



Hon. Marsha J. Pechman

FILED
LODGED

ENTERED
RECEIVED

FEB 28 2010 LK

10-CV-01385-CMP

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AOL, INC.; APPLE, INC.; eBAY, INC.;
FACEBOOK, INC.; GOOGLE INC.;
NETFLIX, INC.; OFFICE DEPOT, INC.;
OFFICEMAX INC.; STAPLES, INC.;
YAHOO! INC.; AND YOUTUBE, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. C10-1385 MJP

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

JURY DEMAND

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC, files this first amended complaint for patent infringement against Defendants AOL, Inc., Apple, Inc., eBay, Inc., Facebook, Inc., Google Inc., Netflix, Inc., Office Depot, Inc., OfficeMax Inc., Staples, Inc., Yahoo! Inc., and YouTube, LLC. Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC alleges:

THE PARTIES

1
2 1. Interval Licensing LLC (“Interval”) is a limited liability company duly
3 organized under the laws of the state of Washington, with its principal place of business at
4 505 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98104.

5 2. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
6 AOL, Inc. (“AOL”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the state
7 of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 770 Broadway, New York, NY 10003.

8 3. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
9 Apple, Inc. (“Apple”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
10 state of California, with its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA
11 95014.

12 4. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
13 eBay, Inc. (“eBay”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the state
14 of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 2145 Hamilton Avenue, San Jose, CA
15 95125.

16 5. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
17 Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of
18 the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1601 S. California Avenue, Palo
19 Alto, CA 94304.

20 6. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
21 Google Inc. (“Google”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
22 state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway,
23 Mountain View, CA 94043.

1 7. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
2 Netflix, Inc. ("Netflix") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
3 state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 100 Winchester Circle, Los Gatos,
4 CA 95032.

5 8. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
6 Office Depot, Inc. ("Office Depot") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the
7 laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6600 North Military
8 Trail, Boca Raton, FL 33496.

9 9. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
10 OfficeMax Inc. ("OfficeMax") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws
11 of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 263 Shuman Boulevard,
12 Naperville, IL 60563.

13 10. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
14 Staples, Inc. ("Staples") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
15 state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 500 Staples Drive, Framingham,
16 MA 01702.

17 11. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
18 Yahoo! Inc. ("Yahoo") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the
19 state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 701 First Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
20 94089.

21 12. Interval is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant
22 YouTube, LLC ("YouTube") is a limited liability company duly organized and existing
23
24

1 under the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business at 901 Cherry
2 Avenue, San Bruno, CA 94066.

3 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a)
5 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*
6 Venue is proper in this Federal Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) in
7 that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district and the
8 defendants have a regular and established practice of business in this district and have
9 committed acts of infringement in this district.
10

11 **INTERVAL RESEARCH CORPORATION WAS A PIONEER IN THE
12 TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY**

13 14. Interval Research Corporation (“Interval Research”) was founded in 1992 by
14 Paul Allen and David Liddle to perform advanced research and development in the areas of
15 information systems, communications, and computer science. Mr. Allen, who served as
16 Interval Research’s chairman, was one of the earliest pioneers of personal computer
17 software. He co-founded Microsoft with Bill Gates in 1975 and later founded Vulcan
18 Ventures in 1986. Mr. Liddle served as Interval Research’s president and chief executive
19 officer. He was instrumental in developing fundamental technologies starting in the early
20 1970s when he worked at Xerox at the Palo Alto Research Center.
21

22 15. Starting with Mr. Allen, Mr. Liddle, and a handful of scientists and inventors,
23 Interval Research evolved into one of the preeminent technology firms. It employed over
24 110 of the world’s leading scientists, physicists, engineers, artists, and journalists, and was
25 at the forefront in designing next-generation science and technology.
26

1 16. In addition to the research that Interval Research conducted, it also provided
2 funding and assistance for other projects. For example, Interval Research served as an
3 outside collaborator to and provided research funding for Sergey Brin and Lawrence Page's
4 research that resulted in Google. Indeed, a Google screenshot dated September 27, 1998
5 entitled "About Google!" identifies Interval Research in the "Credits" section as one of two
6 "Outside Collaborators" and one of four sources of "Research Funding" for Google. See
7 Sept. 27, 1998 Website "About Google!" attached as Exhibit 1.

9 17. Mr. Brin and Mr. Page also recognized Interval Research's funding in the
10 "Acknowledgements" section of their 1998 research article entitled "Anatomy of a Large-
11 Scale Hypertextual Web Search Engine" in which they "present Google."

13 18. As a testament to Interval Research's innovation, it was issued approximately
14 300 patents in less than a decade. Four of those patents are the patents-in-suit.

15 19. Interval Licensing LLC owns the patents-in-suit. The company is owned and
16 controlled by Mr. Allen.

