

(12) **United States Patent**
Poupyrev

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,600,080 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 21, 2017**

(54) **NON-LINE-OF-SIGHT RADAR-BASED
GESTURE RECOGNITION**

(71) Applicant: **Google Inc.**, Mountain View, CA (US)

(72) Inventor: **Ivan Poupyrev**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Google Inc.**, Mountain View, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 84 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/582,896**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 24, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0098089 A1 Apr. 7, 2016

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 62/059,099, filed on Oct. 2, 2014.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G09G 5/00 (2006.01)
G06F 3/01 (2006.01)
G01S 13/02 (2006.01)
H04N 5/232 (2006.01)
G01S 7/41 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G06F 3/017** (2013.01); **G01S 7/41** (2013.01); **G01S 13/02** (2013.01); **G01S 13/04** (2013.01); **G06K 9/00335** (2013.01); **G06K 9/00355** (2013.01); **H04N 5/23229** (2013.01); **G01S 7/40** (2013.01); **G01S 7/415** (2013.01); **G01S 13/0209** (2013.01); **G01S 13/723** (2013.01); **G01S 13/867** (2013.01); **G01S 2013/462** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC G06F 3/0346; G06F 3/017; G01S 13/02
USPC 345/156
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,610,874 A 10/1971 Gagliano
3,953,706 A 4/1976 Harris et al.
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 102011075725 11/2012
DE 102013201359 7/2014
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Philips Vital Signs Camera”, Retrieved From: <<http://www.vitalsignscamera.com/>>Apr. 15, 2015, Jul. 17, 2013, 2 pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Jennifer Nguyen

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Wolfe-SBMC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

This document describes techniques and devices for non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. Through use of the techniques and devices described herein, users may control their devices through in-the-air gestures, even when those gestures are not within line-of-sight of their device’s sensors. Thus, the techniques enable users to control their devices in many situations in which control is desired but conventional techniques do permit effective control, such as to turn the temperature down in a room when the user is obscured from a thermostat’s gesture sensor, turn up the volume on a media player when the user is in a different room than the media player, or pause a television program when the user’s gesture is obscured by a chair, couch, or other obstruction.

20 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(51)

Int. Cl.

G01S 13/04

G06K 9/00

G01S 7/40

G01S 13/72

G01S 13/86

G01S 13/46

(2006.01)

(2006.01)

(2006.01)

(2006.01)

(2006.01)

(2006.01)

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,700,044

A

10/1987

Hokanson et al.

4,795,998

A

1/1989

Dunbar et al.

5,298,715

A

3/1994

Chalco et al.

5,341,979

A

8/1994

Gupta

5,798,798

A

8/1998

Rector et al.

6,313,825

B1

11/2001

Gilbert

6,386,757

B1

5/2002

Konno

6,513,970

B1

2/2003

Tabata et al.

6,543,668

B1

4/2003

Fujii et al.

7,317,416

B2

1/2008

Flom et al.

7,348,285

B2

3/2008

Dhawan et al.

7,421,061

B2

9/2008

Boese et al.

7,677,729

B2

3/2010

Vilser et al.

7,691,067

B2

4/2010

Westbrook et al.

7,698,154

B2

4/2010

Marchosky

8,062,220

B2

11/2011

Kurtz et al.

8,169,404

B1

5/2012

Boillot

8,289,185

B2

10/2012

Alonso

8,301,232

B2

10/2012

Albert et al.

8,475,367

B1

7/2013

Yuen et al.

8,560,972

B2

10/2013

Wilson

8,655,004

B2

2/2014

Prest et al.

8,700,137

B2

4/2014

Albert

8,758,020

B2

6/2014

Burdea et al.

8,759,713

B2

6/2014

Sheats

8,764,651

B2

7/2014

Tran

8,790,257

B2

7/2014

Libbus et al.

9,141,194

B1

9/2015

Keyes et al.

2002/0170897

A1

11/2002

Hall

2003/0100228

A1

5/2003

Bungo et al.

2003/0119391

A1

6/2003

Swallow et al.

2004/0009729

A1

1/2004

Hill et al.

2006/0035554

A1

2/2006

Glaser et al.

2006/0040739

A1

2/2006

Wells

2006/0166620

A1

7/2006

Sorensen

2006/0258205

A1

11/2006

Locher et al.

2007/0118043

A1

5/2007

Oliver et al.

2007/0161921

A1

7/2007

Rausch

2007/0176821

A1

8/2007

Flom et al.

2007/0197878

A1

8/2007

Shklarski

2007/0210074

A1

9/2007

Maurer et al.

2008/0065291

A1

3/2008

Breed

2008/0168396

A1

7/2008

Matas et al.

2008/0233822

A1

9/2008

Swallow et al.

2008/0282665

A1

11/2008

Speleers

2008/0291158

A1

11/2008

Park et al.

2008/0320419

A1

12/2008

Matas et al.

2009/0018408

A1

1/2009

Ouchi et al.

2009/0033585

A1

2/2009

Lang

2009/0053950

A1

2/2009

Surve

2009/0056300

A1

3/2009

Chung et al.

2009/0058820

A1

3/2009

Hinckley

2009/0115617

A1

5/2009

Sano et al.

2009/0270690

A1

10/2009

Roos et al.

2009/0288762

A1

11/2009

Wolfel

2010/0065320

A1

3/2010

Urano

2010/0071205

A1

3/2010

Graumann et al.

2010/0094141

A1

4/2010

Puswella

2010/0201586

A1

8/2010

Michalk

2010/0205667

A1

8/2010

Anderson et al.

2010/0208035

A1

8/2010

Pinault et al.

2010/0225562

A1

9/2010

Smith

2010/0281438

A1

11/2010

Latta et al.

2010/0313414

A1

12/2010

Sheats

2010/0324384

A1

12/2010

Moon et al.

2010/0325770

A1

12/2010

Chung et al.

2011/0003664

A1

1/2011

Richard

2011/0073353

A1

3/2011

Lee et al.

2011/0093820

A1

4/2011

Zhang et al.

2011/0181509

A1

7/2011

Rautiainen et al.

2011/0181510

A1

7/2011

Hakala et al.

2011/0197263

A1

8/2011

Stinson, III

2011/0202404

A1

8/2011

van der Riet

2011/0213218

A1

9/2011

Weiner et al.

