US008117734B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Say et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 8,117,734 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: Feb. 21, 2012**

(54) **METHOD OF MAKING AN ELECTROCHEMICAL SENSOR**

(75) Inventors: **James Say**, Alameda, CA (US); **Michael F. Tomasco**, Cupertino, CA (US); **Adam Heller**, Austin, TX (US); **Yoram Gal**, Kibbutz Yagur (IL); **Behrad Aria**, Alameda, CA (US); **Ephraim Heller**, Oakland, CA (US); **Phillip John Plante**, Sunnyvale, CA (US); **Mark S. Vreeke**, Alameda, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.**, Alameda, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **11/929,945**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 30, 2007**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2008/0275423 A1 Nov. 6, 2008

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 11/204,551, filed on Aug. 16, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,721,412, which is a continuation of application No. 10/405,765, filed on Mar. 31, 2003, now Pat. No. 6,973,706, which is a continuation of application No. 09/598,776, filed on Jun. 16, 2000, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 09/034,422, filed on Mar. 4, 1998, now Pat. No. 6,103,033.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G01R 31/28 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **29/593**; 29/595; 427/79; 427/80

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 29/592.1, 29/593, 595; 361/283, 301; 427/79, 80
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,260,656	A	7/1966	Ross, Jr.
3,653,841	A	4/1972	Klein
3,719,564	A	3/1973	Lilly, Jr. et al.
3,776,832	A	12/1973	Oswin et al.
3,837,339	A	9/1974	Aisenberg et al.
3,911,901	A	10/1975	Niedrach et al.
3,926,760	A	12/1975	Allen et al.
3,972,320	A	8/1976	Kalman
3,979,274	A	9/1976	Newman
4,008,717	A	2/1977	Kowarski

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 2903216 8/1979

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 09/598,776, Say, et al.


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Paul D Kim
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Jackson & Co., LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A process for the manufacture of small sensors with reproducible surfaces, including electrochemical sensors. One process includes forming channels in the surface of a substrate and disposing a conductive material in the channels to form an electrode. The conductive material can also be formed on the substrate by other impact and non-impact methods. In a preferred embodiment, the method includes cutting the substrate to form a sensor having a connector portion and a transcutaneous portion, the two portions having edges that define one continuous straight line.

30 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,016,866 A 4/1977 Lawton
4,055,175 A 10/1977 Clemens et al.
4,059,406 A 11/1977 Fleet
4,076,596 A 2/1978 Connery et al.
4,098,574 A 7/1978 Dappen
4,100,048 A 7/1978 Pompei et al.
4,151,845 A 5/1979 Clemens
4,168,205 A 9/1979 Danninger et al.
4,172,770 A 10/1979 Semersky et al.
4,178,916 A 12/1979 McNamara
4,184,429 A 1/1980 Widmer
4,206,755 A 6/1980 Klein
4,210,156 A 7/1980 Bennett
4,224,125 A 9/1980 Nakamura et al.
4,240,438 A 12/1980 Updike et al.
4,247,297 A 1/1981 Berti et al.
4,340,458 A 7/1982 Lerner et al.
4,352,960 A 10/1982 Dormer et al.
4,356,074 A 10/1982 Johnson
4,360,016 A 11/1982 Sarrine
4,365,637 A 12/1982 Johnson
4,366,033 A 12/1982 Richter et al.
4,375,399 A 3/1983 Havas et al.
4,384,586 A 5/1983 Christiansen
4,390,621 A 6/1983 Bauer
4,401,122 A 8/1983 Clark, Jr.
4,404,066 A 9/1983 Johnson
4,418,148 A 11/1983 Oberhardt
4,427,770 A 1/1984 Chen et al.
4,431,004 A 2/1984 Bessman et al.
4,436,094 A 3/1984 Cerami
4,440,175 A 4/1984 Wilkins
4,450,842 A 5/1984 Zick et al.
4,458,686 A 7/1984 Clark, Jr.
4,461,691 A 7/1984 Frank
4,469,110 A 9/1984 Slama
4,477,314 A 10/1984 Richter et al.
4,484,987 A 11/1984 Gough
4,522,690 A 6/1985 Venkatasetty
4,524,114 A 6/1985 Samuels et al.
4,526,661 A 7/1985 Steckhan et al.
4,534,356 A 8/1985 Papadakis
4,538,616 A 9/1985 Rogoff
4,543,955 A 10/1985 Schroeppel
4,545,382 A 10/1985 Higgins et al.
4,552,840 A 11/1985 Riffer
4,560,534 A 12/1985 Kung et al.
4,571,292 A 2/1986 Liu et al.
4,573,994 A 3/1986 Fischell et al.
4,581,336 A 4/1986 Malloy et al.
4,592,893 A 6/1986 Poppe et al.
4,595,011 A 6/1986 Phillips
4,610,741 A 9/1986 Mase et al.
4,619,754 A 10/1986 Niki et al.
4,627,445 A 12/1986 Garcia et al.
4,627,908 A 12/1986 Miller
4,633,878 A 1/1987 Bombardieri
4,637,403 A 1/1987 Garcia et al.
4,650,547 A 3/1987 Gough
4,653,513 A 3/1987 Dombrowski
4,654,197 A 3/1987 Lilja et al.
4,655,880 A 4/1987 Liu
4,655,885 A 4/1987 Hill et al.
4,671,288 A 6/1987 Gough
4,679,562 A 7/1987 Luksha
4,680,268 A 7/1987 Clark, Jr.
4,682,602 A 7/1987 Prohaska
4,684,537 A 8/1987 Graetzel et al.
4,685,463 A 8/1987 Williams
4,703,756 A 11/1987 Gough et al.
4,711,245 A 12/1987 Higgins et al.
4,714,874 A 12/1987 Morris et al.
4,717,673 A 1/1988 Wrighton et al.
4,721,601 A 1/1988 Wrighton et al.
4,721,677 A 1/1988 Clark, Jr.
4,726,378 A 2/1988 Kaplan
4,726,716 A 2/1988 McGuire
4,757,022 A 7/1988 Shults et al.
4,758,323 A 7/1988 Davis et al.
4,759,371 A 7/1988 Franetzki
4,759,828 A 7/1988 Young et al.
4,764,416 A 8/1988 Ueyama et al.
4,776,944 A 10/1988 Janata et al.
4,781,798 A 11/1988 Gough
4,784,736 A 11/1988 Lonsdale et al.
4,787,398 A 11/1988 Garcia et al.
4,787,837 A 11/1988 Bell
4,795,542 A 1/1989 Ross et al.
4,795,707 A 1/1989 Niiyama et al.
4,796,634 A 1/1989 Huntsman et al.
4,805,624 A 2/1989 Yao et al.
4,813,424 A 3/1989 Wilkins
4,815,469 A 3/1989 Cohen et al.
4,820,399 A 4/1989 Senda et al.
4,822,337 A 4/1989 Newhouse et al.
4,830,959 A 5/1989 McNeil et al.
4,832,797 A 5/1989 Vadgama et al.
RE32,947 E 6/1989 Dormer et al.
4,840,893 A 6/1989 Hill et al.
4,848,351 A 7/1989 Finch
4,871,351 A 10/1989 Feingold
4,871,440 A 10/1989 Nagata et al.
4,874,500 A 10/1989 Madou et al.
4,890,620 A 1/1990 Gough
4,894,137 A 1/1990 Takizawa et al.
4,895,147 A 1/1990 Bodicky et al.
4,897,162 A 1/1990 Lewandowski et al.
4,897,173 A 1/1990 Nankai et al.
4,909,908 A 3/1990 Ross et al.
4,911,794 A 3/1990 Parce et al.
4,917,274 A 4/1990 Asa et al.
4,917,800 A 4/1990 Lonsdale et al.
4,919,141 A 4/1990 Zier et al.
4,919,767 A 4/1990 Vadgama et al.
4,923,442 A 5/1990 Segall et al.
4,923,586 A 5/1990 Katayama et al.
4,927,516 A 5/1990 Yamaguchi et al.
4,934,369 A 6/1990 Maxwell
4,935,105 A 6/1990 Churchouse
4,935,345 A 6/1990 Guilbeau et al.
4,938,860 A 7/1990 Wogoman
4,944,299 A 7/1990 Silvian
4,950,378 A 8/1990 Nagata
4,953,552 A 9/1990 DeMarzo
4,954,129 A 9/1990 Giuliani et al.
4,955,380 A 9/1990 Edell
4,969,468 A 11/1990 Byers et al.
4,970,145 A 11/1990 Bennetto et al.
4,974,929 A 12/1990 Curry
4,986,271 A 1/1991 Wilkins
4,994,167 A 2/1991 Shults et al.
5,001,054 A 3/1991 Wagner
5,025,798 A 6/1991 Schindele
5,058,592 A 10/1991 Whisler
5,063,081 A 11/1991 Cozzette et al.
5,070,535 A 12/1991 Hochmair et al.
5,082,550 A 1/1992 Rishpon et al.
5,082,786 A 1/1992 Nakamoto
5,089,112 A 2/1992 Skotheim et al.
5,089,320 A 2/1992 Straus et al.
5,095,904 A 3/1992 Seligman et al.
5,101,814 A 4/1992 Palti
5,108,564 A 4/1992 Szuminsky et al.
5,108,889 A 4/1992 Smith et al.
5,109,850 A 5/1992 Blanco et al.
5,120,420 A 6/1992 Nankai et al.
5,126,034 A 6/1992 Carter et al.
5,128,015 A 7/1992 Szuminsky et al.
5,133,856 A 7/1992 Yamaguchi et al.
5,135,003 A 8/1992 Souma
5,141,868 A 8/1992 Shanks et al.
5,161,532 A 11/1992 Joseph
5,165,407 A 11/1992 Wilson et al.
5,174,291 A 12/1992 Schoonen et al.
5,190,041 A 3/1993 Palti
5,192,416 A 3/1993 Wang et al.
5,198,367 A 3/1993 Aizawa et al.

5,201,324 A 4/1993 Swierczek
5,202,261 A 4/1993 Musho et al.
5,205,920 A 4/1993 Oyama et al.
5,208,154 A 5/1993 Weaver et al.
5,209,229 A 5/1993 Gilli
5,217,480 A 6/1993 Haber et al.
5,217,595 A 6/1993 Smith et al.
5,229,282 A 7/1993 Yoshioka et al.
5,250,439 A 10/1993 Musho et al.
5,262,035 A 11/1993 Gregg et al.
5,262,305 A 11/1993 Heller et al.
5,264,103 A 11/1993 Yoshioka et al.
5,264,104 A 11/1993 Gregg et al.
5,264,106 A 11/1993 McAleer et al.
5,269,891 A 12/1993 Colin
5,271,815 A 12/1993 Wong
5,279,294 A 1/1994 Anderson et al.
5,284,567 A 2/1994 Matson
5,286,362 A 2/1994 Hoenes et al.
5,286,364 A 2/1994 Yacynych et al.
5,288,636 A 2/1994 Pollmann et al.
5,293,546 A 3/1994 Tadros et al.
5,318,584 A 6/1994 Lange et al.
5,320,098 A 6/1994 Davidson
5,320,725 A 6/1994 Gregg et al.
5,322,063 A 6/1994 Allen et al.
5,337,747 A 8/1994 Neftel
5,352,348 A 10/1994 Young et al.
5,352,351 A 10/1994 White et al.
5,354,447 A 10/1994 Uenoyama et al.
5,356,786 A 10/1994 Heller et al.
5,366,609 A 11/1994 White et al.
5,368,028 A 11/1994 Palti
5,372,133 A 12/1994 Hogen Esch
5,376,251 A 12/1994 Kaneko et al.
5,378,628 A 1/1995 Gratzel et al.
5,384,028 A 1/1995 Ito
5,387,327 A 2/1995 Khan
5,390,671 A 2/1995 Lord et al.
5,391,250 A 2/1995 Cheney et al.
5,395,504 A 3/1995 Saurer et al.
5,411,647 A 5/1995 Johnson et al.
5,424,035 A 6/1995 Hones et al.
5,429,735 A 7/1995 Johnson et al.
5,431,806 A 7/1995 Suzuki et al.
5,437,999 A 8/1995 Diebold et al.
5,438,271 A 8/1995 White et al.
5,469,846 A 11/1995 Khan
5,494,562 A 2/1996 Maley et al.
5,496,453 A 3/1996 Uenoyama et al.
5,497,772 A 3/1996 Schulman et al.
5,508,171 A 4/1996 Walling et al.
5,509,410 A 4/1996 Hill et al.
5,510,266 A 4/1996 Bonner et al.
5,512,159 A 4/1996 Yoshioka et al.
5,513,636 A 5/1996 Palti
5,518,006 A 5/1996 Mawhirt et al.
5,520,731 A 5/1996 Esser et al.
5,531,878 A 7/1996 Vadgama et al.
5,545,191 A 8/1996 Mann et al.
5,547,555 A 8/1996 Schwartz et al.
5,560,357 A 10/1996 Faupel et al.
5,565,085 A 10/1996 Ikeda et al.
5,567,302 A 10/1996 Song et al.
5,568,806 A 10/1996 Cheney, II et al.
5,569,186 A 10/1996 Lord et al.
5,572,140 A 11/1996 Lim et al.
5,575,403 A 11/1996 Charlton et al.
5,575,895 A 11/1996 Ikeda et al.
5,582,184 A 12/1996 Erickson et al.
5,582,697 A 12/1996 Ikeda et al.
5,582,698 A 12/1996 Flaherty et al.
5,586,553 A 12/1996 Halili et al.
5,589,326 A 12/1996 Deng et al.
5,593,852 A 1/1997 Heller et al.
5,596,150 A 1/1997 Arndt et al.
5,617,851 A 4/1997 Lipkovker
5,628,890 A 5/1997 Carter et al.
5,630,986 A 5/1997 Charlton et al.
5,632,410 A 5/1997 Moulton et al.
5,651,869 A 7/1997 Yoshioka et al.
5,653,864 A 8/1997 Gotoh et al.
5,658,443 A 8/1997 Yamamoto et al.
5,660,163 A 8/1997 Schulman et al.
5,666,966 A 9/1997 Horie et al.
5,670,031 A 9/1997 Hintsche et al.
5,680,858 A 10/1997 Hansen et al.
5,681,632 A 10/1997 Kitaura et al.
5,682,233 A 10/1997 Brinda
5,683,562 A 11/1997 Schaffer et al.
5,695,623 A 12/1997 Michel et al.
5,708,247 A 1/1998 McAleer et al.
5,711,861 A 1/1998 Ward et al.
5,711,862 A 1/1998 Sakoda et al.
5,741,211 A 4/1998 Renirie et al.
5,743,861 A 4/1998 Columbus et al.
5,759,364 A 6/1998 Charlton et al.
5,777,060 A 7/1998 Van Antwerp
5,786,584 A 7/1998 Button et al.
5,791,344 A 8/1998 Schulman et al.
5,800,420 A 9/1998 Gross et al.
5,807,375 A 9/1998 Gross et al.
5,820,551 A 10/1998 Hill et al.
5,822,715 A 10/1998 Worthington et al.
5,830,341 A 11/1998 Gilmartin
5,840,020 A 11/1998 Heinonen et al.
5,873,990 A 2/1999 Wojciechowski et al.
5,885,429 A 3/1999 Friese et al.
5,906,921 A 5/1999 Ikeda et al.
5,951,492 A 9/1999 Douglas et al.
5,951,836 A 9/1999 McAleer et al.
5,954,643 A 9/1999 Van Antwerp et al.
5,964,993 A 10/1999 Blubaugh, Jr. et al.
5,971,941 A 10/1999 Simons et al.
5,972,199 A 10/1999 Heller et al.
5,989,409 A 11/1999 Kurnik et al.
5,997,817 A 12/1999 Crismore et al.
6,004,441 A 12/1999 Fujiwara et al.
6,020,110 A 2/2000 Williams et al.
6,021,339 A 2/2000 Saito et al.
6,022,366 A 2/2000 Scraga
6,027,459 A 2/2000 Shain et al.
6,036,924 A 3/2000 Simons et al.
6,046,056 A 4/2000 Parce et al.
6,063,039 A 5/2000 Cunningham et al.
6,071,249 A 6/2000 Cunningham et al.
6,071,251 A 6/2000 Cunningham et al.
6,074,725 A 6/2000 Kennedy
6,093,156 A 7/2000 Cunningham et al.
6,099,484 A 8/2000 Douglas et al.
6,103,033 A 8/2000 Say et al.
6,120,676 A 9/2000 Heller et al.
6,143,164 A 11/2000 Heller et al.
6,156,051 A 12/2000 Schraga
6,190,398 B1 2/2001 Schraga
6,192,891 B1 2/2001 Gravel et al.
6,206,841 B1 3/2001 Cunningham et al.
6,210,420 B1 4/2001 Mauze et al.
6,283,926 B1 9/2001 Cunningham et al.
6,283,982 B1 9/2001 Levaughn et al.
6,299,757 B1 10/2001 Feldman et al.
6,306,104 B1 10/2001 Cunningham et al.
6,306,152 B1 10/2001 Verdonk et al.
6,346,114 B1 2/2002 Schraga
6,352,514 B1 3/2002 Douglas et al.
6,368,141 B1 4/2002 VanAntwerp et al.
6,409,740 B1 6/2002 Kuhr et al.
6,436,255 B2 8/2002 Yamamoto et al.
6,506,168 B1 1/2003 Fathallah et al.
6,540,891 B1 4/2003 Stewart et al.
6,579,690 B1 6/2003 Bonnecaze et al.
6,599,407 B2 7/2003 Taniike et al.
6,607,658 B1 8/2003 Heller et al.
6,616,819 B1 9/2003 Liamos et al.
6,638,772 B1 10/2003 Douglas et al.
6,740,215 B1 5/2004 Nakaminami et al.
6,885,196 B2 4/2005 Taniike et al.
6,973,706 B2 12/2005 Say et al.