18 **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,263,507**

19 20. On July 17, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,263,507 ("the '507 patent") was
20 duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Browser for Use in Navigating a Body of
21 Information, With Particular Application to Browsing Information Represented By
22 Audiovisual Data." The '507 patent describes an invention that enables a user to efficiently
23 review a large body of information by categorizing and correlating segments of information
24 within the body of information and generating displays of segments that are related to the
25 primary information being viewed by the user. Interval was assigned the '507 patent and
26
27

1 continues to hold all rights and interest in the '507 patent. A true and correct copy of the
 2 '507 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

3 21. Defendant AOL has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 4 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. AOL operates many websites that provide
 5 articles, videos, advertisements, and other types of content to users. In order to help users
 6 find additional content items that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
 7 the websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
 8 When a user views a particular content item, the AOL websites generate displays of related
 9 content items so as to inform the user that the related content items may be of interest. For
 10 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 6, when a user views a particular news article on the
 11 AOL News website, the website displays both the article (identified by the red box) and
 12 links to other related news articles (identified by the green boxes). Similar functionality is
 13 used by many websites that are owned and operated by AOL, including AOL Answers,
 14 Asylum, Auto Blog, AOL Autos, Big Download, BlackVoices, The Boombox, The Boot,
 15 Cambio, Cinematical, City's Best, Comics Alliance, DailyFinance, Engadget, Fanhouse,
 16 Flea Flicker, Gadling, GameDaily, Games.com, AOL Health, Holidash, Housing Watch,
 17 AOL Find a Job, Joystiq, JSYK, AOL Kids, Kitchen Daily, AOL Latino, Lemondrop, AOL
 18 Lifestream, AOL Mail, Marlo Thomas.com, Massively, MMA Fighting.com, Moviefone,
 19 AOL Music, My Daily, AOL News, NoiseCreep, Parent Dish, Patch, Paw Nation, Politics
 20 Daily, PopEater, AOL Radio, AOL Real Estate, Rented Spaces, AOL Seed, ShelterPop,
 21 AOL Shopping, Shortcut\$, SHOUTcast, Slashfood, AOL Small Business, Spinner, Stylelist,
 22 Switched, AOL Television, Tourtracker, AOL Travel, Truveo, Tu-Voz, Tuaw, TV Squad,
 23 URLesque, AOL Videos, WalletPop, Winamp, and WOW.com. Although the types of
 24

1 content (e.g., articles, videos, recipes, emails, product information, advertisements, etc.) may
 2 vary from website to website, each website performs the function described above—namely,
 3 comparing content items to determine whether they are related and displaying those related
 4 content items. The hardware and software associated with the AOL websites identified
 5 above and any other AOL websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21,
 6 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent
 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

9 22. Defendant AOL operates the AOL Spam Filter as part of its AOL Mail
 10 website and service. When a new email is received by AOL Mail, the hardware and
 11 software associated with the AOL Spam Filter categorize the new email as either “spam” or
 12 “not spam.” The categorization is based at least in part on a comparison between the new
 13 email and other emails that have been received by AOL Mail. The hardware and software
 14 associated with the AOL Spam Filter have infringed and continue to infringe at least claims
 15 39, 40, 43, 82, 83 and 86 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

18 23. Defendant Apple has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 19 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Apple operates the Apple.com store, iTunes, App
 20 Stores, and Apple TV systems, each of which provides content such as multimedia content,
 21 applications, and/or product information to users. In order to help users find additional
 22 content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate these systems
 23 compare the available content items to determine whether they are related. When a user
 24 views a particular content item, the Apple systems generate displays of related content items
 25 so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest. For example, as
 26 demonstrated by Exhibit 7, when a user views a particular music album on iTunes, the
 27
 28

iTunes system displays both the selected music album (identified by the red box) and links to other related music items (identified by the green boxes). The hardware and software associated with the Apple websites and systems identified above and any other Apple websites and systems that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

24. Defendant eBay has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. eBay operates the eBay.com and Half.com websites, which provide content such as product listings and advertisements to users. In order to help users find additional content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate these websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related. When a user views a particular content item, the eBay.com and Half.com websites generate displays of related content items so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 8, when a user views a particular product listing on eBay.com, the eBay.com website displays both the selected product information (identified by the orange box) and links to other related products (identified by the green boxes). The hardware and software associated with the eBay websites identified above and any other eBay websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

25. Defendant Facebook has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Facebook operates the Facebook.com website, which provides content such as profile information, photos, event information, and

1 messages to users. In order to help users find additional content that may be of interest, the
2 software and hardware that operate this website compare the available content items to
3 determine whether they are related. When a user views a particular content item, the
4 Facebook.com website generates a display of related content items so as to inform the user
5 that the related items may be of interest. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 9, when a
6 user views a particular photo page on Facebook.com, the Facebook.com website displays
7 both the selected photo information (identified by the red box) and links to other related
8 photos (identified by the green boxes). Another example of infringing functionality is
9 demonstrated by user profile pages, which display photos and profiles of other users that are
10 related to the profile being viewed. The hardware and software associated with the
11 Facebook.com website that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,
12 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35
13 U.S.C. § 271.