2011/0221666

A1

9/2011

Newton et al.

2011/0234492

A1

9/2011

Ajmera et al.

2011/0239118

A1

9/2011

Yamaoka et al.

2011/0245688

A1

10/2011

Arora et al.

2011/0303341

A1

12/2011

Meiss et al.

2011/0318985

A1

12/2011

McDermid

2012/0047468

A1

2/2012

Santos et al.

2012/0092284

A1

4/2012

Rofougaran et al.

2012/0123232

A1

5/2012

Najarian et al.

2012/0144934

A1

6/2012

Russell et al.

2012/0174736

A1

7/2012

Wang et al.

2012/0193801

A1

8/2012

Gross et al.

2012/0248093

A1

10/2012

Ulrich et al.

2012/0254810

A1

10/2012

Heck et al.

2012/0280900

A1

11/2012

Wang et al.

2012/0310665

A1

12/2012

Xu et al.

2013/0053653

A1

2/2013

Cuddihy et al.

2013/0102217

A1

4/2013

Jeon

2013/0132931

A1

5/2013

Bruns et al.

2013/0150735

A1

6/2013

Cheng

2013/0194173

A1

8/2013

Zhu et al.

2013/0196716

A1

8/2013

Muhammad

2013/0278499

A1

10/2013

Anderson

2013/0283203

A1

10/2013

Batraski et al.

2013/0345569

A1

12/2013

Mestha et al.

2014/0005809

A1

1/2014

Frei et al.

2014/0051941

A1

2/2014

Messerschmidt

2014/0072190

A1

3/2014

Wu et al.

2014/0073486

A1

3/2014

Ahmed et al.

2014/0073969

A1

3/2014

Zou et al.

2014/0081100

A1

3/2014

Muhsin et al.

2014/0095480

A1

4/2014

Marantz et al.

2014/0121540

A1

5/2014

Raskin

2014/0139616

A1

5/2014

Pinter et al.

2014/0143678

A1

5/2014

Mistry et al.

2014/0191939

A1

7/2014

Penn et al.

2014/0200416

A1

7/2014

Kashef et al.

2014/0244277

A1

8/2014

Krishna Rao et al.

2014/0246415

A1

9/2014

Wittkowski

2014/0253709

A1

9/2014

Bresch et al.

2014/0275854

A1

9/2014

Venkatraman et al.

2014/0297006

A1

10/2014

Sadhu

2014/0306936

A1

10/2014

Dahl et al.

2014/0316261

A1

10/2014

Lux et al.

2014/0357369

A1

12/2014

Callens et al.

2015/0002391

A1

1/2015

Chen

2015/0026815

A1

1/2015

Barrett

2015/0029050

A1

1/2015

Driscoll et al.

2015/0046183

A1

2/2015

Cireddu

2015/0077282

A1

3/2015

Mohamadi

2015/0085060

A1

3/2015

Fish et al.

2015/0091858

A1

4/2015

Rosenberg et al.

2015/0100328

A1

4/2015

Kress et al.

2015/0112606

A1

4/2015

He et al.

2015/0133017

A1

5/2015

Liao et al.

2015/0145805

A1

5/2015

Liu

2015/0162729

A1

6/2015

Reversat et al.

2015/0268027

A1

9/2015

Gerdes

2015/0277569

A1

10/2015

Sprenger et al.

2015/0332075

A1

11/2015

Burch

2015/0346820

A1

12/2015

Poupyrev et al.

2015/0375339

A1

12/2015

Sterling et al.

2016/0018948

A1

1/2016

Parvarandeh et al.

2016/0041617

A1

2/2016

Poupyrev

2016/0041618

A1

2/2016

Poupyrev

2016/0054792

A1

2/2016

Poupyrev

2016/0054803

A1

2/2016

Poupyrev

2016/0054804

A1 *

2/2016

Gollakata G06F 3/017

345/156

(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2016/0106328 A1 4/2016 Mestha et al.
 2016/0145776 A1 5/2016 Roh
 2016/0249698 A1 9/2016 Berzowska et al.
 2016/0282988 A1 9/2016 Poupyrev
 2016/0283101 A1 9/2016 Schwesig et al.
 2016/0284436 A1 9/2016 Fukuhara et al.
 2016/0320852 A1 11/2016 Poupyrev
 2016/0320853 A1 11/2016 Lien et al.
 2016/0320854 A1 11/2016 Lien et al.
 2016/0321428 A1 11/2016 Rogers
 2016/0338599 A1 11/2016 DeBusschere et al.
 2016/0345638 A1 12/2016 Robinson et al.
 2016/0349845 A1 12/2016 Poupyrev et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO WO 9001895 3/1990
 WO WO-0127855 4/2001
 WO WO-02082999 10/2002
 WO WO-2009032073 3/2009
 WO WO 2012026013 3/2012
 WO WO-2013186696 12/2013
 WO WO-2013191657 12/2013
 WO WO 2013192166 12/2013
 WO WO-2014116968 7/2014
 WO WO 2014124520 8/2014
 WO WO-2014136027 9/2014
 WO WO-2014138280 9/2014
 WO WO-2014160893 10/2014