7,335,294	B2	2/2008	Heller et al.
7,721,412	B2	5/2010	Say et al.
2003/0143113	A2	7/2003	Yuzhakov et al.
2003/0188427	A1	10/2003	Say et al.
2004/0002682	A1	1/2004	Kovelman et al.
2006/0042080	A1	3/2006	Say et al.
2008/0047129	A1	2/2008	Say et al.
2008/0272007	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0275323	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0275423	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0276455	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0281175	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0281176	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0281177	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0287759	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0287760	A1	11/2008	Say et al.
2008/0295324	A1	12/2008	Say et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	227029	9/1985
DE	3740149	6/1989
DE	3934299	10/1990
EP	0010375	4/1980
EP	0026995	4/1981
EP	0048090	3/1982
EP	0078636	5/1983
EP	0080304	6/1983
EP	0096288	12/1983
EP	0125139	11/1984
EP	0127958	12/1984
EP	0136362	4/1985
EP	0170375	2/1986
EP	0177743	4/1986
EP	0184909	6/1986
EP	0193676	9/1986
EP	0206218	12/1986
EP	0230472	8/1987
EP	0241309	10/1987
EP	0245073	11/1987
EP	0255291	2/1988
EP	0278647	8/1988
EP	0359831	3/1990
EP	0368209	5/1990
EP	0390390	10/1990
EP	0396788	11/1990
EP	0400918	12/1990
EP	0453283	10/1991
EP	0470290	2/1992
EP	0563795	3/1993
EP	1060707	12/2000
GB	1394171	5/1975
GB	1599241	9/1981
GB	2073891	10/1981
GB	2154003	8/1985
GB	2204408	11/1988
GB	2254436	10/1992
GB	2287472	9/1995
JP	54-041191	4/1979
JP	55-010581	1/1980
JP	55-010583	1/1980
JP	55-010584	1/1980
JP	55-012406	1/1980
JP	56-163447	12/1981
JP	57-070448	4/1982
JP	59-147249	8/1984
JP	60-173457	9/1985
JP	60-173458	9/1985
JP	60-173459	9/1985
JP	61-090050	5/1986
JP	62-085855	4/1987
JP	62-114747	5/1987
JP	63-058149	3/1988
JP	63-128252	5/1988
JP	63-139246	6/1988
JP	63-294799	12/1988
JP	63-317757	12/1988
JP	63-317758	12/1988
JP	1-114746	5/1989
JP	1-114747	5/1989
JP	1-124060	5/1989
JP	1-134244	5/1989
JP	1-156658	6/1989
JP	2-019758	1/1990
JP	2-062958	3/1990
JP	2-120655	5/1990
JP	2-287145	11/1990
JP	2-310457	12/1990
JP	3-026956	2/1991
JP	3-028752	2/1991
JP	3-202764	9/1991
JP	3-293556	12/1991
JP	4-194660	7/1992
JP	5-072171	3/1993
JP	5-196595	8/1993
JP	6-190050	7/1994
JP	7-055757	3/1995
JP	7-072585	3/1995
JP	7-286987	10/1995
JP	8-285814	11/1996
JP	8-285815	11/1996
JP	9-021778	1/1997
JP	9-101280	4/1997
JP	9-285459	11/1997
JP	10-170471	6/1998
SU	1281988	1/1987
WO	WO-85/05119	11/1985
WO	WO-86/00513	1/1986
WO	WO-89/08713	9/1989
WO	WO-90/05300	5/1990
WO	WO-90/05910	5/1990
WO	WO-91/01680	2/1991
WO	WO-91/04704	4/1991
WO	WO-91/15993	10/1991
WO	WO-92/13271	8/1992
WO	WO-94/20602	9/1994
WO	WO-94/27140	11/1994
WO	WO-95/13534	5/1995
WO	WO-95/28634	10/1995
WO	WO-96/00614	1/1996
WO	WO-96/06947	3/1996
WO	WO-96/21150	7/1996
WO	WO-96/30431	10/1996
WO	WO-97/00441	1/1997
WO	WO-97/02847	1/1997
WO	WO-97/18464	5/1997
WO	WO-97/19344	5/1997
WO	WO-97/27473	7/1997
WO	WO-97/42882	11/1997
WO	WO-97/42883	11/1997
WO	WO-97/42886	11/1997
WO	WO-97/42888	11/1997
WO	WO-97/43962	11/1997
WO	WO-00/78210	12/2000
WO	WO-01/64105	9/2001
WO	WO-01/72220	10/2001
WO	WO-01/73395	10/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,906, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/383,925, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,952, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,959, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,964, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,967, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,986, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,004, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Say, et al.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,925, Say, et al.

Abruna, H. D., et al., "Rectifying Interfaces Using Two-Layer Films of Electrochemically Polymerized Vinylpyridine and Vinylbipyridine Complexes of Ruthenium and Iron on Electrodes", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 103, No. 1, 1981, pp. 1-5.

Albery, W. J., et al., "Amperometric Enzyme Electrodes Part II: Conducting Salts as Electrode Materials for the Oxidation of Glucose Oxidase", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 194, 1985, pp. 223-235.

Albery, W. J., et al., "Amperometric Enzyme Electrodes", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, vol. 316, 1987, pp. 107-119.
Alcock, S. J., et al., "Continuous Analyte Monitoring to Aid Clinical Practice", *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 1994, pp. 319-325.
Anderson, L. B., et al., "Thin-Layer Electrochemistry: Steady-State Methods of Studying Rate Processes", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 10, 1965, pp. 295-305.
Bartlett, P. N., et al., "Covalent Binding of Electron Relays to Glucose Oxidase", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, 1987, pp. 1603-1604.
Bartlett, P. N., et al., "Modification of Glucose Oxidase by Tetrathiafulvalene", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, 1990, pp. 1135-1136.
Bartlett, P. N., et al., "Strategies for the Development of Amperometric Enzyme Electrodes", *Biosensors*, vol. 3, 1987/88, pp. 359-379.
Bindra, D. S., et al., "Design and in Vitro Studies of a Needle-Type Glucose Sensor for Subcutaneous Monitoring", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 63, No. 17, 1991, pp. 1692-1696.
Bobbioni-Harsch, E., et al., "Lifespan of Subcutaneous Glucose Sensors and Their Performances During Dynamic Glycaemia Changes in Rats", *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 15, 1993, pp. 457-463.
Brandt, J., et al., "Covalent Attachment of Proteins to Polysaccharide Carriers by Means of Benzoquinone", *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 386, 1975, pp. 196-202.
Brownlee, M., et al., "A Glucose-Controlled Insulin-Delivery System: Semisynthetic Insulin Bound to Lectin", *Science*, vol. 206, 1979, 1190-1191.
Cass, A. E., et al., "Ferricinum Ion as an Electron Acceptor for Oxido-Reductases", Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry, vol. 190, 1985, pp. 117-127.
Cass, A. E., et al., "Ferrocene-Medicated Enzyme Electrode for Amperometric Determination of Glucose", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 56, No. 4, 1984, 667-671.
Castner, J. F., et al., "Mass Transport and Reaction Kinetic Parameters Determined Electrochemically for Immobilized Glucose Oxidase", *Biochemistry*, vol. 23 No. 10, 1984, 2203-2210.
Claremont, D. J., et al., "Biosensors for Continuous In Vivo Glucose Monitoring", *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, vol. 10, 1988.
Clark Jr., L. C., et al., "Differential Anodic Enzyme Polarography for the Measurement of Glucose", *Oxygen Transport to Tissue: Instrumentation, Methods, and Physiology*, 1973, pp. 127-133.
Clark Jr., L. C., et al., "Electrode Systems for Continuous Monitoring in Cardiovascular Surgery", *Annals New York Academy of Sciences*, 1962, pp. 29-45.
Clark Jr., L. C., et al., "Long-term Stability of Electroenzymatic Glucose Sensors Implanted in Mice", *American Society of Artificial Internal Organs Transactions*, vol. XXXIV, 1988, pp. 259-265.
Clarke, W. L., et al., "Evaluating Clinical Accuracy of Systems for Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose", *Diabetes Care*, vol. 10, No. 5, 1987, pp. 622-628.
Csoregi, E., et al., "Design and Optimization of a Selective Subcutaneously Implantable Glucose Electrode Based on 'Wired' Glucose Oxidase", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 67, No. 7, 1995, pp. 1240-1244.
Csoregi, E., et al., "Design, Characterization, and One-Point in Vivo Calibration of a Subcutaneously Implanted Glucose Electrode", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 66 No. 19, 1994, pp. 3131-3138.
Csoregi, E., et al., "On-Line Glucose Monitoring by Using Microdialysis Sampling and Amperometric Detection Based on 'Wired' Glucose Oxidase in Carbon Paste", *Mikrochimica Acta*, vol. 121, 1995, pp. 31-40.
Davis, G., "Electrochemical Techniques for the Development of Amperometric Biosensors", *Biosensors*, vol. 1, 1985, pp. 161-178.
Degani, Y., et al., "Direct Electrical Communication Between Chemically Modified Enzymes and Metal Electrodes. 1. Electron Transfer from Glucose Oxidase to Metal Electrodes via Electron Relays, Bound Covalently to the Enzyme", *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 91, No. 6, 1987, pp. 1285-1289.
Degani, Y., et al., "Direct Electrical Communication Between Chemically Modified Enzymes and Metal Electrodes. 2. Methods for Bonding Electron-Transfer Relays to Glucose Oxidase and D-Amino-Acid Oxidase", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 110, No. 8, 1988, pp. 2615-2620.
Degani, Y., et al., "Electrical Communication Between Redox Centers of Glucose Oxidase and Electrodes via Electrostatically and Covalently Bound Redox Polymers", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 111, 1989, pp. 2357-2358.
Denisevich, P., et al., "Unidirectional Current Flow and Charge State Trapping at Redox Polymer Interfaces on Bilayer Electrodes: Principles, Experimental Demonstration, and Theory", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 103, 1981, pp. 4727-4737.
Dicks, J. M., et al., "Ferrocene Modified Polypyrrole with Immobilised Glucose Oxidase and its Application in Amperometric Glucose Microbiosensors", *Annales de Biologie Clinique*, vol. 47, 1989, pp. 607-619.
Ellis, C. D., et al., "Selectivity and Directed Charge Transfer through an Electroactive Metallopolymer Film", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 103, No. 25, 1981, pp. 7480-7483.
Engstrom, R. C., "Electrochemical Pretreatment of Glassy Carbon Electrodes", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 54, No. 13, 1982, pp. 2310-2314.
Engstrom, R. C., et al., "Characterization of Electrochemically Pretreated Glassy Carbon Electrodes", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 56, No. 2, 1984, pp. 136-141.
Feldman, B., et al., "Electron Transfer Kinetics at Redox Polymer/Solution Interfaces Using Microelectrodes and Twin Electrode Thin Layer Cells", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 194, 1985, pp. 63-81.
Fischer, H., et al., "Intramolecular Electron Transfer Medicated by 4,4'-Bypyridine and Related Bridging Groups", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 98, No. 18, 1976, pp. 5512-5517.
Foulds, N. C., et al., "Enzyme Entrapment in Electrically Conducting Polymers: Immobilisation of Glucose Oxidase in Polypyrrole and its Application in Amperometric Glucose Sensors", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 1*, vol. 82, 1986, pp. 1259-1264.
Foulds, N. C., et al., "Immobilization of Glucose Oxidase in Ferrocene-Modified Pyrrole Polymers", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 60, No. 22, 1988, pp. 2473-2478.
Frew, J. E., et al., "Electron-Transfer Biosensors", *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society of London,* vol. 316, 1987, pp. 95-106.
Gorton, L., et al., "Selective Detection in Flow Analysis Based on the Combination of Immobilized Enzymes and Chemically Modified Electrodes", *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 250, 1991, pp. 203-248.
Gregg, B. A., et al., "Cross-Linked Redox Gels Containing Glucose Oxidase for Amperometric Bionsensor Applications", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 62, No. 3, 1990, pp. 258-263.
Gregg, B. A., et al., "Redox Polymer Films Containing Enzymes. 1. A Redox-Conducting Epoxy Cement: Synthesis, Characterization, and Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Hydroquinone", *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 95, No. 15, 1991, 5970-5975.
Hale, P. D., et al., "A New Class of Amperometric Biosensor Incorporating a Polymeric Electron-Transfer Mediator", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 111, No. 9, 1989, pp. 3482-3484.
Harrison, D. J., et al., "Characterization of Perfluorosulfonic Acid Polymer Coated Enzyme Electrodes and a Miniatureized Integrated Potentiostat for Glucose Analysis in Whole Blood", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 60, No. 19, 1988, pp. 2002-2007.
Hawkridge, F. M., et al., "Indirect Coulometric Titration of Biological Electron Transport Components", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 45, No. 7, 1973, pp. 1021-1027.
Heller, A., "Electrical Connection Enzyme Redox Centers to Electrodes", *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 96, No. 9, 1990, pp. 3579-3587.
Heller, A., "Electrical Wiring of Redox Enzymes", *Accounts of Chemical Research* vol. 23, No. 5, 1990, 128-134.
Heller, A., et al., "Amperometric Biosensors Based on Three-Dimensional Hydrogel-Forming Epoxy Networks", *Sensors and Actuators B*, vol. 13-14, 1993, pp. 180-183.

Ianniello, R. M., et al., "Differential Pulse Voltammetric Study of Direct Electron Transfer in Glucose Oxidase Chemically Modified Graphite Electrodes", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 54, No. 7, 1982, pp. 1098-1101.

Ianniello, R. M., et al., "Immobilized Enzyme Chemically Modified Electrode as an Amperometric Sensor", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 53, No. 13, 1981, pp. 2090-2095.

Ikeda, T., et al., "Glucose Oxidase-Immobilized Benzoquinone-Carbon Paste Electrode as a Glucose Sensor", *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, vol. 49, No. 2, 1985, pp. 541-543.

Ikeda, T., et al., "Kinetics of Outer-Sphere Electron Transfers Between Metal Complexes in Solutions and Polymeric Films on Modified Electrodes", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 103, No. 25, 1981, pp. 7422-7425.

Johnson, J. M., et al., "Potential-Dependent Enzymatic Activity in an Enzyme Thin-Layer Cell", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 54, No. 8, 1982, pp. 1377-1383.

Johnson, K. W., "Reproducible Electrodeposition of Biomolecules for the Fabrication of Miniature Electroenzymatic Biosensors", *Sensors and Actuators B*, vol. 5, 1991, pp. 85-89.

Jonsson, G., et al., "An Amperometric Glucose Sensor Made by Modification of a Graphite Electrode Surface With Immobilized Glucose Oxidase and Adsorbed Mediator", *Biosensors*, vol. 1, 1985, pp. 355-368.

Josowicz, M., et al., "Electrochemical Pretreatment of Thin Film Platinum Electrodes", *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, vol. 135 No. 1, 1988, pp. 112-115.

Katakis, I., et al., "Electrostatic Control of the Electron Transfer Enabling Binding of Recombinant Glucose Oxidase and Redox Polyelectrolytes", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 116, No. 8, 1994, pp. 3617-3618.

Katakis, I., et al., "L-α-Glycerophosphate and L-Lactate Electrodes Based on the Electrochemical 'Wiring' of Oxidases", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 64, No. 9, 1992, pp. 1008-1013.

Kenausis, G., et al., "'Wiring' of Glucose Oxidase and Lactate Oxidase Within a Hydrogel Made with Poly(vinyl pyridine) complexed with $[Os(4,4'\text{-dimethoxy-}2,2'\text{-bipyridine})_2Cl]^{+/2+}$", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions*, vol. 92, No. 20, 1996, pp. 4131-4136.

Koudelka, M., et al., "In-Vivo Behaviour of Hypodermically Implanted Microfabricated Glucose Sensors", *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, vol. 6, 1991, pp. 31-36.

Kulys, J., et al., "Mediatorless Peroxidase Electrode and Preparation of Bienzyme Sensors", *Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics*, vol. 24, 1990, pp. 305-311.

Lager, W., et al., "Implantable Electrocatalytic Glucose Sensor", *Hormone Metabolic Research*, vol. 26, 1994, pp. 526-530.

Lindner, E., et al., "Flexible (Kapton-Based) Microsensor Arrays of High Stability for Cardiovascular Applications", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions*, vol. 89, No. 2, 1993, pp. 361-367.

Maidan, R., et al., "Elimination of Electrooxidizable Interferant-Produced Currents in Amperometric Biosensors", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 64, No. 23, 1992, pp. 2889-2896.

Mastrototaro, J. J., et al., "An Electroenzymatic Glucose Sensor Fabricated on a Flexible Substrate", *Sensors and Actuators B*, vol. 5, 1991, pp. 139-144.

McNeil, C. J., et al., "Thermostable Reduced Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Oxidase: Application to Amperometric Enzyme Assay", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 61, No. 1, 1989, pp. 25-29.

Miyawaki, O., et al., "Electrochemical and Glucose Oxidase Coenzyme Activity of Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide Covalently Attached to Glassy Carbon at the Adenine Amino Group", *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 838, 1985, pp. 60-68.

Moatti-Sirat, D., et al., "Evaluating In Vitro and In Vivo the Interference of Ascorbate and Acetaminophen on Glucose Detection by a Needle-Type Glucose Sensor", *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, vol. 7, 1992, pp. 345-352.

Moatti-Sirat, D., et al., "Reduction of Acetaminophen Interference in Glucose Sensors by a Composite Nafion Membrane: Demonstration in Rats and Man", *Diabetologia*, vol. 37, 1994, pp. 610-616.

Moatti-Sirat, D., et al., "Towards Continuous Glucose Monitoring: In Vivo Evaluation of a Miniaturized Glucose Sensor Implanted for Several Days in Rat Subcutaneous Tissue", *Diabetologia*, vol. 35, 1992, pp. 224-330.

Nagy, G., et al., "A New Type of Enzyme Electrode: The Ascorbic Acid Eliminator Electrode", *Life Sciences*, vol. 31, No. 23, 1982, pp. 2611-2616.

Nakamura, S., et al., "Effect of Periodate Oxidation on the Structure and Properties of Glucose Oxidase", *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta.*, vol. 445, 1976, pp. 294-308.

Narasimham, K., et al., "p-Benzoquinone Activation of Metal Oxide Electrodes for Attachment of Enzymes", *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, vol. 7, 1985, pp. 283-286.

Ohara, T. J., "Osmium Bipyridyl Redox Polymers Used in Enzyme Electrodes", *Platinum Metals Review*, vol. 39, No. 2, 1995, pp. 54-62.

Ohara, T. J., et al., "'Wired' Enzyme Electrodes for Amperometric Determination of Glucose or Lactate in the Presence of Interfering Substances", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 66, No. 15, 1994, pp. 2451-2457.

Ohara, T. J., et al., "Glucose Electrodes Based on Cross-Linked $[Os(bpy)_2Cl]^{+/2+}$ Complexed Poly(1-Vinylimidazole) Films", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 65, No. 23, 1993, pp. 3512-3517.

Olievier, C. N., et al., "In Vivo Measurement of Carbon Dioxide Tension with a Miniature Electrodes", *Pflugers Archiv: European Journal of Physiology*, vol. 373, 1978, pp. 269-272.

Paddock, R. M., et al., "Electrocatalytic Reduction of Hydrogen Peroxide via Direct Electron Transfer From Pyrolytic Graphite Electrodes to Irreversibly Adsorbed Cyctochrome C Peroxidase", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 260, 1989, pp. 487-494.

Palleschi, G., et al., "A Study of Interferences in Glucose Measurements in Blood by Hydrogen Peroxide Based Glucose Probes", *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 159, 1986, pp. 114-121.

Pankratov, I., et al., "Sol-Gel Derived Renewable-Surface Biosensors", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 393, 1995, pp. 35-41.

Pathak, C., et al., "Rapid Photopolymerization of Immunoprotective Gels in Contact with Cells and Tissue", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 114, No. 21, 1992, pp. 8311-8312.

Pickup, J., "Developing Glucose Sensors for In Vivo Use", *Tibtech*, vol. 11, 1993, pp. 285-291.

Pickup, J., et al., "In Vivo Molecular Sensing in Diabetes Mellitus: An Implantable Glucose Sensor with Direct Electron Transfer", *Diabetologia*, vol. 32, 1989, pp. 213-217.

Pickup, J., et al., "Potentially-Implantable, Amperometric Glucose Sensors with Mediated Electron Transfer: Improving the Operating Stability", *Biosensors*, vol. 4, 1989, pp. 109-119.

Pishko, M. V., et al., "Amperometric Glucose Microelectrodes Prepared Through Immobilization of Glucose Oxidase in Redox Hydrogels", *Analytical Chemistr*, vol. 63, No. 20, 1991, pp. 2268-2272.

Poitout, V., et al., "A Glucose Monitoring System for on Line Estimation in Man of Blood Glucose Concentration Using a Miniaturized Glucose Sensor Implanted in the Subcutaneous Tissue and a Wearable Control Unit", *Diabetolgia*, vol. 36, 1993, pp. 658-663.

Poitout, V., et al., "Calibration in Dogs of a Subcutaneous Miniaturized Glucose Sensor Using a Glucose Meter for Blood Glucose Determination", *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, vol. 7, 1992, pp. 587-592.

Poitout, V., et al., "In Vitro and In Vivo Evaluation in Dogs of a Miniaturized Glucose Sensor", *ASAIO Transactions*, vol. 37, No. 3, 1991, pp. M298-M300.

