



US007881763B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Brauker et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 7,881,763 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Feb. 1, 2011**

(54) **OPTIMIZED SENSOR GEOMETRY FOR AN IMPLANTABLE GLUCOSE SENSOR**

(75) Inventors: **James H. Brauker**, San Diego, CA (US); **Victoria Carr-Brendel**, Pleasanton, CA (US); **Paul V. Neale**, San Diego, CA (US); **Laura A. Martinson**, San Diego, CA (US); **Mark A. Tapsak**, Orangeville, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **DexCom, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1172 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **11/415,631**

(22) Filed: **May 2, 2006**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2006/0211921 A1 Sep. 21, 2006

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 10/646,333, filed on Aug. 22, 2003, now Pat. No. 7,134,999.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/460,825, filed on Apr. 4, 2003.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/1473 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/345; 600/347**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** **600/309, 600/300, 316, 322, 345, 347, 365**

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,381,371 A 5/1968 Russell

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0 098 592 1/1984

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Atanasov et al. Biosensor for Continuous Glucose Monitoring. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 1994, 43, 262-266.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner—Eric F Winakur

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An implantable sensor for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte such as glucose in a bodily fluid, including a body with a sensing region adapted for transport of analytes between the sensor and the bodily fluid, wherein the sensing region is located on a curved portion of the body such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing region. The body is partially or entirely curved, partially or entirely covered with an anchoring material for supporting tissue ingrowth, and designed for subcutaneous tissue implantation. The geometric design, including curvature, shape, and other factors minimize chronic inflammatory response at the sensing region and contribute to improved performance of the sensor in vivo.

44 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
3,775,182 A	11/1973	Patton et al.	4,935,345 A	6/1990	Guilbeau et al.
3,791,871 A	2/1974	Rowley	4,953,552 A	9/1990	DeMarzo
3,838,033 A	9/1974	Mindt et al.	4,963,595 A	10/1990	Ward et al.
3,898,984 A	8/1975	Mandel et al.	4,970,145 A	11/1990	Bennetto et al.
3,929,971 A	12/1975	Roy	4,974,929 A	12/1990	Curry
3,943,918 A	3/1976	Lewis	4,984,929 A	1/1991	Rock et al.
3,966,580 A	6/1976	Janata et al.	4,986,271 A	1/1991	Wilkins
3,979,274 A	9/1976	Newman	4,986,671 A	1/1991	Sun et al.
4,040,908 A	8/1977	Clark, Jr.	4,988,341 A	1/1991	Columbus et al.
4,073,713 A	2/1978	Newman	4,992,794 A	2/1991	Brouwers
4,076,656 A	2/1978	White et al.	4,994,167 A	2/1991	Shults et al.
4,172,770 A	10/1979	Semersky et al.	5,002,572 A	3/1991	Picha
4,197,840 A	4/1980	Beck et al.	5,007,929 A	4/1991	Quaid
4,225,410 A	9/1980	Pace	5,019,096 A	5/1991	Fox, Jr. et al.
4,240,889 A	12/1980	Yoda et al.	5,034,112 A	7/1991	Murase et al.
4,253,469 A	3/1981	Aslan	5,050,612 A	9/1991	Matsumura
4,255,500 A	3/1981	Hooke	5,059,654 A	10/1991	Hou et al.
4,260,725 A	4/1981	Keogh et al.	5,089,112 A	2/1992	Skotheim et al.
4,324,257 A	4/1982	Albarda et al.	5,101,814 A	4/1992	Palti
4,353,368 A	10/1982	Slovak et al.	5,108,819 A	4/1992	Heller et al.
4,353,888 A	10/1982	Sefton	5,113,871 A	5/1992	Viljanto et al.
4,374,013 A	2/1983	Enfors	5,130,231 A	7/1992	Kennedy et al.
4,388,166 A	6/1983	Suzuki et al.	5,137,028 A	8/1992	Nishimura
4,403,984 A	9/1983	Ash et al.	5,160,418 A	11/1992	Mullen
4,415,666 A	11/1983	D'Orazio et al.	5,165,407 A	11/1992	Wilson et al.
4,418,148 A	11/1983	Oberhardt	5,171,689 A	12/1992	Kawaguri et al.
4,431,004 A	2/1984	Bessman et al.	5,190,041 A	3/1993	Palti
4,431,507 A	2/1984	Nankai et al.	5,202,261 A	4/1993	Musho et al.
4,436,094 A	3/1984	Cerami	5,222,980 A	6/1993	Gealow
4,442,841 A	4/1984	Uehara et al.	5,235,003 A	8/1993	Ward et al.
4,477,314 A	10/1984	Richter et al.	5,249,576 A	10/1993	Goldberger et al.
4,484,987 A	11/1984	Gough	5,264,104 A	11/1993	Gregg et al.
4,494,950 A	1/1985	Fischell	5,269,891 A	12/1993	Colin
4,506,680 A	3/1985	Stokes	5,271,736 A	12/1993	Picha
RE31,916 E	6/1985	Oswin et al.	5,282,848 A	2/1994	Schmitt
4,534,355 A	8/1985	Potter	5,298,144 A	3/1994	Spokane
4,554,927 A	11/1985	Fussell	5,299,571 A	4/1994	Mastrototaro
4,571,292 A	2/1986	Liu et al.	5,310,469 A	5/1994	Cunningham et al.
4,577,642 A	3/1986	Stokes	5,314,471 A	5/1994	Brauker et al.
4,603,152 A	7/1986	Laurin et al.	5,316,008 A	5/1994	Suga et al.
4,650,547 A	3/1987	Gough	5,322,063 A	6/1994	Allen et al.
4,655,880 A	4/1987	Liu	5,324,322 A	6/1994	Grill et al.
4,671,288 A	6/1987	Gough	5,326,356 A	7/1994	Della Valle et al.
4,680,268 A	7/1987	Clark, Jr.	5,328,451 A	7/1994	Davis et al.
4,686,044 A	8/1987	Behnke et al.	5,331,555 A	7/1994	Hashimoto et al.
4,689,309 A	8/1987	Jones	5,337,747 A	8/1994	Nefel
4,702,732 A	10/1987	Powers et al.	5,340,352 A	8/1994	Nakanishi et al.
4,703,756 A	11/1987	Gough et al.	5,344,454 A	9/1994	Clarke et al.
4,711,251 A	12/1987	Stokes	5,348,788 A	9/1994	White
4,721,677 A	1/1988	Clark, Jr.	5,352,351 A	10/1994	White
4,731,726 A	3/1988	Allen	5,356,786 A	10/1994	Heller et al.
4,750,496 A	6/1988	Reinhart et al.	5,368,028 A	11/1994	Palti
4,753,652 A	6/1988	Langer et al.	5,372,133 A	12/1994	Hogen Esch
4,757,022 A	7/1988	Shults et al.	5,380,536 A	1/1995	Hubbell et al.
4,759,828 A	7/1988	Young et al.	5,384,028 A	1/1995	Ito
4,776,944 A	10/1988	Janata et al.	5,387,327 A	2/1995	Khan
4,781,798 A	11/1988	Gough	5,390,671 A	2/1995	Lord et al.
4,795,542 A	1/1989	Ross et al.	5,391,250 A	2/1995	Cheney et al.
4,803,243 A	2/1989	Fujimoto et al.	5,397,848 A	3/1995	Yang et al.
4,805,624 A	2/1989	Yao et al.	5,411,647 A	5/1995	Johnson et al.
4,805,625 A	2/1989	Wlyer	5,411,866 A	5/1995	Luong
4,810,470 A	3/1989	Burkhardt et al.	5,428,123 A	6/1995	Ward et al.
4,852,573 A	8/1989	Kennedy	5,429,735 A	7/1995	Johnson et al.
4,861,830 A	8/1989	Ward, Jr.	5,431,160 A	7/1995	Wilkins
4,871,440 A	10/1989	Nagata et al.	5,453,278 A	9/1995	Chan et al.
4,883,057 A	11/1989	Broderick	5,458,631 A	10/1995	Xavier et al.
4,889,744 A	12/1989	Quaid	5,462,051 A	10/1995	Oka et al.
4,890,620 A	1/1990	Gough	5,462,064 A	10/1995	D'Angelo et al.
4,890,621 A	1/1990	Hakky	5,462,645 A	10/1995	Albery et al.
4,919,141 A	4/1990	Zier et al.	5,464,013 A	11/1995	Lemelson
4,927,407 A	5/1990	Dorman	5,466,356 A	11/1995	Schneider et al.
			5,469,846 A	11/1995	Khan
			5,476,094 A	12/1995	Allen et al.

5,480,711 A	1/1996	Ruefer	5,904,708 A	5/1999	Goedeke
5,482,008 A	1/1996	Stafford et al.	5,910,554 A	6/1999	Kempe et al.
5,494,562 A	2/1996	Maley et al.	5,913,998 A	6/1999	Butler et al.
5,496,453 A	3/1996	Uenoyama et al.	5,914,026 A	6/1999	Blubaugh, Jr. et al.
5,497,772 A	3/1996	Schulman et al.	5,919,215 A	7/1999	Wiklund et al.
5,507,288 A	4/1996	Bocker et al.	5,931,814 A	8/1999	Alex et al.
5,508,509 A	4/1996	Yafuso et al.	5,944,661 A	8/1999	Swette et al.
5,513,636 A	5/1996	Palti	5,957,854 A	9/1999	Besson et al.
5,518,601 A	5/1996	Foos et al.	5,961,451 A	10/1999	Reber et al.
5,529,066 A	6/1996	Palti	5,963,132 A	10/1999	Yoakum
5,531,878 A	7/1996	Vadgama et al.	5,964,261 A	10/1999	Neuenfeldt et al.
5,540,828 A	7/1996	Yacynych	5,964,804 A	10/1999	Brauker et al.
5,545,220 A	8/1996	Andrews et al.	5,964,993 A	10/1999	Blubaugh et al.
5,545,223 A	8/1996	Neuenfeldt et al.	5,965,380 A	10/1999	Heller et al.
5,549,675 A	8/1996	Neuenfeldt et al.	5,967,986 A	10/1999	Cimochowski et al.
5,564,439 A	10/1996	Picha	5,976,085 A	11/1999	Kimball et al.
5,568,806 A	10/1996	Cheney, II et al.	5,985,129 A	11/1999	Gough et al.
5,569,186 A	10/1996	Lord et al.	5,989,409 A	11/1999	Kurnik et al.
5,569,462 A	10/1996	Martinson et al.	5,999,848 A	12/1999	Gord et al.
5,571,395 A	11/1996	Park et al.	6,001,067 A	12/1999	Shults et al.
5,575,930 A	11/1996	Tietje-Girault et al.	6,011,984 A	1/2000	Van Antwerp et al.
5,582,184 A	12/1996	Erickson et al.	6,013,113 A	1/2000	Mika
5,582,697 A	12/1996	Ikeda et al.	6,016,448 A	1/2000	Busacker et al.
5,584,813 A	12/1996	Livingston et al.	6,049,727 A	4/2000	Crothall
5,584,876 A	12/1996	Bruchman et al.	6,059,946 A	5/2000	Yukawa et al.
5,586,553 A	12/1996	Halili et al.	6,063,637 A	5/2000	Arnold et al.
5,589,133 A	12/1996	Suzuki	6,066,083 A	5/2000	Slater et al.
5,589,563 A	12/1996	Ward et al.	6,066,448 A	5/2000	Wohlstadter et al.
5,593,440 A	1/1997	Brauker et al.	6,074,775 A	6/2000	Gartstein et al.
5,593,852 A	1/1997	Heller et al.	6,081,736 A	6/2000	Colvin et al.
5,607,565 A	3/1997	Azarnia et al.	6,083,710 A	7/2000	Heller et al.
5,628,890 A	5/1997	Carter et al.	6,088,608 A	7/2000	Schulman et al.
5,640,954 A	6/1997	Pfeiffer	6,091,975 A	7/2000	Daddona et al.
5,653,756 A	8/1997	Clarke et al.	6,093,172 A	7/2000	Funderburk et al.
5,653,863 A	8/1997	Genshaw et al.	6,117,290 A	9/2000	Say
5,658,330 A	8/1997	Carlisle et al.	6,119,028 A	9/2000	Schulman et al.
5,683,562 A	11/1997	Schaffar et al.	6,122,536 A	9/2000	Sun et al.
5,686,829 A	11/1997	Girault	6,134,461 A	10/2000	Say et al.
5,695,623 A	12/1997	Michel et al.	6,135,978 A	10/2000	Houben et al.
5,704,354 A	1/1998	Preidel et al.	6,144,869 A	11/2000	Berner et al.
5,706,807 A	1/1998	Picha	6,144,871 A	11/2000	Saito et al.
5,711,861 A	1/1998	Ward et al.	6,162,611 A	12/2000	Heller et al.
5,713,888 A	2/1998	Neuenfeldt et al.	6,175,752 B1	1/2001	Say et al.
5,733,336 A	3/1998	Neuenfeldt et al.	6,187,062 B1	2/2001	Oweis et al.
5,735,273 A	4/1998	Kurnik et al.	6,200,772 B1	3/2001	Vadgama et al.
5,741,330 A	4/1998	Brauker et al.	6,201,980 B1	3/2001	Darrow et al.
5,743,262 A	4/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,208,894 B1	3/2001	Schulman et al.
5,756,632 A	5/1998	Ward et al.	6,212,416 B1	4/2001	Ward et al.
5,776,324 A	7/1998	Usala	6,230,059 B1	5/2001	Duffin
5,777,060 A	7/1998	Van Antwerp	6,231,879 B1	5/2001	Li et al.
5,782,912 A	7/1998	Brauker et al.	6,233,471 B1	5/2001	Berner et al.
5,783,054 A	7/1998	Raguse et al.	6,241,863 B1	6/2001	Monbouquette
5,787,900 A	8/1998	Butler et al.	6,248,067 B1	6/2001	Causey, III et al.
5,791,344 A	8/1998	Schulman et al.	6,256,522 B1	7/2001	Schultz
5,795,774 A	8/1998	Matsumoto et al.	6,259,937 B1	7/2001	Schulman et al.
5,798,065 A	8/1998	Picha	6,264,825 B1	7/2001	Blackburn et al.
5,800,420 A	9/1998	Gross	6,268,161 B1	7/2001	Han et al.
5,800,529 A	9/1998	Brauker et al.	6,274,285 B1	8/2001	Gries et al.
5,804,048 A	9/1998	Wong et al.	6,275,717 B1	8/2001	Gross et al.
5,807,375 A	9/1998	Gross et al.	6,284,478 B1	9/2001	Heller et al.
5,807,406 A	9/1998	Brauker et al.	6,285,897 B1	9/2001	Kilcoyne et al.
5,811,487 A	9/1998	Schulz, Jr. et al.	6,294,281 B1	9/2001	Heller
5,820,622 A	10/1998	Gross et al.	6,296,615 B1	10/2001	Brockway et al.
5,833,603 A	11/1998	Kovacs et al.	6,299,578 B1	10/2001	Kurnik et al.
5,837,728 A	11/1998	Purcell	6,300,002 B1	10/2001	Webb et al.
5,840,148 A	11/1998	Campbell et al.	6,309,351 B1	10/2001	Kurnik et al.
5,840,240 A	11/1998	Stenoien et al.	6,309,384 B1	10/2001	Harrington et al.
5,861,019 A	1/1999	Sun et al.	6,309,526 B1	10/2001	Fujiwara et al.
5,863,400 A	1/1999	Drummond et al.	6,325,978 B1	12/2001	Labuda et al.
5,871,514 A	2/1999	Wiklund et al.	6,325,979 B1	12/2001	Hahn et al.
5,882,494 A	3/1999	Van Antwerp	6,329,161 B1	12/2001	Heller et al.
5,895,235 A	4/1999	Droz	6,330,464 B1	12/2001	Colvin et al.
5,897,578 A	4/1999	Wiklund et al.	6,343,225 B1	1/2002	Clark, Jr.

6,360,888	B1	3/2002	McIvor et al.	7,169,289	B2	1/2007	Schulein et al.
6,365,670	B1	4/2002	Fry	7,192,450	B2	3/2007	Brauker et al.
6,366,794	B1	4/2002	Moussy et al.	7,225,535	B2	6/2007	Feldman et al.
6,368,274	B1	4/2002	Van Antwerp et al.	7,329,239	B2	2/2008	Safabash et al.
6,372,244	B1	4/2002	Antanavich et al.	7,344,499	B1	3/2008	Prausnitz et al.
6,400,974	B1	6/2002	Lesho	7,364,592	B2	4/2008	Carr-Brendel et al.
6,406,066	B1	6/2002	Uegane	7,417,164	B2	8/2008	Suri
6,409,674	B1	6/2002	Brockway et al.	7,426,408	B2	9/2008	DeNuzzio et al.
6,424,847	B1	7/2002	Mastrototaro et al.	7,471,972	B2	12/2008	Rhodes et al.
6,442,413	B1	8/2002	Silver	7,632,228	B2	12/2009	Brauker et al.
6,447,448	B1	9/2002	Ishikawa et al.	7,637,868	B2	12/2009	Saint et al.
6,447,542	B1	9/2002	Weadock	7,657,297	B2	2/2010	Simpson et al.
6,454,710	B1	9/2002	Ballerstadt et al.	2002/0022883	A1	2/2002	Burg
6,459,917	B1	10/2002	Gowda et al.	2002/0042090	A1	4/2002	Heller et al.
6,461,496	B1	10/2002	Feldman et al.	2002/0042561	A1	4/2002	Schulman et al.
6,466,810	B1	10/2002	Ward et al.	2002/0055673	A1	5/2002	Van Antwerp et al.
6,471,689	B1	10/2002	Joseph et al.	2002/0151796	A1	10/2002	Koulik
6,475,750	B1	11/2002	Han et al.	2002/0151816	A1	10/2002	Rich et al.
6,477,392	B1	11/2002	Honigs et al.	2002/0169369	A1	11/2002	Ward et al.
6,477,395	B2	11/2002	Schulman et al.	2002/0182241	A1	12/2002	Borenstein et al.
6,484,046	B1	11/2002	Say et al.	2002/0188185	A1	12/2002	Sohrab
6,497,729	B1	12/2002	Moussy et al.	2002/0193885	A1	12/2002	Legeay et al.
6,512,939	B1	1/2003	Colvin et al.	2003/0006669	A1	1/2003	Pei et al.
6,514,718	B2	2/2003	Heller et al.	2003/0023317	A1	1/2003	Brauker et al.
6,520,997	B1	2/2003	Pekkarinen et al.	2003/0032874	A1	2/2003	Rhodes et al.
6,527,729	B1	3/2003	Turcott	2003/0036773	A1	2/2003	Whitehurst et al.
6,528,584	B2	3/2003	Kennedy et al.	2003/0036803	A1	2/2003	McGhan et al.
6,534,711	B1	3/2003	Pollack	2003/0059631	A1	3/2003	Al-Lamee
6,537,318	B1	3/2003	Ita et al.	2003/0065254	A1	4/2003	Schulman et al.
6,541,107	B1	4/2003	King et al.	2003/0070548	A1	4/2003	Clausen
6,545,085	B2	4/2003	Kilgour et al.	2003/0076082	A1	4/2003	Morgan et al.
6,546,268	B1	4/2003	Ishikawa et al.	2003/0078481	A1	4/2003	McIvor et al.
6,547,839	B2	4/2003	Zhang et al.	2003/0078560	A1	4/2003	Miller et al.
6,551,496	B1	4/2003	Moles et al.	2003/0091433	A1	5/2003	Tam et al.
6,558,320	B1	5/2003	Causey	2003/0125613	A1	7/2003	Engren et al.
6,558,321	B1	5/2003	Burd et al.	2003/0130616	A1	7/2003	Steil et al.
6,565,509	B1	5/2003	Say et al.	2003/0134347	A1	7/2003	Heller et al.
6,569,309	B2	5/2003	Otsuka et al.	2003/0138674	A1	7/2003	Zeikus et al.
6,579,498	B1	6/2003	Eglise	2003/0181794	A1	9/2003	Rini et al.
6,587,705	B1	7/2003	Berner et al.	2003/0187338	A1	10/2003	Say et al.
6,591,125	B1	7/2003	Buse et al.	2003/0199745	A1	10/2003	Burson et al.
6,615,078	B1	9/2003	Burson et al.	2003/0211625	A1	11/2003	Cohan
6,618,934	B1	9/2003	Feldman et al.	2003/0217966	A1	11/2003	Tapsak et al.
6,666,821	B2	12/2003	Keimel	2003/0225361	A1	12/2003	Sabra
6,695,958	B1	2/2004	Adam et al.	2003/0235817	A1	12/2003	Bartkowiak et al.
6,699,383	B2	3/2004	Lemire et al.	2004/0011671	A1	1/2004	Shults et al.
6,702,857	B2	3/2004	Brauker et al.	2004/0015063	A1	1/2004	DeNuzzio et al.
6,702,972	B1	3/2004	Markle	2004/0045879	A1	3/2004	Shults et al.
6,721,587	B2	4/2004	Gough	2004/0087671	A1	5/2004	Tamada et al.
6,737,158	B1	5/2004	Thompson	2004/0106857	A1	6/2004	Gough
6,741,877	B1	5/2004	Shults et al.	2004/0143173	A1	7/2004	Reghabi et al.
6,773,565	B2	8/2004	Ono et al.	2004/0158138	A1	8/2004	Kilcoyne et al.
6,793,632	B2	9/2004	Sohrab	2004/0167801	A1	8/2004	Say et al.
6,793,802	B2	9/2004	Lee et al.	2004/0186362	A1	9/2004	Brauker et al.
6,804,544	B2	10/2004	van Antwerp et al.	2004/0199059	A1	10/2004	Brauker et al.
6,862,465	B2	3/2005	Shults et al.	2005/0008671	A1	1/2005	Van Antwerp
6,895,263	B2	5/2005	Shin et al.	2005/0027180	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.
6,931,327	B2	8/2005	Goode et al.	2005/0027181	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.
6,936,006	B2	8/2005	Sabra	2005/0027463	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.
6,952,604	B2	10/2005	DeNuzzio et al.	2005/0031689	A1	2/2005	Shults et al.
6,965,791	B1	11/2005	Hitchcock et al.	2005/0033132	A1	2/2005	Shults et al.
6,972,080	B1	12/2005	Tomioka et al.	2005/0043598	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.
6,991,643	B2	1/2006	Saadat	2005/0051427	A1	3/2005	Brauker et al.
7,058,437	B2	6/2006	Buse et al.	2005/0051440	A1	3/2005	Simpson et al.
7,074,307	B2	7/2006	Simpson et al.	2005/0054909	A1	3/2005	Petisce et al.
7,081,195	B2	7/2006	Simpson et al.	2005/0056552	A1	3/2005	Simpson et al.
7,108,778	B2	9/2006	Simpson et al.	2005/0059871	A1	3/2005	Gough et al.
7,110,803	B2	9/2006	Shults et al.	2005/0090607	A1	4/2005	Tapsak et al.
7,115,884	B1	10/2006	Walt et al.	2005/0096519	A1	5/2005	DeNuzzio et al.
7,134,999	B2	11/2006	Brauker et al.	2005/0103625	A1	5/2005	Rhodes et al.
7,136,689	B2	11/2006	Shults et al.	2005/0112169	A1	5/2005	Brauker et al.
7,153,265	B2	12/2006	Vachon	2005/0121322	A1	6/2005	Say
7,166,074	B2	1/2007	Reghabi et al.	2005/0139489	A1	6/2005	Davies et al.

