

1 **DAVID A. DILLARD, CA Bar No. 97515**
david.dillard@cph.com
2 **STEVEN E. LAURIDSEN, CA Bar No. 246364**
steven.lauridsen@cph.com
3 **CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP**
655 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2300
4 Post Office Box 29001
Pasadena, California 91209-9001
5 Telephone: (626) 795-9900
Facsimile: (626) 577-8800

6
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
VEDERI, LLC
8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 VEDERI, LLC, a California limited
liability company,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware
16 corporation,

17 Defendant.
18

Case No. CV10-07747-AK (CWx)

**NOTICE OF APPEAL OF
AMENDED JUDGMENT**

Honorable Alex Kozinski

19 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Vederi, LLC ("Vederi") hereby
2 appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the
3 Amended Judgment filed in this action on February 27, 2013 and entered on
4 February 28, 2013 granting Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google's") Motion for
5 Summary Judgment of Noninfringement of All Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent
6 Nos. 7,239,760; 7,577,316; 7,805,025; and 7,813,596, denying Vederi's Motion
7 for Summary Judgment of Literal Infringement, entering judgment in favor of
8 Google on Google's declaratory judgment counterclaim for non-infringement, and
9 dismissing Google's counterclaims for invalidity without prejudice.

10 The Amended Judgment corrects certain issues concerning the disposition
11 of the counterclaims in this action that were inadvertently omitted from the
12 original Judgment entered in this action on October 15, 2012, from which Vederi
13 previously appealed and which has been assigned Case No. 13-1057 in the United
14 States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the "Original Appeal"). The
15 substantive appealable issues in the Amended Judgment and the original
16 Judgment are otherwise the same. A copy of the Amended Judgment is attached
17 hereto as Exhibit A, and a copy of the original Judgment is attached as Exhibit B.

18 As it did in the Original Appeal, Vederi also appeals the District Court's
19 Opinion dated September 26, 2012 and entered on October 5, 2012 explaining the
20 basis for the District Court's decision to grant Google's motion for summary
21 judgment and deny Vederi's motion for summary judgment. A copy of the
22 Opinion is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

23 As in the Original Appeal, Vederi also appeals the District Court's Minute
24 Order Re Markman Hearing Proceedings filed November 22, 2011 and entered on
25 December 6, 2011 which states "The Court rules on the terma (sic) and claims as
26 recited in the transcript." A copy of the Minute Order is attached hereto as
27 Exhibit D.

28 Finally, as in the Original Appeal, Vederi further appeals the District

1 Court's claim construction ruling set forth in the Markman Hearing transcript
2 concerning the claim language "images depicting views of objects in the
3 geographic area, the views being substantially elevations of the objects in the
4 geographic area." A copy of the Markman Hearing Transcript is attached hereto
5 as Exhibit E.

6
7 DATED: March 28, 2013

Respectfully submitted,
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

9
10 By 
11 David A. Dillard
Steven E. Lauridsen

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
13 VEDERI, LLC

14 SEL PAS1227057.1-*-03/28/13 12:04 PM
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28