14 26. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
15 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Google operates many websites that provide
16 articles, videos, advertisements, and other types of content to users. In order to help users
17 find additional content items that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
18 the websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
19 When a user views a particular content item, the Google websites generate displays of
20 related content items so as to inform the user that the related content items may be of
21 interest. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 10, when a user views a particular piece
22 of financial information on the Google Finance website, the website displays both the
23 selected financial information (identified by the red box) and links to other related financial
24 information (identified by the green boxes). Another example of infringing functionality is
25 demonstrated by user profile pages, which display photos and profiles of other users that are
26 related to the profile being viewed. The hardware and software associated with the
27 Google Finance website that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,
28 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

information, articles, and advertisements (identified by the green boxes). Similar functionality is used by many websites that are owned and operated by Google, including Boutiques.com, Google Products, Gmail, Google Books, Google Finance, Google Videos, Google Knol, Google Groups, Google Desktop, Google Maps, Orkut, and Google Search. Although the types of content (e.g., articles, videos, financial information, emails, product information, advertisements, etc.) may vary from website to website, each website performs the function described above—namely, comparing content items to determine whether they are related and displaying those related content items. The hardware and software associated with the Google websites identified above and any other Google websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 38, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, 80 and 81 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

27. Defendant Google operates the Google AdSense and Google Display Network systems that provide contextual advertisements to third party publishers. In order to help users find advertisements that may be of interest, Google's hardware and software compare the content on the third party publishers' websites to advertisements to determine whether they are related. When a user views a particular content item on a third party publisher's website, related Google advertisements are also provided to the user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 11, when a user views an article on the About.com website (identified by the red box), the user also receives related Google advertisements (identified by the green boxes). The hardware and software associated with the Google AdSense and Google Display Network systems have infringed and continue to infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

1 28. Defendant Google operates the Gmail Spam Filter as part of its Gmail
 2 website and service. When a new email is received by Gmail, the hardware and software
 3 associated with the Gmail Spam Filter categorize the new email as either "spam" or "not
 4 spam." The categorization is based at least in part on a comparison between the new email
 5 and other emails that have been received by Gmail. The hardware and software associated
 6 with the Gmail Spam Filter have infringed and continue to infringe at least claims 39, 40,
 7 43, 82, 83 and 86 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

9 29. Defendant Google operates an automated book classification system as part
 10 of its Google Books website and service. When new book information is received by
 11 Google, the hardware and software associated with the Google Books classification system
 12 indexes and categorizes the book. The categorization is based at least in part on a
 13 comparison between the new book information and information related to other books that
 14 have been indexed and categorized by Google Books. The hardware and software
 15 associated with the book classification system have infringed and continue to infringe at
 16 least claims 39, 40, 43, 82, 83 and 86 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

19 30. Defendant Netflix has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 20 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Netflix operates the Netflix.com website, which
 21 provides content such as movie and television show information to users. In order to help
 22 users find additional content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
 23 this website compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
 24 When a user views a particular content item, the Netflix.com website generates a display of
 25 related content items so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest. For
 26 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 12, when a user views a movie page on Netflix.com,
 27
 28

1 the Netflix.com website displays both the selected movie information (identified by the red
 2 box) and links to other related movies (identified by the green boxes). The hardware and
 3 software associated with the Netflix.com website that perform this function infringe at least
 4 claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the
 5 '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 6

7 31. Defendant Office Depot has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 8 claims of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Office Depot operates websites such as
 9 OfficeDepot.com and TechDepot.com that provide content such as product information to
 10 users. In order to help users find additional content that may be of interest, the software and
 11 hardware that operate these websites compare the available content items to determine
 12 whether they are related. When a user views a particular content item, the Office Depot
 13 websites generate displays of related content items so as to inform the user that the related
 14 items may be of interest. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 13, when a user views a
 15 product page on OfficeDepot.com, the OfficeDepot.com website displays both the selected
 16 product information (identified by the red box) and links to other related products (identified
 17 by the green boxes). The hardware and software associated with the Office Depot websites
 18 identified above and any other Office Depot websites that perform this function infringe at
 19 least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80
 20 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 21
 22
 23

24 32. Defendant OfficeMax has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 25 claims of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. OfficeMax operates websites such as
 26
 27
 28

1 OfficeMax.com¹ that provide content such as product information to users. In order to help
 2 users find additional content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
 3 these websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
 4 When a user views a particular content item, the Office Depot websites generate displays of
 5 related content items so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest. For
 6 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 14, when a user views a product page on
 7 OfficeMax.com, the OfficeMax.com website displays both the selected product information
 8 (identified by the red box) and links to other related products (identified by the green boxes).
 9 The hardware and software associated with the OfficeMax websites identified above and
 10 any other OfficeMax websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22,
 11 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under
 12 35 U.S.C. § 271.

13 33. Defendant Staples has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 14 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Staples operates websites such as Staples.com²
 15 that provide content such as product information to users. In order to help users find
 16 additional content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate these
 17 websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related. When a
 18 user views a particular content item, the Staples websites generate displays of related
 19 content items so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest. For example,
 20 as demonstrated by Exhibit 15, when a user views a product page on Staples.com, the

21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

¹ OfficeMax operates a number of websites that are not open to the general public, including maxbuyer.officemax.com, government.officemax.com, and officemaxsolutions.com. To the extent other OfficeMax websites comprise the accused functionality, they infringe the '507 patent as well.