2005/0143635	A1	6/2005	Kamath et al.	FR	2656423	6/1991
2005/0177036	A1	8/2005	Shults et al.	FR	2760962	9/1998
2005/0181012	A1	8/2005	Saint et al.	GB	1442303	7/1976
2005/0182451	A1	8/2005	Griffin et al.	GB	2149918	6/1985
2005/0192557	A1	9/2005	Brauker et al.	JP	62058154	3/1987
2005/0211571	A1	9/2005	Schulein et al.	JP	62083649	4/1987
2005/0242479	A1	11/2005	Petisce et al.	JP	62083849	4/1987
2005/0245795	A1	11/2005	Goode et al.	JP	63067560	3/1988
2005/0245799	A1	11/2005	Brauker et al.	JP	02002913	1/1990
2005/0251083	A1	11/2005	Carr-Brendel et al.	JP	3-293556	12/1991
2005/0271546	A1	12/2005	Gerber et al.	JP	2002-189015	7/2002
2005/0272989	A1	12/2005	Shah et al.	WO	WO 89/02720	4/1989
2006/0015020	A1	1/2006	Neale et al.	WO	WO 90/00738	1/1990
2006/0016700	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	WO	WO 91/09302	6/1991
2006/0189856	A1	8/2006	Petisce et al.	WO	WO 92/07525	5/1992
2006/0200022	A1	9/2006	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 92/13271	8/1992
2006/0222566	A1	10/2006	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 93/14693	8/1993
2006/0224108	A1	10/2006	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 93/19701	10/1993
2006/0257995	A1	11/2006	Simpson et al.	WO	WO 96/01611	1/1996
2006/0257996	A1	11/2006	Simpson et al.	WO	WO 96/14026	5/1996
2006/0263763	A1	11/2006	Simpson et al.	WO	WO 96/25089	8/1996
2006/0270922	A1	11/2006	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 96/30431	10/1996
2006/0270923	A1	11/2006	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 96/32076	10/1996
2006/0281985	A1	12/2006	Ward et al.	WO	WO 96/36296	11/1996
2007/0027370	A1	2/2007	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 97/01986	1/1997
2007/0032718	A1	2/2007	Shults et al.	WO	WO 97/43633	11/1997
2007/0045902	A1	3/2007	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 98/24358	6/1998
2007/0173711	A1	7/2007	Shah et al.	WO	WO 98/38906	9/1998
2007/0203966	A1	8/2007	Brauker et al.	WO	WO 99/56613	4/1999
2007/0235331	A1	10/2007	Simpson et al.	WO	WO 00/13003	3/2000
2007/0259217	A1	11/2007	Logan	WO	WO 00/19887	4/2000
2008/0021666	A1	1/2008	Goode et al.	WO	WO 00/32098	6/2000
2008/0045824	A1	2/2008	Tapsak et al.	WO	WO 00/33065	6/2000
2008/0187655	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	WO	WO 00/59373	10/2000
2008/0188722	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	WO	WO 00/74753	12/2000
2008/0188725	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	WO	WO 01/12158	2/2001
2008/0228051	A1	9/2008	Shults et al.	WO	WO 01/20019	3/2001
2008/0228054	A1	9/2008	Shults et al.	WO	WO 01/20334	3/2001
2008/0242961	A1	10/2008	Brister et al.	WO	WO 01/43660	6/2001
2008/0262334	A1	10/2008	Dunn et al.	WO	WO 01/58348	8/2001
2008/0305009	A1	12/2008	Gamsey et al.	WO	WO 01/88524	11/2001
2008/0305506	A1	12/2008	Suri	WO	WO 02/053764	7/2002
2008/0306368	A1	12/2008	Goode et al.	WO	WO 02/097414	12/2002
2009/0012379	A1	1/2009	Goode et al.	WO	WO 2005/012873	2/2005
2009/0018418	A1	1/2009	Markle et al.	WO	WO 2005/057168	6/2005
2009/0018426	A1	1/2009	Markle et al.	WO	WO 2005/026689	10/2005
2009/0036763	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.			
2009/0045055	A1	2/2009	Rhodes et al.			
2009/0061528	A1	3/2009	Suri			
2009/0062633	A1	3/2009	Brauker et al.			
2009/0081803	A1	3/2009	Gamsey et al.			
2009/0177143	A1	7/2009	Markle et al.			
2009/0264719	A1	10/2009	Markle et al.			
2010/0041971	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.			
2010/0049024	A1	2/2010	Saint et al.			
2010/0087724	A1	4/2010	Brauker et al.			
2010/0160760	A1	6/2010	Shults et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0107634	5/1984
EP	0 127 958	12/1984
EP	0 320 109	6/1989
EP	0 353 328	2/1990
EP	0 390 390	10/1990
EP	0 396 788	11/1990
EP	0534074	3/1993
EP	0535898	4/1993
EP	0 539 625	5/1993
EP	0 563 795	10/1993
EP	0885932	12/1998
EP	0 967 788	12/1999
EP	0817809	7/2002

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Baker et al. Dynamic concentration challenges for biosensor characterization. *Biosens Bioelectron* 1993, 8, 433-441.
- Bani Amer, M. M. An accurate amperometric glucose sensor based glucometer with eliminated cross-sensitivity. *J Med Eng Technol* 2002, 26, 208-13.
- Beach et al. Subminiature implantable potentiostat and modified commercial telemetry device for remote glucose monitoring. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 1999, 48, 1239-1245.
- Bindra et al. Pulsed amperometric detection of glucose in biological fluids at a surface-modified gold electrode. *Anal Chem* 1989, 61, 2566-2570.
- Bode, B. W. Clinical utility of the continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S35-41.
- Bode et al. Continuous glucose monitoring used to adjust diabetes therapy improves glycosylated hemoglobin: a pilot study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1999, 46, 183-190.
- Bode et al. Using the continuous glucose monitoring system to improve the management of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S43-8.
- Bott, A. W. A Comparison of Cyclic Voltammetry and Cyclic Staircase Voltammetry. *Current Separations* 1997, 16:1, 23-26.

- Brauker et al. Neovascularization of synthetic membranes directed by membrane microarchitecture, *J Biomed Mater Res* 1995, 29, 1517-1524.
- Brauker et al. Sustained expression of high levels of human factor IX from human cells implanted within an immunoisolation device into athymic rodents. *Hum Gene Ther* 1998, 9, 879-888.
- Bremer et al. Benchmark data from the literature for evaluation of new glucose sensing technologies. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2001, 3, 409-418.
- Brunner et al. Validation of home blood glucose meters with respect to clinical and analytical approaches. *Diabetes Care* 1998, 21, 585-590.
- D'Arrigo et al. Porous-Si based bioreactors for glucose monitoring and drugs production. *Proc. of SPIE* 2003, 4982, 178-184.
- Dixon et al. Characterization in vitro and in vivo of the oxygen dependence of an enzyme/polymer biosensor for monitoring brain glucose. *J Neurosci Methods* 2002, 119, 135-142.
- Ernst et al. Reliable glucose monitoring through the use of microsystem technology. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2002, 373, 758-761.
- Fare et al. Functional characterization of a conducting polymer-based immunoassay system. *Biosens Bioelectron* 1998, 13, 459-470.
- Frost et al. Implantable chemical sensors for real-time clinical monitoring: progress and challenges. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2002, 6, 633-641.
- Geller et al. Use of an immunoisolation device for cell transplantation and tumor immunotherapy. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1997, 831, 438-451.
- Gerritsen, M. Problems associated with subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors. *Diabetes Care* 2000, 23, 143-5.
- Gerritsen et al. Influence of inflammatory cells and serum on the performance of implantable glucose sensors. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2001, 54, 69-75.
- Gerritsen et al. Performance of subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors for continuous monitoring. *Neth J Med* 1999, 54, 167-179.
- Gilligan et al. Evaluation of a subcutaneous glucose sensor out to 3 months in a dog model. *Diabetes Care* 1994, 17:8, 882-887.
- Gough et al. Immobilized glucose oxidase in implantable glucose sensor technology. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2, 377-380.
- Gross et al. Performance evaluation of the MiniMed continuous glucose monitoring system during patient home use. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2, 49-56.
- Gross et al. Efficacy and reliability of the continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S19-26.
- Gross, Todd, "Letters to the Editor Re: Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 2000;2:49-56," vol. 3, No. 1, p. 130-131, 2001.
- Hall et al. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes, Part I. An adsorption-controlled mechanism. *Electrochimica Acta* 1998, 43, 579-588.
- Hall et al. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part II: effect of potential. *Electrochimica Acta* 1998, 43, 2015-2024.
- Hall et al. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part III: Effect of temperature. *Electrochimica Acta* 1999, 44, 2455-2462.
- Hall et al. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part IV: phosphate buffer dependence. *Electrochimica Acta* 1999, 44, 4573-4582.
- Hall et al. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part V: Inhibition by chloride. *Electrochimica Acta* 2000, 45, 3573-3579.
- Hitchman, M. Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen, *Chemical Analysis* 1978, 49, 34-123.
- Huang, C., O'Grady, W.E.; Yeager, E. Electrochemical Generation of Oxygen. 1: The Effects of Anions and Cations on Hydrogen Chemisorption and Anodic Oxide Film Formation on Platinum Electrode. 2: The Effects of Anions and Cations on Oxygen Generation on Platinum Electrode, pp. 1-116, Aug. 1975.
- Ishikawa et al. Initial evaluation of a 290-microm diameter subcutaneous glucose sensor: glucose monitoring with a biocompatible, flexible-wire, enzyme-based amperometric microsensor in diabetic and nondiabetic humans. *J Diabetes Complications* 1998, 12, 295-301.
- Jensen et al. Fast Wave Forms for Pulsed Electrochemical Detection of Glucose by Incorporation of Reduction Desorption of Oxidation Products. *Analytical Chemistry* 1997, 69, 1776-1781.
- Johnson et al. In vivo evaluation of an electroenzymatic glucose sensor implanted in subcutaneous tissue. *Biosens Bioelectron* 1992, 7, 709-714.
- Jovanovic, L. The role of continuous glucose monitoring in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S67-71.
- Kargol et al. Studies on the structural properties of porous membranes: measurement of linear dimensions of solutes. *Biophys Chem* 2001, 91, 263-271.
- Kaufman, F. R. Role of the continuous glucose monitoring system in pediatric patients. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S49-52.
- Kiechle, F.L. The impact of continuous glucose monitoring on hospital point-of-care testing programs. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2001, 3, 647-649.
- Koschinsky et al. Sensors for glucose monitoring: technical and clinical aspects. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2001, 17, 113-123.
- Kruger et al. Psychological motivation and patient education: a role for continuous glucose monitoring. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S93-7.
- Lee et al. Effects of pore size, void volume, and pore connectivity on tissue responses. *Society for Biomaterials* 1999, 25th Annual Meeting, 171.
- Lerner et al. An implantable electrochemical glucose sensor. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1984, 428, 263-278.
- Leyboldt et al. Model of a two-substrate enzyme electrode for glucose. *Anal Chem* 1984, 56, 2896-2904.
- Makale et al. Tissue window chamber system for validation of implanted oxygen sensors. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2003, 284, 1-24.
- Malin et al. "Noninvasive Prediction of Glucose by Near-Infrared Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy," *Clinical Chemistry* 1999, 45:9:1651-1658.
- Maran et al. Continuous subcutaneous glucose monitoring in diabetic patients: a multicenter analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2002, 25, 347-52.
- Mastrototaro, J. J.; Gross, T. M., Reproducibility of the continuous glucose monitoring system matches previous reports and the intended use of the product. *Diabetes Care*, 26:256; author reply p. 257, 2003.
- Matsumoto et al. A long-term lifetime amperometric glucose sensor with a perfluorocarbon polymer coating. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2001, 16, 271-276.
- Miller, A. Human monocyte/macrophage activation and interleukin 1 generation by biomedical polymers. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1988, 23, 713-731.
- Miller et al. Generation of IL-1 like activity in response to biomedical polymer implants: a comparison of in vitro and in vivo models. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1989, 23, 1007-1026.
- Miller et al. In vitro stimulation of fibroblast activity by factors generated from human monocytes activated by biomedical polymers. *Journal of J Biomed Mater Res* 1989, 23, 911-930.
- Moussy et al. Biomaterials community examines biosensor biocompatibility. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2, 473-477.
- Mowery et al. Preparation and characterization of hydrophobic polymeric films that are thromboresistant via nitric oxide release. *Biomaterials* 2000, 21, 9-21.
- Myler et al. Ultra-thin-polysiloxane-film-composite membranes for the optimisation of amperometric oxidase enzyme electrodes. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2002, 17, 35-43.
- Nam et al. A novel fabrication method of macroporous biodegradable polymer scaffolds using gas foaming salt as a porogen additive. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2000, 53, 1-7.
- Palmisano et al. Simultaneous monitoring of glucose and lactate by an interference and cross-talk free dual electrode amperometric biosensor based on electropolymerized thin films. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2000, 15, 531-539.
- Pitzer et al. Detection of hypoglycemia with the GlucoWatch biographer. *Diabetes Care* 2001, 24, 881-5.
- Poitout et al. A glucose monitoring system for on line estimation in man of blood glucose concentration using a miniaturized glucose

- sensor implanted in the subcutaneous tissue and a wearable control unit. *Diabetologia* 1993, 36, 658-663.
- Postlethwaite et al. Interdigitated Array Electrode as an Alternative to the Rotated Ring-Disk Electrode for Determination of the Reaction Products of Dioxygen Reduction. *Analytical Chemistry* 1996, 68, 2951-2958.
- Ratner, B.D. Reducing capsular thickness and enhancing angiogenesis around implant drug release systems. *J Control Release* 2002, 78, 211-218.
- Reach, G., "Letters to the Editor Re: Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 2000;2:49-56," vol. 3, No. 1, p. 129-130, 2001.
- Rhodes et al., Prediction of pocket-portable and implantable glucose enzyme electrode performance from combined species permeability and digital simulation analysis. *Analytical Chemistry* 1994, 66, 1520-1529.
- Sansen et al. A smart sensor for the voltammetric measurement of oxygen or glucose concentrations. *Sensors and Actuators* 1990, 1, 298-302.
- Sansen et al. "Glucose sensor with telemetry system." Ko, W.H. (Ed). *Implantable Sensors for Closed Loop Prosthetic Systems*, Ch. 12, 167-175, Future Publishing Co. (1985).
- Schmidt et al. Glucose concentration in subcutaneous extracellular space. *Diabetes Care* 1993, 16, 695-700.
- Schoemaker et al. The SCGM1 System: Subcutaneous Continuous Glucose Monitoring Based on Microdialysis Technique. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2003, 5, 599-608.
- Shults et al. A telemetry-instrumentation system for monitoring multiple subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 1994, 41, 937-942.
- Sieminski et al. Biomaterial-microvasculature interactions. *Biomaterials* 2000, 21, 2233-2241.
- Skyler, J. S. The economic burden of diabetes and the benefits of improved glycemic control: the potential role of a continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S7-12.
- Steil et al. Determination of plasma glucose during rapid glucose excursions with a subcutaneous glucose sensor. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2003, 5, 27-31.
- Tanenberg et al. Continuous glucose monitoring system: a new approach to the diagnosis of diabetic gastroparesis. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S73-80.
- Tang et al. Fibrin(ogen) mediates acute inflammatory responses to biomaterials. *J Exp Med* 1993, 178, 2147-2156.
- Tang et al. Inflammatory responses to biomaterials. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1995, 103, 466-471.
- Tang et al. Mast cells mediate acute inflammatory responses to implanted biomaterials. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998, 95, 8841-8846.
- Tang et al. Molecular determinants of acute inflammatory responses to biomaterials. *J Clin Invest* 1996, 97, 1329-1334.
- Thome-Duret et al. Modification of the sensitivity of glucose sensor implanted into subcutaneous tissue. *Diabetes Metab* 1996, 22, 174-178.
- Tibell et al. Survival of macroencapsulated allogeneic parathyroid tissue one year after transplantation in nonimmunosuppressed humans. *Cell Transplant* 2001, 10, 591-9.
- Tierney et al. The GlucoWatch biographer: a frequent automatic and noninvasive glucose monitor. *Ann Med* 2000, 32, 632-641.
- Updike et al. Enzymatic glucose sensors: improved long-term performance in vitro and in vivo. *ASAIO Journal* 1994, 40, 157-163.
- Updike et al. "Principles of long-term fully implanted sensors with emphasis on radiotelemetric monitoring of blood glucose from inside a subcutaneous foreign body capsule (FBC)." *Biosensors in the body: continuous in vivo monitoring*, Chap. 4, pp. 117-137, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., (1997).
- Updike et al. A subcutaneous glucose sensor with improved longevity, dynamic range, and stability of calibration. *Diabetes Care* 2000, 23, 208-214.
- Updike et al. The enzyme electrode. *Nature* 1967, 214, 986-988.
- Wagner et al. A. Continuous amperometric monitoring of glucose in a brittle diabetic chimpanzee with a miniature subcutaneous electrode. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998, 95, 6379-6382.
- Ward et al., Rise in background current over time in a subcutaneous glucose sensor in the rabbit: relevance to calibration and accuracy. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 2000, 15, 53-61.
- Ward et al. A new amperometric glucose microsensor: in vitro and short-term in vivo evaluation. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 2002, 17, 181-189.
- Wilkins et al. "Integrated implantable device for long-term glucose monitoring." *Biosens Bioelectron* 1995, 10, 485-494.
- Wilson et al. Enzyme-based biosensors for in vivo measurements. *Chem Rev* 2000, 100:2693-2704.
- Wu et al. In situ electrochemical oxygen generation with an immunoisolation device. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1999, 875, 105-125.
- Yang et al. Development of needle-type glucose sensor with high selectivity. *Science and Actuators B* 1998, 46, 249-256.
- Answers.com. "xenogenic." *The American Heritage Stedman's Medical Dictionary*. Houghton Mifflin Company, 2002. Answers.com Nov. 7, 2006 <http://www.answers.com/topic/xenogenic>.
- Armour et al. Dec. 1990. Application of Chronic Intravascular Blood Glucose Sensor in Dogs. *Diabetes* 39:1519-1526.
- Aussedat et al. 1997. A user-friendly method for calibrating a subcutaneous glucose sensor-based hypoglycaemic alarm. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 12(11):1061-1071.
- Bellucci et al. Jan. 1986. Electrochemical behaviour of graphite-epoxy composite materials (GECM) in aqueous salt solutions. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 16(1):15-22.
- Bindra et al. 1991. Design and In Vitro Studies of a Needle-Type Glucose Senso for Subcutaneous Monitoring. *Anal. Chem* 63:1692-96.
- Bobbioni-Harsch et al. 1993. Lifespan of subcutaneous glucose sensors and their performances during dynamic glycaemia changes in rats, *J. Biomed. Eng.* 15:457-463.
- Cass et al. "Ferrocene-mediated enzyme electrodes for amperometric determination of glucose," *Anal. Chem.*, 36:667-71 (1984).
- Davies, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. I. An overview of membrane function, *Biomaterials*, 13(14):971-978.
- Heller, "Electrical wiring of redox enzymes," *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 23:128-134 (1990).
- Heller, A. 1992. Electrical Connection of Enzyme Redox Centers to Electrodes. *J. Phys. Chem.* 96:3579-3587.
- Hicks, 1985. In Situ Monitoring, *Clinical Chemistry*, 31(12):1931-1935.
- Hu, et al. 1993. A needle-type enzyme-based lactate sensor for in vivo monitoring, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 281:503-511.
- Kawagoe et al. 1991. Enzyme-modified organic conducting salt microelectrode, *Anal. Chem.* 63:2961-2965.
- Kerner et al. "The function of a hydrogen peroxide-detecting electroenzymatic glucose electrode is markedly impaired in human sub-cutaneous tissue and plasma," *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 8:473-482 (1993).
- Maidan et al. 1992. Elimination of Electrooxidizable Interferent-Produced Currents in Amperometric Biosensors, *Analytical Chemistry*, 64:2889-2896.
- Mastrototaro et al. "An electroenzymatic glucose sensor fabricated on a flexible substrate," *Sensors and Actuators B*, 5:139-44 (1991).
- McKean, et al. Jul. 7, 1988. A Telemetry Instrumentation System for Chronically Implanted Glucose and Oxygen Sensors. *Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 35:526-532.
- Moatti-Sirat et al. 1992. Towards continuous glucose monitoring: in vivo evaluation of a miniaturized glucose sensor implanted for several days in rat subcutaneous tissue. *Diabetologia* 35:224-230.
- Murphy, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. II. The design and fabrication of permselective hydrogels for electrochemical devices, *Biomaterials*, 13(14):979-990.
- Ohara, et al. Dec. 1993. Glucose electrodes based on cross-linked bis(2,2'-bipyridine)chloroosmium(+2+) complexed poly(1-vinylimidazole) films, *Analytical Chemistry*, 65:3512-3517.
- Pickup et al. "In vivo molecular sensing in diabetes mellitus: an implantable glucose sensor with direct electron transfer," *Diabetologia*, 32:213-217 (1989).
- Pishko et al. "Amperometric glucose microelectrodes prepared through immobilization of glucose oxidase in redox hydrogels," *Anal. Chem.*, 63:2268-72 (1991).