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Cardiio”, Retrieved From: <<http://www.cardiio.com/>> Apr. 15, 2015 App Information Retrieved From: <<https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/cardio-touchless-camera-pulse/id542891434?ls=1&mt=8>> Apr. 15, 2015, Feb. 24, 2015, 6 pages.
 Balakrishnan, “Detecting Pulse from Head Motions in Video”, In Proceedings: CVPR ’13 Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Available at: <http://people.csail.mit.edu/mrub/vidmag/papers/Balakrishnan_Detecting_Pulse_from_2013_CVPR_paper.pdf>, Jun. 23, 2013, 8 pages.
 Couderc, “Detection of Atrial Fibrillation using Contactless Facial Video Monitoring”, In Proceedings: Heart Rhythm Society, vol. 12, Issue 1 Available at: <[http://www.heartrhythmjournal.com/article/S1547-5271\(14\)00924-2/pdf](http://www.heartrhythmjournal.com/article/S1547-5271(14)00924-2/pdf)>, Jan. 2015, 7 pages.
 Poh, “A Medical Mirror for Non-contact Health Monitoring”, In Proceedings: ACM SIGGRAPH Emerging Technologies Available at: <<http://affect.media.mit.edu/pdfs/11.Poh-et-al-SIGGRAPH.pdf>>, 2011, 1 page.
 Poh, “Non-contact, Automated Cardiac Pulse Measurements Using Video Imaging and Blind Source Separation.”, In Proceedings: Optics Express, vol. 18, No. 10 Available at: <http://www.opticsinfobase.org/view_article.cfm?goturl=http%3A%2F%2Fwww%2Eopticsinfobase%2Eorg%2FDirectPDFAccess%2F77B04D55%2DBC95%2D6937%2D5BAC49A426378C02%5F199381%2Foe%2D18%2D10%2D10762%2Ep>, May 7, 2010, 13 pages.
 Wang, “Exploiting Spatial Redundancy of Image Sensor for Motion Robust rPPG”, In Proceedings: IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, vol. 62, Issue 2, Jan. 19, 2015, 11 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2015/043963, Nov. 24, 2015, 16 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2015/043949, Dec. 1, 2015, 18 pages.
 Zhadobov, “Millimeter-wave Interactions with the Human Body: State of Knowledge and Recent Advances”, International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies, Mar. 1, 2011, 11 pages.
 He, “A Continuous, Wearable, and Wireless Heart Monitor Using Head Ballistocardiogram (BCG) and Head Electrocardiogram

(ECG) with a Nanowatt ECG Heartbeat Detection Circuit”, In Proceedings: Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Available at: <<http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/79221>>, Feb. 2013, 137 pages.
 Nakajima, et al., “Development of Real-Time Image Sequence Analysis for Evaluating Posture Change and Respiratory Rate of a Subject in Bed”, In Proceedings: Physiological Measurement, vol. 22, No. 3, Retrieved From: <http://iopscience.iop.org/0967-3334/22/3/401/pdf/0967-3334_22_3_401.pdf> Feb. 27, 2015, Aug. 2001, 8 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2015/050903, Feb. 19, 2016, 18 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,038, Feb. 26, 2016, 22 pages.
 Pu, “Whole-Home Gesture Recognition Using Wireless Signals”, MobiCom ’13 Proceedings of the 19th annual international conference on Mobile computing & networking, Aug. 27, 2013, 12 pages.
 “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,038, Sep. 27, 2016, 23 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2016/032307, Aug. 25, 2016, 13 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2016/029820, Jul. 15, 2016, 14 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,155, Aug. 24, 2016, 9 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/681,625, Aug. 12, 2016, 9 pages.
 “Restriction Requirement”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,155, Jul. 22, 2016, 5 pages.
 “The Instant Blood Pressure app estimates blood pressure with your smartphone and our algorithm”, Retrieved at : <http://www.instantbloodpressure.com/>—Jun. 23, 2016, 6 pages.
 Espina, “Wireless Body Sensor Network for Continuous Cuff-less Blood Pressure Monitoring”, International Summer School on Medical Devices and Biosensors, 2006, Sep. 2006, 5 pages.
 “Corrected Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/312,486, Oct. 28, 2016, 4 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2016/033342, Oct. 27, 2016, 20 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/518,863, Oct. 14, 2016, 16 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/930,220, Sep. 14, 2016, 15 pages.
 “Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/312,486, Oct. 7, 2016, 15 pages.
 “Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,061, Sep. 12, 2016, 7 pages.
 “Pre-Interview Communication”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/513,875, Oct. 21, 2016, 3 pages.
 Matthews, “Venous Pulse”, Retrieved at: http://www.rjmatthewsmd.com/Definitions/venous_pulse.htm—on Nov. 30, 2016, Apr. 13, 2013, 7 pages.
 Pu, “Gesture Recognition Using Wireless Signals”, Oct. 2014, pp. 15-18.
 “Corrected Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/312,486, Jan. 23, 2017, 4 pages.
 “Corrected Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,061, Dec. 27, 2016, 2 pages.
 “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/681,625, Dec. 7, 2016, 10 pages.
 “International Search Report and Written Opinion”, Application No. PCT/US2016/042013, Oct. 26, 2016, 12 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,121, Jan. 9, 2017, 13 pages.
 “Life:X Lifestyle eXplorer”, Retrieved from <<https://web.archive.org/web/20150318093841/http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/projects/lifex/>>, Feb. 3, 2017, 2 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/504,139, Jan. 27, 2017, 10 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,155, Feb. 3, 2017, 12 pages.
 “Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/959,799, Jan. 27, 2017, 10 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/930,220, Feb. 2, 2017, 8 pages.

“Pre-Interview Communication”, U.S. Appl. No. 14/494,863, Jan. 27, 2017, 5 pages.

“The Dash smart earbuds play back music, and monitor your workout”, Retrieved from <<http://newatlas.com/bragi-dash-tracking-earbuds/30808/>>, Feb. 13, 2014, 3 pages.

Palese, “The Effects of Earphones and Music on the Temperature Measured by Infrared Tympanic Thermometer: Preliminary Results”, ORL -head and neck nursing: official journal of the Society of Otorhinolaryngology and Head-Neck Nurses 32.2, 2013, pp. 8-12.

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8

1

**NON-LINE-OF-SIGHT RADAR-BASED
GESTURE RECOGNITION**

PRIORITY APPLICATION

This application is a non-provisional of and claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) to U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 62/059,099, titled "Non-Line-of-Sight Radar-Based Gesture Recognition," and filed on Oct. 2, 2014, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

As smart devices proliferate in homes, automobiles, and offices, the need to seamlessly and intuitively control these devices becomes increasingly important. For example, users desire to quickly and easily control their media players, televisions, and climate devices from wherever they happen to be. Current techniques for controlling smart devices, however, fail to provide seamless and intuitive control, instead relying on touch screens, hand-held remote controls, and clumsy audio interfaces.

This background description is provided for the purpose of generally presenting the context of the disclosure. Unless otherwise indicated herein, material described in this section is neither expressly nor impliedly admitted to be prior art to the present disclosure or the appended claims.

