Case 6:12-cv-00972-LED Document 21 Filed 04/02/13 Page 1 of 6 PageID #: 70

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

2

	8
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A. and	§
UNILOC USA, INC.,	§
	§
Plaintiffs,	§
	§
V.	§
	§
PERKINELMER, INC.,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12-cv-00972 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. ("Uniloc Luxembourg") and Uniloc USA, Inc. ("Uniloc USA") (collectively, "Uniloc") file this First Amended Complaint against PerkinElmer, Inc. for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,024,696 ("the '696 patent").

THE PARTIES

1. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. ("Uniloc Luxembourg") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Luxembourg with its principal place of business at 15, rue Edward Steichen, L-2540, Luxembourg.

2. Uniloc USA, Inc. ("Uniloc USA") is a Texas corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at Legacy Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024. Uniloc USA also maintains a place of business at 102 N. College, Suite 806, Tyler, Texas 75702.

3. Uniloc Luxembourg and Uniloc USA are collectively referred to as "Uniloc." Uniloc researches, develops, manufactures and licenses information security technology solutions, platforms and frameworks, including solutions for securing software applications and digital content. Uniloc's patented technologies enable software and content publishers to securely distribute and sell their high-value technology assets with minimum burden to their legitimate end users. Uniloc's technology is used in several markets, including software and game security, identity management, intellectual property rights management, and critical infrastructure security.

4. PerkinElmer, Inc. ("PerkinElmer" or "Defendant") is a Massachusetts corporation with its principal place of business in Waltham, Massachusetts. PerkinElmer may be served with process through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 155 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. Upon information and belief, PerkinElmer does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business involving its accused products in this judicial district and/or, has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

7. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent

2

conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,024,696)

8. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

9. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by direct assignment from the inventor, of the '696 patent, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PREVENTION OF PIRACY OF A GIVEN SOFTWARE APPLICATION VIA A COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK." A true and correct copy of the '696 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '696 patent with ownership of all substantial rights in the '696 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

11. The '696 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

12. PerkinElmer is directly infringing one or more claims of the '696 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claim 18, without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computer software that implements piracy prevention technology, including, without limitation, ChemBio3D.

13. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendant is, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

3

14. Uniloc has entered into a Patent License, Release and Settlement Agreement with Flexera Software LLC ("Flexera"). Uniloc is not alleging infringement of the patent-in-suit based on any product, software, system, method or service provided by Flexera Software LLC or any Flexera Predecessor ("Flexera Products"). For purposes of this action, a Flexera Predecessor is any predecessor business owned or controlled by Flexera, including, but not limited to, C-Dilla Limited, GLOBEtrotter Software, Inc., InstallShield Software Corporation, Flexera Holding LLC, Flex co Holding Company, Inc., Flexera Software Inc., Acresso Software Inc., Intraware, Inc., Managesoft Corporation, HONICO Software GmbH, LinkRight Software, L.L.C., and Logiknet, Inc. (d/ b/a SCCM Expert) and only to the extent of, and limited to, the specific business, technologies and products acquired by Flexera from each of them, and Macrovision Corporation (renamed Rovi Solutions Corporation in July 2009) only to the extent of, and limited to, the specific business, technologies and products acquired by Flexera Holdings Company, Inc. in April 2008 (renamed Acresso Software Inc.), which later changed its name in October 2009 to Flexera Software LLC. For purposes of this action, Flexera Products do not include any third party products or services that provide activation, entitlement, licensing, usage monitoring and management, auditing, or registration functionality or third party products and services that are activated, licensed or registered exclusively and independently of products, software, systems, methods or services provided by Flexera or Flexera Predecessors. All allegations of infringement herein are made exclusively and independently of the authorized use of Flexera Products.

JURY DEMAND

Uniloc hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Uniloc requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court

grant Uniloc the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '696 patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant and/or by others to whose infringements Defendant has contributed and/or by others whose infringements have been induced by Defendant;
- b. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Uniloc all damages to and costs incurred by Uniloc because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Uniloc a reasonable, on-going, post judgment royalty because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That Uniloc be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That Uniloc be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: April 2, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven W. Hartsell Edward E. Casto, Jr. Lead Attorney Texas State Bar No. 24044178 Barry J. Bumgardner Texas State Bar No. 00793424 Steven W. Hartsell Texas State Bar No. 24040199 Jaime K. Olin Texas State Bar No. 24070363 NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C. 3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300 Fort Worth, Texas 76107 Phone: (817) 377-9111 Fax: (817) 377-3485 ecasto@nbclaw.net barry@nbclaw.net shartsell@nbclaw.net jolin@nbclaw.net

James L. Etheridge Texas State Bar No. 24059147 ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC 2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324 Southlake, Texas 76092 Telephone: (817) 470-7249 Facsimile: (817) 887-5950 Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr. Texas State Bar No. 00794818 J. Wesley Hill Texas State Bar No. 24032294 WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1231 1127 Judson Road, Ste. 220 Longview, Texas 75606-1231 (903) 757-6400 (903) 757-2323 (fax) jw@wsfirm.com wh@wsfirm.com

Eric M. Albritton Texas State Bar No. 00790215 ALBRITTON LAW FIRM P.O. Box 2649 Longview, TX 75606 (903) 757-8449 (903) 758-7397 (fax) ema@emafirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of April 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

/s/ Steven W. Hartsell