- Reach et al. 1992. Can continuous glucose monitoring be used for the treatment of diabetes? *Analytical Chemistry* 64(5):381-386.
- Rebrin et al. "Automated feedback control of subcutaneous glucose concentration in diabetic dogs," *Diabetologia*, 32:573-76 (1989).
- Sakakida et al. 1993. Ferrocene-Mediated Needle Type Glucose Sensor Covered with Newly Designed Biocompatible Membran, *Sensors and Actuators B* 13-14:319-322.
- Sharkawy et al. 1996. Engineering the tissue which encapsulates subcutaneous implants. I. Diffusion properties, *J Biomed Mater Res*, 37:401-412.
- Shaw et al. "In vitro testing of a simply constructed, highly stable glucose sensor suitable for implantation in diabetic patients," *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 6:401-406 (1991).
- Shichiri et al. 1985. Needle-type Glucose Sensor for Wearable Artificial Endocrine Pancreas in Implantable Sensors 197-210.
- Shichiri et al. 1986. Telemetry Glucose Monitoring Device with Needle-Type Glucose Sensor: A Useful Tool for Blood Glucose Monitoring in Diabetic Individuals. *Diabetes Care, Inc.* 9(3):298-301.
- Shichiri et al., 1989. Membrane Design for Extending the Long-Life of an Implantable Glucose Sensor. *Diab. Nutr. Metab.* 2:309-313.
- Sternberg et al. 1988. Study and Development of Multilayer Needle-type Enzyme-based Glucose Microsensors. *Biosensors* 4:27-40.
- Thompson et al., *In Vivo Probes: Problems and Perspectives*, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Canada, pp. 255-261, 1986.
- Velho et al. 1989. Strategies for calibrating a subcutaneous glucose sensor. *Biomed Biochim Acta* 48(11/12):957-964.
- von Woedtke et al. 1989. In situ calibration of implanted electrochemical glucose sensors. *Biomed Biochim. Acta* 48(11/12):943-952.
- English translation of Office Action received Dec. 19, 2007 in Japanese App. No. 10/538680.
- European Search Report for App. No. 98908875.2 dated Apr. 29, 2004.
- Office Action dated Oct. 24, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/055,779.
- Office Action dated Nov. 1, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/034,343.
- Office Action dated Dec. 26, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/021,046.
- Office Action dated Jan. 15, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/034,344.
- Office Action dated Jan. 23, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Mar. 24, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,912.
- Office Action dated Jun. 5, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150.
- Office Action mailed Jun. 5, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,909.
- Office Action dated Jun. 12, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Jun. 19, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/021,162.
- Office Action dated Jun. 23, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/021,046.
- Office Action dated Jul. 10, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/034,343.
- Office Action dated Jul. 16, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,912.
- Office Action dated Sep. 18, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/439,630.
- Office Action dated Sep. 29, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,830.
- Office Action dated Sep. 29, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,812.
- Office Action dated Oct. 8, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,637.
- Office Action dated Dec. 1, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/503,367.
- Office Action dated Dec. 9, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150.
- Office Action dated Dec. 11, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Dec. 24, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/885,476.
- Office Action dated Dec. 30, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/034,343.
- Office Action dated Feb. 4, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/768,889.
- Office Action dated Feb. 4, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/021,046.
- Office Action dated Feb. 23, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/439,630.
- Office Action dated Feb. 26, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,830.
- Office Action dated Mar. 5, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,637.
- Office Action mailed Mar. 16, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,909.
- Office Action dated Apr. 1, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,812.
- Office Action dated May 26, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Final Office Action dated Jun. 9, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150.
- Final Office Action dated Jun. 23, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/885,476.
- Office Action dated Jul. 20, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,637.
- Office Action dated Jul. 24, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,812.
- ISR for PCT/US04/08206 filed Mar. 16, 2004.
- IPRP for PCT/US04/008206 filed Mar. 16, 2004.
- Bard et al. 1980. *Electrochemical Methods*. John Wiley & Sons, pp. 173-175.
- Brooks, et al. "Development of an on-line glucose sensor for fermentation monitoring," *Biosensors*, 3:45-56 (1987/88).
- Frohnauer, et al. 2001. Graphical human insulin time-activity profiles using standardized definitions. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics* 3(3):419-429.
- Löffler, et al. 1995. Separation and determination of traces of ammonia in air by means of chromatomembrane cells. *Fresenius J Anal Chem* 352:613-614.
- Moatti-Sirat, D, et al. 1992. Evaluating in vitro and in vivo the interference of ascorbate and acetaminophen on glucose detection by a needle-type glucose sensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 7:345-352.
- Pickup, et al. "Implantable glucose sensors: choosing the appropriate sensor strategy," *Biosensors*, 3:335-346 (1987/88).
- Pineda, et al. 1996. Bone regeneration with resorbable polymeric membranes. III. Effect of poly(L-lactide) membrane pore size on the bone healing process in large defects. *J. Biomedical Materials Research* 31:385-394.
- Tierney, M. J.; Garg, S.; Ackerman, N. R.; Fermi, S. J.; Kennedy, J.; Lopatin, M.; Potts, R. O.; Tamada, J. A. 2000. Effect of acetaminophen on the accuracy of glucose measurements obtained with the GlucoWatch biographer. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2:199-207.
- Turner and Pickup, "Diabetes mellitus: biosensors for research and management," *Biosensors*, 1:85-115 (1985).
- Wilson, et al. 1992. Progress toward the development of an implantable sensor for glucose. *Clin. Chem.* 38(9):1613-1617.
- Office Action dated Apr. 9, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,386.
- Office Action dated Sep. 5, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Feb. 14, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Jul. 1, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Jul. 23, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Dec. 23, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Feb. 11, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Sep. 24, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,711.
- Office Action dated Dec. 7, 1998 in U.S. Appl. No. 08/811,473.
- Office Action dated Jul. 17, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Mar. 9, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Aug. 1, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Apr. 4, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Sep. 22, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Nov. 28, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Jul. 9, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Jan. 16, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Jul. 15, 2002 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Jan. 17, 2002 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Aug. 15, 2001 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.
- Office Action dated Aug. 14, 2001 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/489,588.
- Office Action dated Feb. 27, 2002 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/489,588.
- Office Action dated Jun. 12, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/489,588.
- Office Action dated Sep. 21, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/657,843.
- Office Action dated Sep. 21, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/916,858.
- Office Action dated Mar. 22, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/916,858.
- Office Action dated Aug. 14, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/039,269.
- Office Action dated Feb. 24, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/039,269.
- Office Action dated Nov. 2, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/039,269.
- Office Action dated May 4, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/039,269.
- Office Action dated Feb. 24, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/646,333.
- Office Action dated Jun. 6, 2003 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/646,333.
- Office Action dated Sep. 22, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/646,333.
- Office Action dated Oct. 16, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/647,065.
- Office Action dated May 22, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,772.
- Office Action dated Dec. 14, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,772.
- Office Action dated Jul. 19, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,772.
- Office Action dated Jan. 11, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,772.
- Office Action dated May 11, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/897,377.
- Office Action dated Oct. 18, 2005 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/897,377.
- Office Action dated Feb. 9, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/897,312.
- Office Action dated Jan. 27, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/007,635.
- Office Action dated May 23, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/055,779.
- Office Action dated Sep. 21, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,912.
- Poitout, et al. 1991. In Vitro and In Vivo Evaluation in Dogs of a Miniaturized Glucose Sensor, *ASAIO Transactions*, 37:M298-M300.

- Office Action dated Aug. 19, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/021,046.
Office Action dated Aug. 7, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,830.
Office Action dated Sep. 2, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/439,630.
Supplementary European Search Report for App. No. 04759640.8 mailed Apr. 24, 2009.
- Aalders et al. 1991. Development of a wearable glucose sensor; studies in healthy volunteers and in diabetic patients. *The International Journal of Artificial Organs* 14(2):102-108.
- Abe et al. 1992. Characterization of glucose microsensors for intracellular measurements. *Anal. Chem.* 64(18):2160-2163.
- Abel et al. 1984. Experience with an implantable glucose sensor as a prerequisite of an artificial beta cell, *Biomed. Biochim. Acta* 43(5):577-584.
- Abel et al. 2002. Biosensors for in vivo glucose measurement: can we cross the experimental stage. *Biosens Bioelectron* 17:1059-1070.
- Alcock & Turner. 1994. Continuous Analyte Monitoring to Aid Clinical Practice. *IEEE Engineering in Med. & Biol. Mag.* 13:319-325.
- American Heritage Dictionary, 4th Edition. 2000. Houghton Mifflin Company, p. 82.
- Amin et al. 2003. Hypoglycemia prevalence in prepubertal children with type 1 diabetes on standard insulin regimen: Use of continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Care* 26(3):662-667.
- Asberg et al. 2003. Hydrogels of a Conducting Conjugated Polymer as 3-D Enzyme Electrode. *Biosensors Bioelectronics*. pp. 199-207.
- Atanasov et al. 1997. Implantation of a refillable glucose monitoring-telemetry device. *Biosens Bioelectron* 12:669-680.
- Bailey et al. 2007. Reduction in hemoglobin A1c with real-time continuous glucose monitoring: results from a 12-week observational study. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics* 9(3):203-210.
- Bessman et al., Progress toward a glucose sensor for the artificial pancreas, Proceedings of a Workshop on Ion-Selective Microelectrodes, Jun. 4-5, 1973, Boston, MA, 189-197.
- Biermann et al. 2008. How would patients behave if they were continually informed of their blood glucose levels? A simulation study using a "virtual" patient. *Diab. Technol. & Therapeut.*, 10:178-187.
- Bisenberger et al. 1995. A triple-step potential waveform at enzyme multisensors with thick-film gold electrodes for detection of glucose and sucrose. *Sensors and Actuators, B* 28:181-189.
- Bland et al. 1990. A note on the use of the intraclass correlation coefficient in the evaluation of agreement between two methods of measurement. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 20(5):337-340.
- Boedeker Plastics, Inc. 2009. Polyethylene Specifications Data Sheet, http://www.boedeker.com/polye_p.htm [Aug. 19, 2009 3:36:33 PM].
- Boland et al. 2001. Limitations of conventional methods of self-monitoring of blood glucose. *Diabetes Care* 24(11):1858-1862.
- Bowman, L.; Meindl, J. D. 1986. The packaging of implantable integrated sensors. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng BME33(2):248-255.*
- Brauker et al. 1996. Local Inflammatory Response Around Diffusion Chambers Containing Xenografts Transplantation 61(12):1671-1677.
- Brauker et al. 2001. Unraveling Mysteries at the Biointerface: Molecular Mediator of Inhibition of Blood vessel Formation in the Foreign Body Capsule Revealed. *Surfact Biomaterials* 6. 1;5.
- Braunwald, 2008. Biomarkers in heart failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 358: 2148-2159.
- Bruckel et al. 1989. In vivo measurement of subcutaneous glucose concentrations with an enzymatic glucose sensor and a wick method. *Klin Wochenschr* 67:491-495.
- Cai et al. 2004. A wireless, remote query glucose biosensor based on a pH-sensitive polymer. *Anal Chem* 76(4):4038-4043.
- Campanella et al. 1993. Biosensor for direct determination of glucose and lactate in undiluted biological fluids. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 8:307-314.
- Candas et al (1994). "An adaptive plasma glucose controller based on a nonlinear insulin/glucose model." *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 41(2): 116-124.
- Cassidy et al., Apr. 1993. Novel electrochemical device for the detection of cholesterol or glucose, *Analyst*, 118:415-418.
- Cellulose Acetate, Product Description, Product No. 419028, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO. Apr. 7, 2005.
- Chase et al. 2001. Continuous subcutaneous glucose monitoring in children with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatrics* 107:222-226.
- Chatterjee et al. 1997. Poly(ether Urethane) and poly(ether urethane urea) membranes with high H₂S/CH₄ selectivity, *Journal of Membrane Science* 135:99-106.
- Chen et al. 2006. A noninterference polypyrrole glucose biosensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 22:639-643.
- Ciba® Irgacure® 2959 Photoinitiator, Product Description, Ciba Specialty Chemicals Inc., Basel, Switzerland, Apr. 2, 1998.
- Claremont et al. 1986. Subcutaneous implantation of a ferrocene-mediated glucose sensor in pigs. *Diabetologia* 29:817-821.
- Claremont et al. Jul. 1986. Potentially-implantable, ferrocene-mediated glucose sensor. *J. Biomed. Eng.* 8:272-274.
- Clark et al. 1981. One-minute electrochemical enzymic assay for cholesterol in biological materials, *Clin. Chem.* 27(12):1978-1982.
- Clark et al. 1987. Configurational cyclic voltammetry: increasing the specificity and reliability of implanted electrodes, *IEEE/Ninth Annual Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 0782-0783.
- Clark et al. 1988. Long-term stability of electroenzymatic glucose sensors implanted in mice. *Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs* 34:259-265.
- CLSI. Performance metrics for continuous interstitial glucose monitoring; approved guideline, CLSI document POCT05-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: 2008 28(33), 72 pp.
- Colangelo et al. 1967. Corrosion rate measurements in vivo, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, 1:405-414.
- Colowick et al. 1976. *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. XLIV, Immobilized Enzymes. New York: Academic Press.
- Cox et al. 1985. Accuracy of perceiving blood glucose in IDDM. *Diabetes Care* 8(6):529-536.
- Csoregi et al., 1994. Design, characterization, and one-point in vivo calibration of a subcutaneously implanted glucose electrode. *Anal Chem.* 66(19):3131-3138.
- Dai et al. 1999. Hydrogel Membranes with Mesh Size Asymmetry Based on the Gradient Crosslink of Poly(vinyl alcohol). *Journal of Membrane Science* 156:67-79.
- Danielsson et al. 1988. Enzyme thermistors, *Methods in Enzymology*, 137:181-197.
- Dassau et al., In silico evaluation platform for artificial pancreatic β-cell development—a dynamic simulator for closed loop control with hardware-in-the-loop, *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 11(3):1-8, 2009.
- Davis et al. 1983. Bioelectrochemical fuel cell and sensor based on a quinoprotein, alcohol dehydrogenase. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, vol. 5, September, 383-388.
- Direct 30/30® Blood Glucose Sensor, (Markwell Medical) Catalog, © 1990, ELCO Diagnostics Company.
- DuPont¹ Dimension AR® (Catalog), 1998.
- Durliat et al. 1976. Spectrophotometric and electrochemical determinations of L(+)-lactate in blood by use of lactate dehydrogenase from yeast, *Clin. Chem.* 22(11):1802-1805.
- Edwards Lifesciences. Accuracy for you and your patients. Marketing materials, 4 pp. 2002.
- El Degheidy et al. 1986. Optimization of an implantable coated wire glucose sensor. *J. Biomed Eng.* 8: 121-129.
- El-Khatib et al. 2007. Adaptive closed-loop control provides blood-glucose regulation using dual subcutaneous insulin and glucagon infusion in diabetic swine, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 1(2):181-192.
- El-Sa'ad et al. 1990. Moisture Absorption by Epoxy Resins: the Reverse Thermal Effect. *Journal of Materials Science* 25:3577-3582.
- Fahy et al., An analysis: hyperglycemic intensive care patients need continuous glucose monitoring—easier said than done, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 2(2):201-204, Mar. 2008.
- Feldman et al. 2003. A continuous glucose sensor based on wired enzyme technology—results from a 3-day trial in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 5(5):769-779.
- Fischer et al. 1987. Assessment of subcutaneous glucose concentration: validation of the wick technique as a reference for implanted electrochemical sensors in normal and diabetic dogs, *Diabetologia* 30:940-945.
- Fischer et al. 1989. Oxygen Tension at the Subcutaneous Implantation Site of Glucose Sensors. *Biomed. Biochem* 11/12:965-972.

- Fischer et al. 1995. Hypoglycaemia-warning by means of subcutaneous electrochemical glucose sensors: an animal study, *Horm. Metab. Res.* 27:53.
- Freedman et al. 1991. *Statistics*, Second Edition, W.W. Norton & Company, p. 74.
- Gabbay et al. 2008. Optical coherence tomography-based continuous noninvasive glucose monitoring in patients with diabetes. *Diab. Technol. & Therapeut.*, 10:188-193.
- Ganesan et al., Gold layer-based dual crosslinking procedure of glucose oxidase with ferrocene monocarboxylic acid provides a stable biosensor, *Analytical Biochemistry* 343:188-191, 2005.
- Ganesh et al., Evaluation of the VIA® blood chemistry monitor for glucose in healthy and diabetic volunteers, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 2(2):182-193, Mar. 2008.
- Gao et al. 1989. Determination of Interfacial parameters of cellulose acetate membrane materials by HPLC, *J. Liquid Chromatography*, VI, 12, n. 11, 2083-2092.
- Garg et al. 2004. Improved Glucose Excursions Using an Implantable Real-Time continuous Glucose Sensor in Adults with Type I Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27:734-738.
- Gilligan et al. 2004. Feasibility of continuous long-term glucose monitoring from a subcutaneous glucose sensor in humans. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 6:378-386.
- Godsland et al. 2001. Maximizing the Success Rate of Minimal Model Insulin Sensitivity Measurement in Humans: The Importance of Basal Glucose Levels. *The Biochemical Society and the Medical Research Society*, 1-9.
- Gouda et al., Jul. 4, 2003. Thermal inactivation of glucose oxidase, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(27):24324-24333.
- Gough et al. 2003. Frequency characterization of blood glucose dynamics. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 31:91-97.
- Gregg et al. 1990. Cross-Linked Redox Gels Containing Glucose Oxidase for Amperometric Biosensor Applications. *Anal. Chem.* 62:258-263.
- Guerci et al., Clinical performance of CGMS in type 1 diabetic patients treated by continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion using insulin analogs, *Diabetes Care*, 26:582-589, 2003.
- Guo et al., Modification of cellulose acetate ultrafiltration membrane by gamma ray radiation, Shuichuli Jishi Bianji Weiyuanhui, 23(6):315-318, 1998 (Abstract only).
- Hamilton Syringe Selection Guide. 2006. Syringe Selection. www.hamiltoncompany.com.
- Harrison et al. 1988. Characterization of perfluorosulfonic acid polymer coated enzyme electrodes and a miniaturized integrated potentiostat for glucose analysis in whole blood. *Anal. Chem.* 60:2002-2007.
- Hashiguchi et al. (1994). "Development of a miniaturized glucose monitoring system by combining a needle-type glucose sensor with microdialysis sampling method: Long-term subcutaneous tissue glucose monitoring in ambulatory diabetic patients," *Diabetes C.*
- Heller, A. 1999. Implanted electrochemical glucose sensors for the management of diabetes. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 1:153-175.
- Heller, A. 2003. Plugging metal connectors into enzymes. *Nat Biotechnol* 21:631-2.
- Hoel, Paul G. 1976. *Elementary Statistics*, Fourth Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 113-114.
- Hrapovic et al. 2003. Picoamperometric detection of glucose at ultrasmall platinum-based biosensors: preparation and characterization. *Anal Chem* 75:3308-3315.
- <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary>, definition for "aberrant," Aug. 19, 2008, p. 1.
- Huang et al., "A 0.5mW Passive Telemetry IC for Biomedical Applications," Proceedings of the 23rd European Solid-State Circuits Conference (ESSCIRC '97), pp. 172-175, Sep. 1997, Southampton, UK.
- Hunter et al. 2000. Minimally Invasive Glucose Sensor and Insulin Delivery System. MIT Home Automation and Healthcare Consortium. Progress Report No. 25.
- Jaffari et al., Recent advances in amperometric glucose biosensors for in vivo monitoring, *Physiol. Meas.* 16 (1995) 1-15.
- Jeutter, D. C. 1982. A transcutaneous implanted battery recharging and biotelemetry power switching system. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 29:314-321.
- Johnson (1991). "Reproducible electrodeposition of biomolecules for the fabrication of miniature electroenzymatic biosensors," *Sensors and Actuators B*, 5:85-89.
- Johnson, R.C. et al. 1997. Abstract: Neovascularization of cell transplantation devices: Role of membrane architecture and encapsulated tissue, *Abstracts of Papers, Am. Chem. Soc.*, 214:2 p. 305-PMSE.
- Kacaniklic May-Jun. 1994. *Electroanalysis*, 6(5-6):381-390.
- Kamath et al. Calibration of a continuous glucose monitor: effect of glucose rate of change, Eighth Annual Diabetes Technology Meeting, Nov. 13-15, 2008, p. A88.
- Kang et al. 2003. In vitro and short-term in vivo characteristics of a Kel-F thin film modified glucose sensor. *Anal Sci* 19:1481-1486.
- Karube et al. 1993. Microbiosensors for acetylcholine and glucose. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 8:219-228.
- Kaufman et al. 2001. A pilot study of the continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Care* 24(12):2030-2034.
- Keedy et al. 1991. Determination of urate in undiluted whole blood by enzyme electrode. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 6: 491-499.
- Kerner et al. 1988. A potentially implantable enzyme electrode for amperometric measurement of glucose, *Horm Metab Res Suppl.* 20:8-13.
- Klueh et al. 2003. Use of Vascular Endothelia Cell Growth Factor Gene Transfer To Enhance Implantable Sensor Function in Vivo, *Biosensor Function and Vegf-Gene Transfer*, pp. 1072-1086.
- Klueh et al. 2007. Inflammation and glucose sensors: use of dexamethasone to extend glucose sensor function and life span in vivo. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology* 1(4):496-504.
- Ko, Wen H. 1985. *Implantable Sensors for Closed-Loop Prosthetic Systems*, Futura Pub. Co., Inc., Mt. Kisco, NY, Chapter 15:197-210.
- Kondo et al. 1982. A miniature glucose sensor, implantable in the blood stream. *Diabetes Care*. 5(3):218-221.
- Koschinsky et al. 1988. New approach to technical and clinical evaluation of devices for self-monitoring of blood glucose. *Diabetes Care* 11(8): 619-619.
- Kost et al. 1985. Glucose-sensitive membranes containing glucose oxidase: activity, swelling, and permeability studies, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 19:1117-1133.
- Koudelka et al. 1989. In vivo response of microfabricated glucose sensors to glycemia changes in normal rats. *Biomed Biochim Acta* 48(11-12):953-956.
- Koudelka et al. 1991. In-vivo behaviour of hypodermically implanted microfabricated glucose sensors. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 6:31-36.
- Kraver et al. 2001. A mixed-signal sensor interface microinstrument. *Sensors and Actuators A* 91:266-277.
- Kulys et al., 1994. Carbon-paste biosensors array for long-term glucose measurement, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 9:491-500.
- Kunjan et al., Automated blood sampling and glucose sensing in critical care settings, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology* 2(3):194-200, Mar. 2008.
- Kunzler et al. 1993. Hydrogels based on hydrophilic side chain siloxanes. *Poly Mat Sci and Eng* 69:226-227.
- Kunzler et al. Aug. 21, 1995. Contact lens materials. *Chemistry & Industry*. 651-655.
- Kurtz et al. 2005. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals, Part 2: Blood pressure measurement in experimental animals, A statement for professionals from the subcommittee of professional and public education of Ladd et al., *Structure Determination by X-ray Crystallography*, 3rd ed. Plenum, 1996, Ch. 1, pp. xxi-xxiv and 1-58.
- Lehmann et al. May 1994. Retrospective validation of a physiological model of glucose-insulin interaction in type 1 diabetes mellitus, *Med. Eng. Phys.* 16:193-202.
- Lewandowski et al. 1988. Evaluation of a miniature blood glucose sensor. *Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs* 34:255-258.
- Linke et al. 1994. Amperometric biosensor for in vivo glucose sensing based on glucose oxidase immobilized in a redox hydrogel. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 9:151-158.
- Lowe, 1984. *Biosensors*, Trends in Biotechnology, 2(3):59-65.
- Luong et al. 2004. Solubilization of Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes by 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane Towards the Fabrication of Electrochemical Biosensors with Promoted Electron Transfer. *Electroanalysis* 16(1-2):132-139.