Pollak, A., et al., "Enzyme Immobilization by Condensation Copolymerization into Cross-Linked Polyacrylamide Gels", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 102, No. 20, 1980, pp. 6324-6336.

Reach, G., et al., "Can Continuous Glucose Monitoring Be Used for the Treatment of Diabetes?", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 64, No. 6, 1992, pp. 381-386.

Rebrin, K., et al., "Automated Feedback Control of Subcutaneous Glucose Concentration in Diabetic Dogs", *Diabetologia*, vol. 32, 1989, pp. 573-576.

Sakakida, M., et al., "Ferrocene-Mediated Needle-Type Glucose Sensor Covered with Newly Designed Biocompatible Membrane", *Sensors and Actuators B*, vol. 13-14, 1993, pp. 319-322.

Samuels, G. J., et al., "An Electrode-Supported Oxidation Catalyst Based on Ruthenium (IV). pH 'Encapsulation' in a Polymer Film", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 103, No. 2, 1981, pp. 307-312.

Sasso, S. V., et al., "Electropolymerized 1,2-Diaminobenzene as a Means to Prevent Interferences and Fouling and to Stabilize Immobilized Enzyme in Electrochemical Biosensors", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 62, No. 11, 1990, pp. 1111-1117.

Scheller, F., et al., "Enzyme Electrodes and Their Application", *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society of London B*, vol. 316, 1987, pp. 85-94.

Schmehl, R. H., et al., "The Effect of Redox Site Concentration on the Rate of Mediated Oxidation of Solution Substrates by a Redox Copolymer Film", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 152, 1983, pp. 97-109.

Shichiri, M., et al., "Glycaemic Control in Pancreatectomized Dogs with a Wearable Artificial Endocrine Pancreas", *Diabetologia*, vol. 24, 1983, pp. 179-184.

Sittampalam, G., et al., "Surface-Modified Electrochemical Detector for Liquid Chromatography", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 55, No. 9, 1983, pp. 1608-1610.

Soegijoko, S., et al., "External Artificial Pancreas: A New Control Unit Using Microprocessor", *Hormone and Metabolic Research Supplement Series*, vol. 12, 1982, pp. 165-169.

Sprules, S. D., et al., "Evaluation of a New Disposable Screen-Printed Sensor Strip for the Measurement of NADH and Its Modification to Produce a Lactate Biosensor Employing Microliter Volumes", *Electroanalysis*, vol. 8, No. 6, 1996, pp. 539-543.

Sternberg, F., et al., "Calibration Problems of Subcutaneous Glucosensors when Applied 'In-Situ' in Man", *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 26, 1994, pp. 523-526.

Sternberg, R., et al., "Covalent Enzyme Coupling on Cellulose Acetate Membranes for Glucose Sensor Development", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 60, No. 24, 1988, pp. 2781-2786.

Sternberg, R., et al., "Study and Development of Multilayer Needle-Type Enzyme-Based Glucose Microsensors", *Biosensors*, vol. 4, 1988, pp. 27-40.

Suekane, M , "Immobilization of Glucose Isomerase", *Zettschrift fur Allgemeine Mikrobiologie*, vol. 22, No. 8, 1982, pp. 565-576.

Tajima, S., et al., "Simultaneous Determination of Glucose and 1,5-Anydroglucitol", *Chemical Abstracts*, vol. 111, No. 25, 1989, pp. 394.

Tarasevich, M. R., "Bioelectrocatalysis", *Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry*, vol. 10, 1985, pp. 231-295.

Tatsuma, T., et al., "Enzyme Monolayer- and Bilayer-Modified Tin Oxide Electrodes for the Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide and Glucose", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 61, No. 21, 1989, pp. 2352-2355.

Taylor, C., et al., "'Wiring' of Glucose Oxidase Within a Hydrogel Made with Polyvinyl Imidazole Complexed with [(Os-4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine)C1]$^{+/2+}$", *Journal of ElectroAnalytical Chemistry*, vol. 396, 1995, pp. 511-515.

Trojanowicz, M., et al., "Enzyme Entrapped Polypyrrole Modified Electrode for Flow-Injection Determination of Glucose", *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, vol. 5, 1990, pp. 149-156.

Turner, A., et al., "Diabetes Mellitus: Biosensors for Research and Management", *Biosensors*, vol. 1, 1985, pp. 85-115.

Turner, R. F., et al., "A Biocompatible Enzyme Electrode for Continuous in vivo Glucose Monitoring in Whole Blood", *Sensors and Actuators B*, vol. 1, 1990, pp. 561-564.

Tuzhi, P., et al., "Constant Potential Pretreatment of Carbon Fiber Electrodes for In Vivo Electrochemistry", *Analytical Letters*, vol. 24, No. 6, 1991, pp. 935-945.

Umana, M., "Protein-Modified Electrochemically Active Biomaterial Surface", *U.S. Army Research Office, Analytical and Chemical Sciences Research Triangle Institute*, 1988, pp. 1-9.

Urban, G., et al., "Miniaturized Thin-Film Biosensors Using Covalently Immobilized Glucose Oxidase", *Biosensor & Bioelectronics*, vol. 6, 1991, pp. 555-562.

Velho, G., et al., "In Vitro and In Vivo Stability of Electrode Potentials in Needle-Type Glucose Sensors", *Diabetes*, vol. 38, No. 2, 1989, pp. 164-171.

Velho, G., et al., "Strategies for Calibrating a Subcutaneous Glucose Sensor", *Biomedica Biochimica Acta*, vol. 48, 1989, pp. 957-964.

Von Woedtke, T., et al., "In Situ Calibration of Implanted Electrochemical Glucose Sensors", *Biomedica Biochimica Acta*, vol. 48, 1989, pp. 943-952.

Vreeke, M. S., et al., "Hydrogen Peroxide Electrodes Based on Electrical Connection of Redox Centers of Various Peroxidases to Electrodes through a Three-Dimensional Electron-Relaying Polymer Network", *Diagnostic Biosensors Polymers*, Chapter 15, 1993, pp. 180-193.

Vreeke, M., et al., "Hydrogen Peroxide and β-Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Sensing Amperometric Electrodes Based on Electrical Connection of Horseradish Peroxidase Redox Centers to Electrodes through a Three-Dimensional Electron Relaying Polymer Network", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 64, No. 24, 1992, pp. 3084-3090.

Wang, D. L., et al., "Miniaturized Flexible Amperometric Lactate Probe", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 65, No. 8, 1993, pp. 1069-1073.

Wang, J., et al., "Activation of Glassy Carbon Electrodes by Alternating Current Electrochemical Treatment", *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 167, 1985, pp. 325-334.

Wang, J., et al., "Amperometric Biosensing of Organic Peroxides with Peroxidase-Modified Electrodes", *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 254, 1991, pp. 81-88.

Wang, J., et al., "Screen-Printable Sol-Gel Enzyme-Containing Carbon Inks", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 68, No. 15, 1996, pp. 2705-2708.

Wang, J., et al., "Sol-Gel-Derived Metal-Dispersed Carbon Composite Amperometric Biosensors", *Electroanalysis*, vol. 9, No. 1, 1997, pp. 52-55.

Williams, D. L., et al., "Electrochemical-Enzymatic Analysis of Blood Glucose and Lactate", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 42, No. 1, 1970, pp. 118-121.

Wilson, G. S., et al., "Progress Toward the Development of an Implantable Sensor for Glucose", *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 38, No. 9, 1992, pp. 1613-1617.

Yabuki, S., et al., "Electro-Conductive Enzyme Membrane", *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, 1989, pp. 945-946.

Yang, L., et al., "Determination of Oxidase Enzyme Substrates Using Cross-Flow Thin-Layer Amperometry", *Electroanalysis*, vol. 8, No. 8-9, 1996, pp. 716-721.

Yao, S. J., et al., "The Interference of Ascorbate and Urea in Low-Potential Electrochemical Glucose Sensing", *Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, vol. 12, Part 2, 1990, pp. 487-489.

Yao, T., "A Chemically-Modified Enzyme Membrane Electrode as an Amperometric Glucose Sensor", *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 148, 1983, pp. 27-33.

Ye, L., et al., "High Current Density 'Wired' Quinoprotein Glucose Dehydrogenase Electrode", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 65, No. 3, 1993, pp. 238-241.

Yildiz, A., et al., "Evaluation of an Improved Thin-Layer Electrode", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 40, No. 7, 1968, pp. 1018-1024.

Zamzow, K., et al., "New Wearable Continuous Blood Glucose Monitor (BGM) and Artificial Pancreas (AP)", *Diabetes*, vol. 39, 1990, pp. 5A-20.

Zhang, Y., et al., "Application of Cell Culture Toxicity Tests to the Development of Implantable Biosensors", *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, vol. 6, 1991, pp. 653-661.

Zhang, Y., et al., "Elimination of the Acetaminophen Interference in an Implantable Glucose Sensor", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 66, No. 7, 1994, pp. 1183-1188.

Teng, et al., "A Microprocessor-Controlled Ink Jet Printing System for Electronic Circuits", *I.E.E.E. Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 35, No. 3, 1988, pp. 407-412.

European Patent Application No. 10012411.4, Extended European Search Report, mailed Feb. 22, 2011.

Morff, et al., "Microfabrication of Reproducible, Economical, Electroenzymatic Glucose Sensors", *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, vol. 12, No. 2, 1990, pp. 0483-0484.

Nakabayashi, Y., et al., "Evaluation of Osmium(II) Complexes as Electron Transfer Mediators Accessible for Amperometric Glucose Sensors", *Analytical Sciences*, vol. 17, 2001, pp. 945-950.
Zhang, Y., et al., "Evaluation of Substituted-1,10-Phenanthroline Complexes of Osmium as Mediator for Glucose Oxidase of *Aspergillus niger", Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 408, 2000, pp. 225-232.
European Patent Application No. 10012412.2, Extended European Search Report, mailed Apr. 21, 2011.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,925, Office Action mailed Sep. 1, 2011.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,004, Office Action mailed Apr. 7, 2011.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,730, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,175,752, filed Jun. 3, 2011.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,906, Office Action mailed Sep. 2, 2011.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,952, Office Action mailed Sep. 7, 2011.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,967, Office Action mailed Sep. 7, 2011.
Gamache, et al., "Simultaneous Measurement of Monoamines, Metabolites and Amino Acids in Brain Tissue and Microdialysis Perfusates", *Journal of Chromatrography*, vol. 614, 1993, pp. 213-220.
Bowyer, W. J., et al., "Electrochemical Measurements in Submicroliter Volumes", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 64, No. 4, 1992, pp. 459-462.
Bratten, C. D. T., et al., "Micromachining Sensors for Electrochemical Measurement in Subnanoliter Volumes", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 69, No. 2, 1997, pp. 253-258.
Karube, I., et al., "Microbiosensors Prepared by Micromachining", *Biosensors: Fundamentals, Technologies and Applications*, 1992, pp. 478-489.
Koudelka, M., et al., "Planar Amperometric Enzyme-Based Glucose Microelectrode", *Sensors and Actuators*, vol. 18, 1989, pp. 157-165.
Niwa, O., et al., "Concentration of Extracellular L-Glutamate Released from Cultured Nerve Cells Measured with a Small-Volume Online Sensor", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 68, No. 11, 1996, pp. 1865-1870.
Takata, Y., "Chapter 4: Liquid Chromatography with Coulometric Detector", *Advances in Liquid Chromatography*, 1996, pp. 43-74.
European Patent Application No. 99 908 338.9, Office Action mailed Oct. 8, 2007.
Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-534863, English Translation & Original Language of Office Action mailed Oct. 20, 2009.
Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-534863, English Translation of Office Action mailed Aug. 23, 2010.
Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-534863, English Translation of Office Action mailed Feb. 3, 2009.
PCT Application No. PCT/US1999/003781, First Written Opinion mailed Dec. 9, 1999.
PCT Application No. PCT/US1999/003781, International Search Report mailed Feb. 8, 1999.
PCT Application No. PCT/US1999/003781, Second Written Opinion mailed Mar. 31, 2000.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/034,422, Notice of Allowance mailed Jan. 18, 2000.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/034,422, Supplemental Notice of Allowance mailed Jan. 18, 2000.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/598,776, Advisory Action mailed Jul. 16, 2002.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/598,776, Office Action mailed Jan. 31, 2002.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/598,776, Office Action mailed May 10, 2002.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/598,776, Office Action mailed Nov. 29, 2002.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/405,765, Advisory Action mailed Oct. 26, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/405,765, Notice of Allowance mailed Jan. 27, 2005.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/405,765, Office Action mailed Aug. 5, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/405,765, Office Action mailed Mar. 19, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Notice of Allowance mailed Mar. 17, 2008.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Notice of Allowance mailed Mar. 3, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Office Action mailed Apr. 8, 2008.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Office Action mailed Jun. 3, 2008.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Office Action mailed Jun. 5, 2007.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Office Action mailed Mar. 5, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/204,551, Office Action mailed Nov. 15, 2007.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,906, Office Action mailed Feb. 23, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,906, Office Action mailed Oct. 28, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,925, Office Action mailed Mar. 5, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,925, Office Action mailed Oct. 29, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,952, Office Action mailed Mar. 5, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,952, Office Action mailed Oct. 25, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,959, Notice of Allowance Mailed Aug. 24, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,959, Notice of Allowance Mailed Oct. 21, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,959, Office Action mailed Jul. 14, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,959, Office Action mailed Jun. 7, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,964, Notice of Allowance mailed Jun. 9, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,964, Office Action mailed Feb. 18, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,964, Office Action mailed Jul. 13, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,967, Office Action mailed Mar. 9, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,967, Office Action mailed Ocobter 29, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,986, Notice of Allowance mailed Nov. 5, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,986, Office Action mailed Mar. 11, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,994, Office Action mailed Jan. 14, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,994, Office Action mailed Jul. 1, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,004, Office Action mailed Aug. 24, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,004, Office Action mailed Feb. 18, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Advisory Action mailed Dec. 17, 2009.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Office Action mailed Dec. 16, 2008.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Office Action mailed Feb. 24, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Office Action mailed Jul. 23, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Office Action mailed Oct. 9, 2010.
U.S. Appl. No. 11/930,011, Supplemental Office Action mailed Aug. 26, 2010.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/007,913, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,478, filed Feb. 1, 2006.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/007,914, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,161, filed Feb. 1, 2006.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/008,173, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,461, filed Aug. 16, 2006.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/008,457, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,366 filed Jan. 23, 2007.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/008,665, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,478, filed May 25, 2007.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/008,713, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,161, filed Jul. 25, 2007.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/008,928, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,461, filed Nov. 16, 2007.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,104, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,366 filed Apr. 8, 2008.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,270, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,175,752 filed Sep. 8, 2008.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,279, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,509 filed Sep. 17, 2008.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,328, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,366 filed Nov. 10, 2008.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,390, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,509 filed Jan. 21, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,472, Replacement Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,478 filed Sep. 3, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,472, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,478 filed May 27, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,488, Replacement Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,161 filed Jul. 31, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,488, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,161 filed Jun. 10, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,497, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,175,752 filed Jun. 17, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,620, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,161 filed Oct. 27, 2009.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/009,763, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,461, filed Jun. 18, 2010.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/010,791, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,366 filed Dec. 22, 2009.

U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/010,835, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,461, filed Jan. 27, 2010.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,317, Corrected Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,484,046, filed Jan. 14, 2011.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,317, Order Granting Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,484,046, mailed Feb. 18, 2011.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,317, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,484,046, filed Nov. 5, 2010.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,346, Order Granting Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,103,033, mailed Feb. 17, 2011.
U.S. Patent Reexamination Application No. 90/011,346, Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,103,033, filed Nov. 19, 2010.

FIG. 1





FIG. 2






FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 9




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

FIG. 10





FIG. 11





200
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
210
212
214
216
218
220
224
226
227
230
15a
15b
15c
15d

FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15A




FIG. 15B




FIG. 15C




FIG. 15D



300
301
302
305
308
310
312
314
316
318
320
322
324
326
328
330
332
334

FIG. 16




FIG. 17

METHOD OF MAKING AN ELECTROCHEMICAL SENSOR

This application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 11/204,551 filed Aug. 16, 2005, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,721,412 which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 10/405,765 filed Mar. 31, 2003, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,973,706, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 09/598,776, filed Jun. 16, 2000, abandoned, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 09/034,422, filed Mar. 4, 1998, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,103,033, all of which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a process for the manufacture of small sensors, including small electrochemical sensors. More particularly, the process of the invention includes disposing a conductive material on a substrate, preferably in channels formed on the surface of the substrate, thereby forming conductive traces and electrodes in a rapid, efficient manner, with reproducible surface areas and conductivities, and particularly forming very small conductive traces.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The monitoring of the level of glucose or other biochemicals, such as lactate, in individuals is often important. High or low levels of glucose or other biochemicals may be detrimental to an individual's health. The monitoring of glucose is particularly important to individuals with diabetes as they must determine when insulin is needed to reduce glucose levels in their bloodstream or when additional glucose is needed to raise the level of glucose in the bloodstream.

Conventional techniques for monitoring blood glucose levels currently include the periodic drawing of blood, the application of that blood to a test strip, and the determination of the blood glucose concentration using electrochemical, calorimetric, or photometric methods. This technique does not allow for continuous monitoring of blood glucose levels, but must be performed on a periodic basis.

A variety of other devices have also been developed for continuous monitoring of analytes in the blood stream or subcutaneous tissue. Many of these devices use electrochemical sensors which are directly implanted in a blood vessel or in the subcutaneous tissue of a user. However, these devices are often large, bulky, and/or inflexible and many can not be used effectively outside of a controlled medical facility, such as a hospital or a doctor's office, unless the user is restricted in his activities.

The user's comfort and the range of activities that can be performed while the sensor is implanted are important considerations in designing extended-use sensors for continuous in vivo monitoring of the level of an analyte, such as glucose. There is a need for a small, comfortable device which can continuously monitor the level of an analyte, such as glucose, while still permitting the user to engage in normal activities outside the boundaries of a controlled medical facility. There is also a need for methods that allow such small, comfortable devices to be relatively inexpensively, efficiently, reproducibly and precisely manufactured.

A significant problem in the manufacture of in vitro electrochemical sensors has been the inability to manufacture small electrodes with reproducible surfaces. Present techniques for printing or silk screening carbon electrodes onto substrates yield electrodes with poorly defined or irreproducible surface areas and conductivities, particularly at trace widths below 250 μm (10 mils).

Small sized non-electrochemical sensors including, for example, temperature probes, would also be useful if they could be reliably and reproducibly manufactured. A process for the manufacture of small sensors with reproducible surfaces is needed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a process for the manufacture of small sensors which is efficient, reliable, and provides reproducible surfaces. The process of the invention includes forming one or more channels on a surface of a substrate and disposing a conductive material within the formed channels to form an electrode. Various embodiments of the process include the manufacture of electrochemical sensors by disposing a sensing layer on the conductive material within the formed channels; the manufacture of a sensor having one or more working electrodes; counter/reference electrodes, temperature sensors and the like formed in a plurality of channels on one or more surfaces of the substrate; and sensors having a plurality of electrode traces separated by very small distances to form a small electrochemical sensor.

One aspect of the present invention relates to a process for the manufacture of an electrochemical sensor using a web process, which may be continuous or non-continuous. The process includes the steps of providing a substrate web, and disposing a pattern of a conductive material on the continuous substrate web to form an electrode, including one or more working electrodes and counter electrodes. The method also includes the step of disposing a sensing layer on the working electrode disposed on the web. Such a continuous web process is adapted for relatively inexpensively, efficiently, reproducibly and precisely manufacturing electrochemical sensors.

