makes for a robust network, able to survive multiple link failures.

In the MS-Net a routing decision must be made at every node encountered by a packet. Maxemchuk [7] describes various deterministic routing rules that operate with excellent efficiency.

These rules make use of the regular structure of the network, and depend on an addressing scheme that names rows and columns in monotonic arithmetic sequences. The drawback of these routing rules is the need to read the full destination address and perform several computations of various complexity for every packet at every routing node.

Dead-reckoning routing rules

In the dead-reckoning approach, each packet finds a path through the network by following directional bearings towards the destination. The destination is located at the intersection of the 'destination row' and the 'destination column' in the network mesh. At the place at which the

20

packet is first inserted into the network, it is given two initial bearings relative to the principal axes of the network layout to indicate the shortest path towards the destination. These 'destination bearings' can be represented by a single 2-bit word, one bit for each of the principal axes. A convenient 5 shorthand is to designate the logical orientation of columns in the MS-Net as 'north-south', and rows are oriented 'east-west'. (Notice however that in the toroidal topology of a MS-Net, the north-south directional orientation is regular and continuous, unlike the north-south latitude of a globe 10 and that packets follow the routing rules exactly. Where a which has singularities at the poles.) Therefore a suitable set of destination bearings for a packet might be 'north and east'. The destination bearings are carried by the packet on its journey through the network, and at each node of the network where a routing decision is required the routing 15 preference is selected according to this simple rule:

a) A packet should, if possible, travel in the direction of one of its destination bearings. If two or no such directions are available, the packet does not care which path is selected (except in case b or c below).

The destination bearings are modified only in the particular circumstances now described. At each node the destination address is compared with the row and column names of the node address to see whether the destination row or column has been located (and obviously if both row and $^{\rm 25}$ column addresses match, then the destination is found.) Supplementary routing rules apply when a packet has located its destination row or column:

- b) If a packet encounters its destination row (column), and 30 if that row (column) is oriented in the direction of one of the destination bearings, then the packet should turn into it if possible, otherwise proceed as in the main rule
- c) If a packet is travelling along its destination row or column in the direction of one of the destination bearings, it should continue in that direction if possible, otherwise proceed as in the main rule a.
- d) If a packet crosses over its destination row (column), the packet must be checked and reset if necessary.
- e) If a packet is travelling along its destination row (column), whether or not in the direction of a destination bearing, and then a turn is made, the north-south reset if necessary.

These simple routing rules provide a basis on which a packet may select its onward path at each crosspoint with good efficiency. A routing logic processor having the task of executing these rules requires just 4 bits of information for 50 each packet: i) the destination bearings (2 bits); ii) whether or not the destination row (column) matches the node row (column) (1 bit each). Using these 4 input bits, the routing logic is sufficiently simple that the rules can be executed with hard-wired electronic circuitry using a small number of 55 elementary boolean logic gates, without the need for arithmetic, registers or look-up tables. This logic circuit can be designed with several parallel strands, and the maximum length of any strand is about 4 gates. The routing logic processor can therefore operate at high speed, allowing the 60 optimum path to be determined at a rate suitable for selfrouting in multi-Gbit/s networks.

Because the network is isotropic, the routing instructions are common to every crosspoint in the network. An important difference between the dead reckoning approach and 65 existing routing schemes is that dead reckoning does not require the network rows and columns to be named in any

organised fashion; they can be named in an entirely arbitrary way since the routing does not rely on node addresses being arranged in a particular sequence.

Routing efficiency

A comparison of the routing efficiencies obtained using dead reckoning, random routing, and more sophisticated routing schemes is presented in Table I for various sizes of MS-Net. It is assumed here that there is no congestion (contention resolution is considered in following sections), rule indicates that there is no preference between the two outgoing links from a node, one of the paths is selected at random with probability 0.5. The average distance between nodes (in terms of the number of hops) for each routing scheme is calculated by determining the average distance between each source and destination in the network. The efficiency of a routing scheme is the average shortest path between nodes divided by the average distance between nodes using the routing scheme. In the case of the deadreckoning routing scheme, a shortest-path algorithm (based on Maxemchuk's Deterministic Rule 1 [7]) is used once only to select the first output link from the source and also to determine the initial destination bearings.

FIG. 3 shows the variation of the routing efficiency with dead reckoning in MS-Nets of size N×N, with N=4 to 64 (16 to 4096 nodes). For networks larger than 8×8, the efficiency is slowly varying with network size and is always greater than 87%.

Table I shows that the level of efficiency with dead reckoning is comparable to the efficiency that can be obtained using a sophisticated shortest-path routing algorithm at each node. However the dead reckoning approach is much simpler: it avoids the need to read the full packetdestination address and compute data such as the relative 35 address of a node, its quadrant and the direction of the links emanating from the nodes. This is a very significant advantage for ultrafast networks.

Node structure

The network carries fixed-length packets, and it is slotted then the north-south (east-west) destination bearing for 40 so that any given link may carry at most one packet in each time slot. The structure of a node in this network is shown in FIG. 4 [7]. Packets arriving on the two input links (one from a row and one from a column) are given a suitable relative delay so that the time slots are aligned at the routing (east-west) destination bearing must be checked and 45 switch [11]. In each time slot the node will accept up to two packets from the network for forwarding. If a packet is recognised as having reached its destination it is dropped from the network and diverted to the local host. Simultaneously, or if an empty time slot is detected on an incoming link, a packet may be accepted from the local host and inserted into the network. All the packets that enter the routing switch (whether received from the network for forwarding or inserted from the local host) are routed to one of the outgoing links according to the rules of the deadreckoning scheme, following the preferences indicated by the 'destination bearings' where possible.

> The nodes have output buffers on both ports able to hold a small number of packets (K=0 to 64). Depending on the buffer size, the node can employ a 'hot-potato' strategy (K=0) or deflection-routing strategy (K>0) to resolve the contention that can arise if two packets indicate a preference to travel outwards on the same link [6]. In the event of contention, both packets are directed to the chosen output buffer provided there is at least one buffer slot available, with the order of the two packets chosen randomly. However, if there is no available buffer space, then one of the two packets (chosen at random) is deflected to the other

5

output port. When two packets are present at the routing switch and one of them has no particular outward routing preference, then that packet will be the candidate for deflection. When a packet has no particular outward routing preference and there are no other constraints, the packet will be assigned to either output port chosen at random.