- Lyandres et al. (2008). Progress toward an in vivo surface-enhanced raman spectroscopy glucose sensor. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 10(4): 257-265.
- Lyman D. 1960. Polyurethanes. I. The Solution Polymerization of Diisocyanates with Ethylene Glycol. *J. Polymer Sci* XLV:45-49.
- Madaras et al. 1996. Microfabricated amperometric creatine and creatinine biosensors. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 319:335-345.
- March, W. F. 2002. Dealing with the delay. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 4(1):49-50.
- Marena et al. 1993. The artificial endocrine pancreas in clinical practice and research. *Panminerva Medica* 35(2):67-74.
- Mascini et al. 1989. Glucose electrochemical probe with extended linearity for whole blood. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 7(12): 1507-1512.
- Mastrototaro, J. J. 2000. The MiniMed continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2(Suppl 1):S13-8.
- Matsumoto et al. 1998. A micro-planar amperometric glucose sensor unsusceptible to interference species. *Sensors and Actuators B* 49:68-72.
- Matthews et al. 1988. An amperometric needle-type glucose sensor testing in rats and man. *Diabetic Medicine* 5:248-252.
- Mazze et al. 2008. Characterizing glucose exposure for individuals with normal glucose tolerance using continuous glucose monitoring and ambulatory glucose profile analysis. *Diab. Technol. & Therapeut.*, 10:149-159.
- McCartney et al. 2001. Near-infrared fluorescence lifetime assay for serum glucose based on allophycocyanin-labeled concanavalin A. *Anal Biochem* 292:216-221.
- McGrath et al. 1995. The use of differential measurements with a glucose biosensor for interference compensation during glucose determinations by flow injection analysis. *Biosens Bioelectron* 10:937-943.
- Memoli et al. 2002. A comparison between different immobilised glucoseoxidase-based electrodes. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 29:1045-1052.
- Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Definition of "acceleration". <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Acceleration> Jan. 11, 2010.
- Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Definition of "nominal." <http://www.m-w.com/dictionary/nominal> Apr. 23, 2007.
- Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Definition of "system". <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/System> Jan. 11, 2010.
- Meyerhoff et al. 1992. On line continuous monitoring of subcutaneous tissue glucose in men by combining portable glucosensor with microdialysis. *Diabetologia* 35:1087-1092.
- Moatti-Sirat et al., Reduction of acetaminophen interference in glucose sensors by a composite Nafion membrane: demonstration in rats and man, *Diabetologia* 37(6):610-616, Jun. 1994.
- Morff et al. 1990. Microfabrication of reproducible, economical, electroenzymatic glucose sensors, Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 12(2):0483-0484.
- Mosbach et al. 1975. Determination of heat changes in the proximity of immobilized enzymes with an enzyme termistor and its use for the assay of metabolites, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. (Enzymology)*, 403:256-265.
- Motonaka et al. 1993. Determination of cholesterol and cholesterol ester with novel enzyme microsensors, *Anal. Chem.* 65:3258-3261.
- Muslu. 1991. Trickling filter performance. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 37:211-224.
- Nafion® 117 Solution Product Description, Product No. 70160, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO. Apr. 7, 2005.
- Nakayama et al. 1992. Surface fixation of hydrogels: heparin and glucose oxidase hydrogelated surfaces. *ASAIJ Journal* M421-M424.
- Ohara et al. 1994. "Wired" enzyme electrodes for amperometric determination of glucose or lactate in the presence of interfering substances. *Anal Chem* 66:2451-2457.
- Okuda et al. 1971. Mutarotase effect on micro determinations of D-glucose and its anomers with β -D-glucose oxidase. *Anal Biochem* 43:312-315.
- Oxford English Dictionary Online. Definition of "impending". <http://www.askoxford.com/results/?view=dev dict&field=12668446 Impending&branch=> Jan. 11, 2010.
- Panetti 2002. Differential effects of sphingosine 1-phosphate and lysophosphatidic acid on endothelial cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1582:190-196.
- Park et al. 2002. Gas separation properties of polysiloxane/polyether mixed soft segment urethane urea membranes, *J. Membrane Science*, 204: 257-269.
- Patel et al. 2003. Amperometric glucose sensors based on ferrocene containing polymeric electron transfer systems-a preliminary report. *Biosens Bioelectron* 18:1073-6.
- Peacock et al. 2008. Cardiac troponin and outcome in acute heart failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 358: 2117-2126.
- Pegoraro et al. 1995. Gas transport properties of siloxane polyurethanes, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 57:421-429.
- Pfeiffer, E.F. 1990. The glucose sensor: the missing link in diabetes therapy, *Horm Metab Res Suppl.* 24:154-164.
- Pfeiffer et al. 1992. On line continuous monitoring of subcutaneous tissue glucose is feasible by combining portable glucosensor with microdialysis. *Horm. Metab. Res.* 25:121-124.
- Phillips and Smith. 1988. Bromedical Applications of Polyurethanes: Implications of Failure Mechanisms. *J. Biomat. Appl.* 3:202-227.
- Pichert et al. 2000. Issues for the coming age of continuous glucose monitoring *Diabetes Educ* 26(6):969-980.
- Pickup et al. 1988. Progress towards in vivo glucose sensing with a ferrocene-mediated amperometric enzyme electrode. 34-36.
- Pickup et al. 1989. Potentially-implantable, amperometric glucose sensors with mediated electron transfer: improving the operating stability. *Biosensors* 4:109-119.
- Pickup et al. 1993. Developing glucose sensors for in vivo use. Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd (UK), TIBTECH vol. 11: 285-291.
- Pinner et al., Cross-linking of cellulose acetate by ionizing radiation, *Nature*, vol. 184, 1303-1304, Oct. 24, 1959.
- Poitout et al. 1994. Development of a glucose sensor for glucose monitoring in man: the disposable implant concept. *Clinical Materials* 15:241-246.
- Prabhu et al. 1981. Electrochemical studies of hydrogen peroxide at a platinum disc electrode, *Electrochimica Acta* 26(6):725-729.
- Quinn et al. 1995. Kinetics of glucose delivery to subcutaneous tissue in rats measured with 0.3-mm amperometric microsensors. *The American Physiological Society* E155-E161.
- Quinn et al. 1997. Biocompatible, glucose-permeable hydrogel for in situ coating of implantable biosensors. *Biomaterials* 18:1665-1670.
- Rabah et al., 1991. Electrochemical wear of graphite anodes during electrolysis of brine, *Carbon*, 29(2):165-171.
- Reach et al. 1986. A Method for Evaluating in vivo the Functional Characteristics of Glucose Sensors. *Biosensors* 2:211-220.
- Reach, G. 2001. Which threshold to detect hypoglycemia? Value of receiver-operator curve analysis to find a compromise between sensitivity and specificity. *Diabetes Care* 24(5):803-804.
- Rebrin et al. 1992. Subcutaneous glucose monitoring by means of electrochemical sensors: fiction or reality? *J. Biomed. Eng.* 14:33-40.
- Reusch. 2004. Chemical Reactivity. *Organometallic Compounds. Virtual Textbook of Organic Chem.* pp. 1-16, <http://www.cem.msu.edu/~reusch/VirtualText/orgmetal.htm>.
- Rigla et al. 2008. Real-time continuous glucose monitoring together with telemedical assistance improves glycemic control and glucose stability in pump-treated patients. *Diab. Technol. & Therapeut.*, 10:194-199.
- Rivers et al., Central venous oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill patient, *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, 7:204-211, 2001.
- Sachlos et al. 2003. Making Tissue Engineering Scaffolds Work. Review on the Application of Solid Freeform Fabrication Technology to the Production of Tissue Engineering Scaffolds. *European Cells and Materials* 5:29-40.
- Sakakida et al. 1992. Development of Ferrocene-Mediated Needle-Type Glucose Sensor as a Measure of True Subcutaneous Tissue Glucose Concentrations. *Artif. Organs Today* 2(2):145-158.
- Salardi et al. 2002. The glucose area under the profiles obtained with continuous glucose monitoring system relationships with HbA1c in pediatric type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 25(10):1840-1844.
- San Diego Plastics, Inc. 2009. Polyethylene Data Sheet, <http://www.sdplastics.com/polyeth.html>.

- Sanders et al. 2003. Fibrous Encapsulation of Single Polymer Microfibers Depends on their Vertical Dimension in subcutaneous Tissue Polymer Microfibers pp. 1181-1187.
- Schmidtke et al., Measurement and modeling of the transient difference between blood and subcutaneous glucose concentrations in the rat after injection of insulin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998, 95, 294-299.
- Schoonen et al. 1990. Development of a potentially wearable glucose sensor for patients with diabetes mellitus: design and in-vitro evaluation. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* 5:37-46.
- Schuler et al. 1999. Modified gas-permeable silicone rubber membranes for covalent immobilisation of enzymes and their use in biosensor development. *Analyst* 124:1181-1184.
- Selam, J. L. 1997. Management of diabetes with glucose sensors and implantable insulin pumps. From the dream of the 60s to the realities of the 90s. *ASAIO J*, 43:137-142.
- Service et al. 1970. Mean amplitude of glycemic excursions, a measure of diabetic instability. *Diabetes*, 19: 644-655.
- Service et al. 1987. Measurements of glucose control. *Diabetes Care*, 10: 225-237.
- Service, R. F. 2002. Can sensors make a home in the body? *Science* 297:962-3.
- Shichiri et al. 1982. Wearable artificial endocrine pancreas with needle-type glucose sensor. *Lancet* 2:1129-1131.
- Shichiri et al. 1983. Glycaemic Control in Pancreatectomized Dogs with a Wearable Artificial Endocrine Pancreas. *Diabetologia* 24:179-184.
- Slater-Maclean et al. 2008. Accuracy of glycemic measurements in the critically ill. *Diab. Technol. & Therapeut.*, 10:169-177.
- Sokol et al. 1980. Immobilized-enzyme rate-determination method for glucose analysis. *Clin. Chem.* 26(1):89-92.
- Sriyudthsak et al. 1996. Enzyme-epoxy membrane based glucose analyzing system and medical applications. *Biosens Bioelectron* 11:735-742.
- Stern et al., 1957. Electrochemical polarization: 1. A theoretical analysis of the shape of polarization curves, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 104(1):56-63.
- Sternberg et al. 1988. Covalent enzyme coupling on cellulose acetate membranes for glucose sensor development. *Anal. Chem.* 69:2781-2786.
- Stokes. 1988. Polyether Polyurethanes: Biostable or Not? *J. Biomater. Appl.* 3:228-259.
- Suh et al. 2002. Behavior of fibroblasts on a porous hyaluronic acid incorporated collagen matrix. *Yonsei Medical Journal* 43(2):193-202.
- Sumino T. et al. 1998. Preliminary study of continuous glucose monitoring with a microdialysis technique. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 20(4):1775-1778.
- Takegami et al. 1992. Pervaporation of ethanol water mixtures using novel hydrophobic membranes containing polydimethylsiloxane, *Journal of Membrane Science*, 75(93-105).
- Tatsuma et al. 1991. Oxidase/peroxidase bilayer-modified electrodes as sensors for lactate, pyruvate, cholesterol and uric acid, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 242:85-89.
- Thome et al. 1995. -Abstract—Can the decrease in subcutaneous glucose concentration precede the decrease in blood glucose level? Proposition for a push-pull kinetics hypothesis, *Horm. Metab. Res.* 27:53.
- Thomé-Duret et al. 1996. Modification of the sensitivity of glucose sensor implanted into subcutaneous tissue. *Diabetes Metabolism*, 22:174-178.
- Thomé-Duret et al. 1998. Continuous glucose monitoring in the free-moving rat. *Metabolism*, 47:799-803.
- Torjman et al., Glucose monitoring in acute care: technologies on the horizon, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 2(2):178-181, Mar. 2008.
- Trecroci, D. 2002. A Glimpse into the Future- Continuous Monitoring of Glucose with a Microfiber. *Diabetes Interview* 42-43.
- Tse and Gough. 1987. Time-Dependent Inactivation of Immobilized Glucose Oxidase and Catalase. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 29:705-713.
- Turner et al. 1984. Carbon Monoxide: Acceptor Oxidoreductase from *Pseudomonas Thermocarboxydovorans* Strain C2 and its use in a Carbon Monoxide Sensor. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 163: 161-174.
- Turner, A.P.F. 1988. Amperometric biosensor based on mediator-modified electrodes. *Methods in Enzymology* 137:90-103.
- Unger et al. 2004. Glucose control in the hospitalized patient. *Emerg Med* 36(9):12-18.
- Updike et al. 1988. Laboratory Evaluation of New Reusable Blood Glucose Sensor. *Diabetes Care*, 11:801-807.
- Utah Medical Products Inc., Blood Pressure Transducers product specifications. 6 pp. 2003-2006, 2003.
- Vadgama, P. Nov. 1981. Enzyme electrodes as practical biosensors. *Journal of Medical Engineering & Technology* 5(6):293-298.
- Vadgama. 1988. Diffusion limited enzyme electrodes. *NATO ASI Series: Series C, Math and Phys. Sci.* 226:359-377.
- Van den Berghe 2004. Tight blood glucose control with insulin in "real-life" intensive care. *Mayo Clin Proc* 79(8):977-978.
- Velho et al. 1989. In vitro and in vivo stability of electrode potentials in needle-type glucose sensors. Influence of needle material. *Diabetes* 38:164-171.
- Wade Jr., L.G. *Organic Chemistry, Chapter 17, Reactions of Aromatic Compounds* pp. 762-763, 1987.
- Wang et al. 1994. Highly Selective Membrane-Free, Mediator-Free Glucose Biosensor. *Anal. Chem.* 66:3600-3603.
- Wang et al. 1997. Improved ruggedness for membrane-based amperometric sensors using a pulsed amperometric method. *Anal Chem* 69:4482-4489.
- Ward et al. 2000. Understanding Spontaneous Output Fluctuations of an Amperometric Glucose Sensor: Effect of Inhalation Anesthesia and e of a Nonenzyme Containing Electrode. *ASAIO Journal* 540-546.
- Wientjes, K. J. C. 2000. Development of a glucose sensor for diabetic patients (Ph.D. Thesis).
- Wikipedia 2006. "Intravenous therapy," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intravenous_therapy, Aug. 15, 2006, 6 pp.
- Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary. 2004. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 141, 142, 548, 549.
- Wilkins et al. 1988. The coated wire electrode glucose sensor, *Horm Metab Res Suppl.*, 20:50-55.
- Wilkins et al. 1996. Glucose monitoring: state of the art and future possibilities. *Med Eng Phys* 18:273-288.
- Wood, W. et al. Mar. 1990. Hermetic Sealing with Epoxy. *Mechanical Engineering* 1-3.
- Woodward. 1982. How Fibroblasts and Giant Cells Encapsulate Implants: Considerations in Design of Glucose Sensor. *Diabetes Care* 5:278-281.
- Worsley et al., Measurement of glucose in blood with a phenylboronic acid optical sensor, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 2(2):213-220, Mar. 2008.
- Wright et al., Bioelectrochemical dehalogenations via direct electrochemistry of poly(ethylene oxide)- modified myoglobin, *Electrochemistry Communications* 1 (1999) 603-611.
- Yamasaki, Yoshimitsu. Sep. 1984. The development of a needle-type glucose sensor for wearable artificial endocrine pancreas. *Medical Journal of Osaka University* 35(1-2):25-34.
- Yamasaki et al. 1989. Direct measurement of whole blood glucose by a needle-type sensor. *Clinica Chimica Acta.* 93:93-98.
- Yang et al (1996). "A glucose biosensor based on an oxygen electrode: In-vitro performances in a model buffer solution and in blood plasma," *Biomedical Instrumentation & Technology*, 30:55-61.
- Yang, et al. 2004. A Comparison of Physical Properties and Fuel Cell Performance of Nafion and Zirconium Phosphate/Nafion Composite Membranes. *Journal Of Membrane Science* 237:145-161.
- Ye et al. 1993. High Current Density "Wired" Quinoprotein Glucose Dehydrogenase Electrode. *Anal. Chem.* 65:238-241.
- Zamzow et al. 1990. Development and evaluation of a wearable blood glucose monitor, *ASAIO Transactions*; 36(3): pp. M588-M591.
- Zethelius et al. 2008. Use of multiple biomarkers to improve the prediction of death from cardiovascular causes. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 358: 2107-2116.
- Zhang et al (1993). Electrochemical oxidation of H₂O₂ on Pt and Pt + Ir electrodes in physiological buffer and its applicability to H₂O₂-based biosensors. *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 345:253-271.
- Zhang et al. 1993. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of oxygen effects on a glucose oxidase based implantable glucose sensor. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 281:513-520.

Zhang et al. 1994. Elimination of the acetaminophen interference in an implantable glucose sensor. *Analytical Chemistry* 66(7):1183-1188.

Zhu et al. (1994). "Fabrication and characterization of glucose sensors based on a microarray H₂O₂ electrode." *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 9: 295-300.

Zhu et al. 2002. Planar amperometric glucose sensor based on glucose oxidase immobilized by chitosan film on prussian blue layer. *Sensors*, 2:127-136.

Office Action dated Dec. 8, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.

Office Action dated Jan. 14, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227.

Office Action dated Feb. 26, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/546,157.

Office Action dated Jan. 7, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150.

Office Action dated Jun. 4, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150.

Office Action dated Feb. 23, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,830.

Office Action dated Jun. 11, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,830.

Office Action dated Jun. 4, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/037,812.

Office Action dated Mar. 5, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/416,058.

Office Action dated Mar. 4, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/416,346.

Office Action dated Nov. 17, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated May 21, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated Oct. 8, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated Jul. 10, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated Feb. 16, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated Jul. 9, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716.

Office Action dated Jan. 13, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/139,305.

Office Action dated Jan. 22, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/439,630.

Office Action dated Dec. 31, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/503,367.

Office Action dated Feb. 3, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/503,367.

Office Action dated Jun. 21, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/137,396.




FIG. 1
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 2A
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 2B
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 3A
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 3B
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 4




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5C




FIG. 5D




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 10A




FIG. 10B




FIG. 10C




FIG. 10D




FIG. 11A




FIG. 11B




FIG. 11C




FIG. 11D




FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B




FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B

OPTIMIZED SENSOR GEOMETRY FOR AN IMPLANTABLE GLUCOSE SENSOR

This application is a division of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/646,333 filed Aug. 22, 2003 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,134,999, which claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/460,825, filed Apr. 4, 2003, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to implantable sensors that measure the concentration of an analyte in a biological fluid. The sensor geometry optimizes the healing at the sensor-tissue interface and is less amenable to accidental movement due to shear and rotational forces than other sensor configurations.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Implantable analyte sensors that are placed in the subcutaneous tissue or other soft tissue sites must develop and sustain a stable biointerface that allows the continuous and timely transport of analytes across the interface between the tissue and the device. For example, in the case of a glucose sensor, glucose must be able to freely diffuse from surrounding blood vessels to a membrane of the sensor. Glucose sensors may be implanted in the subcutaneous tissue or other soft tissue. Such devices include glucose oxidase based amperometric sensors that sense glucose for weeks, months or longer after implantation.

While the utility of such devices for glucose sensing has been demonstrated, the consistency of function for such devices is not optimal. For a particular device, the sensor may, for example: 1) fail to function (namely, fail to track glucose effectively) in a stable manner during the first few weeks after implantation; 2) not work at all during the first few weeks, but subsequently begin to function in a stable manner; 3) function well during the first few weeks, lose function, then regain effectiveness or never recover function; or 4) work immediately, and continue to function with high accuracy throughout the course of a several month study.

Glucose sensors with improved acceptance within the host tissue and decreased variability of response are required for reliable functionality in vivo. Accordingly, the present invention discloses systems and methods for providing this improved functionality and consistency of analyte sensor in a host.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A sensor, especially a sensor suitable for implantation into soft tissue that provides accurate analyte measurements while offering consistency of function is highly desirable.

