

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION**

CASSIDIAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMUNICATIONS VENTURE CORPORATION,
d/b/a INDIGITAL TELECOM,

Defendant.

CASE No.: 6:13-CV- 215-ORL-19GJK

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Cassidian Communications, Inc. hereby sues Defendant Communications Venture Corporation, d/b/a INdigital Telecom and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Cassidian Communications, Inc. (“Cassidian”) is a California corporation registered to conduct business in the State of Florida and having its principal place of business at 45205 Rio Nedo in Temecula, California, 92590.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Communications Venture Corporation, d/b/a INdigital Telecom (“INdigital”) is an Indiana corporation having its principal place of business at 5312 W. Washington Center Road, Fort Wayne, Indiana, 46818.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, § 100 *et seq.* Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. INdigital is subject to personal jurisdiction in this State. INdigital has established minimum contacts with the State of Florida, including in this judicial district, has

regularly conducted and continues to regularly conduct business in the State of Florida, and has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business activities within the State of Florida. INdigital's activities include, upon information and belief, the use, offer for sale, sale, and/or purchase in the State of Florida of emergency communications systems that infringe one or more claims of Cassidian's patent, causing injury to Cassidian in Florida and this judicial district. Therefore, the exercise of personal jurisdiction over INdigital is appropriate under applicable jurisdictional statutes and would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

5. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b) because, *inter alia*, INdigital is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

6. Cassidian is the sole and exclusive owner of all rights, title and interest in and to United States Patent No. 6,774,858, titled *System and Method for Supporting Multiple Call Centers*, which the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued on June 1, 2004.

7. A true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,774,858 is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

8. The USPTO re-examined United States Patent No. 6,774,858 and, on December 2, 2008, issued its Reexamination Certificate (the "Reexamination Certificate" and, together with United States Patent No. 6,774,858, the "'858 Patent").

9. True and correct copies of the USPTO's Reexamination Certificate and its assignment of record for the '858 Patent are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** and **Exhibit 3**, respectively.

10. The '858 Patent discloses technologies for handling emergency communications, for example telephone calls to 9-1-1, including the routing of those calls to the appropriate Public Safety Answering Point ("PSAP") based on the location of the caller.

11. Upon information and belief, INdigital makes, uses, and sells, or offers to make, use, or sell – or otherwise provides throughout the United States and within the geographical area covered by the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida – products and services that practice the systems and methods described by the claims of the '858 Patent.

12. Upon information and belief, on February 17, 2011, Cassidian – which was then known as Plant Equipment, Inc., d/b/a PlantCML – provided INdigital with written notice of the '858 Patent. On or about March 28, 2012, Cassidian provided INdigital with a second written notice of the '858 Patent. Upon information and belief, INdigital had actual knowledge of the '858 Patent prior to both of the foregoing written notice dates.

13. Next Generation 9-1-1 ("NG-911") is a combined public sector and industry initiative to upgrade emergency communications networks in the United States from legacy narrowband, circuit switched networks to broadband, packet switched technologies capable of carrying voice and large amounts of varying data types.

14. The National Emergency Number Association ("NENA") is a professional organization comprised of members of the public safety community with a focus on 9-1-1 policy, technology, operations and education issues. NENA also formulates and promulgates emergency communications standards for the industry. On June 16, 2011, NENA's Executive Board members approved the i3 standard for implementation of NG9-1-1 systems.

15. Upon information and belief, INdigital provides i3-compliant NG9-1-1 call routing systems and services to its customers.

16. In a letter dated September 13, 2011 – but which upon information and belief was actually sent on or around September 14, 2012 – INdigital responded to the State of

Florida's Request for Information concerning Florida Statewide NG9-1-1 Routing Services (the "Florida RFI").

17. INdigital's response to the Florida RFI offered "the IN911 ESInetwork" – comprising a "suite of integrated public safety service platforms that are compliant with NENA i3 current and emerging standards" to "create an i3 NG9-1-1 system" – and included an exemplary price matrix and invoices.

18. Upon information and belief, INdigital has sold and implemented a comprehensive i3-compliant NG9-1-1 routing system and services for the State of Indiana ("Indiana System").