There are two simple strategies that can be used to deal with new packets that the host wishes to have inserted into the network. One strategy is to hold packets in the source buffer until their preferred output port becomes available; an 10 alternative is to insert packets into the network immediately any output link or buffer becomes available. We choose the latter strategy here. Therefore it is assumed that if there are f available time slots where f=0, 1 or 2 (i.e. 2-f packets are received from the network for forwarding), then f new 15 indication of how much of the maximum possible throughpackets may be inserted from the host, irrespective of whether their preferred output port is available (i.e.packets may incur a deflection at their source node). To cater for this, the shortest-path algorithm or look-up table at the source should provide two sets of destination bearings for each new 20 packet: one set will be used if the packet is forwarded to its preferred output port at the source node, whilst the other set will be used if the packet is deflected to the other port. The destination bearings are chosen so that the new packet will find the shortest available path from the given output port of 25 the source node to the destination, assuming there are no further deflections. This strategy has the advantage that, on average, a new packet spends less time in the access queue, but the disadvantage that if the packet is deflected at the source node the shortest available path from the non- 30 preferred output port may contain more hops. We use a shortest-path algorithm based on Maxemchuk's Deterministic Rule 1 [7] to select the preferred output link from the source and also to determine the initial destination bearings to be used with and without deflection at the source node. 35 reduction in relative throughput, a substantial gain in the Network performance

It can be expected that the dead-reckoning scheme for self-routing will be degraded when the network is heavily loaded because a packet may be deflected to a position where the destination bearings it carries no longer lead to the 40 destination by the shortest route. To investigate this, we have simulated the performance of a 64-node (8×8) MS-Net as a function of the traffic load. The generation of new packets at each node is memoryless, and the mean rate of packet tion nodes follows a uniform distribution, and the insertion and routing of new packets is independent of the state of the output buffers in the network nodes.

FIG. 5 shows the probability distribution of the number of hops used between source and destination, for various levels 50 of the offered load, with hot-potato deflection (K=0). The offered load is represented by P_A , the probability that a source will insert a new packet into a free time slot. The exponential decrease in hop probability versus number of hops, even for P_A as high as 0.99, demonstrates the reliabil- 55 ity of the dead-reckoning routing protocol; we have detected no tendency for packets to become trapped or loop indefinitely. This confirms that although the effect of deflections is to increase the number of hops, deflections do not endanger the integrity of the dead-reckoning method. The integrity of 60 the scheme is guaranteed for the MS-Net by the regular, cyclic topography of the network. FIG. 6 shows the fraction of packet deflections as a function of P_A , for various buffer sizes. The maximum fraction of deflections, even with no buffers, is only 12.2%, because of the large number of 65 packets that enter nodes without caring which output link is selected.

10

A crucial measure of network efficiency is the throughput. In steady state, the rate at which packets are accepted from the source by the network should equal the rate at which the network delivers packets to the destination. The steady-state throughput is therefore the average number of packets delivered per node per time slot. The theoretical maximum throughput for the MS-Net (the maximum store-andforward throughput with shortest-path routing) is 2 divided by the average shortest path in terms of the number of hops [8]. For the 8×8 MS-Net, this maximum throughput is 2/5.02=0.399. It is useful to define the relative throughput as the actual throughput normalised by the theoretical maximum. This normalisation compensates for the dependence of the actual throughput on network size, and provides an put is being achieved [8]. FIG. 7 shows the relative throughput versus PA for various buffer sizes. With hot-potato deflection (K=0), a relative throughput of 43% is obtained at maximum network load, and this increases to 79% with a buffer depth K=4. This performance obtained using the primitive dead-reckoning approach compares quite well with the performance of the same 8×8 MS-Net using a shortest-path algorithm for routing every packet at every node, as shown in FIG. 8 [8, 9]. In that case, a relative throughput of 55% is obtained with hot-potation deflection at maximum network load, increasing to 91% with a buffer depth K=4. The conclusion is that the price paid for the simplicity of using dead reckoning is only a modest decrease in relative throughput. Moreover, since the amount of processing needed at the nodes for dead reckoning is minimised, the network can sustain operation at much higher speed by using photonic devices (for example, packet header address matching at 100 Gbit/s line rate has been demonstrated recently [5]). Therefore, despite the modest absolute network throughput in terms of information delivered per second can be achieved.

Another important performance metric is delay. The total delay consists of two parts: the time that a packet must wait in the host buffer before being inserted into the network, and the network delay itself (the time for a packet to traverse the network from source to destination). Here we consider only the latter aspect. The network delay introduced by each hop is the sum of three components: the propagation delay, the generation at each node is the same. The choice of destina- 45 delay deliberately introduced at the node to align time slots (FIG. 4), and the queuing delay in the output buffers. The inventors have found that in an ultrafast photonic network the dominant component is the propagation delay. This is because the time for transmission of a single packet is small. For example, as mentioned in the introduction, the time slot required to accommodate a 53-byte ATM cell at 100 Gbit/s with time guard bands and other overheads is around 6.5 ns [1], or equivalently a distance of only 1.3 m in optical fibre. Therefore for an average link length greater than a few tens of meters, and assuming that practical photonic buffers are limited to a few time slots in depth [10], the propagation time far exceeds the other components of delay. It follows, assuming the link lengths are similar, that it is important to minimise the number of hops that a packet must take in travelling from source to destination. FIG. 9 shows the mean number of hops as a function of P_A in the 8×8 MS-Net using the dead-reckoning protocol, for various buffer sizes. Also shown on the right-hand scale is the 'relative latency', defined as the mean number of hops normalised to the average shortest path (5.02 hops in this case). This shows that a buffer depth of 4 is sufficient to reduce the propagation delay to within 30% of the theoretical minimum.

