

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

MACROSOLVE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOME DEPOT USA, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12-CV-976

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT  
FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiff MacroSolve, Inc. (“MacroSolve”) files this original complaint against the above-named defendant, alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. MacroSolve is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, with a principal place of business in Tulsa, Oklahoma.
2. Defendant Home Depot USA, Inc. (“Home Depot”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 2455 Paces Ferry Road, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30339. Home Depot may be served with process by its registered agent Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 East 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1338(a).

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, the defendant has transacted business in this district and has committed, by itself or in concert with others, acts of patent infringement in this district.

5. The defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to the defendant's substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and/or (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this district.

### **COUNT I**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,822,816**

6. On October 26, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,822,816 ("the '816 patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office for an invention entitled "System and Method for Data Management."

7. MacroSolve is the owner of the '816 patent with all substantive rights in and to that patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '816 patent against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.

8. Home Depot, directly or through its customers and/or intermediaries, made, had made, used, imported, provided, supplied, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale products and/or systems (including at least the Home Depot mobile app product and/or service) that infringed one or more claims of the '816 patent.

9. Home Depot has and is directly infringing the '816 patent.

10. Home Depot has and is indirectly infringing the '816 patent, both as an inducer of infringement and as a contributory infringer.

11. Home Depot infringes directly both (1) through its own use of its mobile app and (2) through the joint use of its mobile app by it and its customers. Regarding point (2), MacroSolve alleges that Home Depot and its customers are joint infringers because (a) Home Depot is vicariously liable for its customers' use of its mobile app because Home Depot was the entity responsible for the design of the app (including by having its agents design the app) and Home Depot encourages its customers to use its mobile app; and alternatively because (b) Home Depot and its customers have acted in concert to use the Home Depot mobile app in a way that performs the steps of the claimed method. Direct infringement also occurs when Home Depot performs certain steps of the claimed methods and its customers perform others (for example, when Home Depot performs claim 1's steps (a), (b), and (d) and Home Depot's customers perform the remainder of the steps) where Home Depot's customers are under the direction or control of Home Depot and Home Depot is acting as a mastermind.

12. Home Depot's customers also commit acts of direct infringement when they download and use the Home Depot mobile app. They do so because their use of the mobile app performs each step of the claimed methods (including by putting into operation and causing the Home Depot servers to perform certain actions such as steps (a), (b), and (d) of claim 1 of the patent-in-suit in response to commands sent from the mobile app).

13. Home Depot has both induced and contributed to the underlying direct infringement of the '816 patent by Home Depot's customers or by the joint action of Home Depot and its customers. The direct infringement underlying the indirect infringement

claims consist of the direct infringement by its customers or by Home Depot and its customers, as described above.

14. Home Depot induces its customers to use the Home Depot mobile app. Home Depot's distribution and promotion of the Home Depot mobile app has no other purpose but to cause its customers to download and use it. Home Depot encourages its customers to download and use its mobile app, including, for example, on its website and on the app's listing in app stores.

15. Home Depot has contributed to the infringement of the '816 patent by making its mobile app available for download and by operating servers (or having its agents operate servers) that can communicate with the mobile app, and that can be put into use and operation by Home Depot's customers through the use of the mobile app.

16. Home Depot's mobile app has features that have no substantial uses other than the uses that are alleged to infringe the '816 patent. Specifically, the features of the Home Depot mobile app that allow information to be collected from the user of the mobile device and then uploaded to the Home Depot servers have no substantial use other than infringing the patent-in-suit. The use of these features of Home Depot's mobile app for their intended purpose necessarily results in infringement of the '816 patent.

17. Home Depot has or will have knowledge of the '816 patent, as well as the fact that its customers use of its mobile app infringes the '816 patent, since at least as early as the filing of this lawsuit. Additionally, when it launched its mobile app, Home Depot took inadequate steps to determine whether it would be infringing the intellectual property rights of others, such as MacroSolve, and thus was willfully blind to the existence of the

'816 patent. Home Depot thus induces /induced and contributes/contributed to acts of direct infringement with the specific intent that others would infringe the '816 patent.

**JURY DEMAND**

MacroSolve hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

MacroSolve requests that the Court find in its favor and against the defendant, and that the Court grant MacroSolve the following relief:

a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '816 patent have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the defendant and/or all others acting in concert therewith;

b. A permanent injunction enjoining the defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringement of the '816 patent;

c. Judgment that the defendant account for and pay to MacroSolve all damages to and costs incurred by MacroSolve because of the defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

d. That MacroSolve be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by the defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

e. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award MacroSolve its reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

f. That MacroSolve be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: December 21, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Califf T. Cooper

Matthew J. Antonelli (lead attorney)

Texas Bar No. 24068432

matt@ahtlawfirm.com

Zachariah S. Harrington

Texas Bar No. 24057886

zac@ahtlawfirm.com

Larry D. Thompson, Jr.

Texas Bar No. 24051428

larry@ahtlawfirm.com

Califf T. Cooper

Texas Bar No. 24055345

califf@ahtlawfirm.com

ANTONELLI, HARRINGTON &  
THOMPSON LLP

4200 Montrose Blvd., Ste. 430

Houston, TX 77006

(713) 581-3000

*Attorneys for MacroSolve, Inc.*