COUNT I

(Infringement of the '858 Patent)

19. Cassidian incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 18 above as if fully set forth herein.

20. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), INdigital is and has been – for example and without limitation to proof of other infringing acts – directly infringing, by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell in the United States, without authority, systems or processes that practice the inventions claimed in the '858 Patent, including without limitation, INdigital's IN911 ESInetwork system and services offered to the State of Florida and INdigital's Indiana System.

21. Upon information and belief, INdigital exerts sufficient control and direction over any subcontractors in implementing its comprehensive i3-compliant NG9-1-1 routing system and services that infringement of the '858 Patent can be attributed to INdigital.

22. INdigital has had actual knowledge of the '858 Patent since at least February 17, 2011 (if not before).

23. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), INdigital is and has been – for example and without limitation to proof of other infringing acts – inducing the infringement of the '858 Patent by, knowingly and with intent, actively inducing customers to use INdigital's infringing systems and services, including without limitation INdigital's IN911 ESInetwork system and services offered to the State of Florida and INdigital's Indiana System, in a manner that constitutes direct infringement of one or more claims of the '858 Patent.

24. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), INdigital is and has been – for example and without limitation to proof of other infringing acts – contributing to the infringement of the '858 Patent by, knowingly and with intent, actively encouraging customers to use INdigital's infringing systems and services, including without limitation INdigital's IN911 ESInetwork system and services offered to the State of Florida and INdigital's Indiana System, in a manner that constitutes direct infringement of one or more claims of the '858 Patent.

25. Upon information and belief, INdigital sells or offers to sell NG9-1-1 systems and services for use in practicing the inventions claimed in the '858 Patent, which systems and services are material to practicing those inventions; INdigital's NG9-1-1 systems and services are especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '858 Patent; and INdigital's NG9-1-1 systems and services have no substantial non-infringing uses.

26. Upon information and belief, INdigital lacks any justifiable belief that there is no infringement or that the infringed claims are invalid. INdigital has therefore continued its actions despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of a valid patent, making INdigital's infringement of the '858 Patent willful. As a result, Cassidian is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

27. Upon information and belief, INdigital intends to continue its unlawful infringing activity related to the '858 Patent.

28. INdigital's acts of infringement have caused damage to Cassidian, and Cassidian is entitled to recover from INdigital the damages sustained by Cassidian as a result of INdigital's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

DAMAGES

29. As a result of INdigital's acts of infringement, Cassidian has suffered and will continue to suffer actual and consequential damages; however, Cassidian does not yet know the full extent of the infringement and its extent cannot be ascertained except through discovery and special accounting. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Cassidian seeks recovery of damages for at least lost profits, reasonable royalties, unjust enrichment, and any other benefits received by INdigital as a result of using the misappropriated technology. Cassidian further seeks any other damages to which it would be entitled in law or in equity.

ATTORNEYS' FEES

30. Cassidian is entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees under applicable law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cassidian respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter such preliminary and final orders and judgments against Defendant INdigital as are necessary to provide Cassidian with the following relief:

- a. A judgment that INdigital infringes one or more claims of the '858 Patent;
- b. Actual economic damages;
- c. Exemplary treble damages as allowed by law;
- d. An injunction;
- e. Attorneys' fees;
- f. Pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- g. Costs of suit; and

- h. Such other and further relief in law or in equity to which Cassidian may show itself justly entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Cassidian respectfully demands a trial by jury as to all matters so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: February 8, 2013

/s/ Thomas A. Zehnder
Thomas A. Zehnder
Florida Bar No.: 0063274
Taylor F. Ford
Florida Bar No.: 0041008
KING, BLACKWELL, ZEHNDER & WERMUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 1631
Orlando, Florida 32802-1631
Telephone: (407) 422-2472
Facsimile: (407) 648-0161
tzehnder@kbzwlaw.com
tford@kbzwlaw.com

BUNSON, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON, LLP*
55 Francisco Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94133
Telephone: (415) 426-4747
Facsimile: (415) 426-4744

*(Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming)

Counsel for Plaintiff Cassidian Communications, Inc.