Another aspect of the present invention includes a process for the manufacture of an electrochemical sensor having one or more working and/or counter electrodes disposed on a sensor substrate. The method includes the steps of providing a substrate and disposing a conductive material on the substrate to form one or more working electrodes and/or counter electrodes, and optionally disposing a sensing layer on the working electrode.

A further aspect of the present invention relates to process for the manufacture of an electrochemical sensor having electrodes and conductive traces disposed within channels defined by a sensor substrate. The process includes the steps of providing a substrate, and forming first and second channels in the substrate. The process also includes the step of disposing a conductive material within the channels to form a working electrode located at the first channel, and a counter electrode located at the second channel. The process further includes the optional step of disposing a sensing layer on the working electrode.

The invention includes a continuous process for multi-step preparation of sensors including the efficient and precise deposition of small electrode tracings; sensing layers; counter electrodes, temperature sensors, and like constituents to efficiently produce electrochemical and non-electrochemical biosensors.

The above summary of the present invention is not intended to describe each disclosed embodiment or every implementation of the present invention. The Figures and the detailed description which follow more particularly exemplify these embodiments.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention may be more completely understood in consideration of the following detailed description of various embodiments of the invention in connection with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. **1** is a block diagram of one embodiment of an analyte monitor using an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **2** is a top view of one embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **3**A is a cross-sectional view of the analyte sensor of FIG. **2**;

FIG. **3**B is a cross-sectional view of another embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **4**A is a cross-sectional view of yet another embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **4**B is a cross-sectional view of a fourth embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **5** is an expanded top view of a tip portion of the analyte sensor of FIG. **2**;

FIG. **6** is a cross-sectional view of a fifth embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention;

FIG. **7** is an expanded top view of a tip-portion of the analyte sensor of FIG. **6**;

FIG. **8** is an expanded bottom view of a tip-portion of the analyte sensor of FIG. **6**;

FIG. **9** is a side view of the analyte sensor of FIG. **2**;

FIG. **10** is a top view of the analyte sensor of FIG. **6**; and

FIG. **11** is a bottom view of the analyte sensor of FIG. **6**.

FIG. **12** is a schematic illustration of an exemplary method or system for manufacturing the sensor of FIG. **2**;

FIG. **13** is a perspective view of an exemplary embossing roller suitable for use in the system of FIG. **12**;

FIG. **14** is a perspective of an alternative embossing roller;

FIG. **15**A is cross sectional view taken along section line **15***a*-**15***a* of FIG. **12**;

FIG. **15**B is a cross sectional view taken along section line **15***b*-**15***b* of FIG. **12**;

FIG. **15**C is a cross sectional view taken along section line **15***c*-**15***c* of FIG. **12**;

FIG. **15**D is a cross sectional view taken along section line **15***d*-**15***d* of FIG. **12**;

FIG. **16** illustrates a system in accordance with the principles of the present invention for making the sensor of FIGS. **10** and **11**; and

FIG. **17** is a top view of another embodiment of an analyte sensor, according to the invention.

While the invention is amenable to various modifications and alternative forms, specifics thereof have been shown by way of example in the drawings and will be described in detail. It should be understood, however, that the intention is not to limit the invention to the particular embodiments described. On the contrary, the intention is to cover all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The process of the present invention is applicable to the manufacture of an analyte sensor for the in vivo and/or in vitro determination of an analyte, such as glucose or lactate, in a fluid. The process is also applicable to the production of other sensors, including, for example biosensors relaying a chemical signal through a conductive tracing.

The analyte sensors of the present invention can be utilized in a variety of contexts. For example, one embodiment of the analyte sensor is subcutaneously implanted in the interstitial tissue of a patient for the continuous or periodic monitoring of a level of an analyte in a patient's interstitial fluid. This can then be used to infer the analyte level in the patient's bloodstream. Other in vivo analyte sensors can be made, according to the invention, for insertion into a vein, artery, or other portion of the body containing fluid in order to measure a bioanalyte. The in vivo analyte sensors may be configured for obtaining a single measurement and/or for monitoring the level of the analyte over a time period which may range from hours to days or longer.

Another embodiment of the analyte sensor is used for the in vitro determination of the presence and/or level of an analyte in a sample, and, particularly, in a small volume sample (e.g., 1 to 10 microliters or less). While the present invention is not so limited, an appreciation of various aspects of the invention will be gained through a discussion of the examples provided below.

The following definitions are provided for terms used herein. A "counter electrode" refers to an electrode paired with the working electrode, through which passes a current equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to the current passing through the working electrode. In the context of the invention, the term "counter electrode" is meant to include counter electrodes which also function as reference electrodes (i.e., a counter/reference electrode).

An "electrochemical sensor" is a device configured to detect the presence and/or measure the level of an analyte in a sample via electrochemical oxidation and reduction reactions on the sensor. These reactions are transduced to an electrical signal that can be correlated to an amount, concentration, or level of an analyte in the sample.

"Electrolysis" is the electrooxidation or electroreduction of a compound either directly at an electrode or via one or more electron transfer agents.

A compound is "immobilized" on a surface when it is entrapped on or chemically bound to the surface.

A "non-leachable" or "non-releasable" compound or a compound that is "non-leachably disposed" is meant to define a compound that is affixed on the sensor such that it does not substantially diffuse away from the working surface of the working electrode for the period in which the sensor is used (e.g., the period in which the sensor is implanted in a patient or measuring a sample).

Components are "immobilized" within a sensor, for example, when the components are covalently, ionically, or coordinatively bound to constituents of the sensor and/or are entrapped in a polymeric or sol-gel matrix or membrane which precludes mobility.

An "electron transfer agent" is a compound that carries electrons between the analyte and the working electrode, either directly, or in cooperation with other electron transfer agents. One example of an electron transfer agent is a redox mediator.

A "working electrode" is an electrode at which the analyte (or a second compound whose level depends on the level of the analyte) is electrooxidized or electroreduced with or without the agency of an electron transfer agent.

A "working surface" is that portion of the working electrode which is coated with or is accessible to the electron transfer agent and configured for exposure to an analyte-containing fluid.

A "sensing layer" is a component of the sensor which includes constituents that facilitate the electrolysis of the analyte. The sensing layer may include constituents such as an electron transfer agent, a catalyst which catalyzes a reaction of the analyte to produce a response at the electrode, or

both. In some embodiments of the sensor, the sensing layer is non-leachably disposed in proximity to or on the working electrode.

A "non-corroding" conductive material includes non-metallic materials, such as carbon and conductive polymers.

Analyte Sensor Systems

The sensors of the present invention can be utilized in a variety of devices and under a variety of conditions. The particular configuration of a sensor may depend on the use for which the sensor is intended and the conditions under which the sensor will operate (e.g., in vivo or in vitro). One embodiment of the analyte sensor is configured for implantation into a patient or user for in vivo operation. For example, implantation of the sensor may be made in the arterial or venous systems for direct testing of analyte levels in blood. Alternatively, a sensor may be implanted in the interstitial tissue for determining the analyte level in interstitial fluid. This level may be correlated and/or converted to analyte levels in blood or other fluids. The site and depth of implantation may affect the particular shape, components, and configuration of the sensor. Subcutaneous implantation may be preferred, in some cases, to limit the depth of implantation of the sensor. Sensors may also be implanted in other regions of the body to determine analyte levels in other fluids. Particularly useful sensors are described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,134,461, incorporated herein by reference.

An implantable analyte sensor may be used as part of an analyte monitoring system to continuously and/or periodically monitor the level of an analyte in a body fluid of a patient. In addition to the sensor **42**, the analyte monitoring system **40** also typically includes a control unit **44** for operating the sensor **42** (e.g., providing a potential to the electrodes and obtaining measurements from the electrodes) and a processing unit **45** for analyzing the measurements from the sensor **42**. The control unit **44** and processing unit **45** may be combined in a single unit or may be separate.

Another embodiment of the sensor may be used for in vitro measurement of a level of an analyte. The in vitro sensor is coupled to a control unit and/or a processing unit to form an analyte monitoring system. In some embodiments, an in vitro analyte monitoring system is also configured to provide a sample to the sensor. For example, the analyte monitoring system may be configured to draw a sample from, for example, a lanced wound using a wicking and/or capillary action. The sample may then be drawn into contact with the sensor. Examples of such sensors may be found in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/795,767 and PCT Patent Application Publication No. WO 98/35225, incorporated herein by reference.

Other methods for providing a sample to the sensor include using a pump, syringe, or other mechanism to draw a sample from a patient through tubing or the like either directly to the sensor or into a storage unit from which a sample is obtained for the sensor. The pump, syringe, or other mechanism may operate continuously, periodically, or when desired to obtain a sample for testing. Other useful devices for providing an analyte-containing fluid to the sensor include microfiltration and/or microdialysis devices. In some embodiments, particularly those using a microdialysis device, the analyte may be drawn from the body fluid through a microporous membrane, for example, by osmotic pressure, into a carrier fluid which is then conveyed to the sensor for analysis. Other useful devices for acquiring a sample are those that collect body fluids transported across the skin using techniques, such as reverse iontophoresis, to enhance the transport of fluid containing analyte across the skin.

The Sensor

A sensor **42**, according to the invention, includes at least one working electrode **58** formed on a substrate **50**, as shown in FIG. **2**. The sensor **42** may also include at least one counter electrode **60** (or counter/reference electrode) and/or at least one reference electrode **62** (see FIG. **8**). The counter electrode **60** and/or reference electrode **62** may be formed on the substrate **50** or may be separate units. For example, the counter electrode and/or reference electrode may be formed on a second substrate which is also implanted in the patient or, for some embodiments of the implantable sensors, the counter electrode and/or reference electrode may be placed on the skin of the patient with the working electrode or electrodes being implanted into the patient. The use of an on-the-skin counter and/or reference electrode with an implantable working electrode is described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,593,852, incorporated herein by reference.

The working electrode or electrodes **58** are formed using conductive traces **52** disposed on the substrate **50**. The counter electrode **60** and/or reference electrode **62**, as well as other optional portions of the sensor **42**, such as a temperature probe **66** (see FIG. **8**), may also be formed using conductive traces **52** disposed on the substrate **50**. These conductive traces **52** may be formed over a smooth surface of the substrate **50** or within channels **54** formed by, for example, embossing, indenting or otherwise creating a depression in the substrate **50**.

A sensing layer **64** (see FIGS. **3**A and **3**B) is often formed proximate to or on at least one of the working electrodes **58** to facilitate the electrochemical detection of the analyte and the determination of its level in the sample fluid, particularly if the analyte can not be electrolyzed at a desired rate and/or with a desired specificity on a bare electrode. The sensing layer **64** may include an electron transfer agent to transfer electrons directly or indirectly between the analyte and the working electrode **58**. The sensing layer **64** may also contain a catalyst to catalyze a reaction of the analyte. The components of the sensing layer may be in a fluid or gel that is proximate to or in contact with the working electrode **58**. Alternatively, the components of the sensing layer **64** may be disposed in a polymeric or sol-gel matrix that is proximate to or on the working electrode **58**. Preferably, the components of the sensing layer **64** are non-leachably disposed within the sensor **42**. More preferably, the components of the sensor **42** are immobilized within the sensor **42**.

In addition to the electrodes **58**, **60**, **62** and the sensing layer **64**, the sensor **42** may also include a temperature probe **66** (see FIGS. **6** and **8**), a mass transport limiting layer **74** (see FIG. **9**), a biocompatible layer **75** (see FIG. **9**), and/or other optional components, as described below. Each of these items enhances the functioning of and/or results from the sensor **42**, as discussed below.

The Substrate

The substrate **50** may be formed using a variety of non-conducting materials, including, for example, polymeric or plastic materials and ceramic materials. Suitable materials for a particular sensor **42** may be determined, at least in part, based on the desired use of the sensor **42** and properties of the materials.

In some embodiments, the substrate is flexible. For example, if the sensor **42** is configured for implantation into a patient, then the sensor **42** may be made flexible (although rigid sensors may also be used for implantable sensors) to reduce pain to the patient and damage to the tissue caused by the implantation of and/or the wearing of the sensor **42**. A flexible substrate **50** often increases the patient's comfort and allows a wider range of activities. A flexible substrate **50** is

also useful for an in vitro sensor **42**, particularly for ease of manufacturing. Suitable materials for a flexible substrate **50** include, for example, non-conducting plastic or polymeric materials and other non-conducting, flexible, deformable materials. Examples of useful plastic or polymeric materials include thermoplastics such as polycarbonates, polyesters (e.g., Mylar™ and polyethylene terephthalate (PET)), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polyurethanes, polyethers, polyamides, polyimides, or copolymers of these thermoplastics, such as PETG (glycol-modified polyethylene terephthalate).

In other embodiments, the sensors **42** are made using a relatively rigid substrate **50** to, for example, provide structural support against bending or breaking. Examples of rigid materials that may be used as the substrate **50** include poorly conducting ceramics, such as aluminum oxide and silicon dioxide. One advantage of an implantable sensor **42** having a rigid substrate is that the sensor **42** may have a sharp point and/or a sharp edge to aid in implantation of a sensor **42** without an additional insertion device. In addition, rigid substrates **50** may also be used in sensors for in vitro analyte monitors.

It will be appreciated that for many sensors **42** and sensor applications, both rigid and flexible sensors will operate adequately. The flexibility of the sensor **42** may also be controlled and varied along a continuum by changing, for example, the composition and/or thickness of the substrate **50**.

In addition to considerations regarding flexibility, it is often desirable that implantable sensors **42**, as well as in vitro sensors which contact a fluid that is returned to a patient's body, should have a substrate **50** which is non-toxic. Preferably, the substrate **50** is approved by one or more appropriate governmental agencies or private groups for in vivo use.

The sensor **42** may include optional features to facilitate insertion of an implantable sensor **42**, as shown in FIG. **17**. For example, the sensor **42** may be pointed at the tip **123** to ease insertion. In addition, the sensor **42** may include a barb **125** which assists in anchoring the sensor **42** within the tissue of the patient during operation of the sensor **42**. However, the barb **125** is typically small enough that little damage is caused to the subcutaneous tissue when the sensor **42** is removed for replacement.

Although the substrate **50** in at least some embodiments has uniform dimensions along the entire length of the sensor **42**, in other embodiments, the substrate **50** has a distal end **67** at a first portion **67***a* of sensor **42** and a proximal end **65** at a second portion **65***a* of sensor **42**. First portion **67***a* and second portion **65***a* have different widths **53**, **55**, respectively, as illustrated in FIG. **2**. Width **53** is measured between edge **67'** and edge **67"** of first portion **67***a*, and width **55** is measured between edge **65'** and edge **65"** of second portion **65***a*. In these embodiments, the first portion **67***a* having distal end **67** of the substrate **50** may have a relatively narrow width **53**. For sensors **42** which are implantable into the subcutaneous tissue or another portion of a patient's body, the narrow width **53** of the first portion **67***a* having distal end **67** of the substrate **50** may facilitate the implantation of the sensor **42**. Often, the narrower the width of the sensor **42**, the less pain the patient will feel during implantation of the sensor and afterwards.

For subcutaneously implantable sensors **42** which are designed for continuous or periodic monitoring of the analyte during normal activities of the patient, the first portion **67***a* having distal end **67** of the sensor **42** which is to be implanted into the patient has a width **53** of 2 mm or less, preferably 1 mm or less, and more preferably 0.5 mm or less. If the sensor **42** does not have regions of different widths, then the sensor **42** will typically have an overall width of, for example, 2 mm, 1.5 mm, 1 mm, 0.5 mm, 0.25 mm, or less. However, wider or narrower sensors may be used. In particular, wider implantable sensors may be used for insertion into veins or arteries or when the movement of the patient is limited, for example, when the patient is confined in bed or in a hospital.

For sensors **42** which are designed for measuring small volume in vitro samples, the narrow width **53** may reduce the volume of sample needed for an accurate reading. The narrow width **53** of the sensor **42** results in all of the electrodes of the sensor **42** being closely congregated, thereby requiring less sample volume to cover all of the electrodes. The width of an in vitro sensor **42** may vary depending, at least in part, on the volume of sample available to the sensor **42** and the dimensions of the sample chamber in which the sensor **42** is disposed.

Returning to FIG. **2**, the proximal end **65** of the sensor **42** may have a width **55** larger than the distal end **67** to facilitate the connection between contact pads **49** of the electrodes and contacts on a control unit. The wider the sensor **42** at this point, the larger the contact pads **49** can be made. This may reduce the precision needed to properly connect the sensor **42** to contacts on the control unit (e.g., sensor control unit **44** of FIG. **1**). However, the maximum width of the sensor **42** may be constrained so that the sensor **42** remains small for the convenience and comfort of the patient and/or to fit the desired size of the analyte monitor. For example, the proximal end **65** of a subcutaneously implantable sensor **42**, such as the sensor **42** illustrated in FIG. **1**, may have a width **55** ranging from 0.5 mm to 15 mm, preferably from 1 mm to 10 mm, and more preferably from 3 mm to 7 mm. However, wider or narrower sensors may be used in this and other in vivo and in vitro applications.

The thickness of the substrate **50** may be determined by the mechanical properties of the substrate material (e.g., the strength, modulus, and/or flexibility of the material), the desired use of the sensor **42** including stresses on the substrate **50** arising from that use, as well as the depth of any channels or indentations formed in the substrate **50**, as discussed below. Typically, the substrate **50** of a subcutaneously implantable sensor **42** for continuous or periodic monitoring of the level of an analyte while the patient engages in normal activities has a thickness of 50 to 500 μm and preferably 100 to 300 μm. However, thicker and thinner substrates **50** may be used, particularly in other types of in vivo and in vitro sensors **42**.

The length of the sensor **42** may have a wide range of values depending on a variety of factors. Factors which influence the length of an implantable sensor **42** may include the depth of implantation into the patient and the ability of the patient to manipulate a small flexible sensor **42** and make connections between the sensor **42** and the sensor control unit **44**. A subcutaneously implantable sensor **42** for the analyte monitor illustrated in FIG. **1** may have a length ranging from 0.3 to 5 cm, however, longer or shorter sensors may be used. The length of the narrow portion of the sensor **42** (e.g., the portion which is subcutaneously inserted into the patient), if the sensor **42** has narrow and wide portions, is typically about 0.25 to 2 cm in length. However, longer and shorter portions may be used. All or only a part of this narrow portion may be subcutaneously implanted into the patient.

The lengths of other implantable sensors **42** will vary depending, at least in part, on the portion of the patient into which the sensor **42** is to be implanted or inserted. The length of in vitro sensors may vary over a wide range depending on the particular configuration of the analyte monitoring system and, in particular, the distance between the contacts of the control unit and the sample.

Conductive Traces

At least one conductive trace **52** is formed on the substrate for use in constructing a working electrode **58**. In addition, other conductive traces **52** may be formed on the substrate **50** for use as electrodes (e.g., additional working electrodes, as well as counter, counter/reference, and/or reference electrodes) and other components, such as a temperature probe. The conductive traces **52** may extend most of the distance along a length **57** of the sensor **50**, as illustrated in FIG. **2**, although this is not necessary. The placement of the conductive traces **52** may depend on the particular configuration of the analyte monitoring system (e.g., the placement of control unit contacts and/or the sample chamber in relation to the sensor **42**). For implantable sensors, particularly subcutaneously implantable sensors, the conductive traces typically extend close to the tip of the sensor **42** to minimize the amount of the sensor that must be implanted.

The conductive traces **52** may be formed on the substrate **50** by a variety of techniques, including, for example, photolithography, screen printing, or other impact or non-impact printing techniques. The conductive traces **52** may also be formed by carbonizing conductive traces **52** in an organic (e.g., polymeric or plastic) substrate **50** using a laser.