1 Staples.com website displays both the selected product information (identified by the red
 2 box) and links to other related products (identified by the green boxes). The hardware and
 3 software associated with the Staples websites identified above and any other Staples
 4 websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34,
 5 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 6

7 34. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 8 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Yahoo operates many websites that provide
 9 articles, videos, advertisements, and other types of content to users. In order to help users
 10 find additional content items that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
 11 the websites compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
 12 When a user views a particular content item, the Yahoo websites generate displays of related
 13 content items so as to inform the user that the related content items may be of interest. For
 14 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 16, when a user views a particular article on the Yahoo
 15 Finance website, the website displays both the article (identified by the red box) and related
 16 stock information, advertisements, articles, blog posts, and message boards (identified by the
 17 green boxes). Similar functionality is used by many websites that are owned and operated
 18 by Yahoo, including Flickr, Hotjobs, Rivals, Yahoo Advertising, Yahoo Alerts, Yahoo
 19 Auto, Yahoo Avatar, Yahoo Biz, Yahoo Bookmarks, Yahoo Buzz, Yahoo Education, Yahoo
 20 Entertainment, Yahoo Events, Yahoo Finance, Yahoo Games, Yahoo Green, Yahoo Groups,
 21 Yahoo Health, Yahoo Kids, Yahoo Lifestyle, Yahoo Maps, Yahoo Mail, Yahoo Mobile,
 22 Yahoo Movies, Yahoo Music, My Yahoo, Yahoo News, Yahoo OMG!, Yahoo People,
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27

28 ² Staples operates a number of websites that are not open to the general public, including eway.com,
 stapleslink.com, and staples4government.com. To the extent other Staples websites comprise the accused
 functionality, they infringe the '507 patent as well.

1 Yahoo Pulse, Yahoo Real Estate, Yahoo Shine, Yahoo Shopping, Yahoo Small Business,
 2 Yahoo Sports, Yahoo Travel, Yahoo TV, Yahoo Video, Yahoo Video Games, Yahoo
 3 Weather, Yahoo Widgets, Yahoo Answers, and Yahoo Local. Although the types of content
 4 (e.g., articles, videos, financial information, job postings, emails, product information,
 5 advertisements, etc.) may vary from website to website, each website performs the function
 6 described above—namely, comparing content items to determine whether they are related
 7 and displaying those related content items. The hardware and software associated with the
 8 Yahoo websites identified above and any other Yahoo websites that perform this function
 9 infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74,
 10 11, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

13 35. Defendant Yahoo operates the Content Match for Yahoo Search Marketing
 14 and Yahoo Advertising Solutions systems that provide contextual advertisements to third
 15 party publishers. In order to help users find advertisements that may be of interest, Yahoo
 16 compares the content on the third party publishers' websites to advertisements to determine
 17 whether they are related. When a user views a particular content item on a third party
 18 publisher's website, related Yahoo advertisements are also provided to the user. For
 19 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 17, when a user views a product on the Buy.com
 20 website (identified by the red box), the user also receives related Yahoo advertisements
 21 (identified by the green box). The hardware and software associated with the Yahoo
 22 Content Match and Yahoo Advertising Solutions systems have infringed and continue to
 23 infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and
 24 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

27
 28

1 36. Defendant Yahoo operates the Yahoo SpamGuard as part of its Yahoo Mail
 2 website and service. When a new email is received by Yahoo Mail, the hardware and
 3 software associated with the Yahoo SpamGuard categorize the new email as either "spam"
 4 or "not spam." The categorization is based at least in part on a comparison between the new
 5 email and other emails that have been received by Yahoo Mail. The hardware and software
 6 associated with the Yahoo SpamGuard have infringed and continue to infringe at least
 7 claims 39, 40, 43, 82, 83 and 86 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

9 37. Defendant YouTube has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 10 claims of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. YouTube operates the YouTube.com
 11 website, which provides content such as videos and advertisements to users. In order to help
 12 users find additional content that may be of interest, the software and hardware that operate
 13 this website compare the available content items to determine whether they are related.
 14 When a user views a particular content item, the YouTube.com website generates a display
 15 of related content items so as to inform the user that the related items may be of interest.
 16 For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 18, when a user views a video page on
 17 YouTube.com, the YouTube.com website displays both the selected video information
 18 (identified by the red box) and links to other related videos and advertisements (identified by
 19 the green boxes). The hardware and software associated with the YouTube.com website that
 20 perform this function infringe at least claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 63, 64,
 21 65, 66, 67, 70, 71, 74, 77, and 80 of the '507 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

25 38. Defendants AOL, Apple, eBay, Facebook, Google, Netflix, Office Depot,
 26 OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube's acts of infringement have caused damage to
 27 Interval, and Interval is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by
 28

1 Interval as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
 2 Defendants' infringement of Interval's exclusive rights under the '507 patent will continue
 3 to damage Interval, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law,
 4 unless enjoined by this Court. Interval reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that
 5 Defendants' infringement is willful and deliberate, entitling Interval to increased damages
 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action
 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

9 **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,034,652**

10 39. On March 7, 2000, United States Patent No. 6,034,652 ("the '652 patent")
 11 was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Attention Manager for Occupying the
 12 Peripheral Attention of a Person in the Vicinity of a Display Device." The '652 patent
 13 describes an invention that enables information to be provided to a user in an unobtrusive
 14 manner that does not distract the user from his primary interaction with an apparatus such
 15 as, for example, a computer or television. The '652 patent is related to United States Patent
 16 No. 6,788,314, which is described in further detail below. Interval was assigned the '652
 17 patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the '652 patent. A true and correct
 18 copy of the '652 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

21 40. Defendant AOL has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5, 8,
 22 11, 17, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, distributing, and
 23 encouraging customers to use the AOL Instant Messenger and Lifestream software. The
 24 AOL Instant Messenger software infringes by displaying information including, e.g., email
 25 and "Buddy List" alerts, to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral
 26 attention of the user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 19, when a buddy's status
 27
 28