Accordingly, in a first embodiment an implantable sensor is provided for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, the sensor including a body including a sensing region adapted for transport of analytes between the sensor and the bodily fluid, wherein the sensing region is located on a curved portion of the body such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing region.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is a subcutaneous sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is an intramuscular sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is an intraperitoneal sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is an intrafascial sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is suitable for implantation in an axillary region.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is suitable for implantation in a soft tissue of a body.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is suitable for implantation at the interface between two tissue types.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor includes a plurality of sensor regions.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the plurality of sensor regions are located on curved portions of the body.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface and a second major surface, and wherein the sensing region is located on the first surface, and wherein the second surface is flat.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface and a second major surface, and wherein the sensing region is located on the first major surface, and wherein the second major surface includes a curvature.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface and a second major surface, and wherein the sensor region is situated at a position on the first major surface offset from a center point of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface and a second major surface, and wherein the sensor region is situated on the first major surface approximately at a center point of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface and a second surface, and wherein the sensor region is situated approximately at an apex of the first surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface and a second surface, and wherein the first surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first surface, has a substantially rectangular profile.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface and a second surface, and wherein the first surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first surface, has a substantially rectangular profile with rounded corners.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface and a second surface, and wherein the first surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first surface, has a substantially oval profile.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface and a second surface, and wherein the first surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first surface, has a substantially circular profile.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body is substantially cuboidal defined by six faces, eight vertices, and twelve edges, wherein at least one of the faces includes the sensing region.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, at least two of the faces are substantially curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, at least four of the faces are substantially curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, all six of the faces are substantially curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the edges are substantially rounded.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the vertices are substantially rounded.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the entire body is curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body is substantially cylindrical defined by a curved lateral surface and two ends, and wherein the sensor region is located on the lateral surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body is substantially cylindrical defined by a curved lateral surface and two ends, and wherein at least one of the ends includes the substantially curved portion on which the sensor region is located.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body is substantially spherical.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body is substantially ellipsoidal.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first surface on which the sensing region is located and a second surface, and wherein the first surface includes anchoring material thereon for supporting tissue ingrowth.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the second surface is located opposite the first surface, and wherein the second surface includes anchoring material thereon for supporting tissue ingrowth.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the second surface is located opposite the first surface, and wherein the second surface is substantially smooth and includes a biocompatible material that is non-adhesive to tissues.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the second surface is curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, a mechanical anchoring mechanism is formed on the body.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the curved portion includes a plurality of radii of curvature.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the curved portion includes a radius of curvature between about 0.5 mm and about 10 cm.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the curved portion includes a radius of curvature between about 1 cm and about 5 cm.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the curved portion includes a radius of curvature between about 2 cm and about 3 cm.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the curved portion includes a radius of curvature between about 2.5 cm and about 2.8 cm.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor includes a major surface and wherein the curved portion is located on at least a portion of the major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body further includes a flat portion adjacent the curved portion.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, an interface between the flat portion and the curved portion includes a gradual transition.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface on which the sensing region is located and a second major surface, and wherein the first and second major surfaces together account for at least about 40% of the surface area of the device.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the first and second major surfaces together account for at least about 50% of the surface area of the device.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface on which the sensing region is located and a second major surface, wherein the first major surface has edges between which a width of the first major surface can be measured, and wherein the sensing region is spaced away

from the edges by a distance that is at least about 10% of the width of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensing region is spaced away from the edges by a distance that is at least about 15% of the width of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensing region is spaced away from the edges by a distance that is at least about 20% of the width of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensing region is spaced away from the edges by a distance that is at least about 25% of the width of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensing region is spaced away from the edges by a distance that is at least about 30% of the width of the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the spacing of the sensing region from the edges is true for at least two width measurements, which measurements are taken generally transverse to each other.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface on which the sensing region is located and a second major surface, wherein the first major surface is at least slightly convex.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, a reference plane may be defined that touches the first major surface at a point spaced in from edges of the first major surface, and is generally parallel to the first major surface, and is spaced away from opposite edges of the first major surface due to convexity of the first major surface, and wherein a location of an edge is the point at which a congruent line or a normal line is angled 45 degrees with respect to the reference plane.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the reference plane is spaced from the edges a distance that is at least about 3% from the edges, and not more than 50% of the width.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the reference plane is spaced from the edges a distance that is at least about 3% from the edges, and not more than 25% of the width.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the reference plane is spaced from the edges a distance that is at least about 3% from the edges, and not more than 15% of the width.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes a first major surface on which the sensing region is located, and wherein edges of the first major surface are rounded and transition smoothly away from the first major surface.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body defines a surface area, and wherein between 10 % and 100% of the surface area is convexly curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body defines a surface area, and wherein a substantial portion of the surface area is convexly curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body defines a surface area, and where at least about 90 % of the surface area is convexly curved.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the body includes plastic.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the plastic is selected from the group consisting of thermoplastic and thermoset.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the thermoset is epoxy.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the thermoset is silicone.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the thermoset is polyurethane.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the plastic is selected from the group consisting of metal, ceramic, and glass.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, a porous biointerface material that covers at least a portion of the sensing region.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the biointerface material includes interconnected cavities dimensioned and arranged to create contractile forces that counteract with the generally uniform downward fibrous tissue contracture caused by the foreign body capsule in vivo and thereby interfere with formation of occlusive cells.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the sensor is a glucose sensor.

In a second embodiment, an implantable sensor is provided for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, the sensor including: a body including a sensing region on a major surface of the body, wherein the major surface includes a continuous curvature substantially across the entire surface such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing region.

In a third embodiment, a wholly implantable sensor is provided to measure a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, including: a wholly implantable body including a sensing region adapted for transport of analytes between the sensor and the bodily fluid, wherein the sensing region is located on a curved portion of a first surface of the body and wherein the first surface includes anchoring material thereon for supporting tissue ingrowth.

In a fourth embodiment, an implantable sensor is provided to measure a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, including: a body having a first major surface and, opposite thereto, a second major surface, wherein the first major surface is generally planar, slightly convex, and has rounded edges, with a sensor region located on the first major surface that is spaced away from the rounded edges, wherein the first major surface is sufficiently convex that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, contractile forces are exerted thereby generally uniformly towards the sensing region.

In a fifth embodiment, an implantable sensor is provided for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, the sensor including: a body, the body including a sensing region adapted for transport of analytes between the sensor and the bodily fluid, wherein the sensing region is located on a curved portion of the body, and wherein a thermoset material substantially encapsulates the body outside the sensing region.

In a sixth embodiment, an implantable sensor for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, the sensor including: sensing means for measuring a concentration of analyte in a bodily fluid; and housing means for supporting the sensing means, wherein the sensing means is located on a curved portion of housing means such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the housing means, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing means.

In a seventh embodiment, an implantable drug delivery device is provided that allows transport of analytes between the device and a bodily fluid, the device including: a body including an analyte transport region adapted for transport of analytes between the device and the bodily fluid, wherein the transport region is located on a curved portion of the body such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the device, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the analyte transport region.

In an eighth embodiment, an implantable cell transplantation device is provided that allows transport of analytes between the device and a bodily fluid, the device including: a body including an analyte transport region adapted for transport of analytes between the device and the bodily fluid, wherein the transport region is located on a curved portion of

the body such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the device, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the analyte transport region.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an illustration of classical foreign body response to an object implanted under the skin.

FIG. 2A is schematic side view of a prior art device that has a sensing region with an abrupt inside turn, causing a sub-optimal foreign body response.

FIG. 2B is a photomicrograph of the foreign body response to a portion of the device of FIG. 2A, after formation of the foreign body capsule and subsequent explantation, showing thickened immune response adjacent to the abrupt inside turn.

FIG. 3A is a schematic side view of another prior art device that has flattened surfaces across the entire device, and particularly across the sensing region, causing sub-optimal foreign body capsule healing.

FIG. 3B is a schematic side view of the sensing region of yet another device that has flattened surfaces across the entire device, and an inset sensing region, which is an example of another device that causes sub-optimal foreign body capsule healing in implantable sensors.

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view of the sensing region of an analyte sensor in one embodiment of the present invention, wherein the sensing region is continuously curved, thereby causing contractile forces from the foreign body capsule to press downwardly on the sensing region.

FIG. 5A is a perspective view of an analyte sensor in another embodiment, including a thin oblong body, a curved sensing region, and an overall curved surface on which the sensing region is located, thereby causing contractile forces from the foreign body capsule to press downward on the sensor head.

FIG. 5B is the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A shown implanted with the sensing region adjacent to the fascia underlying the subcutaneous space, and overlaying adjacent muscle.

FIG. 5C is an end view of the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A showing the contractile forces caused by the foreign body capsule.

FIG. 5D is a side view of the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of sensor geometry in an alternative environment wherein the sensor includes a curved sensor region and a flat region, wherein the interface between the flat region and the curved region includes a gradual transition.

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment wherein the entire sensor body is curved.

FIG. 8 is a perspective view of sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment including a cylindrical geometry wherein a plurality of sensing regions are located on the curved lateral surface of the sensor body.

FIG. 9A is a perspective view of sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment including a substantially spherical body wherein a plurality of sensing regions are located about the circumference of the sphere.

FIG. 9B is a perspective view of a sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment including a substantially spherical body with a rod extending therefrom.

FIGS. 10A to 10D are perspective views of a sensor that has an expandable sensing body in one embodiment. FIGS. 10A and 10C are views of the sensor with the sensing body in a collapsed state, FIGS. 10B and 10D are views of the sensor with the sensing body in an expanded state.

FIGS. 11A to 11D are perspective views of sensors wherein one or more sensing bodies are tethered to an electronics body in a variety of alternative embodiments.

FIGS. 12A to 12B are perspective views of a sensor in an alternative embodiment wherein an electronics body is independent of the sensing bodies in a preassembled state and wherein the sensing bodies are independently inserted (and operatively connected) to the electronics body in a minimally invasive manner.

FIG. 13A is a side schematic view of an analyte sensor with anchoring material on a first and second major surface of the device, including the surface on which the sensing region is located, wherein the analyte sensor is implanted subcutaneously and is ingrown with fibrous, vascularized tissue.

13B is a side schematic view of an analyte sensor with anchoring material on a first major surface on which the sensing region is located, and wherein a second major surface is substantially smooth.

FIG. 14A is a graph showing the percentage of functional sensors from a study of two different sensor geometries implanted in a host.

FIG. 14B is a graph showing the average R-value of sensors from a study of two different sensor geometries implanted in a host.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The following description and examples illustrate some exemplary embodiments of the disclosed invention in detail. Those of skill in the art will recognize that there are numerous variations and modifications of this invention that are encompassed by its scope. Accordingly, the description of a certain exemplary embodiment should not be deemed to limit the scope of the present invention.

Definitions

In order to facilitate an understanding of the disclosed invention, a number of terms are defined below.

The term "analyte," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, to refer to a substance or chemical constituent in a biological fluid (for example, blood, interstitial fluid, cerebral spinal fluid, lymph fluid or urine) that can be analyzed. Analytes may include naturally occurring substances, artificial substances, metabolites, and/or reaction products. In some embodiments, the analyte for measurement by the sensor heads, devices, and methods is glucose. However, other analytes are contemplated as well, including but not limited to acarboxyprothrombin; acylcarnitine; adenine phosphoribosyl transferase; adenosine deaminase; albumin; alpha-feto-protein; amino acid profiles (arginine (Krebs cycle), histidine/urocanic acid, homocysteine, phenylalanine/tyrosine, tryptophan); androstenedione; antipyrine; arabinitol enantiomers; arginase; benzoylcegonine (cocaine); biotinidase; bioprotein; c-reactive protein; carbon dioxide; carnitine; carnosinase; CD4; ceruloplasmin; chenodeoxycholic acid; cholesterol; cholesterol; cholinesterase; conjugated 1-β hydroxy-cholic acid; cortisol; creatine kinase; creatine kinase MM isoenzyme; cyclosporin A; d-penicillamine; de-ethyl-chloroquine; dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; DNA (acetylator polymorphism, alcohol dehydrogenase, alpha 1-antitrypsin, cystic fibrosis, Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophy, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, hemoglobinopathies, A,S,C,E, D-Punjab, beta-thalassemia, hepatitis B virus, HCMV, HIV-1, HTLV-1, Leber hereditary optic neuropathy, MCAD, RNA, PKU, *Plasmodium vivax*, sexual dif-

ferentiation, 21-deoxycortisol); desbutylhalofantrine; dihydropteridine reductase; diphtheria/tetanus antitoxin; erythrocyte arginase; erythrocyte protoporphyrin; esterase D; fatty acids/acylglycines; free β-human chorionic gonadotropin; free erythrocyte porphyrin; free thyroxine (FT4); free tri-iodothyronine (FT3); fumarylacetoacetase; galactose/gal-1-phosphate; galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase; gentamicin; glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; glutathione; glutathione peroxidase; glycocholic acid; glycosylated hemoglobin; halofantrine; hemoglobin variants; hexosaminidase A; human erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase I; 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone; hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase; immunoreactive trypsin; lactate; lead; lipoproteins ((a), B/A-1, β); lysozyme; mefloquine; netilmicin; oxygen; phenobarbitone; phenytoin; phytanic/pristanic acid; progesterone; prolactin; prolidase; purine nucleoside phosphorylase; quinine; reverse tri-iodothyronine (rT3); selenium; serum pancreatic lipase; sissomicin; somatomedin C; specific antibodies (adenovirus, anti-nuclear antibody, anti-zeta antibody, arbovirus, Aujeszky's disease virus, dengue virus, *Dra-cunculus medinensis*, *Echinococcus granulosus*, *Entamoeba histolytica*, enterovirus, *Giardia duodenalis*, *Helicobacter pylori*, hepatitis B virus, herpes virus, HIV-1, IgE (atopic disease), influenza virus, *Leishmania donovani*, leptospira, measles/mumps/rubella, *Mycobacterium leprae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Myoglobin*, *Onchocerca volvulus*, parainfluenza virus, *Plasmodium falciparum*, poliovirus, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, pH, respiratory syncytial virus, rickettsia (scrub typhus), *Schistosoma mansoni*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Treponema pallidum*, *Trypanosoma cruzi*/tangeli, vesicular stomatitis virus, *Wuchereria bancrofti*, yellow fever virus); specific antigens (hepatitis B virus, HIV-1); succinylacetone; sulfadoxine; theophylline; thyrotropin (TSH); thyroxine (T4); thyroxine-binding globulin; trace elements; transferrin; UDP-galactose-4-epimerase; urea; uroporphyrinogen I synthase; vitamin A; white blood cells; and zinc protoporphyrin. Salts, sugar, protein, fat, vitamins and hormones naturally occurring in blood or interstitial fluids may also constitute analytes in certain embodiments. The analyte may be naturally present in the biological fluid, for example, a metabolic product, a hormone, an antigen, an antibody, and the like. Alternatively, the analyte may be introduced into the body, for example, a contrast agent for imaging, a radioisotope, a chemical agent, a fluorocarbon-based synthetic blood, or a drug or pharmaceutical composition, including but not limited to insulin; ethanol; cannabis (marijuana, tetrahydrocannabinol, hashish); inhalants (nitrous oxide, amyl nitrite, butyl nitrite, chlorohydrocarbons, hydrocarbons); cocaine (crack cocaine); stimulants (amphetamines, methamphetamines, Ritalin, Cylert, Preludin, Didrex, PreState, Voranil, Sandrex, Plegine); depressants (barbituates, methaqualone, tranquilizers such as Valium, Librium, Miltown, Serax, Equanil, Tranxene); hallucinogens (phencyclidine, lysergic acid, mescaline, peyote, psilocybin); narcotics (heroin, codeine, morphine, opium, meperidine, Percocet, Percodan, Tus-sionex, Fentanyl, Darvon, Talwin, Lomotil); designer drugs (analogs of fentanyl, meperidine, amphetamines, methamphetamines, and phencyclidine, for example, Ecstasy); anabolic steroids; and nicotine. The metabolic products of drugs and pharmaceutical compositions are also contemplated analytes. Analytes such as neurochemicals and other chemicals generated within the body may also be analyzed, such as, for example, ascorbic acid, uric acid, dopamine, noradrenaline, 3-methoxytyramine (3MT), 3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), Homovanillic acid (HVA), 5-Hydroxytryptamine (5HT), and 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic acid (FHIAA).

By the terms “evaluated”, “monitored”, “analyzed”, and the like, it is meant that an analyte may be detected and/or measured.

The terms “sensor head” and “sensing region” as used herein are broad terms and are used in their ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the region of a monitoring device responsible for the detection of a particular analyte. In one embodiment, the sensing region generally comprises a non-conductive body, a working electrode (anode), a reference electrode, and a counter electrode (cathode) passing through and secured within the body forming an electrochemically reactive surface at one location on the body and an electronic connective means at another location on the body, and a multi-region membrane affixed to the body and covering the electrochemically reactive surface. The counter electrode generally has a greater electrochemically reactive surface area than the working electrode. During general operation of the sensor a biological sample (for example, blood or interstitial fluid) or a portion thereof contacts (directly or after passage through one or more membranes or domains) an enzyme (for example, glucose oxidase); the reaction of the biological sample (or portion thereof) results in the formation of reaction products that allow a determination of the analyte (e.g., glucose) level in the biological sample. In preferred embodiments, the multi-region membrane further comprises an enzyme domain and an electrolyte phase, namely, a free-flowing liquid phase comprising an electrolyte-containing fluid described further below. While the preferred embodiments are generally illustrated by a sensor as described above, other sensor head configurations are also contemplated. While electrochemical sensors (including coulometric, voltammetric, and/or amperometric sensors) for the analysis of glucose are generally contemplated, other sensing mechanisms, including but not limited to optochemical sensors, biochemical sensors, electrocatalytic sensors, optical sensors, piezoelectric sensors, thermoelectric sensors, and acoustic sensors may be used. A device may include one sensing region, or multiple sensing regions. Each sensing region can be employed to determine the same or different analytes. The sensor region may include the entire surface of the device, a substantial portion of the surface of the device, or only a small portion of the surface of the device. Different sensing mechanisms may be employed by different sensor regions on the same device, or a device may include one or more sensor regions and also one or more regions for drug delivery, immunoisolation, cell transplantation, and the like. It may be noted that the preferred embodiments, the “sensor head” is the part of the sensor that houses the electrodes, while the “sensing region” includes the sensor head and area that surrounds the sensor head, particularly the area in such proximity to the sensor head that effects of the foreign body capsule on the sensor head.

The term “foreign body capsule” or “FBC,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, body’s response to the introduction of a foreign object; there are three main layers of a FBC: 1) the innermost layer, adjacent to the object, is composed generally of macrophages, foreign body giant cells, and occlusive cell layers; 2) the intermediate FBC layer, lying distal to the first layer with respect to the object, is a wide zone (e.g., about 30-100 microns) composed primarily of fibroblasts, contractile fibrous tissue fibrous matrix; and 3) the outermost FBC layer is loose connective granular tissue containing new blood vessels. Over time, this FBC tissue becomes muscular in nature and contracts around the foreign object so that the object remains tightly encapsulated.

The term “subcutaneous,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, under the skin.

The term “intramuscular,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, within the substance of a muscle.

The term “intraperitoneal,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, within the peritoneal cavity, which is the area that contains the abdominal organs.

The term “intrafascial,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, within the fascia, which is a sheet or band of fibrous tissue such as lies deep to the skin or forms an investment for muscles and various other organs of the body.

The term “axillary region,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the pyramidal region between the upper thoracic wall and the arm, its base formed by the skin and apex bounded by the approximation of the clavicle, coracoid process, and first rib; it contains axillary vessels, the brachial plexus of nerves, many lymph nodes and vessels, and loose areolar tissue.

The term “apex,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the uppermost point; for example the outermost point of a convexly curved portion.

The term “cuboidal,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a polyhedron composed of six faces, eight vertices, and twelve edges, wherein the faces.

The term “convex,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, outwardly protuberant; that is, an object is convex if for any pair of points within the object, any point on the line that joins them is also within the object. A convex portion is a portion of an object that is convex in that portion of the object. For example, a solid cube is convex, but anything that is hollow or has a dent in it is not convex.

The term “curvature,” “curved portion,” and “curved,” as used herein, are broad terms and is used in their ordinary sense, including, without limitation, one or more arcs defined by one or more radii.

The term “cylindrical,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a solid of circular or elliptical cross section in which the centers of the circles or ellipses all lie on a single line. A cylinder defines a lateral surface and two ends.

The term “ellipsoidal,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, closed surface of which all plane sections are either ellipses or circles. An ellipsoid is symmetrical about three mutually perpendicular axes that intersect at the center.

The term “spherical,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a solid that is bounded by a surface consisting of all points at a given distance from a point constituting its center.

The term “anchoring material,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, biocompatible material that is non-smooth, and particularly comprises an architecture that supports tissue ingrowth in order to facilitate anchoring of the material into bodily tissue in vivo. Some examples of anchoring materials include polyester, polypropylene cloth, polytetrafluoroethylene felts, expanded polytetrafluoroethylene, and porous silicone, for example.

The term “mechanical anchoring mechanism,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense,

including, without limitation, mechanical mechanisms (e.g., prongs, spines, barbs, wings, hooks, helical surface topography, gradually changing diameter, or the like), which aids in immobilizing the sensor in the subcutaneous space, particularly prior to formation of a mature foreign body capsule

The term “biocompatible,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, compatibility with living tissue or a living system by not being toxic.

The term “non-adhesive to tissue,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a material or surface of a material to which cells and/or cell processes do not adhere at the molecular level, and/or to which cells and/or cell processes do not adhere to the surface of the material.

The term “plastic,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, polymeric materials that have the capability of being molded or shaped, usually by the application of heat and pressure. Polymers that are classified as plastics can be divided into two major categories: thermoplastic and thermoset.

The term “thermoplastic,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, polymeric materials such as polyethylene and polystyrene that are capable of being molded and remolded repeatedly. The polymer structure associated with thermoplastics is that of individual molecules that are separate from one another and flow past one another.

