IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC, Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-00355-MHS-CMC JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	
AT&T, INC.,	
Defendant.	

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC, hereby amends its original Complaint against AT&T, Inc., in order to add AT&T Corp. as an additional defendant. For its Amended Complaint against Defendants AT&T, Inc., and AT&T Corp., InNova Patent Licensing, LLC, alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff InNova Patent Licensing, LLC ("InNova") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Texas, having its principal place of business at 911 NW Loop 281, Suite 211-14, Longview, TX 75604.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant AT&T, Inc., is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 208 S. Akard St., Dallas, Texas 75202.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant AT&T Corp. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, having its principal place of business at One AT&T Way, Bedminster, New Jersey 07921.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendant AT&T Inc. and Defendant AT&T Corp. (collectively, "Defendants") because each has committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district and/or has established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over each would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants have each committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continue to conduct business in this district, and/or have committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 6,018,761

- 7. InNova re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 8. On January 25, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 6,018,761 ("the '761 patent") entitled "System for Adding to Electronic Mail Messages Information Obtained from Sources External to the Electronic Mail Transport Process." A true and correct copy of the '761 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 9. InNova is the owner and assignee of all right, title and interest in and to the '761 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to

any remedies for infringement of it.

- 10. On information and belief, Defendants have each been and now are infringing the '761 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, using in the United States electronic-message filtering products and/or services that embody the inventions claimed in the '761 patent, including but not limited to Defendants' email programs using DKIM and all reasonably similar products.
- 11. On information and belief, Defendants will continue to infringe the '761 patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 12. Each Defendant's acts of infringement have damaged InNova in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Each Defendant's infringement of InNova's rights under the '761 patent will continue to damage InNova, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. Wherefore, InNova respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:
 - a. For judgment that each Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '761 Patent;
 - b. For preliminary and permanent injunction against each Defendant and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '761 Patent;
 - c. For an accounting of all damages caused by each Defendant's acts of infringement;

- d. For damages to be paid by each Defendant adequate to compensate InNova for such infringement, including interest, costs and disbursement as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
- e. For such other relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

InNova demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: January 11, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher D. Banys

Christopher D. Banys - Lead Attorney

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C.

Christopher D. Banys
Daniel M. Shafer
SBN: 230038 (California)
SBN: 244839 (California)

The Lanier Law Firm, P.C. 2200 Geng Road, Suite 200

Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: (650) 332-9100 Fax: (650) 322-9103 cdb@lanierlawfirm.com dms@lanierlawfirm.com

LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294

1127 Judson Rd., Ste. 220 Longview, TX 75601 Tel: (903) 757-6400

Fax: (903) 757-2323 wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF INNOVA PATENT LICENSING, LLC