1 changes, the AOL Instant Messenger software displays a pop-up notification in the lower
 2 right corner of the screen for a short period of time. As demonstrated in Exhibit 20, the
 3 Lifestream software infringes by displaying information including, e.g., recent social
 4 network and YouTube content, to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the
 5 peripheral attention of the user.
 6

7 41. Defendant Apple has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
 8 8, 11, 15, 16, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling,
 9 distributing, and encouraging customers to use computers containing Apple Dashboard and
 10 the associated widgets software. Apple Dashboard and the associated widgets software
 11 infringes by displaying information to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the
 12 peripheral attention of the user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 21, Apple
 13 Dashboard creates a transparent overlay that includes information such as weather and
 14 sports scores. As described by Apple:
 15

16 With a single click, Dashboard appears, complete with widgets that bring you
 17 a world of information — real-time weather, stock tickers, flight information,
 18 and more — instantly. Dashboard disappears just as easily, so you can get
 19 back to what you were doing.

20 <http://www.apple.com/macosx/what-is-macosx/apps-and-utilities.html>

21 42. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
 22 8, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 23 distributing, and encouraging customers to use the Gmail Notifier, Google Talk, and Google
 24 Talk Labs Edition software. The Gmail Notifier, Google Talk, and Google Talk Labs
 25 Edition software infringes by displaying information including, e.g., email and/or "friend"
 26 status alerts, to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral attention of the
 27 user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 22, when a user receives a new email, the
 28

1 Google Talk Labs Edition software displays a pop-up notification in the lower right corner
2 of the screen for a short period of time.

3 43. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
4 6, 7, 8, 11, 15, 16, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
5 distributing, and encouraging customers to use Google Desktop and the associated gadgets
6 software. Google Desktop and the associated gadgets software infringes by displaying
7 information to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral attention of the
8 user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 23, Google Desktop creates an unobtrusive
9 sidebar that includes information such as news, photos, stock quotes, and YouTube videos.
10

11 44. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 8,
12 11, 15, 16, 17, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling,
13 distributing, and encouraging customers to use devices containing the Android Operating
14 System and associated software such as Text Messaging, Google Talk, Google Voice, and
15 Calendar. Devices containing the Android Operating System and associated software
16 infringe by displaying information including, e.g., text messages, Google Voice messages,
17 chat messages, and calendar events, to a user of a mobile device in an unobtrusive manner
18 that occupies the peripheral attention of the user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit
19 24, when a user receives a new Google Voice message, the Android Operating System and
20 Google Voice software display a notification in the status bar screen for a short period of
21 time.

22 45. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
23 8, 11, 17, and 18 of the '652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, distributing,
24 and encouraging customers to use the Yahoo Messenger software. The Yahoo Messenger

1 software infringes by displaying information including, e.g., email and/or “friend” status
 2 alerts, to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral attention of the user.
 3 For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 25, when a friend’s status changes, the Yahoo
 4 Messenger software displays a pop-up notification in the lower right corner of the screen for
 5 a short period of time.
 6

7 46. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
 8 6, 8, 11, 15, 16, and 18 of the ’652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 9 distributing, and encouraging customers to use Yahoo Widgets and the associated widgets
 10 software. Yahoo Widgets and the associated widgets software infringes by displaying
 11 information to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral attention of the
 12 user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 26, Yahoo Widgets creates unobtrusive
 13 sidebars that include information such as news, photos, stock quotes, and weather
 14 information.
 15

16 47. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 4, 5,
 17 and 15 of the ’652 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, distributing, and
 18 encouraging customers to use Yahoo Connected TV and the associated widgets software.
 19 Yahoo Connected TV and the associated widgets software infringes by displaying
 20 information to a user in an unobtrusive manner that occupies the peripheral attention of the
 21 user. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 27, Yahoo Connected TV and the Yahoo
 22 News widget create an unobtrusive sidebar that displays recent news headlines.
 23

24 48. Defendants AOL, Apple, Google, and Yahoo’s acts of infringement have
 25 caused damage to Interval, and Interval is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages
 26 sustained by Interval as a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof
 27
 28

1 at trial. Defendants' infringement of Interval's exclusive rights under the '652 patent will
 2 continue to damage Interval, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate
 3 remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court. Interval reserves the right to allege, after
 4 discovery, that Defendants' infringement is willful and deliberate, entitling Interval to
 5 increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in
 6 prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

8 **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,788,314**

9 49. On September 7, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,788,314 ("the '314
 10 patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Attention Manager for
 11 Occupying the Peripheral Attention of a Person in the Vicinity of a Display Device." The
 12 '314 patent, which is related to the '652 patent, also describes an invention that enables
 13 information to be provided to a user in an unobtrusive manner that does not distract the user
 14 from his primary interaction with an apparatus such as, for example, a computer or
 15 television. Interval was assigned the '314 patent and continues to hold all rights and interest
 16 in the '314 patent. A true and correct copy of the '314 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

17 50. Defendant AOL has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3, 7,
 18 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 19 distributing, and encouraging customers to use the AOL Instant Messenger and Lifestream
 20 software and by making and using the hardware and software that operate the AOL Instant
 21 Messenger and Lifestream system infrastructure. AOL's infringement of the '314 patent
 22 results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent, described
 23 above in ¶ 40.