The term “thermoset,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, polymeric materials such as epoxy, silicone, and polyurethane that cannot be reprocessed upon reheating. During their initial processing, thermosetting resins undergo a chemical reaction that results in an infusible, insoluble network. Essentially, the entire heated, finished article becomes one large molecule. For example, the epoxy polymer undergoes a cross-linking reaction when it is molded at a high temperature. Subsequent application of heat does not soften the material to the point where it can be reworked and indeed may serve only to break it down.

The term “substantially,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, refers to an amount greater than 50 percent, preferably greater than 75 percent and, most preferably, greater than 90 percent.

The term “host,” as used herein is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, both humans and animals.

The term “R-value,” as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, one conventional way of summarizing the correlation of data; that is, a statement of what residuals (e.g., root mean square deviations) are to be expected if the data are fitted to a straight line by the a regression.

Overview

In a preferred embodiment, the sensor heads, devices, and methods of the preferred embodiments may be used to determine the level of glucose or other analytes in a host. The level of glucose is a particularly important measurement for individuals having diabetes in that effective treatment depends on the accuracy of this measurement.

Although the description that follows is primarily directed at implantable glucose sensors, the methods of the preferred embodiments are not limited to either electrochemical sensing or glucose measurement. Rather, the methods may be applied to any implantable sensor that detects and quantifies

an analyte present in biological fluids (including, but not limited to, amino acids and lactate), including those analytes that are substrates for oxidase enzymes (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 4,703,756 to Gough et al., hereby incorporated by reference), as well as to implantable sensors that detect and quantify analytes present in biological fluids by analytical methods other than electrochemical methods, as described above. The methods may also offer benefits and be suitable for use with implantable devices, other than sensors, that are concerned with the transport of analytes, for example, drug delivery devices, cell transplantation devices, tracking devices, or any other foreign body implanted subcutaneously or in other soft tissue of the body, for example, intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intrafascial, or in the axial region.

Methods and devices that may be suitable for use in conjunction with aspects of the preferred embodiments are disclosed in copending applications including U.S. application Ser. No. 09/916,386 filed Jul. 27, 2001 and entitled “MEMBRANE FOR USE WITH IMPLANTABLE DEVICES”; U.S. application Ser. No. 09/916,711 filed Jul. 27, 2001 and entitled “SENSOR HEAD FOR USE WITH IMPLANTABLE DEVICE”; U.S. application Ser. No. 09/447,227 filed Nov. 22, 1999 and entitled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING ANALYTE LEVELS”; U.S. application Ser. No. 10/153,356 filed May 22, 2002 and entitled “TECHNIQUES TO IMPROVE POLYURETHANE MEMBRANES FOR IMPLANTABLE GLUCOSE SENSORS”; U.S. application Ser. No. 09/489,588 filed Jan. 21, 2000 and entitled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING ANALYTE LEVELS”; U.S. application Ser. No. 09/636,369 filed Aug. 11, 2000 and entitled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR REMOTE MONITORING AND MODULATION OF MEDICAL DEVICES”; and U.S. application Ser. No. 09/916,858 filed Jul. 27, 2001 and entitled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING ANALYTE LEVELS,” as well as issued patents including U.S. Pat. No. 6,001,067 issued Dec. 14, 1999 and entitled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING ANALYTE LEVELS”; U.S. Pat. No. 4,994,167 issued Feb. 19, 1991 and entitled “BIOLOGICAL FLUID MEASURING DEVICE”; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,757,022 filed Jul. 12, 1988 and entitled “BIOLOGICAL FLUID MEASURING DEVICE.” All of the above patents and patent applications are incorporated in their entirety herein by reference.

Such medical devices, including implanted analyte sensors, drug delivery devices and cell transplantation devices require close vascularization and transport of solutes across the device-tissue interface for proper function. These devices generally include a biointerface membrane, which encases the device or a portion of the device to prevent access by host inflammatory cells, immune cells, or soluble factors to sensitive regions of the device.

Nature of the Foreign Body Capsule

Biointerface membranes stimulate a local inflammatory response, called the foreign body response (FBR) that has long been recognized as limiting the function of implanted devices that require solute transport. The FBR has been well described in the literature.

FIG. 1 is a schematic drawing that illustrates a classical foreign body response (FBR) to an object implanted under the skin. There are three main regions of a FBR. The innermost FBR region 12, adjacent to the device, is composed generally of macrophages and foreign body giant cells 14 (herein referred to as the barrier cell layer). These cells form a monolayer of closely opposed cells over the entire surface of a microscopically smooth, macroscopically smooth (but

microscopically rough), or microporous (i.e., less than about 1 μm pore size) membrane. The intermediate FBR region **16** (herein referred to as the fibrous zone), lying distal to the first region with respect to the device, is a wide zone (about 30-1000 microns) composed primarily of fibroblasts **18**, contractile fibrous tissue **19**, and fibrous matrix **20** (shown as empty space, which is actually filled with this fibrous matrix). It may be noted that the organization of the fibrous zone, and particularly the contractile fibrous tissue **19**, contributes to the formation of the monolayer of closely opposed cells due to the contractile forces **21** around the surface of the foreign body (e.g., membrane **10**). The outermost FBR region **22** is loose connective granular tissue containing new blood vessels **24** (herein referred to as the vascular zone). Over time, the foreign body capsule becomes muscular due to differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts and contracts around the foreign body so that the foreign body remains tightly encapsulated.

Sensor Geometry

It has been observed that the variability of function observed in implanted sensors may sometimes occur in several different devices implanted within the same host (e.g., human or animal). Accordingly, this observation suggests that individual variability of hosts may not be a significant factor in the observed variability. Data suggest that a major factor in the variability is the individual nature of how the surrounding tissue heals around each device. Accordingly, the present invention discloses methods and systems for selecting an appropriate geometry for a device that requires transport of analytes *in vivo*, such that the healing of the host tissue around the device is optimized. Optimizing the host response includes minimizing variability, increasing transport of analytes, and controlling motion artifact *in vivo*, for example.

FIG. 2A is schematic side view of a prior art device that has a sensing region with an abrupt inside turn, causing sub-optimal foreign body response. FIG. 2B is a photomicrograph of the type of device of FIG. 2A after formation of the foreign body capsule and subsequent explantation, showing thickened host response adjacent the abrupt inside turn and lymphocytic infiltrate.

Particularly, FIG. 2A depicts a sensor **26** wherein a dome sensor head **28** incorporating the sensing electrodes **30** or other sensing devices or means protrudes above a large, flat surface **32** of the device. Particularly noteworthy is the abrupt change in curvature of an approximately 90-degree turn between the sensor head **28** and the flat surface **32**. Additionally, an O-ring **34** encircles the device to hold a biointerface membrane (not shown) over the dome sensor head **28** of the device and causes further discontinuity of the surface between the sensor head and the flat surface of the sensor body.

A wide variability in the healing of the tissue adjacent to the sensor dome of the device is observed. Particularly, the foreign body capsule is thickest in the area **42** adjacent to the discontinuous surface (e.g., O-ring and sensor head-sensor body interface). This thickest portion is a result of tissue contracture that occurs during the foreign body response, resulting in forces being applied to the portion of the device interfacing with the tissue. Notably, because the device of FIG. 2A has an inside turn where the dome meets the top plate at the O-ring, the forces **40** exerted by contracture pull outward, and thus away from the device-tissue interface. This causes inflammation in the region of the inside turn. Greater tissue trauma and the formation of barrier cell layers are typically observed adjacent to the region of the device

wherein the dome meets the top plate. It is believed that outward forces produced by tissue contraction cause wound-healing in this site, which stimulates higher levels of inflammation, resulting in occlusion. It should be noted that this more “turbulent area” **42** is marked by an increase chronic inflammatory response which is most occlusive at the discontinuous surface area, but spreads to include the thickening in sensing area **41** that may effect the transport of analytes and thus the function of the sensor *in vivo*.

FIG. 2B is a photomicrograph of the foreign body capsule, after a device having the sensor configuration of FIG. 2A was explanted from a host. The right side of the photomicrograph shows a thickening of the tissue response with inflammatory cells present near the inside turn (within **44**). The o-ring **34** was located approximately as shown by the dashed line, which contributed to the thickening of the tissue response due to the abruptness of the surface area. It may be noted that the tissue response thins near the center of the dome (at **46** (the fold in the section near the center of the dome is an artifact of sample preparation)). The electrodes are located within the sensing region **47** as shown on the photomicrograph, over which occlusive cells extend from the thickened response **44**. That is, the thickening of the tissues in the “turbulent area”, which is the area adjacent to the discontinuous surfaces at the inside turn, leads to the subsequent formation of barrier cell layers that may continue over the sensor head and block the transport of analytes across the device-tissue interface over time. The nature of the response suggests that trauma to the tissue may have occurred during or after the initial wound healing. If trauma occurs during wound healing, complete healing never occurs and the tissue stays in a hyper-inflammatory state during the entire course of the implant period. Alternatively, if trauma occurs subsequent to the initial wound healing, the wound heals but is re-injured, perhaps repeatedly, over time. Either of these trauma-induced wound-healing mechanisms may lead to improper healing and the growth of occlusive cells at the biointerface. It may be noted that it is the combination of the severity of the inside turn and its proximity to the sensing region that forms the occlusive cell layer, which may cause blockage of analyte transport to the sensor. In some alternative embodiments, certain turns (e.g., inside turns or otherwise) on the surface of the sensor body may not adversely effect the transport of analytes; for example, turns that are located at a sufficient distance from the sensing region may not produce a thickened inflammatory host response adjacent the sensing region and/or turns that are sufficiently gradual and/or lack abruptness may not adversely effect the host response adjacent the sensing regions.

The tissue response resulting in the growth of occlusive cells as described above tends to occur due to the contraction of the surrounding wound tissue. It is therefore desirable to ensure stable wound healing that does not change after the initial healing. As illustrated by the photomicrograph of FIG. 2B, the geometry of the device depicted does not favor stable healing because tissue contracture results in the pulling away of tissues from the device surface at the junction between the dome and top plate.

FIG. 3A is a schematic side view of another prior art device that has flattened surfaces across the entire device, and particularly across the sensing region, creating sub-optimal foreign body capsule healing. In the sensor of FIG. 3A, all surfaces are flat and all edges and corners are sharp; there is no curvature or convexity, particularly in the sensing region.

Consequently, contractile forces **54** pull laterally and outwardly along the flat surfaces, including the sensing region, which is the area proximal to the electrodes **52**, as the FBC tightens around the device. Lateral contractile forces **54**

caused by the FBC **50** along the flat surfaces are believed to increase motion artifact and tissue damage due to shear forces **56** between the device **52** and the tissue. In other words, rather than firmly holding the tissue adjacent to the sensing region with a downward force against the sensing region (such as will be shown with the geometry of the present invention), a lateral movement (indicated by arrow **56**) is seen in the tissue adjacent to the sensing region, causing trauma-induced wounding mechanisms that may lead to improper healing and the growth of occlusive cells at the biointerface. This is especially harmful in the sensing region, which requires substantially consistent transport of analytes, because it is known that thickening of the FBC from chronic inflammation and occlusive cells decreases or blocks analyte transport to the device.

It may be noted that some prior art devices attempt to minimize tissue trauma by rounding edges and corners, however the effects of tissue trauma will still be seen in the flat surfaces (e.g., sensing region) of the device such as described above, thereby at least partially precluding function of a device requiring analyte transport. Similarly, placement of the sensing region, or a plurality of sensing regions, away from the center of the device (such as seen in some prior art devices) would not significantly improve the effects of the lateral contractile forces along the flat surface of the sensing region(s), because it is the flat surface, whether at the center and/or off center, that causes in the occlusive tissue trauma *in vivo*.

It may be noted that the thickness of the FBC appears to increase around the central portion of the device and be thinner around the ends. It is believed that this phenomenon is due to the loose and counteracting lateral contractile forces near the center of the device, while a tighter contractile force near the ends of the device indicates tighter control of the FBC.

FIG. 3B is a schematic side view of the sensing region of yet another prior art device that has flattened surfaces across the device, however includes an inset sensing region. The device of FIG. 3B is similar to the device of FIG. 3A, and is another example of a disadvantageous device due to sub-optimal foreign body capsule healing. Particularly, the inset portion **58**, whether bounded by sharp or rounded edges, will cause contractile forces **54** of the foreign body capsule to pull outwardly and laterally. The inset region of the device will experience increased trauma-induced wounding mechanisms that may lead to improper healing and the growth of occlusive cells at the biointerface as compared to FIG. 3A. In other words, both flat and inset (e.g., concave) sensing regions will cause tissue wounding and chronic inflammatory response leading to decreased transport of analytes, increased time lag, and decreased device function.

In contrast to the prior art, a preferred embodiment of the present invention provides a sensor geometry that includes a sensing region adapted for transport of analytes between the sensor and the bodily fluid, wherein the sensing region is located on a curved portion of the sensor body such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing region. This contractile force provides sufficient support to maintain the foreign body capsule in close proximity to the sensing region without substantial motion artifact or shearing forces, thereby minimizing inflammatory trauma, minimizing the thickness of the foreign body capsule, and maximizing the transport of analytes through the foreign body capsule. Additionally, the overall design described herein ensures more stable wound healing, and therefore better acceptance in the body.

It may be noted that the disadvantageous outward forces (e.g., forces **40** as described with reference to FIG. 2A, and

forces **54** such as described with reference to FIG. 3B) refer to forces that cause motion of the foreign body capsule relative to the device as a whole. In other words, the discontinuity of the surface on which the sensing region is located creates outward forces of the FBC as a whole, which unfortunately allows motion of the device within the FBC. These outside forces **40** create a thickened FBC due to chronic inflammatory response responsive to motion of the device within the FBC such as described with reference to FIGS. 2 and 3. It may be noted however that a biointerface material with interconnected cavities in at least a portion thereof may be placed over the sensor head such as described with reference to copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/647,065 filed Aug. 22, 2003 and entitled "POROUS MEMBRANE FOR USE WITH IMPLANTABLE DEVICES", which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference. This biointerface material advantageously causes disruption of the contractile forces caused by the fibrous tissue of the FBC within the cavities of the biointerface material. Particularly, the biointerface material includes interconnected cavities with a multiple-cavity depth, which may affect the tissue contracture that typically occurs around a foreign body. That is, within the cavities of the biointerface material, forces from the foreign body response contract around the solid portions that define the cavities and away from the device. This architecture of the interconnected cavities of the biointerface material is advantageous because the contractile forces caused by the downward tissue contracture that may otherwise cause cells to flatten against the device and occlude the transport of analytes, is instead translated to and/or counteracted by the forces that contract around the solid portions (e.g., throughout the interconnected cavities) away from the device. Therefore, the mechanisms of the present invention (e.g., geometric configurations described herein) are designed to increase downward forces on the sensor head in order to decrease motion of the device relative to the FBC as a whole, which complements the mechanisms of the biointerface material that causes disruption of the contractile forces within the biointerface material in order to deflect the forces toward the solid portions within the biointerface and away from the device itself, both of which mechanisms work to prevent the formation of occlusive cells that block analyte transport. Therefore, a biointerface material such as described above may be placed over at least a portion (e.g., some or all) of the sensing region of the devices of the present invention to aid in preventing the formation of occlusive cells (e.g., barrier cell layer) and increasing the transport of analytes.

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view of the sensing region of an analyte sensor in one embodiment, wherein the sensing region is continuously curved, thereby causing contractile forces from the foreign body capsule to press downward thereon. The sensing region is located on an end of sensor that extends longitudinally (not shown). Particularly, the curved sensor region **70** includes no abrupt edges or discontinuous surfaces to ensure stable wound healing. For example, such a device **68** may be cylindrical with a collet that meets the head, as depicted in FIG. 4. The collet produces a continuous curvature from the sensor dome **72** to the wall of the cylinder **73**. When this design is employed, tissue contracture (depicted by the arrows **74**) results in forces oriented in towards the device interface along the entire surface of the dome (depicted by arrows **76**). Thus, the foreign body capsule is pulled down against the surface of the device. Injury and re-injury is thereby minimized or even prevented because there are no outward forces produced by tissue contracture as in the design depicted in the devices of FIGS. 2 and 3. Improved biointerface healing is observed for this geometry, as evidenced by

17

improved in vivo performance. A device with a design similar to that depicted in FIG. 4 was the subject of animal testing, which is described in more detail with reference to FIGS. 11A and 11B.

FIG. 5A is a perspective view of an analyte sensor in another embodiment, including a thin ellipsoidal geometry, a curved sensing region, and an overall curved surface on which the sensing region is located, thereby causing contractile forces from the foreign body capsule to press downward on the sensor head. FIG. 5B is the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A shown implanted with the sensing region adjacent to the muscle fascia underlying the subcutaneous space. FIG. 5C is an end view of the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A showing the contractile forces that would be caused by a foreign body capsule. FIG. 5D is a side view of the analyte sensor of FIG. 5A.

In this embodiment, the analyte sensor 80 includes the sensing region 82 located on a curved portion of the sensor body, and including no abrupt edge or discontinuous surface in the proximity of the sensing region. Additionally, the overall curvature of the surface on which the sensing region is located, including rounded edges, invokes a generally uniform FBC around that surface, decreasing inflammatory response and increasing analyte transport at the device-tissue interface 84.

In one aspect of this embodiment, the sensor geometry particularly suited for healing at the device-tissue interface 84 when the sensor is implanted between two tissue planes. That is, the geometry includes a thin, substantially oval sensor, wherein the sensor head is positioned on one of the major surfaces of the sensor rather than at the tip, as illustrated in FIG. 4. When implanted, the sensor is oriented such that the sensor head is adjacent to the fascia underlying the subcutaneous space.

Perpendicular forces 88, depicted in FIG. 5C by arrows pointing down, reduce or eliminate shear forces with the tissue at the sensor head. While lateral forces 90 may appear to create shear forces at the sensor head, several features of the sensor mitigate these forces. For example, the sensor is much thinner and is immediately adjacent to the fascia, underlying the fat, making it less prone to movement. As another example, the sensor may be sutured to the tough fascia, which further prevents lateral forces from being conveyed to the sensor head; while in other preferred embodiments, an anchoring material or other method of attachment may be employed. As yet another example, in order to facilitate proper healing, the side of the sensor upon which the sensor head is situated preferably has a curved radius extending from lateral side to lateral side. As depicted in the side view and end view (FIGS. 5C and 5D), the sensor head is positioned at the apex of the radius. When surrounding tissue contracts as it heals, the radius serves to optimize the forces 88 exerted down onto the curved surface, especially the forces in the lateral directions 90, to keep the tissue uniformly in contact with the surface and to produce a thinner foreign body capsule. The curvature ensures that the head is resting against the tissue and that when tissue contraction occurs, forces are generated downward on the head so that the tissue attachment is maintained. It may be noted that the downward forces bring the tissue into contact with porous biointerface materials used for ingrowth-mediated attachment and for biointerface optimization, such as described above and in copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/647,065 filed Aug. 22, 2003 and entitled "POROUS MEMBRANE FOR USE WITH IMPLANTABLE DEVICES". While it is preferable to have a curved radius extending longitudinally, in certain embodiments it may be acceptable to incorporate a longitudinally flat

18

surface or longitudinal surface with another configuration. In a device as depicted in FIG. 5C, the radius of curvature in the lateral direction is preferably about 2.7 cm.

It may be noted that any curved surface can be deconvoluted to a series of radii, as is appreciated by one skilled in the art. It is generally preferred to have a radius of curvature in the lateral, longitudinal or other direction of from about 0.5 mm or less to about 10 cm or more. More preferably the radius of curvature is from about 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9 mm to about 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9 cm, even more preferably the radius of curvature is from about 1, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, 2 or 2.25 cm to about 3, 3.25, 3.5, 3.75, 4, 4.25, 4.5, or 4.75 cm, and most preferably the radius of curvature is from about 2.5 or 2.6 cm to about 2.7, 2.8, or 2.9 cm. Radii of curvature in the longitudinal direction are generally preferred to be larger than those in the lateral direction. However, in certain embodiments the radii of curvature may be approximately the same, or smaller in the longitudinal direction.

In one embodiment, the preferred shape of the device can be defined in the context of a reference plane. In such an embodiment, the device has a first major surface and a second major surface opposite the first major surface, where the first major surface includes a sensor. The first and second major surfaces together preferably account for at least about 40% or 50% of the surface area of the device. The first major surface has edges between which a width of the first major surface can be measured, and the sensor is preferably spaced away from the edges by a distance that is at least about 10% of the width, and preferably at least about 15%, 20%, 25%, or 30% of the width of the first major surface. It is understood that the first major surface may have multiple edges and that multiple widths can be measured, and in the context of the foregoing, a width should be configured to run from one edge to an opposite edge. Preferably, spacing of the sensor from the edges specified above is true for at least two width measurements, which measurements are taken generally transverse to each other.

With the sensor situated on the first major surface of the device, a reference plane can be imagined that is congruent to the first major surface, which first major surface is preferably at least slightly convex. This plane, which would then touch the first major surface at a point spaced in from the edges of the first major surface, would be generally parallel to the first major surface and would additionally be spaced away from opposite edges of the first major surface due to the convex nature of the first major surface. In preferred embodiments, the reference plane would be spaced from the edges a distance that is at least about 3%, 4%, or 5% of the width between those edges, and more preferably 6%, 7%, 8% or more from the edges, but at the same time the distance is preferably not more than 50%, 40%, or 30% of the width, and may well be not more than 25%, 20%, or 15% of the width between the edges. In preferred embodiments, the edges of the first major surface are rounded, so that they transition smoothly away from the first major surface. In this situation, the location of the edge can be configured to be the point at which a congruent line and/or a normal line would be angled 45 degrees with respect to the reference plane.

