IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ADVANCED OPTICAL TRACKING, LLC,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
V.	§
	§
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS	§
ELECTRONICS N.V. AND	§
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH	§
AMERICA CORP.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

Civil Case No. 1:12-cv-01292-LPS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Advanced Optical Tracking, LLC, by way of its Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Complaint") against Defendants Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. ("Philips") and Philips Electronics North America Corporation ("Philips America"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the

United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.

THE PARTIES

 Plaintiff Advanced Optical Tracking, LLC ("AOT") is a Delaware limited liability company with a place of business at 1220 N. Market Street, Suite 806, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Philips is a corporation organized under the laws of the Netherlands with a principal place of business at Breitner Center, Amstelplein 2, Amsterdam, 1096 BC, Netherlands.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Philips America is a corporation
organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business located at 3000
Minuteman Road, Andover, Massachusetts 01810. On information and belief, Philips America
is a wholly owned subsidiary of Philips.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b).

7. On information and belief, Philips and Philips America are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court by reason of their acts of patent infringement which have been committed in this Judicial District, and by virtue of their regularly conducted and systematic business contacts in this State. As such, Philips and Philips America have purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of conducting business within this Judicial District; have established sufficient minimum contacts with this Judicial District such that they should reasonably and fairly anticipate being haled into court in this Judicial District; have purposefully directed activities at residents of this State; and at least a portion of the patent infringement claims alleged herein arise out of or are related to one or more of the foregoing activities

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

8. On January 24, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 6,990,058 (the "'058 Patent"), entitled "Structure and Method for Storing Data on Optical Disks," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '058 Patent is attached as Exhibit A to this Complaint.

9. AOT is the assignee and owner of the right, title and interest in and to the '058 Patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,990,058

10. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 9 are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

PHILIPS

11. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Philips has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, the '058 Patent by making, using, selling and offering for sale in the United States, including in this Judicial District, and importing into the United States, products that practice the subject matter claimed in one or more claims of the '058 Patent (the "'058 Accused Products"), including but not limited to claim 1, without the authority of AOT. The '058 Accused Products include, without limitation, Blu-Ray Recordable (BD-R) and Blu-Ray Rewritable (BD-RE) discs, including but not limited to Philips-branded BD-R discs and BD-RE discs.

12. AOT provided actual notice to Philips of its infringement of the '058 Patent in a letter sent by certified mail on September 19, 2012.

13. Philips has had actual knowledge of the '058 Patent and its infringement of that patent since at least the date that Philips received the September 19, 2012 letter.

14. Upon information and belief, Philips has induced and continues to induce others to infringe at least claim 1 of the '058 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by, among other things, and with specific intent or willful blindness, actively aiding and abetting others to infringe, including, but not limited to, Philips's suppliers, distributors, and resellers of '058 Accused Products whose making, using, selling and offering for sale in the United States, and importing

Case 1:12-cv-01292-LPS Document 10 Filed 12/11/12 Page 4 of 7 PageID #: 40

into the United States the '058 Accused Products constitutes direct infringement of at least claim 1 of the '058 Patent. In particular, Philips's actions that aid and abet others to infringe include entering into, performing, and requiring performance under manufacturing, supply, and distribution agreements for the '058 Accused Products. On information and belief, Philips has engaged in such actions with specific intent to cause infringement or with willful blindness to the resulting infringement because Philips has had actual knowledge of the '058 Patent and that its acts were inducing others to infringe the '058 Patent since at least the date it received the notice letter from AOT notifying Philips that the '058 Accused Products infringed the '058 Patent.

15. AOT has been harmed by Philips's infringing activities.

16. AOT provided notice of infringement of the '058 Patent to Philips, but Philips thereafter continued to infringe the patent. On information and belief, Philips's infringement has been and continues to be willful.

PHILIPS AMERICA

17. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Philips America has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, the '058 Patent by making, using, selling and offering for sale in the United States, including in this Judicial District, and importing into the United States without the authority of AOT the '058 Accused Products.

18. AOT provided actual notice to Philips of its infringement of the '058 Patent in a letter sent by certified mail on September 19, 2012.

19. Philips America has had actual knowledge of the '058 Patent and its infringement of that patent since at least the date that Philips America received the September 19, 2012 letter.

20. Upon information and belief, Philips America has induced and continues to induce others to infringe at least claim 1 of the '058 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by, among

Case 1:12-cv-01292-LPS Document 10 Filed 12/11/12 Page 5 of 7 PageID #: 41

other things, and with specific intent or willful blindness, actively aiding and abetting others to infringe, including, but not limited to, Philips America's suppliers, distributors, and resellers of '058 Accused Products whose making, using, selling and offering for sale in the United States, and importing into the United States the '058 Accused Products constitutes direct infringement of at least claim 1 of the '058 Patent. In particular, Philips America's actions that aid and abet others to infringe include entering into, performing, and requiring performance under manufacturing, supply, and distribution agreements for the '058 Accused Products. On information and belief, Philips America has engaged in such actions with specific intent to cause infringement or with willful blindness to the resulting infringement because Philips America has had actual knowledge of the '058 Patent and that its acts were inducing others to infringe the '058 Patent since at least the date it received the notice letter from AOT notifying Philips America that the '058 Accused Products infringed the '058 Patent.

21. AOT has been harmed by Philips America's infringing activities.

22. AOT provided notice of infringement of the '058 Patent to Philips America, but Philips America thereafter continued to infringe the patent. On information and belief, Philips America's infringement has been and continues to be willful.

JURY DEMAND

AOT demands a jury trial on all issues and claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, AOT prays for judgment as follows:

a. An adjudication that Philips and Philips America have infringed the '058 Patent;

b. An award of damages to be paid by Philips and Philips America adequate to

compensate AOT for Philips and Philips America's past infringement of the '058 Patent, and any continuing or future infringement through the date such judgment is entered, including interest,

costs, expenses and an accounting of all infringing acts including, but not limited to, those acts not presented at trial;

c. An order that Philips and Philips America pay an ongoing royalty in an amount to be determined for any continued infringement after the date judgment is entered;

d. An award of treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

e. A declaration finding this to be an exceptional case, and awarding AOT attorney

fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

f. For such further relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 11, 2012

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

/s/ Richard C. Weinblatt Stamatios Stamoulis #4606 stamoulis@swdelaw.com Richard C. Weinblatt #5080 weinblatt@swdelaw.com Two Fox Point Centre 6 Denny Road, Suite 307 Wilmington, DE 19809 Telephone: (302) 999-1540

Attorneys for Plaintiff Advanced Optical Tracking, LLC Case 1:12-cv-01292-LPS Document 10 Filed 12/11/12 Page 7 of 7 PageID #: 43

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 11, 2012, I electronically filed the above document(s) with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send electronic notification of such filing(s) to all registered counsel.

<u>/s/ Richard C. Weinblatt</u> Richard C. Weinblatt #5080