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 51. Defendant Apple has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 2 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling,
 3 distributing, and encouraging customers to use computers containing Apple Dashboard and
 4 the associated widgets software and by making and using the hardware and software that
 5 operate the Apple Dashboard system infrastructure. Apple's infringement of the '314 patent
 6 results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent, described
 7 above in ¶ 41.

9 52. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 10 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 11 distributing, and encouraging customers to use Google Talk, and Google Talk Labs Edition
 12 software and by making and using the hardware and software that operate the Google Talk
 13 and Google Talk Labs Edition system infrastructure. Google's infringement of the '314
 14 patent that relates to Google Talk and Google Talk Labs Edition results from substantially
 15 the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent, described above in ¶ 42.

18 53. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 19 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 20 distributing, and encouraging customers to use Google Desktop and the associated gadgets
 21 software and by making and using the hardware and software that operate the Google
 22 Desktop infrastructure. Google's infringement of the '314 patent that relates to Google
 23 Desktop results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent,
 24 described above in ¶ 43.

26 54. Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 27 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling,

1 distributing, and encouraging customers to use devices containing the Android Operating
 2 System and associated software such as Text Messaging, Google Talk, Google Voice, and
 3 Calendar, and by making and using the hardware and software that operate the Android and
 4 Android Market infrastructure. Google's infringement of the '314 patent that relates to
 5 Android results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent,
 6 described above in ¶ 44.

7 55. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 8 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 9 distributing, and encouraging customers to use the Yahoo Messenger software and by
 10 making and using the hardware and software that operate the Yahoo Messenger
 11 infrastructure. Yahoo's infringement of the '314 patent that relates to Yahoo Messenger
 12 results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent, described
 13 above in ¶ 45.

14 56. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 3,
 15 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 16 distributing, and encouraging customers to use Yahoo Widgets and the associated widgets
 17 software and by making and using the hardware and software that operate the Yahoo
 18 Widgets infrastructure. Yahoo's infringement of the '314 patent that relates to Yahoo
 19 Widgets results from substantially the same activities as its infringement of the '652 patent,
 20 described above in ¶ 46.

21 57. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 1, 2,
 22 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 14 of the '314 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using,
 23 selling, distributing, and encouraging customers to use Yahoo Connected TV and the

1 associated widgets software and by making and using the hardware and software that
 2 operate the Yahoo Connected TV infrastructure. Yahoo's infringement of the '314 patent
 3 that relates to Yahoo Connected TV results from substantially the same activities as its
 4 infringement of the '652 patent, described above in ¶ 47.

5 58. Defendants AOL, Apple, Google, and Yahoo's acts of infringement have
 6 caused damage to Interval, and Interval is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages
 7 sustained by Interval as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof
 8 at trial. Defendants' infringement of Interval's exclusive rights under the '314 patent will
 9 continue to damage Interval, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate
 10 remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court. Interval reserves the right to allege, after
 11 discovery, that Defendants' infringement is willful and deliberate, entitling Interval to
 12 increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in
 13 prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
 14

15 **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,682**

16 59. On June 29, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,757,682 ("the '682 patent")
 17 was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Alerting Users to Items of Current
 18 Interest." The '682 patent describes a system that receives indications from users that online
 19 content is of current interest, processes the indications, and alerts other users of the
 20 interesting content. Interval was assigned the '682 patent and continues to hold all rights
 21 and interest in the '682 patent. A true and correct copy of the '682 patent is attached hereto
 22 as Exhibit 5.
 23

24 60. Defendant AOL has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 25 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. AOL operates the AOL Shopping website, which
 26

provides product recommendations to users. The determination of which products are to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities, including, for example, viewing, rating, reviewing, sharing, or buying products. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 28, the AOL Shopping website alerts users of products that they might also like. Exhibit 28 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., reviewing, rating or purchasing products) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with the AOL Shopping website that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

61. Defendant Apple has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Apple operates iTunes, the App Stores, and Apple TV, each of which provides content recommendations to users. The determination of which content is to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities, including, for example, viewing, rating, reviewing, or purchasing content items. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 29, iTunes alerts users of content items that "Viewers Also Bought." Exhibit 29 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., reviewing, rating, buying, or renting content items) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with iTunes, the App Stores, and Apple TV that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

62. Apple operates iTunes Ping, which alerts users of content and activity within iTunes and the Ping community. The determination of which content and activity is to be provided to the user is based at least in part on the other users' activities, including, for

example, posting content or “liking” or commenting on content or activity. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 30, Ping alerts users of some of the available content or activity within the Ping community via the “Recent Activity” feed. Exhibit 30 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., posting, “liking,” or commenting) that can be used to determine which content and activity appears in the “Recent Activity” feeds of other users. The hardware and software associated with Ping that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, and 20 of the ’682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

63. Defendant eBay has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the ’682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. eBay operates the eBay.com and Half.com websites, which provides product recommendations to users. The determination of which products are to be recommended is based at least in part on other users’ activities, including, for example, viewing, “watching,” rating, or buying products, or reviewing sellers. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 31, the eBay.com website alerts users of products that they may also be interested by putting the products in the “Check out the most watched” section. Exhibit 31 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., buying or “watching” items) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with the eBay websites identified above and any other eBay websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the ’682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