In preferred embodiments, the sensor body defines a surface area, and wherein between 10% and 100% of the surface area is convexly curved. In some preferred embodiments a substantial portion of the surface area is convexly curved. In one preferred embodiment, at least about 90% of the surface area is convexly curved. In other preferred embodiments, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 100% of the surface area is curved.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of a sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment wherein the sensor includes a curved sensor region and a flat region, wherein the interface between the flat region and the curved region includes a gradual transition. The implantable sensor includes a major surface **100** with a curved portion **102** on which the sensing region **101** is located and a flat portion **104** adjacent to the curved portion **102**. Although the major surface is not entirely curved in this embodiment, the interface **103** between the curved and flat portions has a gradual transition and is located sufficiently distal from the sensing region **101** (where the transport of analytes is required) that any chronic inflammation caused by the turn at the interface **103** will not likely translate to the sensing region **101**. In other words, the contractile forces **106** from a foreign body capsule that forms around the sensor in vivo will tend to contract toward the sensing region **101**; although some outward and lateral forces are seen at the interface **103** and flat surface **104**, they are spaced sufficiently far from the sensing region such that any chronic inflammatory response will not likely cover the sensing region **101** and block analyte transport. Anchoring material may cover some part or the majority of the major surface **100**, may encircle the circumference of the sensor body **107**, and/or may cover some part or the entire surface **108** opposite the sensing region, such as described in more detail elsewhere herein.

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of a sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment wherein the entire sensor body is curved. The implantable sensor has a curvature over the entire surface area of the sensor body **110**. The curvature includes a variety of different radii at varying locations of the sensor body, and the contractile forces **112** from a FBC that forms around the sensor in vivo will tend to contract toward the entire sensor body **110**, including the sensing region **114** on a first major side **116**. Accordingly, this embodiment optimizes foreign body healing by minimizing the chronic inflammatory response that is otherwise caused by motion within the FBC. In other words, the FBC holds tightly to the sensor body **110** to provide optimal control (e.g., minimal motion) of the tissues around the sensor geometry, and particularly around the sensing region **112**. It may be noted that the second major side **118** has a slight curvature that allows the entire sensor body to be curved. However in some embodiments, the second major side **118** can be designed flat rather than curved; in these embodiments, it may be noted that the sensing region is located on the side opposite the flat surface and there is no concavity therein or thereon. Anchoring material may cover some part or a majority of the first major side **116**, may encircle the circumference of the sensor body **117**, and/or may cover some part or the entire second major side **118** opposite the sensing region.

FIG. 8 is a perspective view of a sensor **120** in an alternative embodiment including a cylindrical geometry wherein a plurality of sensors **124** are located on the curved lateral surface **122** of the sensor body. Anchoring material (not shown) may cover at least some of the non-electrode surface area of the cylindrical body. The sensor of this embodiment takes advantage of numerous features described herein, including, but not limited to, the following advantages.

As a first noted advantage, the cylindrical geometry of the sensor body **120** allows for discreet placement within or between tissue types when the overall surface area-to-volume ratio can be optimized to provide a maximal surface area with a minimal volume. That is, although the volume of a sensor often depends on the necessary electronics within the sensor body, the evolution of smaller batteries and circuit boards sanctions the design and manufacture of a cylindrical sensor with minimal volume; simultaneously, the surface area inher-

ent in a cylindrical geometry allows for maximal tissue anchoring in vivo (e.g., as compared to a substantially rectangular or oval structure). In one exemplary embodiment, an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) may be designed to fit within the geometric design of any of the embodiments disclosed herein to maximize the electronic capabilities while minimizing volume requirements as compared to conventional circuit boards. Sensor electronics requirements vary depend on the sensor type, however one example of electronics for a glucose sensor is described in more detail with reference to copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/633,367 filed on Aug. 1, 2003 and entitled "SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING ANALYTE SENSOR DATA," which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

As a second noted advantage, the curved lateral surface **122** of the cylindrical structure lends itself to a plurality of sensing regions **124** (e.g., electrodes) and allows the sensor to sense a variety of different constituents (e.g., glucose, oxygen, interferants (e.g., ascorbate, urate, etc.)) using one compact sensor body.

As a third noted advantage, when the plurality of sensing regions **124** are configured to sense the same constituent (e.g., glucose) such as shown in FIG. 8, and are spread apart such as shown in FIG. 8, the likelihood of sensor location adjacent an area of the FBC that is optimized for transport of analytes is increased by the amount of increase of the area of the sensing regions **124**. For example, inflammatory host response sometimes forms unevenly, therefore a distribution and increased surface area of sensing region(s) increases the likelihood of placement of the sensing region adjacent an area of minimum inflammatory host response and maximum transport of analytes to the sensor.

As a fourth noted advantage, the FBC that forms around the lateral curved surface **122** will create generally uniform forces **126** toward the sensing region **124** and around the entire lateral surface. Furthermore, when the ends **128** of the cylindrical sensor body **120** are designed with a curvature such as shown in the embodiment of FIG. 8, minimal chronic inflammatory foreign body response, and further induce a firm, substantially motion-free hold of the sensor body **120** within the host.

FIG. 9A is a perspective view of a sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment including a substantially spherical body. The spherical sensor body **130a** has a plurality of sensing regions **132a** that encircle the body. However, in some alternative embodiments one or more sensing regions may be provided in a collective location or spread across the surface area of the sphere. Anchoring material is placed on or around the sensor body; for example, the anchoring material **136a** may encircle the body in a manner similar to that of the sensing regions **132a**. The embodiment of FIG. 9 takes advantage of numerous features described herein, including, but not limited to, the following advantages.

As a first noted advantage, a spherical geometry defines an optimal surface-to-volume ratio when compared to other geometries of devices with a comparable volume (e.g., rectangular, oval, and cylindrical). That is, when volume is a constant, the spherical geometry will provide an optimal surface area for tissue ingrowth in vivo in combination with an optimal curvature for uniform contractile forces from a FBC in vivo as compared to other geometries.

As a second noted advantage, entirely curved surface area of the spherical geometry lends itself to a plurality of sensing regions (e.g., electrodes) **132a** and allows the sensor to sense

a variety of different constituents (e.g., glucose, oxygen, interferants (e.g., ascorbate, urate, etc.)) using one compact sensor body **130a**.

As a third noted advantage, when a plurality of sensing regions **132a** that sense the same constituent (e.g., glucose) are spread apart, the likelihood of finding an area of the FBC that is optimized for transport of analytes is increased by the amount of increase of the area of the sensing regions.

As a fourth noted advantage, the FBC that forms around the spherical sensor body will create uniform forces **134a** toward the entire surface area, including the sensing regions **132a**, which may therefore be located anywhere on the sensor body. Consequently in vivo, a sensor body with a curvature such as shown in the embodiment of FIG. **9A** will induce minimal chronic inflammatory foreign body response, and further induce a firm, substantially motion-free hold of the sensor body within the host.

FIG. **9B** is a perspective view of a sensor geometry in an alternative embodiment including a substantially spherical body with a rod extending therefrom. The spherical sensing body **130b** has a plurality of sensing regions **132b** and anchoring material **136b** that encircle (or may be otherwise located on) the body such as described with reference to FIG. **9A**. However, in contrast to the embodiment of FIG. **9A**, a rod **138** is connected to the spherical body **130b** and houses some or all of the sensor electronics, which are described with reference to FIG. **8**. The embodiment of FIG. **9B** takes advantage of numerous features described herein, including those advantages described with reference to FIG. **9A**, and further includes the following advantages.

The separation of at least some of the electronics between the sensing body which houses the electrodes, from the rod which may house, for example a cylindrical battery, allows for optimization of the sensing body design by minimizing the volume and/or mass requirements of the sensing body **130b** due electronics. The geometric design of the sphere and rod as shown in FIG. **9B** enables good formation of a FBC because all surfaces, particularly on the sensing body **130b** are curved, and there are not abrupt or flat turns or edges; that is, the contractile forces created by the FBC will be exerted generally uniformly toward at least the sensing body, and notably toward the sensing region **132b**. Additionally, the sensing regions **132b** are optimally located on a curved area that can be designed with maximum surface area and minimum mass and/or volume (e.g., some or all sensor electronics account for much of the mass and/or volume are located within the rod). It may be noted that in some alternative embodiments, the rod is removably attachable to the sensing body in vivo such that the electronics and/or sensing body may be individually removed and replaced (e.g., via minimally invasive methods).

FIGS. **10A** to **10D** are perspective views of a sensor that has an expandable sensing body in one embodiment. FIGS. **10A** and **10C** are views of the sensor with the sensing body in a collapsed state, FIGS. **10B** and **10D** are views of the sensor with the sensing body in an expanded state. An expandable sensor **140** is advantageous in that it can be inserted into the subcutaneous space in a minimally invasive manner (e.g., through a catheter) in its collapsed state. It may be, for example, less than or equal to about 3 mm in diameter **142** and may be designed with a guide wire (not shown) extending through the sensor in some embodiments. Once it has been delivered into the appropriate site in vivo, the sensing body expands to an increased surface area.

The sensor **140** includes a sensing body **144** on which the sensing region **145** is located and an electronics body **146** in which the sensor electronics are located such as described

with reference to FIG. **8**. In alternative embodiments, some portion of the electronics may be housed within the sensing body. The sensing body **144** is formed from an elastomeric material and adapted for expansion using a liquid (e.g., saline or silicone oil). As an alternative, the sensing body **144** may be formed from a non-elastomeric material (e.g., polyethylene terephthalate) and folded for insertion using a catheter (not shown). As another alternative, the sensing body can be formed from nitinol, or the like, which may be advantageous due to its ability to self-expand and memorize its shape long term. In some embodiments, the expandable sensing body is adapted to fill a particular subcutaneous pocket without leaving spaces in the subcutaneous space and without causing pressure necrosis. In one example a metal framework may be used to hold the sensing body in its expanded state. The sensing region **145** includes electrodes, which are connected to the electronics body via a flexible wire or the like (not shown). Anchoring material **148** encircles (or is otherwise located on) the sensing body **144** in order to anchor the sensing body stably in vivo. The sensor electronics portion may be formed with or without a curvature, with or without anchoring material, and with or without particular concern for its effect on the foreign body capsule in vivo as it relates to the sensing body. Additional advantages of this embodiment correspond to the advantages described with reference to FIG. **9B** due to its substantially similar configuration in its expanded state.

FIGS. **11A** to **11D** are perspective views of sensors wherein one or more sensing bodies are tethered to an electronics body in a variety of alternative embodiments. In each of the embodiments, the sensor **150** includes a sensing body **152** with a sensing region **153** located on a curved portion of the sensing body **152** such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensing body **152**, the foreign body capsule exerts a contractile force toward the sensing region **153** as described elsewhere herein. Anchoring material **154** is located on at least a portion of the sensing body **152** in any known manner such as described elsewhere herein. Furthermore, in each of these embodiments, the electronics body **156** may include the majority of the mass of the sensor **150**, which is remote from the sensing body **152**. The electronics body **156** is connected to the sensing body **152** via a tether **158**, which may have a variety of configurations such as described herein. As an alternative to the tether, the electronics body **156** may be connected to the sensing body **152** via a wireless RF connection (not shown) such that the electronics body **156** and the sensing body **152** may be separately implanted, explanted, monitored, and/or replaced. It may be noted that in an embodiment that utilizes RF transmission to connect the sensing body to the electronics body, some electronics are housed in the sensing body **152** to enable measurement and transmission of sensor information. Additionally, in some alternative embodiments of the tethered sensor, at least some of the electronics are housed within the sensing body.

In these embodiments wherein the sensing body **152** is tethered to the electronics body **156**, the sensing body **152** can be easily optimized for surface area, shape, size, geometry, mass, density, volume, surface area-to-volume, surface area-to-density, and surface area-to-mass as desired. That is, without the mass, size, and volume constraints normally imposed by the electronics portion of a sensor, the sensing body can be optimally designed for a particular implantation site, function, or other parameter. Additionally, the electronics body can be formed from any biocompatible material (e.g., metal, ceramic, or plastic) known in the art. Additionally, it may be hermetically sealed to protect the electronic components. The tether **158** may be formed from a polymeric material or other

biocompatible material and encases a conductive wire (e.g., copper) that connects the electronics within the electronics body **156** to the electronics portion of the sensing body **152** (e.g., to electrodes on the sensing region **153**).

This tethered sensor design of these embodiments advantageously allows for an optimal design of the sensing body without concern for the effects of the foreign body response caused by the electronics body. The tether can be design shorter or longer, and stiffer or more flexible, in order to optimize the isolation, strain relief, and/or implantation issues.

FIG. **11A** illustrates a tethered sensor **150a** includes a sensing body **152a**, a flexible tether **158a**, and an electronics body **156a**. In this exemplary embodiment, the sensing body **152a** is disk-like with a curved surface on which the sensing region **153a** is located. An anchoring material **154a** encircles the sensing body for anchoring to the tissue. The tether acts as a strain relief, isolating the adverse effects of the FBC that forms around the electronics body **156a** from the FBC that forms around the sensing body **152a**.

FIG. **11B** illustrates an alternative tethered sensor **150b** that includes a sensing body **152b**, a flexible tether **158b**, and an electronics body **156b**. In this exemplary embodiment, the sensing body **152b** comprises a cylindrical body with the sensing region **153b** on a curved end. The tether **158b** is formed from a flexible material and may be formed shorter or longer to adapt to an implantation site. It may be noted that a longer tether may better isolate the adverse effects of the FBC that forms around the electronics body **156b** from the FBC that forms around the sensing body **152b**, however a shorter tether may simplify the implantation considerations.

FIG. **11C** illustrates an alternative tethered sensor **150c** that includes a sensing body **152c**, a tether **158c**, and an electronics body **156c**. In this exemplary embodiment, the tether may be formed from a slightly flexible to somewhat rigid material. A more rigid material may be advantageous in controlling the positioning of the sensing body **152c** in vivo, a more flexible material may act as a better strain relief in vivo.

FIG. **11D** illustrates an alternative tethered sensor **150d** that includes a plurality of sensing bodies **152d**, a tether **158d**, and an electronics body **156d**. In this exemplary embodiment, the plurality of sensing bodies **152d** with sensing regions on a curved portion of the sensing body and anchoring material such as described elsewhere herein, however may provide additional advantages including for example, the ability to remotely turn on/off one or more of the sensing bodies **152c**, the ability to determine which sensing body **152d** is performing more optimally and/or consistently for optimizing accuracy and implantation site, and the ability to have a "back up" sensing body **152d** in the event one or more of the sensing bodies fails to function as required.

FIGS. **12A** to **12B** are perspective views of a sensor in an alternative embodiment wherein an electronics body is independent of the sensing bodies in a preassembled state and wherein the sensing bodies are independently inserted (and operatively connected) to the electronics body in a minimally invasive manner. Particularly, FIG. **12A** illustrates the sensor wherein all four sensing bodies have been inserted and locked within the ports of the electronics body; FIG. **12B** includes a cut-away portion to illustrate how the sensing body locks into electrical contact within a port of the electronics body. In this embodiment, the sensor **160** includes a plurality of independent sensing bodies **162**, also referred to as biointerface probes, and include any necessary components (e.g., electrodes, biointerface materials, etc.) to sense an analyte of interest. The sensing bodies **162** further comprise electrical contacts **164** that allow the sensing bodies to operatively

connect (and lock) within the multiple (optionally inclined) ports **166** of the electronics body **168**. The sensing bodies **162** may be somewhat flexible and configured with a curvature and anchoring material such as described elsewhere herein.

In practice, the electronics body **168** may be implanted in the subcutaneous tissue without particular concern for the design (e.g., anchoring material, curvature, etc) and its effect on the formation of a FBC. After the FBC has healed around the electronics body **168**, the sensing bodies **162** can be individually inserted in a minimally invasive manner (e.g., guide wire introduced with needle and sheath) as needed. Advantageously, each sensing body **162** functions up to about one year or more in vivo. Accordingly, when a sensing body fails to function as needed, another sensing body **162** may be inserted into another port **166** of the electronics body **168**.

It may be noted that the sensors of preferred embodiments may be rigid or flexible, and of any suitable shape, including but not limited to rectangular, cylindrical, square, elliptical, oval, spherical, circular, ellipsoidal, ovoid, hourglass, bullet-shaped, porpoise-nosed, flat sheet, accordion, or any other suitable symmetrical or irregular shape. Corners may range from sharp to slightly round, to substantially round. While the sensors of preferred embodiments are preferably employed to determine the presence of an analyte, devices of preferred geometries may also be constructed for drug delivery, immunoisolation, cell transplantation, and the like. For example, the preferred device configurations can be suitable for use in fabricating an artificial pancreas.

In addition to a simple circular curvature, the curvature can also be elliptical or parabolic. The curvature can be perfectly symmetrical about the sensor head, or can possess some degree of asymmetry. While a true curvature is generally preferred, in certain embodiments a triangular profile or other polygonal profile with rounded edges may also be employed. While a smooth surface is generally preferred, in certain embodiments it may be desired to incorporate local features, such as bumps, dimples, ridges, and the like, while maintaining an overall curvature. It is generally preferred that each surface is convex, or less preferably flat but not concave. However, in certain embodiments a slightly concave or recessed surface may be acceptable presuming it is located sufficiently far from the sensing region that any chronic inflammatory response will not translate to the area adjacent the sensor head. The sensor head preferably protrudes above the radius of curvature or is flush with the radius of curvature. A recessed sensor head is generally not preferred. However, in certain embodiments such a configuration may be acceptable.

The sensor head may be positioned on any convenient location of on the device. Particularly preferred locations are the geometric center of a surface of the device, or offset to one side. In certain embodiments it may be desirable to incorporate multiple sensor heads on a single device. Such sensor heads may be spaced apart so as to maximize the distance between the sensor heads, or grouped together at one location on the device.

Manufacture of Sensor Body

In a preferred embodiment, the sensor is formed by substantially entirely epoxy encapsulating the sensor electronics; that is, the sensor body, outside the sensor head, is comprises an epoxy resin body. During the manufacture of the sensor body of the preferred embodiment, the sensitive electronic parts (e.g. battery, antenna, and circuit board, such as described in copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/633,367 filed on Aug. 1, 2003 and entitled "SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING ANALYTE SEN-

SOR DATA”) are substantially entirely encapsulated in epoxy, with the exception of the sensor head. In some molding processes, the epoxy body may be formed with a curvature on a portion thereof. After the epoxy has completely cured, additional curvature may be machined, milled, laser-etched, or otherwise processed into the epoxy body to form the final geometric shape. In alternative embodiments, a light epoxy coating may be applied to the sensitive electronic parts, after which injection molding or reaction injection molding (RIM) may be used to form the final shape of the epoxy body. While a preferred sensor is constructed of epoxy resin, a non-conductive metal, ceramic or other suitable material may be used.

Anchoring Material & Implantation

In one embodiment, the entire surface of the sensor is covered with an anchoring material to provide for strong attachment to the tissues. In another embodiment, only the sensor head side of the sensor incorporates anchoring material, with the other sides of the sensor lacking fibers or porous anchoring structures and instead presenting a very smooth, non-reactive biomaterial surface to prevent attachment to tissue and to support the formation of a thicker capsule. The anchoring material may be selected from the group consisting of: polyester, polypropylene cloth, polytetrafluoroethylene felts, expanded polytetrafluoroethylene, and porous silicone.

FIG. 13A is a side view of an analyte sensor with anchoring material on a first and second major surface of the device, including the surface on which the sensing region is located, wherein the analyte sensor is implanted subcutaneously and is ingrown with fibrous, vascularized tissue. FIG. 13B is a side view of an analyte sensor with anchoring material on a first major surface on which the sensing region is located, and wherein a second major surface is substantially smooth.

While these configurations of anchoring materials are particularly preferred, other configurations may also be suitable for use in certain embodiments, including configurations with different degrees of surface coverage. For example, from less than about 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, or 50% to more than about 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, or 95% of the surface of the device may be covered with anchoring material. The anchoring material may cover one side, two sides, three sides, four sides, five sides, or six sides. The anchoring material may cover only a portion of one or more sides, for example, strips, dots, weaves, fibers, meshes, and other configurations or shapes of anchoring material may cover one or more sides. Likewise, while silicone and polyester fibers are particularly preferred, any biocompatible material capable of facilitating anchoring of tissue to the device may be employed.

It may be noted that the optimum amount of anchoring material that may be used for any particular sensor is dependent upon one or more of the following parameters: implantation site (e.g., location in the host), surface area, shape, size, geometry, mass, density, volume, surface area-to-volume, surface area-to-density, and surface area-to-mass. For example, a device with a greater mass as compared to a device with a lesser mass may require more anchoring material to support the greater mass differential.

In preferred embodiments, the sensor of the described geometry is implanted at the interface between two kinds of tissue, and is preferably anchored to the more robust tissue type. For example, the sensor may be placed adjacent to an organ (for example, a kidney, the liver, or the peritoneal wall), or adjacent to the fascia below adipose tissue. When implanted in such a fashion, the sensor geometry minimizes force transference, permitting non-anchored tissue to move over the smooth surface of the sensor, thereby minimizing the

force transferred to the underlying tissue to which the sensor is anchored. While it is generally preferred to anchor the sensor to the more robust tissue type, in certain embodiments it may be preferred to anchor the sensor to the less robust tissue type, permitting the more robust tissue to move over the smooth surface of the sensor. While the sensor geometries of preferred embodiments are particularly preferred for use at tissue interfaces, such sensors are also suitable for use when implanted into a single type of tissue, for example, muscle tissue or adipose tissue. In such embodiments, however, the sensor geometry may not confer any benefit, or only a minimal benefit, in terms of force transference. Other benefits may be observed, however. In another embodiment, the sensor may be suspended, with or without sutures, in a single tissue type, or be placed between two tissue types, and anchoring material covering substantially the entire surface of the device may be employed.

In some alternative embodiments, a mechanical anchoring mechanism, such as prongs, spines, barbs, wings, hooks, helical surface topography, gradually changing diameter, or the like, may be used instead of or in combination with anchoring material such as described herein. For example when an oblong or cylindrical type sensor is implanted within the subcutaneous tissue, it may tend to slip along the pocket that was formed during implantation, particularly if some additional space exists within the pocket. This slippage can lead to increased inflammatory response and/or movement of the sensor prior to or during tissue ingrowth. Accordingly, a mechanical mechanism can aid in immobilizing the sensor in place, particularly prior to formation of a mature foreign body capsule. One example of mechanical anchoring means is shown on FIG. 13B, at 179; however, it should be noted that the placement and configuration of a mechanical anchoring mechanism is broad in scope as described herein.