As already mentioned, the MS-Net architecture has wellknown attractive features that stem from its rich connectivity. It performs well under high loading and is able to survive multiple link failures. However, it has also been criticised in several ways: it does not support multicasting; it is said not to support a guaranteed service; and the network permits packets to be reordered [12]. These are criticisms that are less significant in the case of ultrafast photonic networks. First, multicasting in the MS-Net must be performed using higher-level protocols (efficient strategies are being devel- 10 the 'destination bearings' data carried by a packet. These oped currently [13]), but effective replication of the payload is very simple in the optical domain using passive splitting devices. Second, it is true that certain traffic patterns will produce many deflections, causing the MS-Net to send some packets over many additional hops. However since the 15 route, the packet will continue in a non-optimum direction network latency is very small, dominated by propagation delay, this may not be an important consideration for connectionless data, or even for traditional delay-sensitive connection-oriented applications such as voice and video. Third, it is a debatable point as to whether the reordering of 20 packets is a significant drawback. It has been suggested that for many foreseeable applications, packet reordering is either not required in real time, or can be achieved in real time using a reassembly buffer of modest size [14].

In ultrafast photonic networks using picosecond optical 25 pulses for transmission the most practical approach is to allow packets (including the destination address and other header data) to propagate through the network without modification in transit and without opto-electronic regeneration. This avoids opto-electronic bottlenecks, and also 30 avoids the need for ultra-short laser sources and clockrecovery mechanisms with picosecond precision embedded in the network [1]. However the dead-reckoning technique relies on each packet carrying some additional elementary information about the general direction of its destination, 35 and speed. and this information may be modified occasionally during the packet's journey from source to destination. The necessary amount of information carried by each packet can be very small: just 2 bits in the case of the MS-Net that we have described in detail. The rate of this information (2 bits per packet time slot) is slow enough that it can be easily communicated on a link-by-link basis using a channel that is out-of-band for the remainder of the packet. It is unnecessary for this signalling information to be carried in the same ultrafast format as the remainder of the packet header and 45 type of hosts that are connected and the geographical extent payload. It could be carried on a separate wavelength, in a separate time segment, or on a physically-separated parallel channel, provided always that packet-level synchronism is maintained across each link.

Several additional advantages of the dead-reckoning 50 scheme have been identified. The naming of rows and columns in the network can be arbitrary; there is no need to follow an organised sequential scheme for assigning addresses. It is not even necessary for the nodes to know the dimensions of the network. This means that additional rows 55 and columns can be introduced into the network at any position and at any time, without the need for alterations or adjustments to the existing network (apart from updating the look-up tables or shortest-path algorithms used at the sources). Special schemes for introducing rows and 60 columns, such as fractional addressing [7], are not required. Since the basic routing rules may be executed in hard-wired electronic logic circuitry for speed, this is a considerable advantage. This also greatly simplifies the processes of planning, evolution and management of the network.

The dead-reckoning scheme is tolerant of network irregularities. If nodes and links are added or fail in a perverse

12

fashion, then locally the network may bear little resemblance to the regular structure. Whereas deterministic routing rules based on regular, sequential arithmetic addressing may fail in these circumstances, the dead-reckoning scheme appears to have good survival, although the routing efficiency is degraded. As described, our simulations for a MS-Net have shown that the dead-reckoning scheme is robust to deflections, even under very heavy load. We also note that the scheme is robust to the accidental corruption of robust features are guaranteed in a network such as the MS-Net which has a regular, cyclic topography. In other words, if the packet is deflected far from its preferred path, or if the destination bearings become lost or corrupted en and so lengthen its journey. But because the network is cyclic, the packet will eventually encounter its destination row and column, and the protocol ensures that correct bearings are then automatically re-established. Although less efficient, dead reckoning can also be implemented in a non-cyclic network with boundaries. In this case the nodes at a boundary should 'reflect' packets from the boundary, whilst reversing one or more of the destination bearings if necessary.

Ultrafast photonic networks capable of interconnecting processors and workstations at multi-Gbit/s speeds are becoming a practical reality [1, 3, 15, 16]. Significant progress has been made recently with the first demonstrations of the generation of photonic packets at 100 Gbit/s peak rate [1], address recognition [5, 17], and bit-level self-synchronisation techniques [18]. The dead-reckoning approach described here allows these recent technical advances in photonics to be applied in ultrafast mesh networks using optical self-routing, with massive throughput

Applications of ultrafast packet interconnection network These applications are described by way of example only,

many other uses of the routing method, node and networks of the present invention are possible. This description assumes that the network is of the Manhattan Street type, which is the example discussed in detail in above. Therefore it is assumed that the nodes are 2-connected (2 input lines and 2 output lines at each node).

The applications of the network depend simply on the of the network.

1. Direct interconnection of computers and workstations (FIG. 10) e.g. office/campus LAN of supercomputers/'highend' users; e.g. distributed processing applications meeting place', fast complex visualisation of data in a financial institution);

2. High speed interconnection of LANs (FIG. 11)

In this case the hosts for the nodes of the ultrafast packet network are routers providing an interface with conventional lower-speed networks;

3. Mixture of 1 and 2

4. Ultrafast packet network used as the 'backbone' in the internal architecture of a large computer (FIG. 12).

In this case the hosts for the nodes of the ultrafast packet network are sub-systems of the computer (processors, memory, I/O devices, and so on);

5. Ultrafast packet network used as the internal architecture of a packet switch (e.g. for very high capacity ATM) 65 (FIGS. 13, 14).

In this case the nodes serve the input and output ports of the packet switch. FIG. 13 shows a buffered N×N packet

5

switch (N input ports, N output ports), in which the input traffic is buffered.

In the switch design using the ultrafast packet network as a switch, the deep input buffers on the input ports are retained. If the network uses deflection routing (as in the example of the Manhattan Street Network, there may be small output buffers in the routing nodes. In that case the packet switch could be described as having both input buffers and 'internal' buffers (i.e. output buffers on the nodes of the switching network act together as buffers that are internal to the packet switch as a whole). If 'hot potato' routing is used (i.e. no output buffers on the switching nodes), then the packet switch as a whole has input buffers only.