64. Defendant Facebook has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the ’682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Facebook operates the Facebook.com website, which alerts users of content and activity of other Facebook users. The determination of which content and activity is to be provided to the user is based at least in

part on the other users' activities including, for example, sharing content or "liking" or commenting on content or activity. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 32, Facebook alerts users of some of the available content via the "News Feed." Exhibit 32 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., sharing, "liking," or commenting) that can be used to determine which content and activity appears in the "News Feeds" of other users. The Facebook.com website also alerts users of other users with whom they may wish to become friends. The determination of which users to recommend is based at least in part on the other users' activities including, for example, befriending users, joining networks, and providing profile information. The hardware and software associated with the Facebook.com website that perform the above-described functions infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

Defendant Google has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Google operates multiple websites that recommend content such as blogs, news stories, products, and articles, to users. The determination of which content is to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities, including, for example, viewing, "starring," sharing, or commenting on the content. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 33, the Google Reader website alerts users of online articles or blog postings that they may be interested in. Exhibit 33 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., "starring," "liking," or sharing) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. Similar functionality is used by many websites that are owned and operated by Google, including Google Blog Search, Google Knol, Google News, and Google Products. Although the types of content (e.g., blogs, Knol articles, news articles, and products, etc.) and the types of user activities that are

1 used to generate alerts (e.g., viewing, “starring,” “liking,” etc.) may vary from website to
 2 website, each website performs the function described above. The hardware and software
 3 associated with the Google websites identified above and any other Google websites that
 4 perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17,
 5 and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 6

7 66. Google operates the Google Buzz system, which alerts users of content and
 8 activity of other Google Buzz users. The determination of which content and activity is to
 9 be provided to the user is based at least in part on the other users' activities including, for
 10 example, sharing content or “liking” or commenting on content or activity. For example, as
 11 demonstrated by Exhibit 34, Google alerts users of some of the available content via the
 12 Buzz feed. Exhibit 34 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., sharing,
 13 “liking,” or commenting) that can be used to determine which content and activity appears
 14 in the Buzz feeds of other users. The hardware and software associated with the Google
 15 Buzz system that perform the above-described functions infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
 16 7, 8, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 17

18 67. Google operates the Orkut.com website, which alerts users of other users
 19 with whom they may wish to become friends. The determination of which users to
 20 recommend is based at least in part on the other users' activities including, for example,
 21 befriending users, joining communities, and updating profile information. The hardware
 22 and software associated with the Orkut.com website that perform the above-described
 23 functions infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35
 24 U.S.C. § 271.
 25

1 68. Defendant Netflix has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 2 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Netflix operates the Netflix.com website, which
 3 provides movie and television show recommendations to users. The determination of which
 4 media items are to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities,
 5 including, for example, viewing, "watching," or buying products, or reviewing sellers. For
 6 example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 35, the Netflix.com website alerts users of movies and
 7 television shows that they may also be interested by putting the products in the "Movie's
 8 You'll ❤" section. Exhibit 35 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g.,
 9 watching, rating, or selecting "not interested") that can be used to generate
 10 recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with the
 11 Netflix.com website that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,
 12 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

15 69. Defendant Office Depot has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 16 claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Office Depot operates the
 17 OfficeDepot.com and TechDepot.com websites, which provide product recommendations to
 18 users. The determination of which products are to be recommended is based at least in part
 19 on other users' activities, including, for example, viewing, rating, reviewing, or buying
 20 products. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 36, the OfficeDepot.com website alerts
 21 users of products that they may also be interested in. Exhibit 36 also demonstrates how
 22 users may perform activities (e.g., reviewing or adding products to their shopping carts or
 23 shopping lists) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. The hardware
 24 and software associated with the Office Depot websites identified above and any other
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 Office Depot websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
 2 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

3 70. Defendant OfficeMax has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 4 claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. OfficeMax operates websites such as
 5 OfficeMax.com,³ which provides product recommendations to users. The determination of
 6 which products are to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities,
 7 including, for example, viewing, rating, reviewing, or buying products. For example, as
 8 demonstrated by Exhibit 37, the OfficeMax.com website alerts users of products that they
 9 may also be interested in. Exhibit 37 also demonstrates how users may perform activities
 10 (e.g., reviewing or adding products to their shopping carts or "Favorites") that can be used to
 11 generate recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with the
 12 OfficeMax websites identified above and any other OfficeMax websites that perform this
 13 function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682
 14 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 15

16 71. Defendant Staples has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 17 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Staples operates websites such as Staples.com,⁴
 18 which provides product recommendations to users. The determination of which products are
 19 to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities, including, for example,
 20 viewing, rating, reviewing, or buying products. For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit
 21 38, the Staples.com website alerts users of products that they may also be interested in.
 22

23 ³ OfficeMax operates a number of websites that are not open to the general public, including
 24 maxbuyer.officemax.com, government.officemax.com, and officemaxsolutions.com. To the extent other
 25 OfficeMax websites comprise the accused functionality, they infringe the '682 patent as well.
 26

1 Exhibit 38 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., reviewing or adding
 2 products to their shopping carts or “Favorites”) that can be used to generate
 3 recommendations for other users. The hardware and software associated with the Staples
 4 websites identified above and any other Staples websites that perform this function infringe
 5 at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the ’682 patent under 35
 6 U.S.C. § 271.
 7