SUMMARY

This document describes techniques and devices for non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. Through use of the techniques and devices described herein, users may control their devices through in-the-air gestures, even when those gestures are not within line-of-sight of their device's sensors. Thus, the techniques enable users to control their devices in many situations in which control is desired but conventional techniques do permit effective control, such as to turn the temperature down in a room when the user is obscured from a thermostat's gesture sensor, turn up the volume on a media player when the user is in a different room than the media player, or pause a television program when the user's gesture is obscured by a chair, couch, or other obstruction.

This summary is provided to introduce simplified concepts relating to non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition, which is further described below in the Detailed Description. This summary is not intended to identify essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended for use in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Embodiments of techniques and devices for non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition are described with reference to the following drawings. The same numbers are used throughout the drawings to reference like features and components:

FIG. 1 illustrates an example environment in which non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition can be implemented, including though use of direct and reflected radar fields.

FIG. 2 illustrates the smart device of FIG. 1 in greater detail.

FIG. 3 illustrates an example penetration radar field.

2

FIG. 4 illustrates an example method enabling non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition using a reflected radar field.

FIG. 5 illustrates a room of FIG. 1, including volumes that do not have line-of-sight to a radar system and transmission paths through which a reflected radar field is provided within those volumes.

FIG. 6 illustrates an example method enabling non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition effective to control or communicate with a smart device that does not have line-of-sight to a user.

FIG. 7 illustrates a ground floor of a home having multiple radar systems, some of which do not have line-of-sight to a user in the home.

FIG. 8 illustrates an example device embodying, or in which techniques may be implemented that enable use of, non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Overview

This document describes techniques and devices enabling non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. These techniques and devices enable greater control of smart devices through recognizing gestures when those gestures are made without being within line-of-sight of a smart device's sensors.

Consider, for example, a case where a user has many smart devices in his home. Assume that to control these devices he has numerous handheld remote controls; one for each device. Controlling these various smart devices is impractical due to the number of remote controls needed. Further, even if the user had one remote control capable of controlling multiple smart devices, he would still not be able to control all of multiple devices whenever he was not within line-of-sight of all of these various devices. And, even in the uncommon event of being in line-of-sight to many devices at once, the user still needs to carry around a remote control.

Consider other conventional ways in which to control smart devices, such as audio interfaces and line-of-sight cameras. Audio interfaces often fail to understand a user's intent and require the user to interrupt his or her ongoing conversations. Line-of-sight cameras, while allowing a user to forgo carrying a remote control in some cases, require line-of-sight and also can fail to provide robust and consistent gesture recognition. These are but three of many example controllers that fail to provide seamless and intuitive control.

In contrast, consider a case where a user is standing in her kitchen and desires to pause her television that is in her living room, turn off her microwave because her dinner is getting too hot, and turn up the heat in her home. Assume that the user's hands are blocked from her microwave by some hanging pots and pans and that her thermostat and television are within other rooms. The techniques described herein enable her to control all three of these devices, even though two are in other rooms and the third is obscured by various objects. None of these have line-of-sight to her, yet she can make a gesture to control each and every one of these three different devices seamlessly and intuitively.

This is but one way in which non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition can be performed. This document now turns to an example environment, after which non-line-of-sight gesture recognition systems, example methods, and an example computing system are described.

Example Environment

FIG. 1 is an illustration of an example environment 100 in which techniques enabling non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition can be performed. Environment 100 includes a room 102, a smart device 104, and a user 106. Room 102 includes obstructions 108, walls 110, a floor 112 (shown with squares), and a ceiling 114 (transparent in top-down view, show with circles), which are described in more detail below. Room 102 is shown in two identical illustrations (102-1 and 102-2) with the exception of one having a direct radar field and another having a reflected radar field, as noted below.

Smart device 104 includes non-line-of-sight gesture recognition system 116 (NLOS system 116), which provides a direct radar field 118. Direct radar field 118 does not have line-of-sight to some portions of room 102, here volumes 120. A first volume 120-1 does not have line-of-sight to NLOS system 116 due to couch 108-1, which is one of obstructions 108. A second volume 120-2 does not have line-of-sight to NLOS system 116 due to planter box 108-2, which is another of obstructions 108.

NLOS recognition system 116 is also capable of providing a reflected radar field 122 effective to enable recognition of gestures within some or all of volumes 120 in which direct line-of-sight is not available. This reflected radar field 122 is shown provided through radar transmission lines 124, though additional description of transmission lines is provided elsewhere herein. For visual clarity radar fields 118 and 122 are shown separately, though both radar fields can be used together.

While not shown in FIG. 1, NLOS system 116 can also provide a penetration radar field. This field is configured to penetrate various materials, such as wool, cotton, nylon, or leather, but reflect from human tissue, thereby further enabling recognition of gestures that are partially or fully not in line-of-sight or otherwise obscured from NLOS system 116.

In more detail, consider FIG. 2, which illustrates smart device 104. Smart device 104 includes one or more computer processors 202 and computer-readable media 204 (e.g., memory media and storage media). Applications and/or an operating system (not shown) embodied as computer-readable instructions on computer-readable media 204 can be executed by processors 202 to provide some of the functionalities described herein. Computer-readable media 204 also includes field manager 206 (described below).

Smart device 104 may also include network interfaces 208 for communicating data over wired, wireless, or optical networks. By way of example and not limitation, network interface 208 may communicate data over a local-area-network (LAN), a wireless local-area-network (WLAN), a personal-area-network (PAN), a wide-area-network (WAN), an intranet, the Internet, a peer-to-peer network, point-to-point network, a mesh network, and the like. Smart device 104 may also include a display 210, though this is not required.

Smart device 104 also includes or has access to NLOS system 116, as noted above, which is configured to provide radar fields by which to sense gestures. To enable this, NLOS system 116 includes a microwave radio element 212, an antenna element 214, and a signal processor 216. Generally, microwave radio element 212 is configured to provide a radar field having a direct radar field and a reflected radar field as noted above, through a penetration radar field may also be included. While examples shown herein generally show one NLOS system 116 per device, multiples can be used, thereby increasing coverage of a volume (e.g., room

102), as well as a number, complexity, accuracy, resolution, and robust recognition of gestures.