Another method for disposing the conductive traces **52** on the substrate **50** includes the formation of recessed channels **54** in one or more surfaces of the substrate **50** and the subsequent filling of these recessed channels **54** with a conductive material **56**, as shown in FIG. **3**A. The recessed channels **54** may be formed by indenting, embossing, or otherwise creating a depression in the surface of the substrate **50**. The depth of the channels is typically related to the thickness of the substrate **50**. In one embodiment, the channels have depths in the range of about 12.5 to 75 μm (0.5 to 3 mils), and preferably about 25 to 50 μm (1 to 2 mils).

The conductive traces are typically formed using a conductive material **56** such as carbon (e.g., graphite), a conductive polymer, a metal or alloy (e.g., gold or gold alloy), or a metallic compound (e.g., ruthenium dioxide or titanium dioxide). The formation of films of carbon, conductive polymer, metal, alloy, or metallic compound are well-known and include, for example, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), physical vapor deposition, sputtering, reactive sputtering, printing, coating, and painting. The conductive material **56** which fills the channels **54** is often formed using a precursor material, such as a conductive ink or paste. In these embodiments, the conductive material **56** is deposited on the substrate **50** using methods such as coating, painting, or applying the material using a spreading instrument, such as a coating blade. Excess conductive material between the channels **54** is then removed by, for example, running a blade along the substrate surface.

In one embodiment, the conductive material **56** is a part of a precursor material, such as a conductive ink, obtainable, for example, from Ercon, Inc. (Wareham, Mass.), Metech, Inc. (Elverson, Pa.), E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. (Wilmington, Del.), Emca-Remex Products (Montgomeryville, Pa.), or MCA Services (Melbourn, Great Britain). The conductive ink is typically applied as a semiliquid or paste which contains particles of the carbon, metal, alloy, or metallic compound and a solvent or dispersant. After application of the conductive ink on the substrate **50** (e.g., in the channels **54**), the solvent or dispersant evaporates to leave behind a solid mass of conductive material **56**.

In addition to the particles of carbon, metal, alloy, or metallic compound, the conductive ink may also contain a binder. The binder may optionally be cured to further bind the conductive material **56** within the channel **54** and/or on the substrate **50**. Curing the binder increases the conductivity of the conductive material **56**. However, this is typically not necessary as the currents carried by the conductive material **56** within the conductive traces **52** are often relatively low (usually less than 1 μA and often less than 100 nA). Typical binders include, for example, polyurethane resins, cellulose derivatives, elastomers, and highly fluorinated polymers. Examples of elastomers include silicones, polymeric dienes, and acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS) resins. One example of a fluorinated polymer binder is Teflon® (DuPont, Wilmington, Del.). These binders are cured using, for example, heat or light, including ultraviolet (UV) light. The appropriate curing method typically depends on the particular binder which is used.

Often, when a liquid or semiliquid precursor of the conductive material **56** (e.g., a conductive ink) is deposited in the channel **54**, the precursor fills the channel **54**. However, when the solvent or dispersant evaporates, the conductive material **56** which remains may lose volume such that the conductive material **56** may or may not continue to fill the channel **54**. Preferred conductive materials **56** do not pull away from the substrate **50** as they lose volume, but rather decrease in height within the channel **54**. These conductive materials **56** typically adhere well to the substrate **50** and therefore do not pull away from the substrate **50** during evaporation of the solvent or dispersant. Other suitable conductive materials **56** either adhere to at least a portion of the substrate **50** and/or contain another additive, such as a binder, which adheres the conductive material **56** to the substrate **50**. Preferably, the conductive material **56** in the channels **54** is non-leachable, and more preferably immobilized on the substrate **50**. In some embodiments, the conductive material **56** may be formed by multiple applications of a liquid or semiliquid precursor interspersed with removal of the solvent or dispersant.

In another embodiment, the channels **54** are formed using a laser. The laser carbonizes the polymer or plastic material. The carbon formed in this process is used as the conductive material **56**. Additional conductive material **56**, such as a conductive carbon ink, may be used to supplement the carbon formed by the laser.

In a further embodiment, the conductive traces **52** are formed by pad printing techniques. For example, a film of conductive material is formed either as a continuous film or as a coating layer deposited on a carrier film. This film of conductive material is brought between a print head and the substrate **50**. A pattern on the surface of the substrate **50** is made using the print head according to a desired pattern of conductive traces **52**. The conductive material is transferred by pressure and/or heat from the film of conductive material to the substrate **50**. This technique often produces channels (e.g., depressions caused by the print head) in the substrate **50**. Alternatively, the conductive material is deposited on the surface of the substrate **50** without forming substantial depressions.

In other embodiments, the conductive traces **52** are formed by non-impact printing techniques. Such techniques include electrophotography and magnetography. In these processes, an image of the conductive traces **52** is electrically or magnetically formed on a drum. A laser or LED may be used to electrically form an image. A magnetic recording head may be used to magnetically form an image. A toner material (e.g., a conductive material, such as a conductive ink) is then attracted to portions of the drum according to the image. The toner material is then applied to the substrate by contact between the drum and the substrate. For example, the substrate may be rolled over the drum. The toner material may

then be dried and/or a binder in the toner material may be cured to adhere the toner material to the substrate.

Another non-impact printing technique includes ejecting droplets of conductive material onto the substrate in a desired pattern. Examples of this technique include ink jet printing and piezo jet printing. An image is sent to the printer which then ejects the conductive material (e.g., a conductive ink) according to the pattern. The printer may provide a continuous stream of conductive material or the printer may eject the conductive material in discrete amounts at the desired points.

Yet another non-impact printing embodiment of forming the conductive traces includes an ionographic process. In this process, a curable, liquid precursor, such as a photopolymerizable acrylic resin (e.g., Solimer 7501 from Cubital, Bad Kreuznach, Germany) is deposited over a surface of a substrate **50**. A photomask having a positive or negative image of the conductive traces **52** is then used to cure the liquid precursor. Light (e.g., visible or ultraviolet light) is directed through the photomask to cure the liquid precursor and form a solid layer over the substrate according to the image on the photomask. Uncured liquid precursor is removed leaving behind channels **54** in the solid layer. These channels **54** can then be filled with conductive material **56** to form conductive traces **52**.

Conductive traces **52** (and channels **54**, if used) can be formed with relatively narrow widths, for example, in the range of 25 to 250 μm, and including widths of, for example, 250 μm, 150 μm, 100 μm, 75 μm, 50 μm, 25 μm or less by the methods described above. In embodiments with two or more conductive traces **52** on the same side of the substrate **50**, the conductive traces **52** are separated by distances sufficient to prevent conduction between the conductive traces **52**. The edge-to-edge distance between the conductive traces is preferably in the range of 25 to 250 μm and may be, for example, 150 μm, 100 μm, 75 μm, 50 μm, or less. The density of the conductive traces **52** on the substrate **50** is preferably in the range of about 150 to 700 μm/trace and may be as small as 667 μm/trace or less, 333 μm/trace or less, or even 167 μm/trace or less.

The working electrode **58** and the counter electrode **60** (if a separate reference electrode is used) are often made using a conductive material **56**, such as carbon. Suitable carbon conductive inks are available from Ercon, Inc. (Wareham, Mass.), Metech, Inc. (Elverson, Pa.), E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. (Wilmington, Del.), Emca-Remex Products (Montgomeryville, Pa.), or MCA Services (Melbourn, Great Britain). Typically, the working surface **51** of the working electrode **58** is at least a portion of the conductive trace **52** that is in contact with the analyte-containing fluid (e.g., implanted in the patient or in the sample chamber of an in vitro analyte monitor).

The reference electrode **62** and/or counter/reference electrode are typically formed using conductive material **56** that is a suitable reference material, for example silver/silver chloride or a non-leachable redox couple bound to a conductive material, for example, a carbon-bound redox couple. Suitable silver/silver chloride conductive inks are available from Ercon, Inc. (Wareham, Mass.), Metech, Inc. (Elverson, Pa.), E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. (Wilmington, Del.), Emca-Remex Products (Montgomeryville, Pa.), or MCA Services (Melbourn, Great Britain). Silver/silver chloride electrodes illustrate a type of reference electrode that involves the reaction of a metal electrode with a constituent of the sample or body fluid, in this case, Cl^-.

Suitable redox couples for binding to the conductive material of the reference electrode include, for example, redox polymers (e.g., polymers having multiple redox centers.) It is preferred that the reference electrode surface be non-corroding so that an erroneous potential is not measured. Preferred conductive materials include less corrosive metals, such as gold and palladium. Most preferred are non-corrosive materials including non-metallic conductors, such as carbon and conducting polymers. A redox polymer can be adsorbed on or covalently bound to the conductive material of the reference electrode, such as a carbon surface of a conductive trace **52**. Non-polymeric redox couples can be similarly bound to carbon or gold surfaces.

A variety of methods may be used to immobilize a redox polymer on an electrode surface. One method is adsorptive immobilization. This method is particularly useful for redox polymers with relatively high molecular weights. The molecular weight of a polymer may be increased, for example, by cross-linking.

Another method for immobilizing the redox polymer includes the functionalization of the electrode surface and then the chemical bonding, often covalently, of the redox polymer to the functional groups on the electrode surface. One example of this type of immobilization begins with a poly(4-vinylpyridine). The polymer's pyridine rings are, in part, complexed with a reducible/oxidizable species, such as $[Os(bpy)_2Cl]^{+/2+}$ where bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine. Part of the pyridine rings are quaternized by reaction with 2-bromoethylamine. The polymer is then crosslinked, for example, using a diepoxide, such as polyethylene glycol diglycidyl ether.

Carbon surfaces can be modified for attachment of a redox species or polymer, for example, by electroreduction of a diazonium salt. As an illustration, reduction of a diazonium salt formed upon diazotization of p-aminobenzoic acid modifies a carbon surface with phenylcarboxylic acid functional groups. These functional groups can then be activated by a carbodiimide, such as 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride. The activated functional groups are then bound with a amine-functionalized redox couple, such as the quaternized osmium-containing redox polymer described above or 2-aminoethylferrocene, to form the redox couple.

Similarly, gold can be functionalized by an amine, such as cystamine. A redox couple such as $[Os(bpy)_2(pyridine\text{-}4\text{-}carboxylate)Cl]^{0/+}$ is activated by 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride to form a reactive O-acylisourea which reacts with the gold-bound amine to form an amide.

In one embodiment, in addition to using the conductive traces **52** as electrodes or probe leads, two or more of the conductive traces **52** on the substrate **50** are used to give the patient a mild electrical shock when, for example, the analyte level exceeds a threshold level. This shock may act as a warning or alarm to the patient to initiate some action to restore the appropriate level of the analyte.

The mild electrical shock is produced by applying a potential between any two conductive traces **52** that are not otherwise connected by a conductive path. For example, two of the electrodes **58**, **60**, **62** or one electrode **58**, **60**, **62** and the temperature probe **66** may be used to provide the mild shock. Preferably, the working electrode **58** and the reference electrode **62** are not used for this purpose as this may cause some damage to the chemical components on or proximate to the particular electrode (e.g., the sensing layer on the working electrode or the redox couple on the reference electrode).

The current used to produce the mild shock is typically 0.1 to 1 mA. Higher or lower currents may be used, although care should be taken to avoid harm to the patient. The potential between the conductive traces is typically 1 to 10 volts. However, higher or lower voltages may be used depending, for

example, on the resistance of the conductive traces **52**, the distance between the conductive traces **52** and the desired amount of current. When the mild shock is delivered, potentials at the working electrode **58** and across the temperature probe **66** may be removed to prevent harm to those components caused by unwanted conduction between the working electrode **58** (and/or temperature probe **66**, if used) and the conductive traces **52** which provide the mild shock.

Contact Pads

Typically, each of the conductive traces **52** includes a contact pad **49**. The contact pad **49** may simply be a portion of the conductive trace **52** that is indistinguishable from the rest of the trace **52** except that the contact pad **49** is brought into contact with the conductive contacts of a control unit (e.g., the sensor control unit **44** of FIG. **1**). More commonly, however, the contact pad **49** is a region of the conductive trace **52** that has a larger width than other regions of the trace **52** to facilitate a connection with the contacts on the control unit. By making the contact pads **49** relatively large as compared with the width of the conductive traces **52**, the need for precise registration between the contact pads **49** and the contacts on the control unit is less critical than with small contact pads.

The contact pads **49** are typically made using the same material as the conductive material **56** of the conductive traces **52**. However, this is not necessary. Although metal, alloys, and metallic compounds may be used to form the contact pads **49**, in some embodiments, it is desirable to make the contact pads **49** from a carbon or other non-metallic material, such as a conducting polymer. In contrast to metal or alloy contact pads, carbon and other non-metallic contact pads are not easily corroded if the contact pads **49** are in a wet, moist, or humid environment. Metals and alloys may corrode under these conditions, particularly if the contact pads **49** and contacts of the control unit are made using different metals or alloys. However, carbon and non-metallic contact pads **49** do not significantly corrode, even if the contacts of the control device are metal or alloy.

One embodiment of the invention includes a sensor **42** having contact pads **49** and a control unit **44** having conductive contacts (not shown). During operation of the sensor **42**, the contact pads **49** and conductive contacts are in contact with each other. In this embodiment, either the contact pads **49** or the conductive contacts are made using a non-corroding, conductive material. Such materials include, for example, carbon and conducting polymers. Preferred non-corroding materials include graphite and vitreous carbon. The opposing contact pad or conductive contact is made using carbon, a conducting polymer, a metal, such as gold, palladium, or platinum group metal, or a metallic compound, such as ruthenium dioxide. This configuration of contact pads and conductive contacts typically reduces corrosion. Preferably, when the sensor is placed in a 3 mM, and more preferably, in a 100 mM, NaCl solution, the signal arising due to the corrosion of the contact pads and/or conductive contacts is less than 3% of the signal generated by the sensor when exposed to concentration of analyte in the normal physiological range. For at least some subcutaneous glucose sensors, the current generated by analyte in a normal physiological range ranges from 3 to 500 nA.

Each of the electrodes **58**, **60**, **62**, as well as the two probe leads **68**, **70** of the temperature probe **66** (described below), are connected to contact pads **49** as shown in FIGS. **10** and **11**. In one embodiment (not shown), the contact pads **49** are on the same side of the substrate **50** as the respective electrodes or temperature probe leads to which the contact pads **49** are attached.

In other embodiments, the conductive traces **52** on at least one side are connected through vias in the substrate to contact pads **49***a* on the opposite surface of the substrate **50**, as shown in FIGS. **10** and **11**. An advantage of this configuration is that contact between the contacts on the control unit and each of the electrodes **58**, **60**, **62** and the probe leads **68**,**70** of the temperature probe **66** can be made from a single side of the substrate **50**.

In yet other embodiments (not shown), vias through the substrate are used to provide contact pads on both sides of the substrate **50** for each conductive trace **52**. The vias connecting the conductive traces **52** with the contact pads **49***a* can be formed by making holes through the substrate **50** at the appropriate points and then filling the holes with conductive material **56**.

Exemplary Electrode Configurations

A number of exemplary electrode configurations are described below, however, it will be understood that other configurations may also be used. In one embodiment, illustrated in FIG. **3**A, the sensor **42** includes two working electrodes **58***a*, **58***b* and one counter electrode **60**, which also functions as a reference electrode. In another embodiment, the sensor includes one working electrode **58***a*, one counter electrode **60**, and one reference electrode **62**, as shown in FIG. **3**B. Each of these embodiments is illustrated with all of the electrodes formed on the same side of the substrate **50**.

Alternatively, one or more of the electrodes may be formed on an opposing side of the substrate **50**. This may be convenient if the electrodes are formed using two different types of conductive material **56** (e.g., carbon and silver/silver chloride). Then, at least in some embodiments, only one type of conductive material **56** needs to be applied to each side of the substrate **50**, thereby reducing the number of steps in the manufacturing process and/or easing the registration constraints in the process. For example, if the working electrode **58** is formed using a carbon-based conductive material **56** and the reference or counter/reference electrode is formed using a silver/silver chloride conductive material **56**, then the working electrode and reference or counter/reference electrode may be formed on opposing sides of the substrate **50** for ease of manufacture.

In another embodiment, two working electrodes **58** and one counter electrode **60** are formed on one side of the substrate **50** and one reference electrode **62** and a temperature probe **66** are formed on an opposing side of the substrate **50**, as illustrated in FIG. **6**. The opposing sides of the tip of this embodiment of the sensor **42** are illustrated in FIGS. **7** and **8**.

Sensing Layer

Some analytes, such as oxygen, can be directly electrooxidized or electroreduced on the working electrode **58**. Other analytes, such as glucose and lactate, require the presence of at least one electron transfer agent and/or at least one catalyst to facilitate the electrooxidation or electroreduction of the analyte. Catalysts may also be used for those analyte, such as oxygen, that can be directly electrooxidized or electroreduced on the working electrode **58**. For these analytes, each working electrode **58** has a sensing layer **64** formed proximate to or on a working surface of the working electrode **58**. Typically, the sensing layer **64** is formed near or on only a small portion of the working electrode **58**, often near a tip of the sensor **42**. This limits the amount of material needed to form the sensor **42** and places the sensing layer **64** in the best position for contact with the analyte-containing fluid (e.g., a body fluid, sample fluid, or carrier fluid).

The sensing layer **64** includes one or more components designed to facilitate the electrolysis of the analyte. The sensing layer **64** may include, for example, a catalyst to catalyze

a reaction of the analyte and produce a response at the working electrode **58**, an electron transfer agent to indirectly or directly transfer electrons between the analyte and the working electrode **58**, or both.

The sensing layer **64** may be formed as a solid composition of the desired components (e.g., an electron transfer agent and/or a catalyst). These components are preferably non-leachable from the sensor **42** and more preferably are immobilized on the sensor **42**. For example, the components may be immobilized on a working electrode **58**. Alternatively, the components of the sensing layer **64** may be immobilized within or between one or more membranes or films disposed over the working electrode **58** or the components may be immobilized in a polymeric or sol-gel matrix. Examples of immobilized sensing layers are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,262,035, 5,264,104, 5,264,105, 5,320,725, 5,593,852, and 5,665,222, and PCT Patent Application Publication No. WO 98/35053, incorporated herein by reference.

In some embodiments, one or more of the components of the sensing layer **64** may be solvated, dispersed, or suspended in a fluid within the sensing layer **64**, instead of forming a solid composition. The fluid may be provided with the sensor **42** or may be absorbed by the sensor **42** from the analyte-containing fluid. Preferably, the components which are solvated, dispersed, or suspended in this type of sensing layer **64** are non-leachable from the sensing layer. Non-leachability may be accomplished, for example, by providing barriers (e.g., the electrode, substrate, membranes, and/or films) around the sensing layer which prevent the leaching of the components of the sensing layer **64**. One example of such a barrier is a microporous membrane or film which allows diffusion of the analyte into the sensing layer **64** to make contact with the components of the sensing layer **64**, but reduces or eliminates the diffusion of the sensing layer components (e.g., a electron transfer agent and/or a catalyst) out of the sensing layer **64**.

A variety of different sensing layer configurations can be used. In one embodiment, the sensing layer **64** is deposited on the conductive material **56** of a working electrode **58***a*, as illustrated in FIGS. **3**A and **3**B. The sensing layer **64** may extend beyond the conductive material **56** of the working electrode **58***a*. In some cases, the sensing layer **64** may also extend over the counter electrode **60** or reference electrode **62** without degrading the performance of the glucose sensor. For those sensors **42** which utilize channels **54** within which the conductive material **56** is deposited, a portion of the sensing layer **64** may be formed within the channel **54** if the conductive material **56** does not fill the channel **54**.