8 72. Defendant Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims
 9 of the ’682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. Yahoo operates multiple websites that
 10 recommend content such as products, articles, blog posts, photos, and music to users. The
 11 determination of which content is to be recommended is based at least in part on other users’
 12 activities, including, for example, viewing, sharing, rating, or commenting on the content.
 13 For example, as demonstrated by Exhibit 39, the Yahoo Buzz website alerts users of online
 14 articles or blog postings that they may be interested in by identifying them as “Top Buzz.”
 15 Exhibit 39 also demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., “Buzzing up” or
 16 “Buzzing down”) that can be used to generate recommendations for other users. Similar
 17 functionality is used by many websites that are owned and operated by Yahoo, including
 18 Delicious, Flickr, Yahoo Shopping, Yahoo Music, and Yahoo Answers. Although the types
 19 of content (e.g., articles, blog posts, photos, product information, music, etc.) and the types
 20 of user activities that are used to generate alerts (e.g., viewing, sharing, commenting, rating,
 21 etc.) may vary from website to website, each website performs the function described above.
 22 The hardware and software associated with the Yahoo websites identified above and any
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27

28 ⁴ Staples operates a number of websites that are not open to the general public, including eway.com,
 stapleslink.com, and staples4government.com. To the extent other Staples websites comprise the accused
 functionality, they infringe the ’682 patent as well.

1 other Yahoo websites that perform this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
 2 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

3 73. Defendant YouTube has infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 4 claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271. YouTube operates the YouTube.com
 5 website, which provides video recommendations to users. The determination of which
 6 videos are to be recommended is based at least in part on other users' activities, including,
 7 for example, viewing, "liking," sharing, or commenting on videos. For example, as
 8 demonstrated by Exhibit 40, the YouTube.com website alerts users of videos that they may
 9 also be interested by putting the products in the "Suggestions" section. Exhibit 40 also
 10 demonstrates how users may perform activities (e.g., "liking," sharing, commenting, or
 11 adding a video to their "favorites") that can be used to generate recommendations for other
 12 users. The hardware and software associated with the YouTube.com website that perform
 13 this function infringe at least claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 20 of the
 14 '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
 15

16 74. Defendants AOL, Apple, eBay, Facebook, Google, Netflix, Office Depot,
 17 OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube's acts of infringement have caused damage to
 18 Interval, and Interval is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by
 19 Interval as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
 20 Defendants' infringement of Interval's exclusive rights under the '682 patent will continue
 21 to damage Interval, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law,
 22 unless enjoined by this Court. Interval reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that
 23 Defendants' infringement is willful and deliberate, entitling Interval to increased damages
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action
2 under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

3 **JURY DEMAND**

4 75. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Interval
5 respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues properly triable by jury.
6

7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC requests entry of judgment in its
9 favor and against Defendants as follows:

10 a) Declaration that (1) Defendants AOL, Apple, eBay, Facebook, Google,
11 Netflix, Office Depot, OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube have infringed, directly
12 and/or indirectly, U.S. Patent No. 6,263,507; (2) Defendants AOL, Apple, eBay, Facebook,
13 Google, Netflix, Office Depot, OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube have infringed,
14 directly and/or indirectly, U.S. Patent No. 6,757,682; and (3) Defendants AOL, Apple,
15 Google, and Yahoo have infringed, directly and/or indirectly, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,034,652
16 and 6,788,314.

17 b) Awarding the damages arising out of (1) Defendants' AOL, Apple, eBay,
18 Facebook, Google, Netflix, Office Depot, OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube's
19 infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,507; (2) Defendants' AOL, Apple, eBay, Facebook,
20 Google, Netflix, Office Depot, OfficeMax, Staples, Yahoo, and YouTube's infringement of
21 U.S. Patent No. 6,757,682; and (3) Defendants' AOL, Apple, Google, and Yahoo's
22 infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,034,652 and 6,788,314, to Interval, together with
23 prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
24
25
26
27
28

- 1 c) Permanently enjoining Defendants and their respective officers, agents,
2 employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement, including
3 contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,263,507,
4 6,034,652, 6,788,314, and 6,757,682, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post-
5 judgment infringement;
- 6 d) Awarding attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise
7 permitted by law; and
- 8 e) Awarding such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and
9 proper.

10 Dated: December 28, 2010

11 _____
12 /s/ Justin A. Nelson

13 Justin A. Nelson
14 WA Bar No. 31864
15 E-Mail: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com
16 Edgar Sargent
17 WA Bar No. 28283
18 E-Mail: esargent@susmangodfrey.com
19 Matthew R. Berry
20 WA Bar No. 37364
21 E-Mail: mberry@susmangodfrey.com
22 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
23 1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800
24 Seattle, WA 98101
25 Telephone: (206) 516-3880
26 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

27 Max L. Tribble, Jr.
28 E-Mail: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com
29 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
30 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
31 Houston, Texas 77002
32 Telephone: (713) 651-9366
33 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

34 Michael F. Heim
35 E-mail: mheim@hpcllp.com

1 Eric J. Enger
2 E-mail: eenger@hpcllp.com
3 Nathan J. Davis
4 E-mail: ndavis@hpcllp.com
5 HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, L.L.P.
6 600 Travis, Suite 6710
7 Houston, Texas 77002
8 Telephone: (713) 221-2000
9 Facsimile: (713) 221-2021

10
11 Attorneys for INTERVAL LICENSING LLC
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28