FIG. 14 shows the structure of the packet switch. Alternative Topologies

Although described above in relation to a MS-Net, the present invention is applicable to a wide range of different network topologies. For example the method can be used with the topology known as "triangularly arranged connection network" (TAC), first described by G E Myers and M 20 E Zarki ("Routing in TAC: Triangularly Arranged Connection Networks", Proc. INFOCOM'90, pp.481-486 (1991)). TAC is a three-connected toroidal mesh in which nodes are located on the vertices of equilateral triangles. The FIG. 15 shows an example of a 16-node 4×4 TAC network. The 25 number of nodes needs to be a multiple of 4 in order for the links to be oriented properly. Myers and Zarki describe a self-routing scheme that is similar to the MS-Net scheme of Maxemchuk, in that each node computes the optimum outward link for each incoming packet using as data the 30 packet destination address (which must be read in full) and the address of the current node.

The alternative is a dead reckoning scheme as follows: The principal axes of the network are as shown in the Figure, and are labelled x, y and z.. Every link forms part of a line 35 lengths of optical fibre. of links (called a row) that runs parallel to one of the principal axes (similar to the streets and avenues of the MS-Net). The address of every node has 3 fields (each field corresponds to the name of the row of links parallel to a principal axis). The destination of a packet is located at the 40 intersection of three named rows of links, and has the address (D_x, D_y, D_z) . Each packet carries a set of destination bearings with respect to the principal axes. The destination bearings are represented by a 3-bit word. The routing rules for dead reckoning in the TAC are as follows. The current 45 requested outgoing path (row, column or don't care); ii) the routing node has the address $(N_x N_y N_z)$.

a) A packet should, if possible, travel in the direction of one of its destination bearings. If two such directions are available, the packet does not care which of these two is selected (except in case b or c below). If three or no such 50 directions are available, the packet does not care which path is selected (except in case b or c below).

The destination bearings are modified only in the particular circumstances now described. At each node the destination address is compared with the node address to see if 55 $D_x=N_x$, $D_y=N_y$, or $D_y=N_z$, indicating that the packet has found a row of links on which the destination is located (and obviously if all three matching conditions are discovered to be true, then the destination has been found).

Supplementary routing rules apply when one or two of the 60 matching conditions are discovered to be true.

b) If a packet encounters a row of links on which the destination is located, and if that row of links bears towards the destination, then the packet should turn into it if possible, otherwise proceed as in the main rule a.

65

c) If a packet is travelling along a row of links on which the destination is located, and is travelling in a direction that

14

bears towards the destination, it should continue in that direction if possible, otherwise proceed as in the main rule a

d) If a packet crosses over a row of links on which the destination is located, then the destination bearing for the packet must be checked and reset if necessary.

e) If a packet is travelling along a row of links on which the destination is located, whether or not in a direction that bears towards the destination, and then a turn is made, the 10 destination bearings must be checked and reset if necessary.

Implementing Technologies 1. Logical operation of a node

Diagrams showing i) the logical function of a routing node using the 'dead reckoning' self-routing protocol, and ii) 15 structure of a node, are shown in FIGS. 1 and 4 discussed above. A more detailed diagram showing the layout of processing stages in a node is given here in FIG. 16. More details about the operation of these various stages is given below.

2. Optical connections for a node

The optical layout of a node is given in FIG. 17. If this is compared with FIG. 4, the general switch symbol has been replaced by three 2×2 optical switches (two 'access switches' and one 'crosspoint routing switch'). Suitable routing switches would be lithium niobate devices such as type Y-35-8772-02 supplied by GEC Advanced Components. The delay units shown in FIG. 17 could be, for example, the tunable optical delay system described by P R Prucnal (IEEE J Quantum Electronics, vol 29, no 2, pp. 600-612, 1993). The optical buffers can be of the type described by D K Hunter and I Andonovic (Electronics Letters, vol 29, no 3, pp. 280–281, 1993), in which the 2×2 switches could be the lithium niobate devices specified above, and the delay lines would be appropriately-cut

3. Routing Logic Processor

The routing logic processors determine the optimum onward routing for a cell, based on the routing rules stated earlier. Routing requests are received by the contention resolution processor from each of the routing logic processors on behalf of incoming cells. If a time slot on one of the incoming routes is vacant, then no routing request is issued by the corresponding routing logic processor. A routing request for a cell contains the following information: i) the destination bearings to be carried onwards by the cell if the requested crosspoint switch setting is granted; iii) the destination bearings to be carried onwards by the cell if the requested crosspoint switch setting is not granted (i.e. the cell is deflected). Usually the destination bearings carried by a particular cell are unchanged as the cell passes through a network node, whether or not the cell is deflected. However the routing rules define occasions when the destination bearings must be adjusted, and these are indicated in the detailed routing logic tables set out later.

The input to the routing logic consists of just 4 bits: does the cell's destination row address match the row address of the node? (1 bit); does the cell's destination column address match the column address of the node? (1 bit); the orientation of the east-west destination bearing (1 bit); and the orientation of the north-south destination bearing (1 bit).

A new cell to be inserted into the network from the local host is placed in a first-in first-out (FIFO) buffer and awaits a vacant network time slot. A vacant slot can arise when there is a vacancy in the incoming traffic, or when an incoming cell has been identified as having reached its destination and is switched through to the local host. The routing look-up table provides an appropriate routing request for each new cell starting out on its journey across the network. The entries in the look-up table can be determined using, for example, a shortest-path algorithm. Notice that only new cells consult the look-up table: the table is not required for cells in transit through the node, which represent the bulk of the traffic. Also the look-up procedure need operate only at the relatively slow access rate of the local host. The format of the routing request from the look-up table depends on whether the new cell is to be held in the buffer until a time-slot vacancy is available on the optimum outward path (assuming one of the two outward paths is actually preferred). If that is the case, the routing request comprises only the requested outgoing path (row or column) and the outgoing 'destination bearings'. If, however, the new 15 cell is to be launched out into the network just as soon as a vacant time slot becomes available on an input port of the routing switch, the look-up table must provide the full 3-item routing request described earlier. In other words, in this case the routing request must provide the appropriate outgoing destination bearings for the new cell to use if it 20 finds itself unable to exit by the preferred path.