A sensing layer **64** in direct contact with the working electrode **58***a* may contain an electron transfer agent to transfer electrons directly or indirectly between the analyte and the working electrode, as well as a catalyst to facilitate a reaction of the analyte. For example, a glucose, lactate, or oxygen electrode may be formed having a sensing layer which contains a catalyst, such as glucose oxidase, lactate oxidase, or laccase, respectively, and an electron transfer agent that facilitates the electrooxidation of the glucose, lactate, or oxygen, respectively.

In another embodiment, the sensing layer **64** is not deposited directly on the working electrode **58***a*. Instead, the sensing layer **64** is spaced apart from the working electrode **58***a*, as illustrated in FIG. **4**A, and separated from the working electrode **58***a* by a separation layer **61**. The separation layer **61** typically includes one or more membranes or films. In addition to separating the working electrode **58***a* from the sensing layer **64**, the separation layer **61** may also act as a mass transport limiting layer or an interferent eliminating layer, as described below.

Typically, a sensing layer **64**, which is not in direct contact with the working electrode **58***a*, includes a catalyst that facilitates a reaction of the analyte. However, this sensing layer **64** typically does not include an electron transfer agent that transfers electrons directly from the working electrode **58***a* to the analyte, as the sensing layer **64** is spaced apart from the working electrode **58***a*. One example of this type of sensor is a glucose or lactate sensor which includes an enzyme (e.g., glucose oxidase or lactate oxidase, respectively) in the sensing layer **64**. The glucose or lactate reacts with a second compound (e.g., oxygen) in the presence of the enzyme. The second compound is then electrooxidized or electroreduced at the electrode. Changes in the signal at the electrode indicate changes in the level of the second compound in the fluid and are proportional to changes in glucose or lactate level and, thus, correlate to the analyte level.

In another embodiment, two sensing layers **63**, **64** are used, as shown in FIG. **4**B. Each of the two sensing layers **63**, **64** may be independently formed on the working electrode **58***a* or in proximity to the working electrode **58***a*. One sensing layer **64** is typically, although not necessarily, spaced apart from the working electrode **58***a*. For example, this sensing layer **64** may include a catalyst which catalyzes a reaction of the analyte to form a product compound. The product compound is then electrolyzed in the second sensing layer **63** which may include an electron transfer agent to transfer electrons between the working electrode **58***a* and the product compound and/or a second catalyst to catalyze a reaction of the product compound to generate a signal at the working electrode **58***a*.

For example, a glucose or lactate sensor may include a first sensing layer **64** which is spaced apart from the working electrode and contains an enzyme, for example, glucose oxidase or lactate oxidase. The reaction of glucose or lactate in the presence of the appropriate enzyme forms hydrogen peroxide. A second sensing layer **63** is provided directly on the working electrode **58***a* and contains a peroxidase enzyme and an electron transfer agent to generate a signal at the electrode in response to the hydrogen peroxide. The level of hydrogen peroxide indicated by the sensor then correlates to the level of glucose or lactate. Another sensor which operates similarly can be made using a single sensing layer with both the glucose or lactate oxidase and the peroxidase being deposited in the single sensing layer. Examples of such sensors are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,593,852, 5,665,222 and PCT Patent Application Publication No. WO 98/35053, incorporated herein by reference.

In some embodiments, one or more of the working electrodes **58***b* do not have a corresponding sensing layer **64**, as shown in FIGS. **3**A and **4**A, or have a sensing layer (not shown) which does not contain one or more components (e.g., an electron transfer agent or catalyst) needed to electrolyze the analyte. The signal generated at this working electrode **58***b* typically arises from interferents and other sources, such as ions, in the fluid, and not in response to the analyte (because the analyte is not electrooxidized or electroreduced). Thus, the signal at this working electrode **58***b* corresponds to a background signal. The background signal can be removed from the analyte signal obtained from other working electrodes **58***a* that are associated with fully-functional sensing layers **64** by, for example, subtracting the signal at working electrode **58***b* from the signal at working electrode **58***a*.

Sensors having multiple working electrodes **58***a* may also be used to obtain more precise results by averaging the signals

or measurements generated at these working electrodes **58***a*. In addition, multiple readings at a single working electrode **58***a* or at multiple working electrodes may be averaged to obtain more precise data.

Electron Transfer Agent

In many embodiments, the sensing layer **64** contains one or more electron transfer agents in contact with the conductive material **56** of the working electrode **58**, as shown in FIGS. **3**A and **3**B. In some embodiments, it is acceptable for the electron transfer agent to diffuse or leach away from the working electrode, particularly for in vitro sensors **42** that are used only once. Other in vitro sensors may utilize a carrier fluid which contains the electron transfer agent. The analyte is transferred to the carrier fluid from the original sample fluid by, for example, osmotic flow through a microporous membrane or the like.

In yet other embodiments of the invention, there is little or no leaching of the electron transfer agent away from the working electrode **58** during the period in which the sensor **42** is implanted in the patient or measuring an in vitro analyte-containing sample. A diffusing or leachable (i.e., releasable) electron transfer agent often diffuses into the analyte-containing fluid, thereby reducing the effectiveness of the electrode by reducing the sensitivity of the sensor over time. In addition, a diffusing or leaching electron transfer agent in an implantable sensor **42** may also cause damage to the patient. In these embodiments, preferably, at least 90%, more preferably, at least 95%, and, most preferably, at least 99%, of the electron transfer agent remains disposed on the sensor after immersion in the analyte-containing fluid for 24 hours, and, more preferably, for 72 hours. In particular, for an implantable sensor, preferably, at least 90%, more preferably, at least 95%, and most preferably, at least 99%, of the electron transfer agent remains disposed on the sensor after immersion in the body fluid at 37° C. for 24 hours, and, more preferably, for 72 hours.

In some embodiments of the invention, to prevent leaching, the electron transfer agents are bound or otherwise immobilized on the working electrode **58** or between or within one or more membranes or films disposed over the working electrode **58**. The electron transfer agent may be immobilized on the working electrode **58** using, for example, a polymeric or sol-gel immobilization technique. Alternatively, the electron transfer agent may be chemically (e.g., ionically, covalently, or coordinatively) bound to the working electrode **58**, either directly or indirectly through another molecule, such as a polymer, that is in turn bound to the working electrode **58**.

Application of the sensing layer **64** on a working electrode **58***a* is one method for creating a working surface for the working electrode **58***a*, as shown in FIGS. **3**A and **3**B. The electron transfer agent mediates the transfer of electrons to electrooxidize or electroreduce an analyte and thereby permits a current flow between the working electrode **58** and the counter electrode **60** via the analyte. The mediation of the electron transfer agent facilitates the electrochemical analysis of analytes which are not suited for direct electrochemical reaction on an electrode.

In general, the preferred electron transfer agents are electroreducible and electrooxidizable ions or molecules having redox potentials that are a few hundred millivolts above or below the redox potential of the standard calomel electrode (SCE). Preferably, the electron transfer agents are not more reducing than about −150 mV and not more oxidizing than about +400 mV versus SCE.

The electron transfer agent may be organic, organometallic, or inorganic. Examples of organic redox species are quinones and species that in their oxidized state have quinoid structures, such as Nile blue and indophenol. Some quinones and partially oxidized quinhydrones react with functional groups of proteins such as the thiol groups of cysteine, the amine groups of lysine and arginine, and the phenolic groups of tyrosine which may render those redox species unsuitable for some of the sensors of the present invention because of the presence of the interfering proteins in an analyte-containing fluid. Usually substituted quinones and molecules with quinoid structure are less reactive with proteins and are preferred. A preferred tetrasubstituted quinone usually has carbon atoms in positions **1**, **2**, **3**, and **4**.

In general, electron transfer agents suitable for use in the invention have structures or charges which prevent or substantially reduce the diffusional loss of the electron transfer agent during the period of time that the sample is being analyzed. The preferred electron transfer agents include a redox species bound to a polymer which can in turn be immobilized on the working electrode. The bond between the redox species and the polymer may be covalent, coordinative, or ionic. Useful electron transfer agents and methods for producing them are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,264,104; 5,356,786; 5,262,035; and 5,320,725, incorporated herein by reference. Although any organic or organometallic redox species can be bound to a polymer and used as an electron transfer agent, the preferred redox species is a transition metal compound or complex. The preferred transition metal compounds or complexes include osmium, ruthenium, iron, and cobalt compounds or complexes. The most preferred are osmium compounds and complexes. It will be recognized that many of the redox species described below may also be used, typically without a polymeric component, as electron transfer agents in a carrier fluid or in a sensing layer of a sensor where leaching of the electron transfer agent is acceptable.

One type of non-releasable polymeric electron transfer agent contains a redox species covalently bound in a polymeric composition. An example of this type of mediator is poly(vinylferrocene).

Another type of non-releasable electron transfer agent contains an ionically-bound redox species. Typically, this type of mediator includes a charged polymer coupled to an oppositely charged redox species. Examples of this type of mediator include a negatively charged polymer such as Nafion® (DuPont) coupled to a positively charged redox species such as an osmium or ruthenium polypyridyl cation. Another example of an ionically-bound mediator is a positively charged polymer such as quaternized poly(4-vinyl pyridine) or poly(1-vinyl imidazole) coupled to a negatively charged redox species such as ferricyanide or ferrocyanide. The preferred ionically-bound redox species is a highly charged redox species bound within an oppositely charged redox polymer.

In another embodiment of the invention, suitable non-releasable electron transfer agents include a redox species coordinatively bound to a polymer. For example, the mediator may be formed by coordination of an osmium or cobalt 2,2'-bipyridyl complex to poly(1-vinyl imidazole) or poly(4-vinyl pyridine).

The preferred electron transfer agents are osmium transition metal complexes with one or more ligands, each ligand having a nitrogen-containing heterocycle such as 2,2'-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, or derivatives thereof. Furthermore, the preferred electron transfer agents also have one or more ligands covalently bound in a polymer, each ligand having at least one nitrogen-containing heterocycle, such as pyridine, imidazole, or derivatives thereof. These preferred electron transfer agents exchange electrons rapidly between

each other and the working electrodes **58** so that the complex can be rapidly oxidized and reduced.

One example of a particularly useful electron transfer agent includes (a) a polymer or copolymer having pyridine or imidazole functional groups and (b) osmium cations complexed with two ligands, each ligand containing 2,2'-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, or derivatives thereof, the two ligands not necessarily being the same. Preferred derivatives of 2,2'-bipyridine for complexation with the osmium cation are 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine and mono-, di-, and polyalkoxy-2,2'-bipyridines, such as 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine. Preferred derivatives of 1,10-phenanthroline for complexation with the osmium cation are 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and mono, di-, and polyalkoxy-1,10-phenanthrolines, such as 4,7-dimethoxy-1,10-phenanthroline. Preferred polymers for complexation with the osmium cation include polymers and copolymers of poly (1-vinyl imidazole) (referred to as "PVI") and poly(4-vinyl pyridine) (referred to as "PVP"). Suitable copolymer substituents of poly(1-vinyl imidazole) include acrylonitrile, acrylamide, and substituted or quaternized N-vinyl imidazole. Most preferred are electron transfer agents with osmium complexed to a polymer or copolymer of poly(1-vinyl imidazole).

The preferred electron transfer agents have a redox potential ranging from −100 mV to about +150 mV versus the standard calomel electrode (SCE). Preferably, the potential of the electron transfer agent ranges from −100 mV to +150 mV and more preferably, the potential ranges from −50 mV to +50 mV. The most preferred electron transfer agents have osmium redox centers and a redox potential ranging from +50 mV to −150 mV versus SCE.

Catalyst

The sensing layer **64** may also include a catalyst which is capable of catalyzing a reaction of the analyte. The catalyst may also, in some embodiments, act as an electron transfer agent. One example of a suitable catalyst is an enzyme which catalyzes a reaction of the analyte. For example, a catalyst, such as a glucose oxidase, glucose dehydrogenase (e.g., pyrroloquinoline quinone glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ)), or oligosaccharide dehydrogenase, may be used when the analyte is glucose. A lactate oxidase or lactate dehydrogenase may be used when the analyte is lactate. Laccase may be used when the analyte is oxygen or when oxygen is generated or consumed in response to a reaction of the analyte.

Preferably, the catalyst is non-leachably disposed on the sensor, whether the catalyst is part of a solid sensing layer in the sensor or solvated in a fluid within the sensing layer. More preferably, the catalyst is immobilized within the sensor (e.g., on the electrode and/or within or between a membrane or film) to prevent unwanted leaching of the catalyst away from the working electrode **58** and into the patient. This may be accomplished, for example, by attaching the catalyst to a polymer, cross linking the catalyst with another electron transfer agent (which, as described above, can be polymeric), and/or providing one or more barrier membranes or films with pore sizes smaller than the catalyst.

As described above, a second catalyst may also be used. This second catalyst is often used to catalyze a reaction of a product compound resulting from the catalyzed reaction of the analyte. The second catalyst typically operates with an electron transfer agent to electrolyze the product compound to generate a signal at the working electrode. Alternatively, the second catalyst may be provided in an interferent-eliminating layer to catalyze reactions that remove interferents, as described below.

One embodiment of the invention is an electrochemical sensor in which the catalyst is mixed or dispersed in the conductive material **56** which forms the conductive trace **52** of a working electrode **58**. This may be accomplished, for example, by mixing a catalyst, such as an enzyme, in a carbon ink and applying the mixture into a channel **54** on the surface of the substrate **50**. Preferably, the catalyst is immobilized in the channel **53** so that it cannot leach away from the working electrode **58**. This may be accomplished, for example, by curing a binder in the carbon ink using a curing technique appropriate to the binder. Curing techniques include, for example, evaporation of a solvent or dispersant, exposure to ultraviolet light, or exposure to heat. Typically, the mixture is applied under conditions that do not substantially degrade the catalyst. For example, the catalyst may be an enzyme that is heat-sensitive. The enzyme and conductive material mixture should be applied and cured, preferably, without sustained periods of heating. The mixture may be cured using evaporation or UV curing techniques or by the exposure to heat that is sufficiently short that the catalyst is not substantially degraded.

Another consideration for in vivo analyte sensors is the thermostability of the catalyst. Many enzymes have only limited stability at biological temperatures. Thus, it may be necessary to use large amounts of the catalyst and/or use a catalyst that is thermostable at the necessary temperature (e.g., 37° C. or higher for normal body temperature). A thermostable catalyst may be defined as a catalyst which loses less than 5% of its activity when held at 37° C. for at least one hour, preferably, at least one day, and more preferably at least three days. One example of a thermostable catalyst is soybean peroxidase. This particular thermostable catalyst may be used in a glucose or lactate sensor when combined either in the same or separate sensing layers with glucose or lactate oxidase or dehydrogenase. A further description of thermostable catalysts and their use in electrochemical inventions is found in U.S. Pat. No. 5,665,222 and in PCT Patent Application Publication No. WO 98/35053.

Electrolysis of the Analyte

To electrolyze the analyte, a potential (versus a reference potential) is applied across the working and counter electrodes **58**, **60**. The minimum magnitude of the applied potential is often dependent on the particular electron transfer agent, analyte (if the analyte is directly electrolyzed at the electrode), or second compound (if a second compound, such as oxygen or hydrogen peroxide, whose level is dependent on the analyte level, is directly electrolyzed at the electrode). The applied potential usually equals or is more oxidizing or reducing, depending on the desired electrochemical reaction, than the redox potential of the electron transfer agent, analyte, or second compound, whichever is directly electrolyzed at the electrode. The potential at the working electrode is typically large enough to drive the electrochemical reaction to or near completion.

The magnitude of the potential may optionally be limited to prevent significant (as determined by the current generated in response to the analyte) electrochemical reaction of interferents, such as urate, ascorbate, and acetaminophen. The limitation of the potential may be obviated if these interferents have been removed in another way, such as by providing an interferent-limiting barrier, as described below, or by including a working electrode **58***b* (see FIG. **3**A) from which a background signal may be obtained.

When a potential is applied between the working electrode **58** and the counter electrode **60**, an electrical current will flow. The current is a result of the electrolysis of the analyte or a second compound whose level is affected by the analyte. In

one embodiment, the electrochemical reaction occurs via an electron transfer agent and the optional catalyst. Many analytes B are oxidized (or reduced) to products C by an electron transfer agent species A in the presence of an appropriate catalyst (e.g., an enzyme). The electron transfer agent A is then oxidized (or reduced) at the electrode. Electrons are collected by (or removed from) the electrode and the resulting current is measured. This process is illustrated by reaction equations (1) and (2) (similar equations may be written for the reduction of the analyte B by a redox mediator A in the presence of a catalyst):

$$nA(ox) + B \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} nA(red) + C \quad (1)$$

$$nA(red) \xrightarrow{\text{electrode}} nA(ox) + ne^- \quad (2)$$

As an example, an electrochemical sensor may be based on the reaction of a glucose molecule with two non-leachable ferricyanide anions in the presence of glucose oxidase to produce two non-leachable ferrocyanide anions, two hydrogen ions, and gluconolactone. The amount of glucose present is assayed by electrooxidizing the non-leachable ferrocyanide anions to non-leachable ferricyanide anions and measuring the current.

In another embodiment, a second compound whose level is affected by the analyte is electrolyzed at the working electrode. In some cases, the analyte D and the second compound, in this case, a reactant compound E, such as oxygen, react in the presence of the catalyst, as shown in reaction equation (3).

$$D + E \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} F + G \quad (3)$$

The reactant compound E is then directly oxidized (or reduced) at the working electrode, as shown in reaction equation (4)

$$nE(red) \xrightarrow{\text{electrode}} nE(ox) + ne^- \quad (4)$$

Alternatively, the reactant compound E is indirectly oxidized (or reduced) using an electron transfer agent H (optionally in the presence of a catalyst), that is subsequently reduced or oxidized at the electrode, as shown in reaction equations (5) and (6).

$$nH(ox) + E \longrightarrow nH(red) + I \quad (5)$$

$$nH(red) \xrightarrow{\text{electrode}} nH(ox) + ne^- \quad (6)$$

In either case, changes in the concentration of the reactant compound, as indicated by the signal at the working electrode, correspond inversely to changes in the analyte (i.e., as the level of analyte increase then the level of reactant compound and the signal at the electrode decreases.)

In other embodiments, the relevant second compound is a product compound F, as shown in reaction equation (3). The product compound F is formed by the catalyzed reaction of analyte D and then be directly electrolyzed at the electrode or indirectly electrolyzed using an electron transfer agent and, optionally, a catalyst. In these embodiments, the signal arising from the direct or indirect electrolysis of the product compound F at the working electrode corresponds directly to the level of the analyte (unless there are other sources of the product compound). As the level of analyte increases, the level of the product compound and signal at the working electrode increases.

Those skilled in the art will recognize that there are many different reactions that will achieve the same result; namely the electrolysis of an analyte or a compound whose level depends on the level of the analyte. Reaction equations (1) through (6) illustrate non-limiting examples of such reactions.

Temperature Probe

A variety of optional items may be included in the sensor. One optional item is a temperature probe **66** (FIGS. **8** and **11**). The temperature probe **66** may be made using a variety of known designs and materials. One exemplary temperature probe **66** is formed using two probe leads **68**, **70** connected to each other through a temperature-dependent element **72** that is formed using a material with a temperature-dependent characteristic. An example of a suitable temperature-dependent characteristic is the resistance of the temperature-dependent element **72**.