Microwave radio element 212 can be configured to emit one or multiple sets of continuously modulated radiation, ultra-wideband radiation, or sub-millimeter-frequency radiation. Microwave radio element 212, in some cases, is configured to form radiation in beams, the beams aiding antenna element 214 and signal processor 216 to determine which of the beams are interrupted, and thus locations of interactions (e.g., by a hand) within the radar field. In more detail, microwave radio element 212 can be configured to emit microwave radiation in a 1 GHz to 300 GHz range, as well as 57 GHz to 63 GHz, to provide the radar field. This range affects antenna element 214's ability to sense interactions, such as to track locations of two or more targets to a resolution of about two to about 25 millimeters. Microwave radio element 212 can be configured, along with other entities of NLOS system 116, to have a relatively fast update rate, which can aid in resolution of the interactions. By selecting particular frequencies, NLOS system 116 can operate to provide a direct radar field to reflect from line-of-sight gestures, a reflected radar field to reflect first from some object or objects and then from non-line-of-sight gestures, and/or a penetration radar field to penetrate clothing and similar materials while reflecting from human tissue.

Antenna element 214 is configured to receive reflections of, or sense interactions in, the radar field, and signal processor 216 is configured to process the reflections or sensed interactions in the radar field sufficient to provide gesture data usable to determine a gesture from the sensed interactions. Antenna element 214 can include one or many sensors, such as an array of radiation sensors, the number in the array based on a desired resolution and whether the radar field is direct, reflected, or penetration. Alternately or additionally, antenna element 214 may include one or many antennas, such as an array of antennas, directional or otherwise, coupled with respective radiation sensors and/or signal processor 216.

Further, antenna element 214 or signal processor 216 can be configured to differentiate between interactions in the radar field that are from radar transmissions that are direct, through a material, or after being reflected. Thus, a media player having NLOS system 116 may differentiate between radar reflecting directly from a user's hand to radar that was first bounced off of a ceiling (e.g., a painted, gypsum drywall ceiling) and then reflected off of the user's hand.

The radar field provided by microwave radio element 212 can include one or multiple three-dimensional (3D) volumes, planes, or surfaces (e.g., a surface applied to a user's hand). In each of these cases, antenna element 214 is configured to sense interactions of one or multiple targets (e.g., two hands, fingers of one or two hands, etc.), and signal processor 216 is configured to process the sensed interactions sufficient to provide gesture data usable to determine gestures in three dimensions.

With the provided radar field, such as direct radar field 118 and reflected radar field 124 of FIG. 1, a user may perform complex or simple gestures with a hand or device (e.g., a stylus) that interrupts the field. Example gestures include the many gestures usable with current touch-sensitive displays, such as swipes, two-finger pinch and spread, tap, and so forth. Other gestures are enabled that are complex, or simple but three-dimensional, examples include the many sign-language gestures, e.g., those of American Sign Language (ASL) and other sign languages worldwide. A few of these include an up-and-down fist, which in ASL means "Yes", an open index and middle finger moving to connect

5

to an open thumb, which means “No”, a flat hand moving up a step, which means “Advance”, a flat and angled hand moving up and down, which means “Afternoon”, clenched fingers and open thumb moving to open fingers and an open thumb, which means “taxicab”, an index finger moving up in a roughly vertical direction, which means “up”, and so forth. These are but a few of many gestures that can be mapped to particular devices or applications, such as the “Advance” gesture to skip to another song being played by a media player through a non-line-of-sight gesture.

As noted above, NLOS system **116** can provide a penetration radar field capable of penetrating some objects and materials and then reflect off of human tissue. This is illustrated at FIG. 3, which shows hand **302** having a penetration radar field **304** contacting a surface **306** of hand **302**. This penetration radar field **304** penetrates chair **308** to then be reflected from surface **306** and received back at antenna element **214** of NLOS system **116**. Microwave radio element **212** (not shown) provides penetration radar field **304**, while antenna element **214** is configured to receive a reflection caused by an interaction on surface **306** of hand **302** that penetrates and then reflects back through chair **308**, at which point signal processor **216** is configured to process the received reflection sufficient to provide gesture data usable to determine a gesture.

Gestures can be associated with various smart devices, such as a device other than smart device **104**. To do so, gestures can map to a pre-configured control gesture associated with a control input for an application associated with any of these devices. Thus, a gesture can be set to control the application and/or device based on the gesture itself, rather than first selecting which device or application to which the control is intended. For example, many complex and unique gestures can be recognized by NLOS system **116**, thereby permitting precise and/or single-gesture control, even for multiple applications. Mapping these many gestures to various different devices and application permits control, with or without explicitly selecting (usually through a gesture) which device is intended to be controlled.

Smart device **104** is illustrated with various non-limiting example devices: smartphone **104-1**, refrigerator **104-2**, microwave oven **104-3**, laptop **104-4**, tablet **104-5**, and television **104-6**, though other devices may also be used, such as climate control devices (e.g., a thermostat or ceiling fan), wearable devices, desktop computers, netbooks, e-readers, cameras, automotive systems, and so forth.

NLOS system **116** also includes a transceiver **218** configured to transmit gesture data to a remote device, such as in cases where NLOS system **116** is not integrated with smart device **104**. Gesture data can be provided in a format usable by the receiving device sufficient to recognize a gesture using the gesture data.

NLOS system **116** may also include one or more system processors **220** and system media **222** (e.g., one or more computer-readable storage media). System media **222** includes system manager **224**, which can perform various operations, including determining a gesture based on gesture data from signal processor **216**, mapping the determined gesture to a pre-configured control gesture associated with a control input for an application associated with a remote smart device, and causing transceiver **218** to transmit the control input to the remote smart device effective to enable control of the application or device. This is but one of the ways in which the above-mentioned control through NLOS system **116** can be enabled. Note also that while NLOS system **116** is shown integral with smart device **104**, it can instead be part of a device having few or no computing

6

capabilities and still provide gesture data to be recognized and/or mapped to a control input. Operations of NLOS system **116**, system manager **224**, and field manager **206** are provided in greater detail as part of methods **400** and **600** below.

These and other capabilities and configurations, as well as ways in which entities of FIGS. 1-3 act and interact, are set forth in greater detail below. These entities may be further divided, combined, and so on. The environment **100** of FIG. 1 and the detailed illustrations of FIGS. 2 and 3 illustrate some of many possible environments and devices capable of employing the described techniques.