The tables below show the detailed routing logic for network nodes in the various configurations of row and column directions. In effect, these tables show the mapping from the 4-bit input data to the output routing requests, ²⁵ based on the routing rules stated earlier. For the purpose of the tables showing the detailed routing logic, it is assumed that the 2×2 'cross-bar' routing switches at the crosspoints of the network are configured so that the 'bar' state is the straight-through direction for cells travelling in both the row ³⁰ and column directions, and the 'cross' switch state causes a change of direction. For example, FIG. **18** shows a crosspoint oriented with the row direction running from west to east and the column direction from south to north.

Based on Table 1 (i), FIG. 19 shows a circuit diagram for 35 the routing logic processor in a crosspoint oriented west to east and south to north, for cells incoming from the west. This detailed diagram confirms that the routing logic for dead reckoning, using the 4 input bits referred to earlier, is sufficiently simple that the routing rules can be executed with hard-wired electronic circuitry using a small number of elementary boolean logic gates (invert, AND and OR), without the need for arithmetic, registers, or look-up tables. As shown, the logic circuit can be constructed with several 45 parallel strands, and the maximum length of any strand is about 4 gates. Therefore, using ultra-high speed emittercoupled logic devices that have very low rise and fall times (<0.2 ns) and low propagation delays (<0.6 ns), the routing logic processor can operate at high speed, producing routing 50 requests within a small number of nanoseconds. Suitable devices are the SST ECL Logic IC family (SELIC) manufactured by NTT Electronics Technology Corporation. Part numbers are NLB6201 (quad 3-input OR/NOR gates); NLB6203 (quad 3-input AND/NAND gates); NLB6200 (quint 2-input OR/NOR gates). The latter of these could be 55configured as invertors for the circuits shown in FIGS. 19 and 20.

4. Contention resolution processor

The contention resolution processor examines the various ⁶⁰ expressing preferences for the same output port of the crosspoint routing switch. A suitable contention resolution procedure is described above. In this case the contention resolution procedure is simple and can be implemented by ⁶⁵ hard wiring using a small number of electronic logic gates. As an example, FIG. **20** shows the circuit diagram to execute

the main task of the contention resolution logic processor, which is to give the command to the crossbar routing switch (and it is assumed here that 'hot potato' routing-i.e. no output buffers-is used). For high speed operation, the electronic logic devices used could be the same as those specified above for the routing logic processor (SST ECL Logic IC family (SELIC) manufactured by NTT Electronics Technology Corporation). If deflection routing with output buffers is used, then the logic circuit would be slightly more complicated: there would be two additional data inputs, each indicating whether one of the output buffers is full. In addition to setting the access and crosspoint routing switches, the contention resolution processor has the task of issuing the appropriate destination bearings for onward transmission. The logic circuit for this task is not shown in FIG. 20, but is very simple. For each of the two packets currently being routed, the destination bearings are taken directly from the outputs G,H or J,K from the routing logic processors (FIG. 19), depending on whether the routing request for each packet is granted or declined.

If required, a priority scheme could be introduced. There are many such schemes to choose from, most of which will require additional network signalling to represent the status of individual cells, for example: latency-sensitivity; age and time-to-live stamping; 'destination-in-sight' flag; service grade; etc. The value of these priority schemes must be judged against the additional overheads of complexity, transmission and processing time, which will tend to limit the overall network throughput. Schemes not requiring any additional network signalling include prioritisation (priority granted or declined) for new cells waiting in the access buffer.

5. Header address matching

The task of seeing whether there is a match between a field in the packet destination address and a corresponding field in the address of the routing node could be performed at ultrafast speed using the binary word recognition technique described in international patent application PCT/GB94/00397, with further technical details disclosed in PCT/GB 95/01176 page 15 line 22- page 17 line 2. The contents of these earlier applications are incorporated herein by reference. An experimental demonstration of this technique is described by D Cotter, J K Lucek, M Shabeer, K Smith, D C Rogers, D Nesset and P Gunning ("Self-routing of 100 Gbit/s packets using 6-bit address recognition", Electronics Letters, in press).

6. Arrangements for communicating the destination bearings

As already described, various ways of communicating the destination bearings are possible and the necessary amount of information carried by each packet can be very small: just 2 bits in the case of the MS-Net.

A way of communicating the destination bearings using a separate time segment is now described.

FIG. 21 shows an example of a network time slot. In this slot we combine localised fine-grain (bit-level) timing extraction on a packet-by-packet basis together with global coarse-grain (packet-level) timing. This diagram illustrates the relationship between the bit-level and packet-level time references. The network clock provides only coarse network synchronisation at the packet level. The network is thus slotted in time and space, at the clock frequency, with a

maximum of one cell occupying each slot. In the example shown in FIG. 21, the time partitioning within the time slot has been dimensioned to accommodate standard ATM cells. The network clock has been chosen to be one of the standard SDH rates. The cell consists of a burst of ultrashort optical ⁵ pulses representing about 440 bits (the 53-byte ATM cell plus around 10-20 additional header bits to allow routing of the cell in the ultrafast optical packet network) at an instantaneous rate of 100 Gbit/s. Notice that the position of the cell 10 within its time slot is not defined with bit-level precision; instead there is a timing tolerance equal to several bit periods (around 100 picoseconds or 10 bit periods in this example). The network time slot also contains a switch band, allowing time for the reconfiguration of routing switches, and time ¹⁵ guard bands. It is necessary for this switch band to be equivalent to many bit periods. For example, typical routing switches (lithium niobate devices such as type Y-35-8772-02 supplied by GEC Advanced Components, or 2×2 integrated 20 InP semiconductor of the type described by G Sherlock et al in Electronics Letters 30, 137-138, 1994) are capable of switching configuration in a time of ~1 ns. Therefore for cells with an instantaneous bit rate of 100 Gbit/s, a 1 ns switch band is equivalent to 100 bit periods. The 1 ns-wide 25 'switch band' allows time for the reconfiguration of the routing switches. However this time slot could be reused for transmission of the destination bearings from one node to the next, on a link-by-link basis. FIG. 22 shows how the switch band would be divided into further sub-bands for use in transmitting the destination bearing signals: two 100 ps-wide guard bands and an 800 ps-wide band which contains 2 bits of a 2.5 Gbit/s signal(representing the 2-bit 'destination bearings' word needed for dead reckoning in the 35 MS-Net). FIG. 23 shows the arrangement for receiving these signals on an input link at a routing node. The additional components required are positioned after the delay unit shown in FIG. 17. The 2.5 Gbit/s receiver could be BT&D type PDC 2201-2.4-FP. The decoder circuit would read in the signal bits, and output them as C and D bits to the routing logic processor (FIG. 19). The optical modulator shown in FIG. 23 performs the task of removing the 2.5 Gbit/s signals from the packet before reaching the access switch or 45 address-field matching devices. The optical modulator must be capable of switching on or off in correct synchronism with the network clock in a time window of 100 ps, and provide 20 dB optical contrast ratio. A suitable device is the multiple-quantum well semiconductor electro-absorption 50 modulator described by D. G. Moodie, A. D. Ellis, and C. W. Ford (in "Generation of 6.3 ps optical pulses at a 10 GHz repetition rate using a packaged electro-absorption modulator and dispersion compensating fibre," Electron. Lett., vol. 55 30, no. 20, pp. 1700-1701, 1994).