The two probe leads **68**, **70** are typically formed using a metal, an alloy, a semimetal, such as graphite, a degenerate or highly doped semiconductor, or a small-band gap semiconductor. Examples of suitable materials include gold, silver, ruthenium oxide, titanium nitride, titanium dioxide, indium doped tin oxide, tin doped indium oxide, or graphite. The temperature-dependent element **72** is typically made using a fine trace (e.g., a conductive trace that has a smaller cross-section than that of the probe leads **68**, **70**) of the same conductive material as the probe leads, or another material such as a carbon ink, a carbon fiber, or platinum, which has a temperature-dependent characteristic, such as resistance, that provides a temperature-dependent signal when a voltage source is attached to the two probe leads **68**, **70** of the temperature probe **66**. The temperature-dependent characteristic of the temperature-dependent element **72** may either increase or decrease with temperature. Preferably, the temperature dependence of the characteristic of the temperature-dependent element **72** is approximately linear with temperature over the expected range of biological temperatures (about 25 to 45° C.), although this is not required.

Typically, a signal (e.g., a current) having an amplitude or other property that is a function of the temperature can be obtained by providing a potential across the two probe leads **68**, **70** of the temperature probe **66**. As the temperature changes, the temperature-dependent characteristic of the temperature-dependent element **72** increases or decreases with a corresponding change in the signal amplitude. The signal from the temperature probe **66** (e.g., the amount of current flowing through the probe) may be combined with the signal obtained from the working electrode **58** by, for example, scaling the temperature probe signal and then adding or subtracting the scaled temperature probe signal from the signal at the working electrode **58**. In this manner, the temperature probe **66** can provide a temperature adjustment for the output from the working electrode **58** to offset the temperature dependence of the working electrode **58**.

One embodiment of the temperature probe includes probe leads **68**, **70** formed as two spaced-apart channels with a temperature-dependent element **72** formed as a cross-channel connecting the two spaced-apart channels, as illustrated in

FIG. **8**. The two spaced-apart channels contain a conductive material, such as a metal, alloy, semimetal, degenerate semiconductor, or metallic compound. The cross-channel may contain the same material (provided the cross-channel has a smaller cross-section than the two spaced-apart channels) as the probe leads **68**, **70**. In other embodiments, the material in the cross-channel is different than the material of the probe leads **68**, **70**.

One exemplary method for forming this particular temperature probe includes forming the two spaced-apart channels and then filling them with the metallic or alloyed conductive material. Next, the cross-channel is formed and then filled with the desired material. The material in the cross-channel overlaps with the conductive material in each of the two spaced-apart channels to form an electrical connection.

For proper operation of the temperature probe **66**, the temperature-dependent element **72** of the temperature probe **66** cannot be shorted by conductive material formed between the two probe leads **68**, **70**. In addition, to prevent conduction between the two probe leads **68**, **70** by ionic species within the body or sample fluid, a covering may be provided over the temperature-dependent element **72**, and preferably over the portion of the probe leads **68**, **70** that is implanted in the patient. The covering may be, for example, a non-conducting film disposed over the temperature-dependent element **72** and probe leads **68**, **70** to prevent the ionic conduction. Suitable non-conducting films include, for example, Kapton™ polyimide films (DuPont, Wilmington, Del.).

Another method for eliminating or reducing conduction by ionic species in the body or sample fluid is to use an ac voltage source connected to the probe leads **68**, **70**. In this way, the positive and negative ionic species are alternately attracted and repelled during each half cycle of the ac voltage. This results in no net attraction of the ions in the body or sample fluid to the temperature probe **66**. The maximum amplitude of the ac current through the temperature-dependent element **72** may then be used to correct the measurements from the working electrodes **58**.

The temperature probe can be placed on the same substrate as the electrodes. Alternatively, a temperature probe may be placed on a separate substrate. In addition, the temperature probe may be used by itself or in conjunction with other devices.

Biocompatible Layer

An optional film layer **75** is formed over at least that portion of the sensor **42** which is subcutaneously inserted into the patient, as shown in FIG. **9**. This optional film layer **74** may serve one or more functions. The film layer **74** prevents the penetration of large biomolecules into the electrodes. This is accomplished by using a film layer **74** having a pore size that is smaller than the biomolecules that are to be excluded. Such biomolecules may foul the electrodes and/or the sensing layer **64** thereby reducing the effectiveness of the sensor **42** and altering the expected signal amplitude for a given analyte concentration. The fouling of the working electrodes **58** may also decrease the effective life of the sensor **42**. The biocompatible layer **74** may also prevent protein adhesion to the sensor **42**, formation of blood clots, and other undesirable interactions between the sensor **42** and body.

For example, the sensor may be completely or partially coated on its exterior with a biocompatible coating. A preferred biocompatible coating is a hydrogel which contains at least 20 wt. % fluid when in equilibrium with the analyte-containing fluid. Examples of suitable hydrogels are described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,593,852, incorporated herein by reference, and include crosslinked polyethylene oxides, such as polyethylene oxide tetraacrylate.

Interferent-Eliminating Layer

An interferent-eliminating layer (not shown) may be included in the sensor **42**. The interferent-eliminating layer may be incorporated in the biocompatible layer **75** or in the mass transport limiting layer **74** (described below) or may be a separate layer. Interferents are molecules or other species that are electroreduced or electrooxidized at the electrode, either directly or via an electron transfer agent, to produce a false signal. In one embodiment, a film or membrane prevents the penetration of one or more interferents into the region around the working electrodes **58**. Preferably, this type of interferent-eliminating layer is much less permeable to one or more of the interferents than to the analyte.

The interferent-eliminating layer may include ionic components, such as Nafion®, incorporated into a polymeric matrix to reduce the permeability of the interferent-eliminating layer to ionic interferents having the same charge as the ionic components. For example, negatively charged compounds or compounds that form negative ions may be incorporated in the interferent-eliminating layer to reduce the permeation of negative species in the body or sample fluid.

Another example of an interferent-eliminating layer includes a catalyst for catalyzing a reaction which removes interferents. One example of such a catalyst is a peroxidase. Hydrogen peroxide reacts with interferents, such as acetaminophen, urate, and ascorbate. The hydrogen peroxide may be added to the analyte-containing fluid or may be generated in situ, by, for example, the reaction of glucose or lactate in the presence of glucose oxidase or lactate oxidase, respectively. Examples of interferent eliminating layers include a peroxidase enzyme crosslinked (a) using gluteraldehyde as a crosslinking agent or (b) oxidation of oligosaccharide groups in the peroxidase glycoenzyme with $NaIO_4$, followed by coupling of the aldehydes formed to hydrazide groups in a polyacrylamide matrix to form hydrazones are describe in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,262,305 and 5,356,786, incorporated herein by reference.

Mass Transport Limiting Layer

A mass transport limiting layer **74** may be included with the sensor to act as a diffusion-limiting barrier to reduce the rate of mass transport of the analyte, for example, glucose or lactate, into the region around the working electrodes **58**. By limiting the diffusion of the analyte, the steady state concentration of the analyte in the proximity of the working electrode **58** (which is proportional to the concentration of the analyte in the body or sample fluid) can be reduced. This extends the upper range of analyte concentrations that can still be accurately measured and may also expand the range in which the current increases approximately linearly with the level of the analyte.

It is preferred that the permeability of the analyte through the film layer **74** vary little or not at all with temperature, so as to reduce or eliminate the variation of current with temperature. For this reason, it is preferred that in the biologically relevant temperature range from about 25° C. to about 45° C., and most importantly from 30° C. to 40° C., neither the size of the pores in the film nor its hydration or swelling change excessively. Preferably, the mass transport limiting layer is made using a film that absorbs less than 5 wt. % of fluid over 24 hours. This may reduce or obviate any need for a temperature probe. For implantable sensors, it is preferable that the mass transport limiting layer is made using a film that absorbs less than 5 wt. % of fluid over 24 hours at 37° C.

Particularly useful materials for the film layer **74** are membranes that do not swell in the analyte-containing fluid that the sensor tests. Suitable membranes include 3 to 20,000 nm diameter pores. Membranes having 5 to 500 nm diameter

pores with well-defined, uniform pore sizes and high aspect ratios are preferred. In one embodiment, the aspect ratio of the pores is preferably two or greater and more preferably five or greater.

Well-defined and uniform pores can be made by track etching a polymeric membrane using accelerated electrons, ions, or particles emitted by radioactive nuclei. Most preferred are anisotropic, polymeric, track etched membranes that expand less in the direction perpendicular to the pores than in the direction of the pores when heated. Suitable polymeric membranes included polycarbonate membranes from Poretics (Livermore, Calif., catalog number 19401, 0.01 μm pore size polycarbonate membrane) and Corning Costar Corp. (Cambridge, Mass., Nucleopore™ brand membranes with 0.015 μm pore size). Other polyolefin and polyester films may be used. It is preferred that the permeability of the mass transport limiting membrane changes no more than 4%, preferably, no more than 3%, and, more preferably, no more than 2%, per ° C. in the range from 30° C. to 40° C. when the membranes resides in the subcutaneous interstitial fluid.

In some embodiments of the invention, the mass transport limiting layer **74** may also limit the flow of oxygen into the sensor **42**. This can improve the stability of sensors **42** that are used in situations where variation in the partial pressure of oxygen causes non-linearity in sensor response. In these embodiments, the mass transport limiting layer **74** restricts oxygen transport by at least 40%, preferably at least 60%, and more preferably at least 80%, than the membrane restricts transport of the analyte. For a given type of polymer, films having a greater density (e.g., a density closer to that of the crystalline polymer) are preferred. Polyesters, such as polyethylene terephthalate, are typically less permeable to oxygen and are, therefore, preferred over polycarbonate membranes.

Anticlotting Agent

An implantable sensor may also, optionally, have an anticlotting agent disposed on a portion the substrate which is implanted into a patient. This anticlotting agent may reduce or eliminate the clotting of blood or other body fluid around the sensor, particularly after insertion of the sensor. Blood clots may foul the sensor or irreproducibly reduce the amount of analyte which diffuses into the sensor. Examples of useful anticlotting agents include heparin and tissue plasminogen activator (TPA), as well as other known anticlotting agents.

The anticlotting agent may be applied to at least a portion of that part of the sensor **42** that is to be implanted. The anticlotting agent may be applied, for example, by bath, spraying, brushing, or dipping. The anticlotting agent is allowed to dry on the sensor **42**. The anticlotting agent may be immobilized on the surface of the sensor or it may be allowed to diffuse away from the sensor surface. Typically, the quantities of anticlotting agent disposed on the sensor are far below the amounts typically used for treatment of medical conditions involving blood clots and, therefore, have only a limited, localized effect.

Sensor Lifetime

The sensor **42** may be designed to be a replaceable component in an in vivo or in vitro analyte monitor, and particularly in an implantable analyte monitor. Typically, the sensor **42** is capable of operation over a period of days. Preferably, the period of operation is at least one day, more preferably at least three days, and most preferably at least one week. The sensor **42** can then be removed and replaced with a new sensor. The lifetime of the sensor **42** may be reduced by the fouling of the electrodes or by the leaching of the electron transfer agent or catalyst. These limitations on the longevity of the sensor **42** can be overcome by the use of a biocompatible layer **75** or non-leachable electron transfer agent and catalyst, respectively, as described above.

Another primary limitation on the lifetime of the sensor **42** is the temperature stability of the catalyst. Many catalysts are enzymes, which are very sensitive to the ambient temperature and may degrade at temperatures of the patient's body (e.g., approximately 37° C. for the human body). Thus, robust enzymes should be used where available. The sensor **42** should be replaced when a sufficient amount of the enzyme has been deactivated to introduce an unacceptable amount of error in the measurements.

Manufacturing Process—Substrate and Channel Formation

FIG. **12** is a schematic illustration of an exemplary system **200**, in accordance with the principles of the present invention, for manufacturing the sensor **42**. The system **200** utilizes a continuous film or substrate web **202** that is guided along a serpentine pathway by a series of rollers **206**. Along the pathway, the web **202** is processed at the various processing stations or zones. For example, at one station channels can be formed in the web **202**. At subsequent stations, conductive material can be placed in the channels, sensor chemistry can be deposited over portions of the conductive material corresponding with working electrodes, and a protective film or micro-porous membrane can be affixed to the web **202**. At a final step, the sensor **42** can be cut, stamped or otherwise removed from the continuous web **202**. A more detailed description of the various steps is provided in the following paragraphs.

The continuous substrate web **202** ultimately forms the substrate **50** of the sensor **42**. Consequently, for certain applications, the web **202** is made of nonconducting plastic or polymeric materials such as those previously identified in the specification with respect to the substrate **50**. In one particular embodiment, the web **202** comprises a continuous plastic or polymeric film having a thickness in the range of 50 to 500 μm (2-20 mil), and preferably in the range of 100 to 300 μm (4-12 mil).

To initiate the manufacturing process, the web **202** is pulled from a source reel **203** and passed through a heater **204**. As shown in FIG. **12**, the heater **204** includes two heated platens arranged and configured to allow the web **202** to pass between parallel heated surfaces at a predetermined feed rate and distance. For many applications, the web **202** is heated to a sufficient temperature, for example, to a glass transition temperature of the substrate web **202** to soften the web **202** in preparation for subsequent embossing or stamping steps.

With respect to the heating step, it will be appreciated that certain web materials may have sufficient deformability to allow channels to be pressed therein without requiring a heating step. Similarly, if no channels are desired to be formed in the web **202**, or channels are to be formed through non-mechanical techniques such as laser or chemical etching, the initial heating step can also be eliminated from the process. Furthermore, if it is desired to soften the web **202** via heat, it will be appreciated that any number of known heating sources/configurations, such as radiant or convection heaters, can be utilized. Alternatively, the forming tool may be heated and not the web.

After the web **202** has been heated to a desired temperature by the heater **204**, the web **202** is preferably conveyed to a channel formation station/zone **205** where the channels **54** are preferably mechanically pressed into the web **202** by a continuous embossing process. For example, as shown in FIG. **12**, the channels **54** of the sensor **42** are formed in the web **202** by pressing the web **202** between a flat roller **207** and an embossing roller **208** having a desired embossing pattern formed on its outer surface. As the web **202** passes between

the rollers **207** and **208**, a desired channel pattern is stamped, embossed, formed or otherwise pressed into one side of the web **202**. During the embossing step, an outline or planform of the sensor **42**, as shown in FIG. **2**, can optionally be pressed into the web **202** to generate perforations that extend partially through the web **202**. In one particular embodiment, the web **202** is perforated to a depth of about 70% of the thickness of the web **202**. Alternatively, about 70% of the perimeter of the planform is completely perforated. Perforating the web **202** facilitates subsequently removing the sensor **42** and provides the advantage of lessening registration constraints at later stages of the manufacturing process.

FIG. **15**A is a cross-sectional view taken through the web **202** immediately after the sensor channels **54** have been formed within the web **202**. As shown in FIG. **15**A, the channels **54** are generally uniformly spaced across the width of the web **202** and have generally rectangular cross-sectional profiles. The width of the channels may be in the range of about 25 to about 250 μm. In one particular embodiment of the present invention, the channels have individual widths of 250 μm (about 8 mils), 150 μm, 100 μm, 75 μm, 50 μm, 25 μm or less. The depth of the channels is typically related to the thickness of the web **202**. In one embodiment, the channels have depths in the range of about 12.5 to 75 μm (0.5 to 3 mils), and preferably about 25 to 50 μm (1 to 2 mils). The distance between the conductive traces may be in the range of about 25 to 150 μm, and may be, for example, 150 μm, 100 μm, 75 μm, 50 μm, or less. The density of the conductive traces **52** on the substrate **50** may be in the range of about 150 to 700 Tm and may be as small as 667 μm/trace or less, 333 μm/trace or less, or even 167 μm/trace or less.

It will be appreciated that embossing rollers suitable for use with the present invention can be designed to form a wide range of different channel patterns. For example, FIG. **13** provides a perspective view of one embossing roller **208** that is adapted for forming the channel configuration of the sensor **42**. As shown in FIG. **13**, the embossing stamp or roller **208** includes a pattern of raised members or portions **210** that project radially outward from the outer surface of the roller **208**. The raised portions **210** extend about the circumference of the roller **208** and are arranged in a configuration that corresponds to the desired channel configuration shown in FIG. **2**. Specifically, the raised portions **210** include generally parallel, relatively closely spaced raised lines **211** corresponding to the channel pattern desired to be formed along the narrow portion **67***a* of the sensor **42**. The raised portions **210** also include angled or diverging/converging raised lines **213** corresponding to the channel pattern desired to be formed along the wider portion **65***a* of the sensor **42**. In certain embodiments, the raised lines **211** and **213** have widths less than about 150 microns, preferably less than about 100 microns, and most preferably less than about 50 microns.

The raised portions **210** further include tabs or punch members **215** adapted for forming contact pad depressions in which conductive material can be disposed to form the contact pads **49** of the sensor **42**. When the web **202** is pressed against the outer surface of the roller **208**, the raised portions **210** project or extend into the web **202** causing the web **202** to deform or indent such that the channels **54** and contact pad depressions are formed within the web **202**. In other words, the raised portions **210** of the roller **208** form a pattern of depressions in the web **202** that includes such features as the channels **54** and the contact pad depressions.

As shown in FIG. **13**, a single embossing pattern is disposed on the outer surface of the roller **208**. However, it will be appreciated that by enlarging the diameter of the roller **208**, multiple identical patterns can be arranged about the circumference of the roller. Furthermore, multiple different patterns can be arranged about the circumference of the roller to allow different sensor configurations to be manufactured with a single embossing roller.

Referring now to FIG. **14**, an alternative roller **208'** is illustrated. The alternative roller **208'** includes a plurality of raised annular rings **210'** that extend about the circumference of the roller **208'**. Each ring **210'** can extend continuously about the entire circumference of the roller **208'**, or can be separated into discrete segments by gaps located at predetermined intervals about the roller **208'**. The roller **208'** is adapted to form a plurality of substantially parallel, straight channels in the web **202**. One use of such a roller **208'** relates to the manufacture of sensors having substantially constant widths.

It will be appreciated that embossing tools suitable for use with the present invention, such as rollers, presses or stamps, can be manufactured using a variety of techniques. For example, such tools can be molded, formed or cast using conventional techniques. Exemplary materials for making such embossing tools include steel and other metals, minerals such as sapphire and silicon, epoxides, ceramics, and appropriate polymers.

In one particular embodiment of the present invention, silicon is used to make an embossing tool such as an embossing roller or stamp. Preferably, a desired pattern of raised portions is formed on the embossing surface of the tool using photolithographic and etching techniques to remove selected portions of the tool. It has been determined that such a process can yield an embossing tool having a desirable surface finish, precisely shaped features at small sizes, no burrs, and sharp features (e.g., small radii between intersecting features).

Silicon is preferred for a flat (non-cylindrical) tool, and may be etched using techniques common to the integrated circuit industry to create profiles in the wafer surface. Such profiles may be either positive in relief above the surface or negative below the wafer surface. Positive profiles may be used directly as tools to create indentations in a softer substrate. Negative profiles may be used as a master to create a series of second generation positives that are used as the final tool. The second generation positives may be made from any castable material with the appropriate mechanical properties.

Manufacturing Process—Formation of Conductive Traces

Referring back to FIG. **12**, after the channels **54** of the sensor **42** have been formed in the web **202**, the web **202** is conveyed to a channel filling station/zone **210** where conductive material is placed, flowed, applied, filled, flooded or otherwise disposed within the channels **54**. For certain applications, the conductive material can be applied as a precursor conductive material having a liquid form. An exemplary precursor conductive material includes conductive material dissolved or suspended in a solvent or dispersant. A preferred precursor conductive material is a carbon based ink that can be flooded in liquid form into the channels **54**. Other conductive inks or pastes that include carbon or metal, such as, for example, gold, copper, or silver, may be used. Other techniques for applying the conductive material or precursor conductive material include spraying, coating, flooding, applying with a saturated roller, pumping, as well as electrostatic, ionographic, magnetographic, and other impact and non-impact printing methods.