Example Methods

FIGS. 4 and 6 depict methods **400** and **600**. Method **400** enables non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition using a reflected radar field, and can be performed separate from or integrated in whole or in part with method **600**. Method **600** enables non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition where a direct line-of-sight is not present for one radar system but a gesture is recognized through another radar system and then passed to the other radar system through direct or indirect radar fields.

These methods are shown as sets of operations (or acts) performed but are not necessarily limited to the order or combinations in which the operations are shown herein. Further, any of one or more of the operations may be repeated, combined, reorganized, or linked to provide a wide array of additional and/or alternate methods. In portions of the following discussion reference may be made to environment **100** of FIG. 1 and entities and examples detailed in FIGS. 2, 3, 5, and 7, reference to which is made for example only. The techniques are not limited to performance by one entity or multiple entities operating on one device.

At **402**, a volume of a room in which there is no line-of-sight to a radar system is determined. The techniques may determine that there is such a volume in various manners, such as through tracking a moving entity, a camera, bounced (e.g., reflected) radar transmissions, and so forth.

For example, field manager **206** may track, with a radar transmission, a person or animal’s movement in the room. If, during a portion of the person or animal’s movement field manager **206** does not receive a reflection from the person or animal, field manager **206** may determine, based on the person or animal’s projected path or a part of the person or animal no longer trackable (e.g., a person’s legs walking behind a couch), that a volume in the room exists where direct line-of-sight is not available.

Field manager **206** may also or instead use a camera or other image sensor, using radar or otherwise. Field manager **206** captures an image using the camera to provide an image of the room from a perspective of NLOS system **116**. Field manager **206** then receives or determines (e.g., through sonar or radar reflection) dimensions for the room (e.g., 4 meters wide, 7 meters deep, 3 meters high). With these dimensions and the image of the room, non-imaged but existing floor, wall, or ceiling areas can be determined. With this data, field manager **206** may then determine the volume of the room in which there is no line-of-sight to NLOS system **116**.

Further still, field manager **206** may determine non-line-of-sight volumes based on obstructions. Thus, on providing a direct radar field to receive reflections from objects in the room, field manager **206** assumes that regions behind obstructions are likely to be volumes in which a potential gesture may be made, and in any case, these are very likely to part of the room and do not have line-of-sight. As part of

this determination obstructions are objects other than floors, walls, or ceilings of the room. Some objects reflect radar and some are those through which radar may pass. Because of this, portions of the objects penetrated by the radar field can reduce the size of the volume.

At **404**, a set of transmission paths sufficient to cause a reflected radar field to be provided within at least a portion of the volume is determined by providing radar transmissions from the radar system into the room. As noted in part above, determining a volume in which no line-of-sight is available may include radar reflection, in which case some transmission paths may be known. In many cases, however, while the volume is known the transmission paths to cause a reflected radar field are not yet known.

To determine these transmission path, field manager **206** may iteratively provide radar transmissions and receive reflections from the provided radar transmissions. Some of these radar transmissions may not pass through the determined volume, and thus are not needed. Some others, however, pass through one of the determined volumes and are then correlated with that volume. In still further cases, a person or animal passes through the determined volume and radar transmission are iteratively provided and then, on reflection from the person or animal, correlated to the volume. In so doing various types and frequencies of radar transmissions can be used, including narrow-beam transmission and reflection.

By way of example, consider FIG. 5, which illustrates room **102** of FIG. 1, including smart device **104**, user **106**, obstructions **108**, walls **110**, floor **112**, ceiling **114**, NLOS system **116**, and volumes **120-1** and **120-2**. Two transmission paths are shown, wall-and-object-reflection path **502** and ceiling-and-floor path **504**. These illustrate but two of many different transmission paths capable of providing reflection radar field **122** (shown in FIG. 1 and omitted for clarity in FIG. 5).

Wall-and-object-reflection path **502** includes eight portions, each reflecting off of, or resulting from a reflection off of, a wall or object. Thus, path **502** proceeds from NLOS system **116** to one of walls **110**, then again off of another of walls **110**, then off of a back of couch **108-1**, back to the other of walls **110**, to couch **108-1**, to the other of the walls **110**, than off planter box **108-2**, and then back to NLOS system **116**. Some transmission paths do not result in a reflection returning, and thus can be forgone, while others pass only within volumes that are in line-of-site of NLOS system **116**, and can also be forgone. Path **504** proceeds from NLOS system **116** to ceiling **114** (shown reflected at a circle), then to one of walls **110**, off floor **112** (shown reflected at a square), off ceiling **114**, and then back to NLOS system **116**. These two paths **502**, **504** provide but two example transmission paths by which NLOS system **116** and field manager **206** may provide a reflected radar field (e.g., **122** of FIG. 1) in a volume in which line-of-sight to NLOS system **116** is not available. As noted, this reflected radar field enables rejection of gestures made within some or all of volumes **120**.

At **406**, a radar field is provided within the one or more volumes within the room, the radar field including at least the reflected radar field. In some cases, the radar field also includes a direct radar field or a penetration radar field as noted herein. By so doing, a radar field usable to recognize gestures can be provided for gestures that do not have direct line-of-sight from a radar system.

At **408**, a reflection of a gesture interaction made within the radar field within the room is received. This reflection enables capture of data about the gesture interaction that can

then be used to determine the gesture being made. This reflection can be within a reflected, direct, or penetration radar field as noted above.

At **410**, a gesture is determined based on captured data associated with the reflection of the gesture interaction. The gesture determined can be one of many gestures noted above, including those mapping directly to a device or application and a control command, such as to mute a television set.

At **412**, the determined gesture is provided to a device or application effective to control the device or application. As part of this provision, field manager **206** may determine to which device or application to send the control, such as by analyzing a map of gestures to various devices and applications.

FIG. 6 illustrates method **600**, which enables non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition effective to control or communicate with a smart device that does not have line-of-sight to a user.

At **602**, a radar field is provided, such as a field having one or more of a direct, reflected, or penetration radar field, as described above. This radar field can be provided by a radar system that does or does not have line-of-sight to a user making a gesture.

At **604**, reflections from an interaction that is within the radar field are sensed through a radar system. This radar system is assumed to have line-of-sight, or is able to compensate for not having line-of-sight, effective to receive reflections from a user. Another smart device, however, which may or may not have a radar system, does not have line-of-sight to the user and is not able to compensate. Thus, both devices may not have line-of-sight to a user but one of those devices is able to sense reflections, such as through a penetration or reflection radar field.

