FILED Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) twoods@trialnewport.com 1 2013 JAN -2 PM 1:50 Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149)
rhikida@trialnewport.com
Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091)
sferrell@trialnewport.com
NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP 2 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. SANTA ANA 3 4 BY_ A Professional Corporation 895 Dove Street, Suite 425 Newport Beach, CA 92660 5 Tel: (949) 706-6464 Fax: (949) 706-6469 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. SACV 13-00004 AG (JPRx) 12 THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC, 13 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 14 VS. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED DIRECT DIGITAL, LLC, 15 Defendant 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Plaintiff The Tawnsaura Group, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its Complaint against Direct Digital, LLC ("Defendant"), on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

4

5

THE PARTIES

6

7

Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada, with a registered office at 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 1100, Reno, Nevada 89501.

Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 5,874,471

8

9

10

2.

("the '471 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and United States Patent No. 6,028,107 ("the '107 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection,"

11 12

and Plaintiff licenses the '471 patent and the '107 patent to more than one third-party

13 14

and is in negotiations to license the patents to numerous other parties.

15 16

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Direct Digital, LLC is a company organized and existing under the laws of North Carolina with a principal place of

17

business at 508 West 5th St., Suite 140 in Charlotte, North Carolina, 28202.

18

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19 20

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.

22

21

Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400. 5.

23

This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant, directly 6.

24 25 or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its nutritional supplement products in

26

the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California,

27

28

including the products branded and sold under the "Nugenix" brand name. Defendant

has purposefully and voluntarily placed these products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Central District of California.

III. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 7. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's Nugenix products state that they contain, <u>inter alia</u>, the ingredient "L-Citrulline" and/or "L-Citrulline Malate" and "not only boosts testosterone levels via natural ingredients, but also helps increase sex drive, enhance muscle mass and improve overall body strength."
- 8. As set forth herein, the Nugenix products infringe the claimed methods of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and are therefore referred to herein as "the products that infringe the claimed methods."

IV. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS

9. Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these continuing muscular activities. persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, and these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control. Therefore, Defendant practices the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent and is a direct infringer of the patents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

End-users of Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are

also direct infringers of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, because they have taken,

used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which

have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the

persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to

three times an average overnight fasting level and/or increasing the plasma

concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal

fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting

arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons

who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or

continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing

muscular activities. Therefore, they practice the methods as set forth in the '471 patent

10.

- and the '107 patent.

 11. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's labels and advertising for the products that infringe the claimed methods explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent to end-users and encourage, urge, and induce the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods, and that Defendant has therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of the patents, and has urged them to do so. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 12. Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the products disclose any uses for the products nor for the citrulline in those products that do not infringe upon the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent. The

- 13. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has knowledge that its products that infringe the claimed methods are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and '107 patent, and, indeed, Defendant encourages, urges, and induces the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and has done so in the past. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 14. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the products that infringe the claimed methods to purchase and orally administer the products for the purpose, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma, including by end-users who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 15. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant had actual, first-hand knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent as early as the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace. By way of example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that

- 16. In the alternative, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant subjectively believed at the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace that there is or was a high probability of the fact that patents existed that covered the use of citrulline as in the products and that Defendant took deliberate actions to avoid confirming that fact, including not conducting due diligence as to potential patent infringements, and that Defendant therefore willfully blinded itself to the infringing nature of its sales of the products that infringe the claimed methods. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 17. At a minimum, Defendant will become aware of the '471 patent and the '107 patent at the time of the filing and service of this Complaint, yet Defendant will likely not cease its own direct infringement, nor what Plaintiff believes is Defendant's indirect infringement by contributory infringement or inducement of infringements by end-users, despite such knowledge. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these post-filing activities, based on facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter.

The earliest exact date Defendant obtained knowledge of the '471 patent

and the '107 patent is within the exclusive possession and control of Defendant.

However, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will

demonstrate that Defendant specifically induces end-users to use its products, and

particularly the citrulline component in the products, to "increase sex drive, enhance

muscle mass and improve overall body strength," and Defendant knows and intends

that end-users achieve those objectives by practicing the methods as set forth in the

'471 and '107 patents. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this

matter will demonstrate that this is why Defendant includes citrulline in its products -

for the purposes of improving of the health of end-users to increase the plasma level of

arginine in end-users to a level from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up

to three times an average overnight fasting level, and improving the health of end-users

to increase the plasma concentration of arginine in the subject to a level from a low or

normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight

fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma - and Defendant knows

that end-users purchase and ingest the products, and particularly the citrulline content

of the products, for those purposes. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the

appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

18.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,874,471

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '471 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 21. The claims of the '471 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 20, 21, and 28.
- 22. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '471 patent.
- 23. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '471 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- 24. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 25. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

26.

unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.

will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

27. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue

As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and

28. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VI. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,107

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '107 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 31. The claims of the '107 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 15, and 16.
- 32. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '107 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of

2.1

- 33. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '107 patent.
- 34. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 35. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 37. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.

38. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. A declaration that Defendant has infringed the '471 patent and the '107 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.;
- 2. That injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from directly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent;
- 3. That Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and that Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect, willful, and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts: (a) injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from indirectly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (b) Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages

- derived by Defendant's indirect infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (c) Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; and (d) the damages awarded to Plaintiff with regard to the '471 patent and the '107 patent be increased up to three times, in view of Defendant's willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of willful and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts, this case be declared to be exceptional in favor of Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in connection with this action;
- 6. That Plaintiff be awarded its interest and costs of suit incurred in this action;
 - 7. Compensatory damages;
 - 8. Punitive damages; and

Dated: January 2, 2013

9. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation

> Scott J Ferrell Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial. Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation Dated: January 2, 2013 Scott J Ferrell Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

	This case has been	assigned to	District.	Judge A	Andrew	Guilford	and the	assigned
discov	ery Magistrate Jud	lge is Jean P.	Rosenb	luth.				

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV13- 4 AG (JPRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

Case 8:13-cv-00004-AG-JPR Document 1	Filed 01/02/13 Page 15 of 18 Page ID #:15
Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) 895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 13-00004 AG (JPRx)
DIRECT DIGITAL, LLC	
	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1: or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ne 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer wport Trial Group, whose address is If you fail to do so, elief demanded in the complaint. You also must file
JAN - 2 2013 Dated:	By: A Company of County Of the
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	

Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091)	
895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) v.	SACV 13-00004 AG (JPRx)
DIRECT DIGITAL, LLC	
	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached or counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, New 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer wport Trial Group , whose address is . If you fail to do so,
JAN - 2 2013 Dated:	By: Deputy Court Street of the Court 1225
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. CV-01A (10/11 SUMM	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,						DEFEND DIREC	ANTS ET DIGITAL, I	LLC				
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP, 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel; (949) 706-6464 Fax (949)706-6469						Attorneys	(If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZEN (Place an					III. CITIZENS (Place an X	HIP OF P	PRINCIPAL F	ARTIES	- For Diversity Case	s Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of This S	PTF DEF				PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Anoth	izen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State				₫ 5	d 5			
					Citizen or Subject	t of a Fore	eign Country		Foreign Nation		□6	□6
■1 O	IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) Volume 1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court Proceeding State Court Proceeding State Court State Co											
V. RE	QUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 12	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in con	nplaint.)				
CLAS	S ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	□ Yes 🖪 No		Ø M	IONEY D	EMANDED I	N COMP	LAINT: \$ TBD			
VI. C	AUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.S	S. Civil Statute under whi	ch vou a						atutes unless dive	roits)	
	5 USC §§271, et seq; 35 1			-	and thing take the	0 12 01701 51	atement of our	.sc. D0 110	t one jurisdictional st	atmes uness urve	изиу.,	•
	ATURE OF SUIT (Plac	· · · · · · · · · ·										
18000090080					at the transfer of the contract of the							,
Section of September 1	THER STATUTES		CONTRACT	i de le le	TORTS	a dag	TORTS		PRISONER	LAB	OR-	er en
	State Reapportionment	l	Insurance	1	RSONAL INJURY	4	PERSONAL	1,450	PETITIONS	□710 Fair Lab	or Sta	ndards
	Antitrust Banks and Banking		Marine Miller Act	☐ 310 ☐ 315	Airplane Airplane Product	1	PROPERTY	□ 51	10 Motions to	Act		
	Commerce/ICC	1	Negotiable Instrument	0313	Liability	1	Other Fraud Truth in Lend	ding	Vacate Sentence	□ 720 Labor/M		
L 130	Rates/etc.		Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel &		Other Person	- i	Habeas Corpus 30 General	Relation 730 Labor/N		
□ 460	Deportation		Overpayment &		Slander		Property Dan	. <u> </u>	35 Death Penalty	Reportin		
	Racketeer Influenced		Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	□ 385			10 Mandamus/	Disclosi		t
	and Corrupt		Judgment	□ 340	Liability Marine		Product Liab		Other	□ 740 Railway	Labo	r Act
- 400	Organizations	1	Medicare Act		Marine Product	1	ANKRUPTCY		60 Civil Rights	□ 790 Other L	abor	
	Consumer Credit Cable/Sat TV	□ 152	Recovery of Defaulted		Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 U		55 Prison Condition			
	Selective Service		Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 422	158 Withdrawal 2		FORFEITURE / PENALTY	□ 791 Empl. R		
	Securities/Commodities/	□ 153		□ 355	Motor Vehicle	10.423	USC 157		O Agriculture	Security PROPERTY		TTC
	Exchange		Overpayment of	 □ 360	Product Liability Other Personal	C	IVIL RIGHTS		0 Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrig		113
□ 875	Customer Challenge 12	ŀ	Veteran's Benefits	1000	Injury	1	Voting		Drug	M 830 Patent		
	USC 3410		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Personal Injury-		Employment		25 Drug Related	□ 840 Tradema	ırk	
	Other Statutory Actions	1	Other Contract	i	Med Malpractice	□ 443	Housing/Acc	0-	Seizure of	SOCIAL SE		ΤY
	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization	L 195	Contract Product	□ 365	Personal Injury-		mmodations		Property 21 USC	1		
	Act	□ 196	Liability Franchise	□ 260	Product Liability Asbestos Persona		Welfare American wi	_{th} _{□ 42}	881	□ 862 Black L		,
	Environmental Matters	1	EAL PROPERTY	7.00	Injury Product	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	Disabilities -	1	30 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck	863 DIWC/I (405(g))		
	Energy Allocation Act		Land Condemnation		Liability		Employment		0 Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti		7 <u>1</u>
	Freedom of Info. Act		Foreclosure		MMIGRATION	□ 446	American wi	1	0 Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40:		-
	Appeal of Fee Determi-		Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462		1	Disabilities -		Safety /Health	FEDERAL T		JITS
	nation Under Equal		Torts to Land	□ 463	Application		Other	□ 69	0 Other	□ 870 Taxes (0		aintiff
	Access to Justice		Tort Product Liability	403	Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee	□ 440	Other Civil			or Defer	,	
	Constitutionality of State Statutes	⊔ <i>29</i> 0	All Other Real Property	□ 465	Other Immigration Actions	n	Rights			USC 76		ty 26
				L								

SACV 13-00004 AG (JPRx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Case Number:

Case 8:13-cV-NOTION-STACE PRISTRICT AND RICE OF CALL HORNIA RICE AND CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been p	reviously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court that	at are related to the present case? No Yes				
□ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ally related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet i	f necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this District; ☐ Check here if the government, it	California County of agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in page 25 pag	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC - Washoe County, Nevada				
(b) List the County in this District; □ Check here if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in oyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			DIRECT DIGITAL, LLC - Mecklenburg County, NC				
(c) List the County in this District; (County in this District; (Count			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Plaintiff's Claim - Orange Count	y, CA						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, V e the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):		Date January 2, 2013				
or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	7. This form, approvolut for the purpose	red by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initiat	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to So-	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)