After the channels **54** have been substantially filled with conductive material or precursor conductive material, the web **202** is preferably passed through an arrangement/device for scraping or wiping excess conductive material/precursor conductive material from the surface of the web **202**. For example, as shown in FIG. **12**, a coating blade **212** and roller

214 are used to remove excess material from the web 202. After the web 202 has passed by the coating blade 212 and roller 214, the conductive material/precursor conductive material substantially fills the channels 54 such that the web and conductive material/precursor conductive material together form a substantially flat or planar surface.

FIG. 15B shows a cross section through the web 202 after the excess conductive material/precursor conductive material has been wiped from the web 202. While it is preferred for the channels 54 to be substantially filled with the conductive material/precursor conductive material, it will be appreciated that in certain embodiments it may be desirable to only partially fill the channels 54, or to slightly overfill the channels 54 with conductive material/precursor conductive material.

As shown in FIG. 12, a single series of channel forming, filling and wiping steps are used to fill the channels 54. It will be appreciated that in alternative embodiments, multiple channel formation, filling and wiping steps can be utilized to fill channels formed in the substrate 50. For example, to manufacture the sensor 42 of FIG. 2, it may be desirable to utilize two separate channel formation steps, and two separate filling and wiping steps. In such a process, the reference electrode channel could initially be formed in the substrate, and then filled with a suitable conductive material such as silver/silver chloride. Subsequently, the working electrode channels of the sensor 42 could be formed in the substrate and filled with a conductive material such as carbon. Separating the various channel formation, filling and wiping steps can assist in inhibiting cross contamination of conductive materials between the various electrodes. Of course, the particular sequence of processing steps identified herein are strictly exemplary and should not be construed as a limitation upon the scope of the present invention.

Manufacturing Process—Other Methods for Forming Conductive Traces

In addition to the above identified mechanical techniques for forming the channels 54 in the web 202, other techniques can also be utilized. For example, the channels can be formed by removing or carbonizing a portion of the substrate 50 or web 202 using a laser, or photolithographic patterning and etching of the substrate 50 or web 202. Furthermore, for certain applications, channels may not be formed in the substrate 50 or web 202 at all. For example, as discussed above, the conductive traces 52 can be formed on the substrate 50 by a variety of techniques, including photolithography, screen printing, other printing techniques, stamping traces into the substrate or web 202, or using a laser to micro-machine traces into the substrate 50 or web 202. Each of these techniques has corresponding limits on the reproducibility, precision, and cost of producing the conductive traces.

Another method for forming the conductive traces uses techniques common to pad printing or hot stamping methods, whereby a film of conductive material is formed, for example, as a continuous sheet or as a coating layer deposited on a carrier film. The film of conductive material is brought between a print head and the substrate 500. A pattern of conductive traces 52 is formed on the substrate 50 using the print head. The conductive material is transferred by pressure and/or heat from the conductive film to the substrate 50. This technique may produce channels (e.g., depressions caused by impact of the print head on the substrate 50). Alternatively, the conductive material is deposited directly without forming substantial depressions in the surface of the substrate 50.

In other embodiments, the conductive traces 52 are formed by non-impact printing techniques. These methods do not require the formation of channels in the substrate. Instead, conductive traces may be formed directly on a planer substrate. Such techniques include electrophotography and magnetography. In these processes, an image of the conductive traces 52 is electrically or magnetically formed on a drum. A laser or LED may be used to electrically form the image or a magnetic recording head may be used to magnetically form the image. A toner material (e.g., a conductive material, such as a conductive ink) is then attracted to portions of the drum according to the image. The toner material is then applied to the substrate by contact between the drum and the substrate. For example, the substrate may be rolled over the drum. The toner material may then be dried and/or a binder in the toner material may be cured to adhere the toner material to the substrate.

Another non-impact printing technique includes ejecting droplets of conductive material onto the substrate in a desired pattern. Examples of this technique include ink jet printing and piezo jet printing. An image is sent to the printer which then ejects the conductive material (e.g., a conductive ink) according to the pattern. The printer may provide a continuous stream of conductive material or the printer may eject the conductive material in discrete amounts at the desired points.

Yet another embodiment of forming the conductive traces includes an ionographic process. In this process, a curable, liquid precursor, such as a photopolymerizable acrylic resin (e.g., Solimer 7501 from Cubital, Bad Kreuznach, Germany), is deposited over a surface of a substrate 50. A photomask having a positive or negative image of the conductive traces 52 is then used to cure the liquid precursor. Light (e.g., visible or ultraviolet light) is directed through the photomask to cure the liquid precursor and form a solid layer over the substrate according to the image on the photomask. Uncured liquid precursor is removed leaving behind channels 54 in the solid layer. These channels 54 can then be filled with conductive material 56 to form conductive traces 52.

Manufacturing Process—Drying and Curing

Once the web 202 has been wiped by the coating blade 212 and roller mechanism 214, the web 202 is moved through a drying chamber 216. The drying chamber 216 preferably provides sufficient heat to drive off or evaporate solvents or dispersants that may be contained in precursor conductive material within the channels 54. After heating, conductive material is preferably left as a residue in the channels 54. In certain cases, the drying chamber 216 exposes the web 202 to sufficient temperatures to cure optional binders that may be present with the conductive material. It will be appreciated that ultraviolet light could also be used to cure optional binders interspersed with the conductive material.

Manufacturing Process—Sensor Chemistry Deposition

After the web 202 has been heated in the heating chamber 216, the web 202 is directed to a sensor chemistry deposition station/zone 218 at which sensor chemistry is deposited, placed, or otherwise disposed over portions of the conductive material within the channels 54 so as to form the sensing layers 64 over the working electrodes 58. FIG. 15C is a cross-sectional view cut through the web 202 after the sensor chemistry has been deposited on the web 202. As shown in FIG. 15C, sensor chemistry is only deposited over the conductive material corresponding to the working electrodes 58, which in one embodiment, as illustrate in FIG. 4A, are formed at the two outer channels 54. Consequently, a relatively precise application technique is preferably used to inhibit sensor chemistry from being applied to both the working electrodes 58 and electrodes that should not be coated. It is acceptable, in some situations, for the sensing layer to also coat the counter electrode 60.

It will be appreciated that a variety of techniques can be used to apply or deposit the sensor chemistry on the web 202.

In one particular embodiment of the present invention, piezo jet technology or the like is used to deposit the chemistry upon the web **202** to form the sensing layers **64**. A solenoid valve can be rapidly shuttered and when supplied with liquid under a precisely controlled over-pressure condition, a droplet of controlled size will be ejected from the valve. Resolutions to 500 picoliters can be achieved. Conventional ink jet printers can also be used.

To enhance adhesion of the sensor chemistry to the web **202**, the surface of the web **202** can optionally be roughened by techniques such as abrasion or plasma treatment prior to applying the sensor chemistry. For example, by pre-treating the surface of the web **202**, for example, by a corona discharge, free radicals are generated on the web surface to enhance adhesion of the sensor chemistry to the web **202** and working electrodes **58**.

Once the sensor chemistry has been applied to the web **202**, the web **202** is preferably conveyed through another heating chamber **220**. The heating chamber **220** preferably provides sufficient temperature/heating to release solvents from the deposited sensor chemistry. The heating chamber **220** can also heat the web **202** to sufficient temperatures to cause potential polymerization reactions such as cross link reactions between polymers and the redox mediator and/or redox enzyme.

Manufacturing Process—Membrane Layer

Upon exiting the heating station **220**, the substrate web **202** is brought into alignment with a membrane web **222** adapted for forming a membrane layer, that may include one or more individual membranes, such as a mass transport limiting layer **74** or a biocompatible layer **75**, over at least some portions of the electrodes. The membrane layer may be applied to only one or two or more surfaces of the substrate. For certain embodiments, solvents such as methyl ethyl ketone and acetone can be applied, for example, sprayed, on the web **202** to soften the web **202** and solvent bond it to the membrane web **222**. By heating the solvent after the web **202** has been brought in contact with the membrane web **222**, the two webs **202** and **222** can be bonded together such that the web **222** covers and protects portions of the sensor adapted to be implanted. Alternatively, the two webs **202** and **222** can be bonded or fused together at a welding station **224** such as a sonic or laser welding station. The resultant combination of the substrate web **202** and the membrane web **222** results in a laminated structure in which the protective membrane **74** is selectively fused to the polymer substrate **50**. In some embodiments, individual membrane webs **222** are bonded to two or more surfaces of the web **202**.

The membrane layer may include one or membranes that individually or in combination serve a number of functions. These include protection of the electrode surface, prevention of leaching of components in the sensing layer, mass transport limitation of the analyte, exclusion of interfering substances, reduction or enhancement of oxygen mass transport, and/or biocompatibility. In one embodiment, a membrane is selected which has mass transport limiting pores that do not change appreciably in size over a physiologically relevant temperature range (e.g., 30° C. to 40° C.). This may reduce the temperature dependence of the sensor output.

Manufacturing Process—Cutting

As a final step in the sequence **200**, the laminated webs **202** and **222** enter a cutting station/zone **226** in which the sensor **42** planform, as shown in FIG. **2**, is cut from the continuous webs **202** and **222**. For example, the cutting station **226** can include a die stamper, embosser, embossing roller, laser cutter or any other mechanism for cutting, pressing or otherwise removing the sensors **42** from the webs **202** and **204**. This cutting step may result in discrete sensor components or the sensors may be partially cut out and retained on the webs for secondary operations such as surface mounting of electronic components or packaging. A take-up reel **230** accumulates the web material remaining after the sensors **42** have been cut from the web.

Multiple Traces/Multiple Surfaces

FIG. **16** is a schematic illustration of an exemplary system **300**, in accordance with the principles of the present invention, for manufacturing the sensor **42** of FIGS. **6-8** and **10-11**. The system **300** utilizes a continuous film or web **302** that is guided along a serpentine pathway by a series of rollers **305**. To provide channels on opposite sides of the web **302**, the system utilizes a series of embossing steps. For example, the system **300** includes a first embossing roller **308** configured for forming the channels for the working and counter electrode **58**, **60**, respectively, in a first side of the web **302**, a second embossing roller **310** configured for forming the channel for the temperature probe/sensor **66** and the reference electrode **62** in a second opposite side of the web **302**, and a third embossing roller **312** configured for forming the channel for the temperature-dependent element **72** extending between the channels for the two temperature probe leads **68**, **70**. In a preferred embodiment, opposing embossing rollers are used to emboss both sides simultaneously in a single step.

In basic operation of the system, the web **302** is first pulled from a spool or reel **301** and preferably heated. Next, the channels for the working electrode and counter electrodes **58**, **60** are formed in the first side of the web **302** by the first embossing roller **308**. It will be appreciated that the first embossing roller **308** preferably includes a pattern of raised portions having a configuration that corresponds to the channel configuration depicted in FIG. **7**. Thereafter, the channels of the working and counter electrodes **58**, **60** are filled with conductive material/precursor conductive material, such as a flowable conductive carbon ink, at a first channel filling station **314**. Subsequently, excess conductive material/precursor conductive material is wiped from the web **302** by a first web wiping arrangement **316**.

Once the channels for the working and counter electrodes **58**, **60** have been filled with conductive material/precursor conductive material and wiped, the opposite second side of the web **302** is embossed by the second embossing roller **310** such that the channels for the temperature probe leads **68**, **70** and the reference electrode **62** are formed in the opposite side of the web **302**. It will be appreciated that the second embossing roller **310** preferably includes a pattern of raised portions having a configuration that corresponds to the channel configuration depicted in FIG. **8** (except for channel for the temperature-dependent element **72**). It will also be appreciated that the embossing roller **310** can be equipped with projections or punch members for forming vias through the web **302** at desired pad **49** locations of the sensor **42**.

After the channels for the temperature probe leads **68**, **70** and reference electrode **72** have been formed in the web **202**, such channels are filled with suitable conductive material/precursor conductive material at a second channel filling station **318** and excess conductive material/precursor conductive material is wiped from the web **302** at wiping mechanism **320**. While one filling station **318** is shown for filling both channels for the temperature probe leads **68**, **70** and the reference electrode **62**, it will be appreciated that the filling station **318** may include multiple separate filling steps for individually or separately filling each channel.

Once the channels for the temperature probe leads **68**, **70** and reference electrode **62** have been filled with conductive material/precursor conductive material and wiped, the chan-

nel for the temperature-dependent element **72** of the temperature probe **66** is formed between the channels for the temperature probe leads **68**, **70** by the third embossing roller **312**. Subsequently, the channel for the temperature-dependent element **72** is filled with appropriate material at channel filling station **322**, and excess material is wiped from the web **302** by wiping mechanism **324**.

Once both sides of the web **302** have been filled with the appropriate conductive and/or resistive material, sensor chemistry is applied to the working electrodes **58** at a sensor chemical application station **326**. The sensor chemistry can be applied at the sensor chemical application station **326** by a variety of techniques. Exemplary techniques include piezo jet printing, ink jet printing, spraying, flowing the sensor chemistry onto the electrodes, coating chemistry on the electrodes, or any other technique suitable for applying chemistry to a relatively precise location. As shown in FIG. **7**, to reduce the required printing precision, the working electrodes **58** optionally have ends that are staggered with respect to the end of the counter electrode **60**. Such a configuration assists in inhibiting the sensor chemistry from unintentionally being applied to the counter electrode **60**.

As a next step in the process, a protective membrane web **328** is then bought into contact with the substrate web **302** such that at least portions of the working and counter electrodes **58** and **60** are covered by the membrane **328**. At membrane bonding station **330**, the protective membrane **328** and the substrate web **302** are bonded or fused together by techniques such as solvent bonding, adhesive bonding, laser bonding, laser welding, and/or sonic welding. In the case of solvent bonding, the solvent is applied before the protective membrane is brought into contact with the substrate web. A second membrane may optionally be laminated onto the opposing side of the substrate web to protect the reference electrode and temperature probe. The resulting laminate structure that exits the membrane bonding station **330** is conveyed to a cutting station **332** in which individual discrete planforms of the sensor **42"** are cut, pressed, stamped or otherwise separated from the continuous web **302**. For certain applications, it may be desirable to only partially cut the individual sensor planforms from the web **302** such that the sensors are retained on the web for secondary operations. Remaining web material is taken up by take-up reel **334**.

It will be appreciated that the particular operating sequence illustrated in FIG. **16** is strictly exemplary and that variations can be made in the number of steps and the sequence of steps without departing from the principles of the present invention. Additionally, although not shown in FIG. **16**, various heating or energy dispersive stations can be placed at locations along the web pathway to heat the web **302** for such purposes as plasticizing the substrate web **302** prior to embossing, curing binders contained within conductive material deposited within the channels of the sensors, and evaporating solvents or dispersants. Furthermore, although FIGS. **12** and **16** each relate to continuous web processes, it will be appreciated that the present invention is not limited to continuous web processes. For example, the various process steps disclosed herein can be performed with respect to discrete or individual sensors completely separate from a web. Sheet fed processing may also be employed as an alternative to a continuous web. Moreover, while in certain embodiments of the present invention the web can be moved continuously through various processing steps at a substantially constant speed, in other embodiments the web can be intermittently stopped and started, or the speed of the web can be varied.

The process of the invention for the manufacture of sensors is rapid and efficient. The process of the invention can produce approximately 5000 conductive traces per hour. Within batch variation of the sensors will be less than between batch variation, thus it is desirable to produce the sensors in large batches. For example, batches of 100 or more or of 1000 or more sensors may be produced.

The sensor may be provided with a code, for example a batch code, during processing. The code may be applied to the sensor, for example by printing the code on the substrate. The sensor code may include information such as the batch number, the type and quantity of chemistry applied to the sensor, and/or calibration data.

The present invention should not be considered limited to the particular examples described above, but rather should be understood to cover all aspects of the invention as fairly set out in the attached claims. Various modifications, equivalent processes, as well as numerous structures to which the present invention may be applicable will be readily apparent to those of skill in the art to which the present invention is directed upon review of the instant specification. The claims are intended to cover such modifications and devices.

We claim:

1. A method of manufacturing a sensor for the in vitro determination of the presence and/or level of glucose in a sample, the method comprising:

disposing a conductive material on a substrate to provide one or more traces, at least one trace having a width of about 25 microns to 250 microns; and

forming a sample chamber in the substrate so that the sensor can determine the presence and/or level of glucose in a volume of sample of about 1 microliter or less in the sample chamber.

2. The method of claim **1** wherein the volume of sample includes blood.

3. The method of claim **1** wherein the conductive material includes one or more of gold, palladium, carbon or conducting polymer.

4. The method of claim **1** wherein the one or more traces are formed using laser.

5. The method of claim **1** including two or more conductive traces.

6. The method of claim **5** wherein the two or more conductive traces are separated by a distance of approximately 150 microns or less.

7. The method of claim **1** wherein the one or more conductive traces includes one or more of a working electrode, a counter electrode or a reference electrode.

8. The method of claim **7** wherein at least a portion of the working electrode is in fluid contact with the volume of sample in the sample chamber.

9. The method of claim **8** wherein the at least the portion of the working electrode is in the sample chamber.

10. The method of claim **1** including a sensing layer in contact with the one or more conductive traces.

11. The method of claim **10** wherein the one or more conductive traces in contact with the sensing layer includes a working electrode.

12. A method of manufacturing a sensor for the in vitro determination of the presence and/or level of glucose in a sample, the method comprising:

providing a substrate;

disposing a conductive material on the substrate to provide one or more traces, at least one trace having a width of about 25 microns to 250 microns; and

forming a sample chamber in the substrate to a volume of sample of about 1 microliter or less, the one or more traces configured to be in fluid contact with the volume

of sample received in the formed sample chamber to determine the level of glucose in the volume of sample.

13. The method of claim **12** wherein the conductive material is disposed on the substrate using laser.

14. The method of claim **12** wherein the conductive material includes carbon ink.

15. The method of claim **12** wherein a density of the one or more conductive traces on the substrate is approximately 150 to 700 microns/trace.

16. The method of claim **12** wherein the one or more conductive traces includes one or more of a working electrode, a counter electrode or a reference electrode.

17. The method of claim **12** wherein the volume of sample is a blood sample.

18. The method of claim **12** further comprising: providing a sensing layer in contact with the one or more conductive traces.

19. A method of manufacturing an in vitro sensor for the determination of the presence and/or level of glucose in a sample, the method comprising:

providing a substrate;

disposing a conductive material on the substrate to provide one or more traces, at least one trace having a width of about 25 microns to 250 microns; and

forming a sample chamber in the substrate to define the in vitro sensor, wherein the presence and/or the level of glucose is determined with a volume of sample of about 1 microliter or less withdrawn from a subject and received in the formed sample chamber in the substrate of the in vitro sensor.

20. The method of claim **19** wherein the volume of sample includes a blood sample withdrawn from the subject.

21. The method of claim **19** wherein the disposing the conductive material includes disposing one or more of gold, palladium, carbon or conducting polymer on the substrate.

22. The method of claim **19** wherein the one or more traces are provided on the substrate using a laser.

23. The method of claim **19** including two or more conductive traces.

24. The method of claim **23** wherein the two or more conductive traces are separated by a distance of approximately 150 microns or less.

25. The method of claim **19** wherein the one or more conductive traces includes one or more of a working electrode, a counter electrode or a reference electrode.

26. The method of claim **25** wherein at least a portion of the working electrode is in fluid contact with the volume of sample in the sample chamber.

27. The method of claim **26** wherein the at least the portion of the working electrode is in the sample chamber.

28. The method of claim **19** further comprising: providing a sensing layer in contact with the one or more conductive traces.

29. The method of claim **28** wherein the one or more conductive traces in contact with the sensing layer includes a working electrode.

30. The method of claim **19** further comprising disposing a sorbent material within the sample chamber.

* * * * *