FIG. 24 shows the arrangement for inserting the 2.5 Gbit/s signals into the appropriate time band for a packet leaving a node. The components shown in FIG. 24 would be inserted after the output buffer shown in FIG. 17. The 2.5 Gbit/s 60 optical transmitter could be of the DFB laser type with integral optical isolator, such as device QLM5S710 supplied by Lasertron Inc. The coder takes the appropriate destination bearing bits from the contention resolution processor, and provides an appropriate signal (the 2-bit 2.5 Gbit/s word 65 described above) to the transmitter with the correct time synchronisation to the network clock.

18

Tables TF19 and TF20 below are keys to the input and output data of the logic circuits of FIG. **19** and **20** respectively.

	TABLES MS-NET ROUTING											
		Input data		Routing request								
)					Outgoing destination							
	Desti-	Desti-	Incoming	Crosspoint	bearings	bearings						
	nation	nation	destination	(deflected								
	row?	column?	bearings	setting	routing)	routing)						
5	1. Crosspo	oint oriented w	⁄est→east an	d south→noi	rth							
		i) Routing log	gic table for	cell incoming	g from the wes	st						
	No	No	E, N	don't care	Е, 1	Ň						
			E, S	bar	E, S	E, S						
			W, N	cross	W, N	W, N						
,			W, S	don't care	W, 3	S						
		Yes	E, N	cross	E, N	W, N†						
			E, S	bar	W, S†	E, S						
			W, N	cross	W, N	W, N						
			W, S	don't care	W, 3	S						
	Yes	No	E, N	bar	E, N	E, S†						
,			E, S	bar	E, S	E, S						
			W, N	cross	W, S†	W, N						
			W, S	don't care	W, 1	S						
		Yes	any	don't car	e (destination	reached)						
	i	i) Routing logi	ic table for a	cell incomir	ng from the so	uth						
)	No	No	E, N	don't care	Е, М	V						
			E, S	cross	E, S	E, S						
			W, N	bar	W, N	W, N						
			W, S	don't care	W, 3	s						
		Yes	E, N	bar	Ε, Ν	W, N†						
			Е, S	cross	W, S†	E, S						
5			W, N	bar	W, N	W, N						
			W, S	don't care	W, 1	S						
	Yes	No	E, N	cross	E, N	E, S†						
			E, S	cross	E, S	E, S						
			W, N	bar	W, S†	W, N						
			W, S	don't care	W, 1	S						
)		Yes	any	don't car	e (destination	reached)						
-	2. Crosspo	oint oriented e	ast→west an	d south→noi	rth							
		i) Routing lo	gic table for	cell incomin	g from the eas	<u>t</u>						
	No	No	E, N	cross	E, N	E, N						
				a a mit a ma	L/ N							

No	No	E, N	cross	E, N	E, N
		E, S	don't care	E, S	
		W, N	don't care	W, N	J
		W, S	bar	W, S	W, S
	Yes	E, N	cross	Ε, Ν	E, N
		E, S	don't care	E, S	
		W, N	cross	W, N	E, N†
		W, S	bar	E, S†	W, S
Yes	No	E, N	cross	E, S†	E, N
		E, S	don't care	E, S	
		W, N	bar	W, N	W, S†
		W, S	bar	W, S	W, S
	Yes	any	don't care	e (destination 1	eached)
ii) l	Routing log	ic table for	a cell incomin	g from the sou	ıth
<u>,</u>				-	
No	No	Ε, Ν	bar	Ε, Ν	Ε, Ν
		E, S	don't care	E, S	
		W, N	don't care	W, N	J
		W, S	cross	W, S	W, S
	Yes	E, N	bar	E, N	E, N
		E, S	don't care	E, S	
		W, N	bar	W, N	E, N†
		W, S	cross	E, S†	W, S
Yes	No	E, N	bar	E. St	E. N
		E. S	don't care	E. S	, í
		W. N	cross	W. N	W. S†
		W.S	cross	w.s	W.S
	Yes	any	don't care	(destination 1	eached)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