By way of example, consider FIG. 7, which illustrates a ground floor **702** of a home. This home includes four rooms **704**, media room **704-1**, piano room **704-2**, living room **704-3**, and kitchen/dining room **704-4**. As shown, there are five radar systems **706**, a media-player **708**'s radar system **706-1**, a thermostat **710**'s radar system **706-2**, a television **712**'s radar system **706-3**, an oven **714**'s radar system **706-4**, and a microwave-oven **716**'s radar system **706-5**. Multiple scenarios in which the techniques enable gesture recognition without line-of-sight to a smart device intended to be controlled are shown in FIG. 7, such as when user **718** wants to control television **712** while in kitchen **704-4**. To do so, field manager **206** receives reflections from user **718** at an NLOS system **116** of one of radar systems **706** that is not in the same room as user **718**.

By way of a particular example, assume that user **718** is in kitchen/dining room **704-4** and wants to turn down the heat in the house by controlling thermostat **710**, which is in piano room **704-2**. To do so, user **718** makes a gesture to turn down the heat while standing in kitchen/dining room **704-4**, which, at operation **604**, an NLOS system **116** operating in oven **714**'s radar system **706-4** receives. The gesture is received by receiving user **718**'s reflection within radar field **720** at an antenna of NLOS system **116**.

At **606**, a gesture is recognized based on the reflections received. This can be performed at a smart device associated with a radar system at which the reflection is received, or gesture data for the reflection can be received at a smart device intended to be controlled. Thus, field manager **206** or system manager **224** may receive the reflection and pass gesture data for the reflection to other smart devices, such as to all of the other radar systems and/or devices in a home or office. In such a case, the smart devices can determine if the

control is intended for them based on the gesture determined from the gesture data, such as having received a prior gesture selecting to control that device or receiving a gesture associated with the smart device.

At 608, the gesture is determined to be associated with (or not associated with) a non-line-of-sight smart device. Generally, field manager 206 may determine that the gesture is associated with a non-line-of-sight smart device by mapping the gesture to a pre-configured gesture intended to establish communication with the non-line-of-sight smart device. In some cases this pre-configured gesture establishes communication with the non-line-of-sight smart device effective to enable a future gesture determined at the smart device to control the non-line-of-sight smart device. In some other cases, field manager 206 may determine that the gesture is associated with the non-line-of-sight smart device by mapping the gesture to a unique gesture. This unique gesture can be of a set of unique gestures uniquely mapped to each of a set of smart devices within some group, such as smart devices on ground floor 702 of FIG. 7.

Continuing the ongoing example of FIG. 7, assume that field manager 206 operating at NLOS system 116 of oven 714's radar system 706-4 recognizes a gesture made by user 718. This gesture may then be determined to indicate control of thermostat 710, after which another gesture is recognized and then determined to be associated with thermostat 710 based on the prior gesture indicating control of thermostat 710 is intended. Assume, for example, that a unique, complex gesture with a closed hand and exposed thumb that moves up and the down is associated with thermostat 710. A second gesture, here assumed to be a non-unique magnitude gesture of a cupped hand moving clockwise or counter-clockwise, indicates to turn up or down something, respectively. Because this second gesture is received soon after the first gesture indicating control of thermostat 710 is intended, it is then recognized by repeating steps of method 600 and then passed (at operation 610) to thermostat 710 effective to turn the heat up or down. This repetition is shown at a dashed arrow in FIG. 6.

Similarly, if the first gesture is associated with control of media player 708, the second gesture indicating to turn up or down, would instead turn up or turn down the volume of media player 708, all without line-of-sight from the intended smart device.

At 610, the gesture is passed to the non-line-of-sight smart device. Thus, the gesture can be passed from one smart device to another smart device effective to enable the gesture to control the non-line-of-sight smart device or establish communication with the non-line-of-sight smart device.

The preceding discussion describes methods relating to non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. Aspects of these methods may be implemented in hardware (e.g., fixed logic circuitry), firmware, software, manual processing, or any combination thereof. These techniques may be embodied on one or more of the entities shown in FIGS. 1-3, 5, 7, and 8 (computing system 800 is described with reference to FIG. 8 below), which may be further divided, combined, and so on. Thus, these figures illustrate some of the many possible systems or apparatuses capable of employing the described techniques. The entities of these figures generally represent software, firmware, hardware, whole devices or networks, or a combination thereof.

Example Computing System

FIG. 8 illustrates various components of example computing system 800 that can be implemented as any type of client, server, and/or smart device as described with reference to the previous FIGS. 1-7 to implement non-line-of-

sight radar-based gesture recognition. In embodiments, computing system 800 can be implemented as one or a combination of a wired and/or wireless wearable device, System-on-Chip (SoC), and/or as another type of device or portion thereof. Computing system 800 may also be associated with a user (e.g., a person) and/or an entity that operates the device such that a device describes logical devices that include users, software, firmware, and/or a combination of devices.

Computing system 800 includes communication devices 802 that enable wired and/or wireless communication of device data 804 (e.g., received data, data that is being received, data scheduled for broadcast, data packets of the data, etc.). Device data 804 or other device content can include configuration settings of the device, media content stored on the device, and/or information associated with a user of the device. Media content stored on computing system 800 can include any type of audio, video, and/or image data. Computing system 800 includes one or more data inputs 806 via which any type of data, media content, and/or inputs can be received, such as human utterances, interactions with a radar field, user-selectable inputs (explicit or implicit), messages, music, television media content, recorded video content, and any other type of audio, video, and/or image data received from any content and/or data source.

Computing system 800 also includes communication interfaces 808, which can be implemented as any one or more of a serial and/or parallel interface, a wireless interface, any type of network interface, a modem, and as any other type of communication interface. Communication interfaces 808 provide a connection and/or communication links between computing system 800 and a communication network by which other electronic, computing, and communication devices communicate data with computing system 800.