FIG. 13A illustrates the surface of the sensor 140 in mechanical contact with the overlying tissue 172, as well as the underlying muscle fascia 174, due to the ingrowth of the fibrous tissue and vasculature. In this embodiment, any surface of the sensor 170 covered with anchoring material 176 is typically ingrown with fibrous, vascularized tissue 178, which aids in anchoring the sensor and mitigating motion artifact. It may be noted however, that in some cases, forces applied laterally to this tissue may be translated to the sensor, and likewise to the fascia side of the sensor, causing potential disruption of the interface with the fascia. Therefore, although the radial profile of the side of the sensor incorporating the sensor head assists in preventing forces in the distal subcutaneous tissue from exerting forces on the sensor head side, which is attached to the muscle fascia by an anchoring material, complete coverage of the device with anchoring material may not be preferred in certain embodiments.

An anchoring material covering the sensor may also make it difficult to remove the sensor for maintenance, repair, or permanent removal if its function is no longer necessary. It is generally difficult to cut down through the surrounding tissue to the surface of the sensor without also cutting into the anchoring material and leaving some of it behind in the patient's tissues. Leaving a portion of the sensor free of anchoring material enables the sensor to be more easily removed by locating the smooth surface, grasping the sensor with a holding tool, and then cutting along the plane of the anchoring material to fully remove the sensor. In certain embodiments, however, it may be desirable for the entire surface of the sensor, or a substantial portion thereof, to be covered with an anchoring material. For example, when implanted into a single tissue type (subcutaneous adipose tissue, or muscle tissue), it may be desirable to have anchor-

ing over all or substantially the entire surface of the sensor. In still other embodiments, no anchoring at all may be preferred, for example, in sensors having very small dimensions. One contiguous sheet of anchoring material can be employed, or two or more different sheets may be employed, for example, an array of dots, stripes, mesh, or other suitable configuration of anchoring material.

FIG. 13B illustrates a preferred embodiment wherein the surface 180 of the sensor facing away from the muscle fascia 174 (e.g., surface opposite the sensing region) is not covered with anchoring material, but instead is a smooth, biocompatible material that is non-adhesive to tissues 182. It is also generally preferred that the surface 180 facing away from the fascia have a radius of curvature, although in certain embodiments it may also be acceptable for the surface to have another shape, for example, a flat surface. When mechanical force is applied to the overlying tissue, the force is dissipated in the elastic foreign body response overlying the sensor, and is not effectively translated through the sensor to the biointerface with the fascia. This preferred configuration decreases damage to the biointerface caused by external forces. Moreover, for sensor removal, the surgeon can easily find the outermost surface of the sensor without cutting into it. The outermost aspect of the sensor is surrounded by a thick foreign body capsule, which substantially frees the sensor when it is cut free. Once the sensor is located and grasped by the surgeon, complete removal by careful dissection of the face of the sensor associated with the fascia can be readily accomplished. Transference of lateral force around a sensor with anchoring material covering the entire surface compared to sensors with anchoring materials covering only the face with the sensor head are depicted in FIG. 13A and FIG. 13B, respectively.

In other words, in FIG. 13A, vascular and fibrous tissues 178 intertwine with the anchoring material 176. When a force is applied to tissue overlying the sensor of FIG. 13A, it may be translated into the sensor because of the mechanical attachment of the sensor to the fibrous tissue, which grows into the interstices of the anchoring material. In contrast, the sensor of FIG. 13B is smooth on the side opposite the fascia. When mechanical energy is applied to the overlying tissue, it is not effectively transferred to the sensor because the tissue is not attached to the sensor nor intertwined with it.

It may be noted that the smoothness of the surface of the device can be measured by any suitable method, for example, by profilometry as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,517,571, the contents of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Measurements are preferably taken from representative areas (for example, square areas of 500 microns length on each side) of the smooth surface of the device. A surface is generally considered "smooth" if it has a smoothness of less than 1.80 microns RMS. Surfaces with a smoothness greater than or equal to 1.80 microns RMS are generally considered "rough." In certain embodiments, however, the cut-off between "rough" and "smooth" may be higher or lower than 1.80 microns RMS.

Profilometry measurements can be performed with a Tencor Profiler Model P-10, measuring samples of square areas of 500-micron length per side. Surface data measurements can be made using the Tencor Profiler Model P-10 with a MicroHead or Exchangeable Measurement Head (stylus tip radius of 2.0 microns with an angle of 60°). Preferred menu recipe settings for the profilometer are as follows:

Scan length:	500 microns
Scan speed:	50 microns/second
Sampling rate:	200 Hz
No. of traces:	50
Spacing between traces:	10 microns
No. of points/trace:	2000
Point interval:	0.25 microns
Stylus force:	5 mg
Range/resolution:	65 microns/0.04 Angstroms
Profile type:	Peaks and valleys
Waviness filter:	45 mm/1.8 in.

Cursors can be set at each end of the length of each area to be sampled, for example, at 0 microns and at 500 microns. Scans can be performed in the longitudinal direction of tubular samples, or in any convenient direction for samples of other shapes. A parameter correlating to roughness of surfaces of the devices of preferred embodiments is Rq, which is the Root-Mean-Square (RMS) roughness, defined as the geometric average of the roughness profile from the mean line measured in the sampling length, expressed in units of microns RMS.

The use of an alternative (finer) waviness filter during profilometry allows for materials that include gross surface non-uniformities, such as corrugated surfaces made from microscopically smooth materials.

In certain embodiments it is preferred that the smooth surfaces of the device are smooth in their entirety, namely, along the entire length of the surface. For surfaces of relatively uniform smoothness along their entire length, surface measurements are preferably made at three points along the length of the surface, specifically at points beginning at one fourth, one half and three fourths of the length of the surface as measured from one end of device to the other. For surfaces of non-uniform surface character along their entire length, five samples equally spaced along the length are preferably considered. The measurements from these 3-5 sample areas are then averaged to obtain the surface value for the smooth surface. In other embodiments, however, other methods of obtaining measurements may be employed.

An article entitled "Atomic force microscopy for characterization of the biomaterial interface" describes the use of AFM for consideration of surface smoothness (Siedlecki and Marchant, *Biomaterials* 19 (1998), pp. 441-454). AFM may be usefully employed for the smoothness evaluation of device surfaces where the resolution of profilometry is marginally adequate for extremely smooth surfaces. However, for purposes of the preferred embodiments, profilometry measurements made using the above-described Tencor profilometer are generally adequate for determining the smoothness of the device surface.

EXAMPLES

Weekly infusion studies were conducted for four-weeks to investigate the effects of sensor geometries of preferred embodiments on the functional performance of glucose sensors. A first group of sensors (n=5) included a cylindrical geometry similar to that described with reference to FIG. 4. A second group of sensors (n=6) included a thin, oblong geometry similar to that described with reference to FIG. 5. The functional aspects of each sensor were constructed in a similar manner, such as described in Published Patent Application No. 2003/0032874, which is incorporated herein by reference. The sensors were then implanted into the subcutaneous

tissue in dogs between the fascia and adipose tissues, and sensor function evaluated by weekly glucose infusion tests.

The implantation entailed making a 1 -inch incision, then forming a pocket lateral to the incision by blunt dissection. After placement of the device with the sensing region facing 5 towards the fascia, a suture was placed by pulling the connective tissue together at the end of the device proximal to the incision. It is believed that the sutures held effectively during wound healing and device integration with tissues.

FIG. 14A is a graph showing the percentage of functional 10 sensors from the two different sensor geometry groups. The x-axis represents time in weeks; the y-axis represents percentage of functional sensors for each group during the weekly infusion studies. It is known that an initial startup period exists for sensors implanted in the subcutaneous space, 15 between about one and three weeks, during which delayed sensor functionality may be related to the amount and speed of tissue ingrowth into the biointerface, as described with reference to copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/647,065 filed Aug. 22, 2003 and entitled "POROUS 20 MEMBRANE FOR USE WITH IMPLANTABLE DEVICES." Interestingly, both sensor geometries functioned substantially as expected in that the majority of devices were functional by week four. However, the sensors of the thin, oblong sensor geometry group showed faster start-up times as 25 evidenced by a higher percentage of functional sensors at weeks two and three.

The delayed start-up of the cylindrical group as compared to the thin, oblong group is believed to be due to delayed ingrowth of tissues or lack of ingrowth of tissues, which 30 effects device function through lack of glucose sensitivity, compromised function after start-up, low sensitivity, and long time lags. One cause for this delay of or lack of tissue ingrowth in the cylindrical group is believed to be the placement of the sensing region on the device. Particularly, when a 35 sensor is implanted in the subcutaneous space between two tissue types, such as the adipose subcutaneous tissue and the fascia, optimal tissue ingrowth may occur when the sensor is directly adjacent and fully engaged with the fascia, such as described with reference in FIG. 5B. In contrast to the sensors of the thin, oblong geometry group, when the sensors of the 40 cylindrical are implanted in the pocket formed between the two tissue types, a space may exist adjacent at least a portion of the sensing region between the two tissue types creating delayed or lack of tissue ingrowth due to spacing from soft 45 tissue. Accordingly, it may be advantageous to design the sensing region on a sensor body such that the entire sensing region is directly adjacent to the fascia or similar tissue immediately after implantation.

Some additional observations may be directly related to the 50 delayed sensor function in the cylindrical sensors of this study. For example, the thin, oblong geometry as compared to the cylindrical geometry does not protrude from the host as much and is less amenable to accidental bumping or movement, and less available for patient "fiddling." Thus, it may be inferred that overall dimensions may effect sensor geometry such that by increasing the discreetness of the geometry (e.g., 55 mass, shape, dimensions), sensor functionality may improve. As another example, the thin, oblong geometry as compared to the cylindrical geometry is less susceptible to torsion and/or rotational forces, which may create motion artifact and therefore chronic inflammatory response at the device-tissue interface. In other words, with the sensor head oriented down 60 towards the fascia, and nearer to the center of the sensor, downward pressure on either end is not transferred as shear force to the sensor head; even if the sensor is moved, the sensor head more likely remains adjacent to the tissue so that

it may heal in a favorable fashion, unlike the sensors wherein the tip is positioned on an end of the sensor body, which can leave a space after lateral movement. From this observation, it may be hypothesized that surface area-to-volume ratio may effect the function of the sensor. Particularly, an increased 5 surface area-to-volume ratio, particularly as a consequence of reducing the volume of the sensor, may decrease the effects of forces (e.g., torsion, rotational, and shearing) caused by behavioral and environment movement. Similarly, optimization of surface area-to-mass and surface area-to-density ratios may impact healing.

FIG. 14B is a graph showing the average R-value of sensors 10 from a study of the two different sensor geometries implanted in a host. The x-axis represents time in weeks; the y-axis represents average R-value for each group of sensors during each weekly infusion study. R-values were obtained by correlating sensor output to the externally derived meter values, and performing a least squares analysis, such as described 15 with reference to copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/633,367 filed on Aug. 1, 2003 and entitled "SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING ANALYTE SENSOR DATA."

It may be observed that both geometry groups performed with sufficient accuracy by week three (e.g., greater than 0.79 20 R-value constitutes sufficient accuracy in one example). It may also be observed that the sensors of the thin, oblong group increased in accuracy and were more consistent than the sensors of the cylindrical group. It is believed that the slightly improved performance of the thin, oblong group as compared to the cylindrical group may be due to a variety of 25 factors, including those described with reference to FIG. 11A, and additional factors such as surface area, size, mass, density, volume, surface area-to-volume, surface area-to-density, and surface area-to-mass.

From the observations of the above described study, optimization of the sensor geometry may additionally include: 1) density optimization to better correspond to the density of tissue (e.g., fascia or adipose), 2) surface area-to-volume optimization by increasing the surface area-to-volume ratio of the sensor, 3) size optimization by decreasing the overall 30 size, mass, and/or volume of the sensor, and 4) surface area-to-mass optimization by increasing the surface area-to-mass ratio of the sensor, for example.

Table 1 illustrates additional analysis from the above described infusion study, including a comparison of average R-value at week 4 and standard deviation at week 4 for the two groups of sensors.

TABLE 1

Results of Geometry Study	Cylindrical Geometry with sensor on curved end	Thin, oblong geometry with sensor on curved major surface
Average R-value at Week 4	0.73	0.87
Standard Deviation at Week 4	0.41	0.08

As described above with reference to FIG. 11B, the average 60 R-value at week 4 was better for the thin, oblong group as compared to the cylindrical group. Additionally the average standard deviation of the thin, oblong group as compared to the cylindrical group was much lower, indicating greater consistency and tighter tolerances with the thin, oblong group. As described above with reference to FIGS. 11A and 11B, this performance differential may be due to additional geometric

factors such as surface area-to-volume ratio, size, mass, and surface area-to-density ratio, for example.

The above description discloses several methods and materials of the present invention. This invention is susceptible to modifications in the methods and materials, as well as alterations in the fabrication methods and equipment. Such modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art from a consideration of this disclosure or practice of the invention disclosed herein. Consequently, it is not intended that this invention be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed herein, but that it cover all modifications and alternatives coming within the true scope and spirit of the invention as embodied in the attached claims. All patents, applications, and other references cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

What is claimed is:

1. An implantable sensor for use in measuring a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, the sensor comprising: a body comprising an electrochemical sensing region adapted for transport of an analyte between the electrochemical sensing region and a bodily fluid, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises one or more electrodes, wherein the body comprises a first major surface and a second major surface, wherein the one or more electrodes are situated approximately at a center of the first major surface, wherein the electrochemical sensing region is located on a curved portion of the first major surface configured such that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, a contractile force is exerted by the foreign body capsule toward the sensing region, and wherein the sensor is a subcutaneous sensor configured for implantation in a soft tissue of a host.
2. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a plurality of electrodes.
3. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the second major surface is flat.
4. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the second major surface has a curvature.
5. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the first major surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first major surface, has a substantially oval profile.
6. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the first major surface, when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a center of the first major surface, has a substantially circular profile.
7. The sensor of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first major surface or the second major surface has a non-electrode surface area comprising an anchoring material for supporting tissue ingrowth.
8. The sensor of claim 1, further comprising a mechanical anchoring mechanism formed on the body.
9. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the curved portion of the first major surface has a plurality of radii of curvature.
10. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the curved portion of the first major surface has a radius of curvature of from about 0.5 mm to about 10 cm.
11. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the first major surface and the second major surface together account for at least about 40% of the surface area of the body.
12. The sensor of claim 1, wherein edges of the first major surface are rounded and transition smoothly away from the first major surface.
13. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the body defines a surface area, and wherein from 10% to 100% of the surface area is convexly curved.
14. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the body comprises plastic.

15. The sensor of claim 14, wherein the plastic is selected from the group consisting of thermoplastic and thermoset.

16. The sensor of claim 15, wherein the thermoset is selected from the group consisting of epoxy, silicone, and polyurethane.

17. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the body comprises a material selected from the group consisting of metal, ceramic, and glass.

18. The sensor of claim 1, further comprising a porous biointerface material that covers at least a portion of the sensing region.

19. The sensor of claim 18, wherein the biointerface material comprises interconnected cavities dimensioned and arranged to interfere with formation of occlusive cells.

20. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor.

21. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a multi-region membrane covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

22. The sensor of claim 21, wherein the multi-region membrane comprises an enzyme.

23. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the first major surface and the second major surface together account for at least about 50% of a total surface area of the body.

24. The sensor of claim 1, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a silicone-containing material covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

25. A wholly implantable sensor adapted to measure a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, comprising:

- 30 a wholly implantable body comprising an electrochemical sensing region comprising one or more electrodes, wherein the one or more electrodes are located on a curved portion of a first major surface of the body, wherein the body further comprises a second major surface, wherein the sensing region is situated approximately at a center of the first major surface, wherein the sensor is a subcutaneous sensor suitable for implantation in a soft tissue of a host, and wherein a non-electrode surface area of the body comprises a porous anchoring material for supporting tissue ingrowth.

26. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a plurality of electrodes.

27. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a multi-region membrane covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

28. The sensor of claim 27, wherein the multi-region membrane comprises an enzyme.

29. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the first major surface and the second major surface together account for at least about 50% of the surface area of the body.

30. The sensor of claim 25, wherein a non-electrode surface area of the body comprises a porous anchoring material for supporting tissue ingrowth.

31. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a silicone-containing material covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

32. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the curved portion of the first major surface has a plurality of radii of curvature.

33. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the curved portion of the first major surface has a radius of curvature of from about 0.5 mm to about 10 cm.

34. The sensor of claim 25, wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor.

35. An implantable sensor adapted to measure a concentration of an analyte in a bodily fluid, comprising:

- a body having a first major surface and, opposite thereto, a second major surface, wherein the first major surface is

33

generally planar, slightly convex, and has rounded edges, with an electrochemical sensing region comprising one or more electrodes located on the first major surface, wherein the electrochemical sensing region is spaced away from the rounded edges and approximately at a center of the first major surface, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a silicone-containing material, wherein the first major surface is configured to be sufficiently convex that when a foreign body capsule forms around the sensor, contractile forces are exerted thereby generally uniformly towards the electrochemical sensing region, and wherein the sensor is a subcutaneous sensor configured for implantation in a soft tissue of a host.

36. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a plurality of electrodes.

37. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a multi-region membrane covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

34

38. The sensor of claim 37, wherein the multi-region membrane comprises an enzyme.

39. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the first major surface and the second major surface together account for at least about 50% of the surface area of the body.

40. The sensor of claim 35, wherein a non-electrode surface area of the body comprises a porous anchoring material for supporting tissue ingrowth.

41. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the electrochemical sensing region comprises a silicone-containing material covering one or more of the one or more electrodes.

42. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the first major surface has a plurality of radii of curvature.

43. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the first major surface has a radius of curvature of from about 0.5 mm to about 10 cm.

44. The sensor of claim 35, wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION


PATENT NO. : 7,881,763 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 11/415631
DATED : February 1, 2011
INVENTOR(S) : Brauker et al.

Page 1 of 4

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Issued Patent		<u>Description of Discrepancy</u>
Column	Line	
(Item 56) Page 3 Col. 2	72	Under U.S. Patent Documents, change "Colvin et al." to --Colvin, Jr. et al.--.
(Item 56) Page 4 Col. 1	30	Under U.S. Patent Documents, change "King et al." to --Zhong et al.--.
(Item 56) Page 4 Col. 1	40	Under U.S. Patent Documents, change "Berner et al." to --Kim et al.--.
(Item 56) Page 4 Col. 1	52	Under U.S. Patent Documents, change "Ono et al." to --Kunimoto et al.--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	13	Under Other Publications, change "at" to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	15	Under Other Publications, change "at" to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	20	Under Other Publications, change "at" to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	54	Under Other Publications, change "at" to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	64	Under Other Publications, change "Aniodic" to --Anodic--.

Signed and Sealed this
Twenty-sixth Day of July, 2011



David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION (continued)**U.S. Pat. No. 7,881,763 B2**

(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 2	59	Under Other Publications, change “at” to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	24	Under Other Publications, change “at” to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	38	Under Other Publications, change “at” to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	54	Under Other Publications, change “nonirnmunosuppressed” to --nonimmunosuppressed--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	62	Under Other Publications, after “(FBC).”” insert --Fraser, D.M. (Ed.)--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	64	Under Other Publications, change “Lts.,” to --Ltd.,--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	71	Under Other Publications, change “Aced” to --Acad--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 2	1	Under Other Publications, change “at” to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 2	7	Under Other Publications, change “at” to --et--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 1	65	Under Other Publications, change “basedon” to --based on--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	15	Under Other Publications, change “reliability” to --reliability--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	26	Under Other Publications, change “Enzymology,” to --Enzymology,--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	38	Under Other Publications, change “artifical” to --artificial--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	51	Under Other Publications, change “for your” to --for you--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	62	Under Other Publications, change “glocuse” to --glucose--.

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION (continued)**U.S. Pat. No. 7,881,763 B2**

(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	63	Under Other Publications, change "Diabetese" to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 1	13	Under Other Publications, change "Diabetese" to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 1	28	Under Other Publications, change "inactivation" to -- inactivation--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 1	36	Under Other Publications, change "patents" to --patients--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	36	Under Other Publications, change "activitiy," to --activity,--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	47	Under Other Publications, change "Beioelectronics," to --Bioelectronics,--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	48	Under Other Publications, change "glocuse" to --glucose--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	61	Under Other Publications, change "valication" to --validation--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	62	Under Other Publications, change "iunsulin interaaction in tyhpe" to --insulin interaction in type--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	10	Under Other Publications, change "artifical" to --artificial--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	16	Under Other Publications, change "amperometeric" to --amperometric--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	53	Under Other Publications, change "termistor" to --thermistor--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	54	Under Other Publications, change "metobolites," to --metabolites,--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	56	Under Other Publications, change "cholesteral and cholesteral" to --cholesterol and cholesterol--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	58	Under Other Publications, change "Apllied" to --Applied--.

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION (continued)

U.S. Pat. No. 7,881,763 B2

(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	49	Under Other Publications, change "Subcutaenous" to --Subcutaneous--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	55	Under Other Publications, change "assitance" to --assistance--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	59	Under Other Publications, change "iII" to --ill--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	6	Under Other Publications, change "Aced" to --Acad--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	23	Under Other Publications, change "pancrease" to --pancreas--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	51	Under Other Publications, change "Membrane" to --Membrane--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	53	Under Other Publications, change "cholesteral" to --cholesterol--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	60	Under Other Publications, change "Deabetes" to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 2	7	Under Other Publications, change "Tranducers" to --Transducers--.
6	45	Change "environment" to --embodiment--.
7	15	Change "13B" to --FIG. 13B--.
8	2	Change "diphtheria" to --diphtheria--.
8	10	Change "perioxidase;" to --peroxidase;--.
8	23	Change "duodenalisa," to --duodenalis,--.
8	31	Change "Trepenoma pallidium," to --Treponema pallidum,--.
8	32	Change "stomatis" to --stomatitis--.
8	52	Change "(barbituates," to --(barbiturates,--.
11	5	Change "capsule" to --capsule--.
20	17	Change "rioted" to --noted--.
28	53	Change "surface" to --surface--.