20

		-cor	ntinued				-continued						
	<u>r</u>	ABLES MS	NET ROUT	NG			TABLES MS-NET ROUTING						
	Input data		F	outing request		5		Input data		I	Couting reques	ŧ	
Desti- nation row?	Desti- nation column?	Incoming destination bearings	Crosspoint switch setting	Outgoing destination bearings (requested routing)	Outgoing destination bearings (deflected routing)	10	Desti- nation row?	Desti- nation column?	Incoming destination bearings	Crosspoint switch setting	Outgoing destination bearings (requested routing)	Outgoing destination bearings (deflected routing)	
3. Crosspoi	int oriented v	west→east ar	ıd north→sou	th			4. Crosspo	oint oriented e	ast→west ar	id north→soι	ıth		
_	i) Routing lo	gic table for	cell incomin	g from the wes	st			i) Routing lo	gic table for	cell incomin	g from the eas	st	
No	No	E, N E, S W, N	bar don't care don't care	E, N E, S W, N	E, N S	15	No	No	E, N E, S W, N	don't care cross bar	E, S W, N	N E, S W, N	
	Yes	W, S E, N E, S	cross bar cross	W, S W, N† E, S	W, S E, N W, S†	20		Yes	w, S E, N E, S W, N	don't care cross bar	w, E, N E, S E, N†	W, S† W, N	
Yes	No	w, N W, S E, N E, S	don't care cross bar bar	W, S E, N E, S	N W, S E, N E, N†		Yes	No	W, S E, N E, S W, N	cross don't care cross bar bar	W, S E, I E, N† W, N W S	W, S N E, S W, N	
ii`	Yes) Routing log	W, N W, S any gic table for a	don't care cross don't car a cell incomin	W, N W, N† W, S are (destination reached) ning from the north			<u>:</u>	Yes ii) Routing lo	w, S any gic table for	don't car cell incomin	w, 5 e (destination g from the nor	reached)	
No	No	E, N E, S W N	cross don't care	E, N E, S W N	E, N		No	No	E, N E, S W, N W, S	don't care bar cross don't care	E, S E, S W, N W,	N E, S W, N S	
	Yes	W, N W, S E, N E, S	bar cross bar	W, S W, N† E, S	W, S E, N W, S†	30		Yes	E, N E, S W, N W, S	don't care bar cross bar	E, 1 E, S E, N† W, S	N E, S W, N E, S†	
Yes	No	w, N W, S E, N E, S	bar cross cross	W, S E, N E, S	N W, S E, N E, N†	35	Yes	No	E, N E, S W, N W, S	don't care bar cross cross	E, 1 E, N† W, N W, S	N E, S W, N W, N†	
	Yes	W, N W, S any	don't care bar don't car	W, N W, N† e (destination :	N W, S reached)		+Incoming	Yes	any g destination	don't car bearing diffe	e (destination	reached)	

TABLE I

The efficiency of various routing schemes in MS-Nets of dimension $m \times n$, relative to the shortest-path algorithm.

					Maxem determ routing	nchuk's ninistic rules (7)		
		De	ad reckon	ing		Rules	Random r	outing (7)
MS.Net m × n	Average shortest path (no. hops)	Average distance (no. hops)	95% confid. interval	Routing efficiency	Rule 1 routing efficiency	2, 3 routing efficiency	Rule A routing efficiency	Rule B routing efficiency
4 × 4	2.93333	2.93425	±0.0010	1.000	1.00	1.00	0.21	0.79
4 x 6	3.30435	3.56913	±0.0018	0.926	1.00	0.97	0.14	0.30
6 × 6	3.71429	4.11485	±0.0021	0.903	1.00	0.97	0.10	0.21
6 x 8	4.34043	4.83034	±0.0022	0.899	1.00	0.98	0.09	0.17
6 × 10	4.77966	5.45208	±0.0022	0.877				
8 x 8	5.01587	5.53993	±0.0015	0.905	1.00	1.00	0.07	0.14
8×10	5.41772	6.11441	±0.0026	0.886	1.00	0.99	0.06	0.11
10×10	5.83838	6.64861	±0.0028	0.878	1.00	0.99	0.05	0.09
10×12	6.42017	7.28788	±0.0032	0.881	1.00	0.99	0.05	0.08
12×12	7.02098	7.91374	±0.0030	0.887	1.00	1.00	0.04	0.07
12×14	7.44910	8.46980	±0.0046	0.879	1.00	1.00	0.04	0.06
14×14	7.88718	8.99996	±0.0043	0.876	1.00	0.99	0.03	0.06
16 × 16	9.01961	10.19614	±0.0030	0.885				
18×18	9.91330	11.3240	±0.0037	0.876				
22×22	11.9296	13.5973	±0.0038	0.877				
24×24	13.0157	14.7628	±0.0066	0.882				

19

20

2.5

45

60

TABLE I-continued

	The efficiency of various routing schemes in MS-Nets of dimension $m \times n$, relative to the shortest-path algorithm.								
					Maxen determ routing	nchuk's ninistic rules (7)			
		De	ad reckon	ing		Rules	Random 1	outing (7)	
MS.Net m × n	Average shortest path (no. hops)	Average distance (no. hops)	95% confid. interval	Routing efficiency	Rule 1 routing efficiency	2, 3 routing efficiency	Rule A routing efficiency	Rule B routing efficiency	
$26 \times 26 \\ 32 \times 32 \\ 48 \times 48 \\ 50 \times 50 \\ 62 \times 62 \\ 64 \times 64$	13.9407 17.0127 25.0091 25.9696 31.9755 33.0071	15.8578 19.2481 28.1283 29.2233 35.8524 36.9633	± 0.0061 ± 0.0085 ± 0.0088 ± 0.0107 ± 0.0138 ± 0.0116	0.879 0.884 0.889 0.889 0.892 0.893					

TF19

CIRCUIT DIAGRAM FOR CONTENTION-RESOLUTION LOGIC PROCESSOR (Hot potato routing of two input packets, labelled A and B)

INPUT DATA

- M, N: Routing request tor packet A
 M: Crosspoint switch setting: 0 = bar, 1 = cross
 N: Crosspoint switch setting care/don't care: 0 = care, 1 = don't care
 P, Q: Routing request for packet B
 P: Crosspoint switching setting: 0 = bar, 1 = cross
 Q: Crosspoint switch setting care/don't care: 0 = care, 1 = don't care
 - OUTPUT DATA (TO CROSSBAR ROUTING SWITCH)
- R: Crosspoint switch setting: 0 = bar, 1 = cross

CIRCUIT DIAGRAM FOR ROUTING LOGIC PROCESSOR (Crosspoint oriented west→east and south→north, cells incoming from the west)

INPUT DATA

- A: Node row = destination row? 0 = No, 1 = Yes
- B: Node column = destination column? 0 = No, 1 = Yes
- C: East-west destination bearing: 0 = east, 1 = west D: North-south destination bearing: 0 = north, 1 = south
- OUTPUT DATA (ROUTING REQUEST)
- E: Crosspoint switch setting: 0 = bar, 1 = cross
- F: Crosspoint switch setting care/don't care: 0 = care, 1 = don't care G, H: Output destination bearings (requested routing granted)
- G: 0 = east, 1 = west H: 0 = north, 1 = south J, K: Output destination bearings (requested routing not granted) J: 0 = east, 1 = west
- K: 0 = north, 1 = south L: Node is destination? 0 = No, 1 = Yes