Computing system 800 includes one or more processors 810 (e.g., any of microprocessors, controllers, and the like), which process various computer-executable instructions to control the operation of computing system 800 and to enable techniques for, or in which can be embodied, non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. Alternatively or in addition, computing system 800 can be implemented with any one or combination of hardware, firmware, or fixed logic circuitry that is implemented in connection with processing and control circuits which are generally identified at 812. Although not shown, computing system 800 can include a system bus or data transfer system that couples the various components within the device. A system bus can include any one or combination of different bus structures, such as a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, a universal serial bus, and/or a processor or local bus that utilizes any of a variety of bus architectures.

Computing system 800 also includes computer-readable media 814, such as one or more memory devices that enable persistent and/or non-transitory data storage (i.e., in contrast to mere signal transmission), examples of which include random access memory (RAM), non-volatile memory (e.g., any one or more of a read-only memory (ROM), flash memory, EPROM, EEPROM, etc.), and a disk storage device. A disk storage device may be implemented as any type of magnetic or optical storage device, such as a hard disk drive, a recordable and/or rewriteable compact disc (CD), any type of a digital versatile disc (DVD), and the like. Computing system 800 can also include a mass storage media device 816.

11

Computer-readable media **814** provides data storage mechanisms to store device data **804**, as well as various device applications **818** and any other types of information and/or data related to operational aspects of computing system **800**. For example, an operating system **820** can be maintained as a computer application with computer-readable media **814** and executed on processors **810**. Device applications **818** may include a device manager, such as any form of a control application, software application, signal-processing and control module, code that is native to a particular device, a hardware abstraction layer for a particular device, and so on.

Device applications **818** also include any system components, engines, or managers to implement non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition. In this example, device applications **818** include field manager **206** and system manager **224**.

CONCLUSION

Although embodiments of techniques using, and apparatuses enabling, non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition have been described in language specific to features and/or methods, it is to be understood that the subject of the appended claims is not necessarily limited to the specific features or methods described. Rather, the specific features and methods are disclosed as example implementations enabling non-line-of-sight radar-based gesture recognition.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method comprising:
 - receiving or capturing an image of a room from a perspective of a non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system;
 - receiving or determining dimensions of the room; and
 - determining, based at least in part on the image and the dimensions of the room, a volume of the room in which there is no line-of-sight to the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system; and
 - determining, by providing radar transmissions from the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system and into the room, a set of transmission paths sufficient to cause a reflected radar field to be provided within at least a portion of the volume, the reflected radar field enabling capture of gesture interactions with the reflected radar field.
2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining the volume of the room further comprises tracking, with a radar transmission, a person or animal's movement in the room and, during a portion of the movement, not receiving a reflection from the person or animal or a part of the person or the animal.
3. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining the volume of the room further comprises:
 - providing a radar field effective to receive reflections from objects in the room; and
 - determining the volume of the room in which there is no line-of-sight to the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system as behind the objects relative to the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system.
4. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining the set of transmission paths iteratively provides the radar transmissions and correlating reflections from the iteratively provided radar transmissions.
5. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:
 - providing the reflected radar field within the volume;

12

receiving a reflection of a gesture interaction made within the volume; and

determining, based on the reflection of the gesture interaction, a gesture made within the volume.

6. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising determining, based on the dimensions of the room and the image of the room, non-imaged but existing floor, wall, or ceiling areas and wherein determining the volume of the room in which there is no line-of-sight to the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system is based in part on the non-imaged but existing floor, wall, or ceiling areas.

7. A computer-implemented method comprising:

- providing, through a non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system, a radar field within a room, the radar field including direct radar fields and reflected radar fields, the reflected radar fields being reflected off of a surface of the room and provided in one or more volumes in the room to which direct line-of-sight from the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system is not available;
- receiving a reflection of a gesture interaction made within the radar field within the room, the reflection enabling capture of data about the gesture interaction;
- determining a gesture based on captured data associated with the reflection of the gesture interaction; and
- providing the gesture to a device or application effective to control the device or application.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein the reflection of the gesture interaction is made within one of the reflected radar fields.

9. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, wherein providing the radar field within the room further provides penetration radar fields within portions of the one or more volumes of the room in which the direct line-of-sight from the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system is not available.

10. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, wherein the reflection of the gesture interaction is made within one of the penetration radar fields.

11. A computer-implemented method comprising:

- providing a radar field;
- sensing reflections from an interaction that is within the radar field;
- recognizing, at a smart device associated with the radar field and based on the reflections from the interaction that is within the radar field, a gesture;
- determining that the gesture is associated with a non-line-of-sight smart device; and
- passing the gesture from the smart device to the non-line-of-sight smart device in a format usable by the non-line-of-sight smart device to recognize the gesture and effective to enable the gesture to control the non-line-of-sight smart device or establish communication with the non-line-of-sight smart device.

12. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein providing the radar field provides a direct radar field and reflected radar field, the reflected radar field provided in one or more volumes in the room to which direct line-of-sight from the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system is not available, the interaction is within the reflected radar field, and sensing the reflections is from the interaction within the reflected radar field.

13. The computer-implemented method of claim 12, wherein sensing the reflections from the interaction within the reflection radar field are received at an antenna of the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system and reflected from a surface of the room.

13

14. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein providing the radar field provides a direct radar field and penetration radar field, the penetration radar field provided in one or more volumes in the room to which direct line-of-sight from the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system is not available, the interaction is within the penetration radar field, and sensing the reflections is from the interaction within the penetration radar field.

15. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, wherein sensing the reflections from the interaction within the penetration radar field are received at an antenna of the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system and through an object occluding the interaction within the penetration radar field.

16. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, further comprising receiving a second gesture from a second non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system of the non-line-of-sight smart device, the second gesture associated with the smart device and effective to enable the second gesture to control the smart device.

17. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein determining that the gesture is associated with the

14

non-line-of-sight smart device maps the gesture to a pre-configured control gesture associated with a control input for an application associated with the non-line-of-sight smart device.

18. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein determining that the gesture is associated with the non-line-of-sight smart device maps the gesture to a pre-configured communication-establishment gesture associated with the non-line-of-sight smart device.

19. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein the gesture establishes communication with the non-line-of-sight smart device effective to enable control through a future gesture determined at the smart device and sensed through the non-line-of-sight gesture-recognition system of the smart device.

20. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein determining that the gesture is associated with the non-line-of-sight smart device maps the gesture to a unique gesture of a set of unique gestures unique to each of a set of smart devices.

* * * * *