

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC
LUXEMBOURG S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TECHSMITH CORPORATION,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12-cv-01018

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) (collectively, “Uniloc”) file this Original Complaint against TechSmith Corporation for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,490,216 (“the ’216 patent”).

THE PARTIES

1. Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) is a Texas corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at Legacy Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024. Uniloc USA also maintains a place of business at 102 North College, Suite 806, Tyler, Texas 75702.

2. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Luxembourg with its principal place of business at 15, rue Edward Steichen, L-2540, Luxembourg (R.C.S. Luxembourg B159161).

3. Uniloc researches, develops, manufactures and licenses information security technology solutions, platforms and frameworks, including solutions for securing software

applications and digital content. Uniloc's patented technologies enable software and content publishers to securely distribute and sell their high-value technology assets with minimum burden to their legitimate end users. Uniloc's technology is used in several markets, including software and game security, identity management, intellectual property rights management, and critical infrastructure security.

4. TechSmith Corporation ("TechSmith") is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business in Okemos, Michigan. TechSmith may be served with process through its registered agent and President, William Hamilton, 2405 Woodlake Drive, Okemos, Michigan 48864. Upon information and belief, TechSmith does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, TechSmith is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business involving its accused products in this judicial district and/or, has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

7. TechSmith is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent

conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents.

COUNT I
(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,490,216)

8. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

9. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of the '216 patent, entitled "SYSTEM FOR SOFTWARE REGISTRATION." A true and correct copy of the '216 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '216 patent with ownership of all substantial rights in the '216 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

11. The '216 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

12. TechSmith is directly infringing one or more claims of the '216 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claim 19, without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing a system, device and/or method for reducing software piracy, reducing casual copying and/or reducing the unauthorized use of software, including without limitation TechSmith's product activation system and process that permits customers to activate and/or register software, including but not limited to Camtasia Studios.

13. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of TechSmith's infringing conduct described in this Count. TechSmith is, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates it for TechSmith's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

14. Any allegation of infringement against any defendant herein was not made on the basis of its use, sale, offer for sale, making or importing of any product, software, system, method or service provided by Flexera Software LLC or Rovi Solutions Corporation or any of their present or former affiliates or predecessors (including Flexera Software, Inc. Acreesso Software Inc., Installshield Software Corporation, Flexco Holding Company, Inc., Installshield Co Inc., Globetrotter Software, Inc., C-Dilla Limited and Macrovision Corporation) (each a “Licensee Product”), including any product, software, system, method or service incorporating or using the activation, licensing, or registration functionality provided by such Licensee Product.

JURY DEMAND

Uniloc hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Uniloc requests that the Court find in its favor and against TechSmith, and that the Court grant Uniloc the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '216 patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by TechSmith;
- b. Judgment that TechSmith account for and pay to Uniloc all damages to and costs incurred by Uniloc because of TechSmith's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that TechSmith account for and pay to Uniloc a reasonable, on-going, post judgment royalty because of TechSmith's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That Uniloc be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by TechSmith's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That Uniloc be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: January 3, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven W. Hartsell

Edward E. Casto, Jr.

Lead Attorney

Texas State Bar No. 24044178

Barry J. Bumgardner

Texas State Bar No. 00793424

Steven W. Hartsell

Texas State Bar No. 24040199

Jaime K. Olin

Texas State Bar No. 24070363

NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.

3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300

Fort Worth, Texas 76107

Phone: (817) 377-9111

Fax: (817) 377-3485

ecasto@nbclaw.net

barry@nbclaw.net

shartsell@nbclaw.net

jolin@nbclaw.net

James L. Etheridge

Texas State Bar No. 24059147

ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, Texas 76092

Telephone: (817) 470-7249

Facsimile: (817) 887-5950

Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr.

Texas State Bar No. 00794818

J. Wesley Hill

Texas State Bar No. 24032294

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

P.O. Box 1231

1127 Judson Road, Ste. 220

Longview, Texas 75606-1231

(903) 757-6400

(903) 757-2323 (fax)

jw@wsfirm.com

wh@wsfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC
LUXEMBOURG S.A**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on January 3, 2013, the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this motion was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Steven W. Hartsell
Steven W. Hartsell