What is claimed is:

1. A method of routing a packet carried on a network having a generally regular topology comprising:

- (a) receiving a packet at a node;
- (b) reading a destination address and a directional flag, 65 both of which are carried with the packet, the directional flag indicating explicitly a preferred direction of

onward travel for the packet without indicating the magnitude of travel needed to reach the destination;

- (c) terminating the travel of said packet when the node address equals the destination address;
- (d) otherwise making a local routing decision according to the value of the directional flag; and
- (e) outputting the packet from the node in a direction selected in accordance with the routing decision.
- ³⁰ 2. A method according to claim 1, in which the packet is an optical packet carried on an optical network.

3. A method according to claim **2**, including carrying out logical operations in the optical domain on information carried with the packet, and using the results of the logical ³⁵ operations in making a routing decision in step (c).

4. A method according to claim 1 in which the network has at least two dimensions, and the packet carries at least two directional flags, one for each dimension of the network.

5. A method according to claim 4, further comprising 40 comparing the destination address with the node address, and when the destination address is not the node address, but at least one field of destination address and node address correspond, then writing a new value for one or more of the directional flags carried by the packet.

6. A method according to claim 1, in which the node, when it receives simultaneously two or more packets having the same preferred direction of onward travel, outputs one of the packets in a direction which is not the preferred direction.

7. A method according to claim 1, in which the packet is first put onto the network at an originating node and the originating node determines from the destination address a direction of travel generally corresponding to the shortest route from the originating node to the destination address, 55 and sets the or each directional flag accordingly.

8. A method according to claim **1**, in which the network has a toroidal topology.

9. A method according to claim 1 in which the network has an irregular addressing scheme.

10. A node for routing packets carried on a generally regular network, the node comprising:

- a) an input for receiving a packet;
- b) a routing decision unit for making a local routing decision using information carried by the packet, the routing decision unit including means for terminating the travel of said packet when the node address equals the destination address and responsive to a directional

22

5

flag carried by the packet indicating explicitly a preferred direction of onward travel without indicating the magnitude of travel needed to reach the destination;

- c) a plurality of outputs for directing the packet onto the network in different respective directions; and
- d) means for directing a packet to different respective ones of the plurality of outputs depending on an output of the routing decision unit.

11. A node according to claim 10 arranged to receive an optical packet at the input to the node.

12. A node according to claim 11 including one or more optical logic gates for carrying out a logical operation in the optical domain on information carried by the packet.

13. A node according to claim 12, in which the output of the or each logic gate is connected to the routing decision 15 unit.

14. A network having a generally regular topology and including a plurality of nodes according to claim 10.

15. A network according to claim 14, in which the network has a toroidal topology. 20

16. A network according to claim 15 having a Manhattan-Street-Network (MS Net) topology.

17. A network according to claim 14, in which the network is an optical network.

18. A computer system comprising a plurality of proces-²⁵ sors interconnected by a network according to claim **14**.

19. A local area network (LAN) comprising a network according to claim 14.

20. A switch for use in a telecommunications network, including a network according to claim **14**.

21. A network according to claim 14, having an irregular addressing scheme.

22. A node according to claim 10, in which the node is arranged to be connected in a network having at least two dimensions and the routing decision unit is arranged to make ³⁵ a routing decision based on values of at least two directional flags, in use, the packet carrying one flag for each dimension of the network.

23. A method of routing packet data on a network of nodes when onward routing decisions between intermediate nodes ⁴⁰ are locally made at each node, said method comprising:

- including with a packet of both a node destination address and directional flag data which does not fully determine a routing path for the packet but which does indicate at least one preferred direction of onward travel for the packet without indicating the magnitude of travel needed to reach the destination; and
- making a local onward routing decision at each intermediate node according to the directional flag data or 50 terminating the travel of said packet when the node address equals the destination address.

24. A method as in claim 23 in which the packet is an optical packet carried on an optical network.

25. A method as in claim **24** including:

carrying out logical operations in the optical domain on information carried with the packet, and using the 24

results of the logical operations in making said onward routing decision.

26. A network having a generally regular topology and including a plurality of nodes according to claim 23.

27. A computer system comprising a plurality of processors interconnected by a network as in claim 26.

28. A local area network (LAN) comprising a network as in claim 26.

29. A switch for use in a telecommunications network, 10 including a network as in claim **26**.

30. A network as in claim **26** having an irregular addressing scheme.

31. A method as in claim **23** further comprising:

- comparing the destination address with the local node address, and
- when the destination address is not the local node address, but at least one field of destination address and node address correspond indicating that at least one network dimension of the address has been reached, then writing a new value for at least some of the directional flag data carried by the packet.

32. A method as in claim **23** in which when a local node simultaneously receives two or more packets having a same preferred direction of onward travel, outputs one of the packets in a direction which is not a preferred direction.

33. A method as in claim **23**, in which a packet is first put onto the network at an originating node which determines from the destination address a direction of travel generally corresponding to the shortest route from the originating node to the destination address, and sets the directional flag data accordingly.

34. A method as in claim **23** in which the network has an irregular addressing scheme.

35. A node for making local onward routing decisions for packets of data to be carried through network of similar nodes, said node comprising:

- a routing decision unit connected to make onward routing decisions responsive to a directional flag data carried by each incoming packet which identifies a preferred direction of onward travel without firstly identifying an entire routing path for the packet without indicating the magnitude of travel needed to reach the destination;
- a plurality of outputs connected to onwardly directing a packet within the network in different respective directions; and
- a switch connected to direct a packet to different respective ones of the outputs depending on an output of the routing decision unit or terminating the travel of said packet when the node address equals the destination address.

36. A node as in claim 35 wherein said routing decision unit includes one or more optical logic gates for carrying out a logical operation in the optical domain on directional flag55 and destination information carried by a packet.

* * * * *