US008060173B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Goode, Jr. et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 8,060,173 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: Nov. 15, 2011**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING ANALYTE SENSOR DATA**

(75) Inventors: **Paul V. Goode, Jr.**, Murrieta, CA (US); **James H. Brauker**, San Diego, CA (US); **Apurv U. Kamath**, Solana Beach, CA (US); **James P. Thrower**, San Diego, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **DexCom, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1763 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/633,329**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 1, 2003**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2005/0027180 A1 Feb. 3, 2005

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/345**; 600/347; 600/365

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 600/345–347, 600/365; 435/4; 422/50
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,210,578	A	10/1965	Sherer
3,219,533	A	11/1965	Mullins
3,775,182	A	11/1973	Patton et al.
3,780,727	A	12/1973	King
3,898,984	A	8/1975	Mandel et al.
3,929,971	A	12/1975	Roy
3,943,918	A	3/1976	Lewis
3,964,974	A	6/1976	Banauch et al.
3,979,274	A	9/1976	Newman
4,024,312	A	5/1977	Korpman
4,076,656	A	2/1978	White et al.
4,197,840	A	4/1980	Beck et al.
4,215,703	A	8/1980	Willson
4,240,889	A	12/1980	Yoda et al.
4,253,469	A	3/1981	Aslan
4,255,500	A	3/1981	Hooke
4,259,540	A	3/1981	Sabia

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2127172	7/1998

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Guerci et al. "Clinical Performance of CGMS in Type 1 Diabetic Patients Treated by Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion Using Insulin Analogs," Diabetes Care 26:582-589, 2003.*


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Patricia Mallari
Assistant Examiner — Michael D'Angelo
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Systems and methods for processing sensor analyte data, including initiating calibration, updating calibration, evaluating clinical acceptability of reference and sensor analyte data, and evaluating the quality of sensor calibration. During initial calibration, the analyte sensor data is evaluated over a period of time to determine stability of the sensor. The sensor may be calibrated using a calibration set of one or more matched sensor and reference analyte data pairs. The calibration may be updated after evaluating the calibration set for best calibration based on inclusion criteria with newly received reference analyte data. Fail-safe mechanisms are provided based on clinical acceptability of reference and analyte data and quality of sensor calibration. Algorithms provide for optimized prospective and retrospective analysis of estimated blood analyte data from an analyte sensor.

24 Claims, 13 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,374,013	A	2/1983	Enfors
4,403,984	A	9/1983	Ash et al.
4,415,666	A	11/1983	D'Orazio et al.
4,431,004	A	2/1984	Bessman et al.
4,436,094	A	3/1984	Cerami
4,454,295	A	6/1984	Wittmann et al.
4,494,950	A	1/1985	Fischell
4,506,680	A	3/1985	Stokes
RE31,916	E	6/1985	Oswin et al.
4,554,927	A	11/1985	Fussell
4,577,642	A	3/1986	Stokes
RE32,361	E	2/1987	Duggan
4,655,880	A	4/1987	Liu
4,663,824	A	5/1987	Kenmochi
4,671,288	A	6/1987	Gough
4,680,268	A	7/1987	Clark, Jr.
4,703,756	A	11/1987	Gough et al.
4,711,251	A	12/1987	Stokes
4,721,677	A	1/1988	Clark, Jr.
4,731,726	A	3/1988	Allen
4,757,022	A	7/1988	Shults et al.
4,759,828	A	7/1988	Young et al.
4,781,798	A	11/1988	Gough
4,805,625	A	2/1989	Wyler
4,849,458	A	7/1989	Reed et al.
4,852,573	A	8/1989	Kennedy
4,858,615	A	8/1989	Meinema
4,871,440	A	10/1989	Nagata et al.
4,890,620	A	1/1990	Gough
4,890,621	A	1/1990	Hakky
4,907,857	A	3/1990	Giuliani et al.
4,919,141	A	4/1990	Zier et al.
4,927,407	A	5/1990	Dorman
4,927,516	A	5/1990	Yamaguchi et al.
4,944,299	A	7/1990	Silvian
4,953,552	A	9/1990	DeMarzo
4,975,636	A	12/1990	Desautels
4,986,671	A	1/1991	Sun et al.
4,988,341	A	1/1991	Columbus et al.
4,994,167	A	2/1991	Shults et al.
5,002,572	A	3/1991	Picha
5,030,333	A	7/1991	Clark, Jr.
5,050,612	A	9/1991	Matsumura
5,067,491	A	11/1991	Taylor et al.
5,068,536	A	11/1991	Rosenthal
5,077,476	A	12/1991	Rosenthal
5,097,834	A	3/1992	Skrabal
5,101,814	A	4/1992	Palti
5,108,819	A	4/1992	Heller et al.
5,137,028	A	8/1992	Nishimura
5,140,985	A	8/1992	Schroeder et al.
5,160,418	A	11/1992	Mullen
5,165,407	A	11/1992	Wilson et al.
5,171,689	A	12/1992	Kawaguri et al.
5,190,041	A	3/1993	Palti
5,198,771	A	3/1993	Fidler et al.
5,208,147	A	5/1993	Kagenow et al.
5,243,983	A	9/1993	Tarr et al.
5,264,104	A	11/1993	Gregg et al.
5,266,179	A	11/1993	Nankai et al.
5,269,891	A	12/1993	Colin
5,282,848	A	2/1994	Schmitt
5,285,513	A	2/1994	Kaufman et al.
5,287,753	A	2/1994	Routh et al.
5,299,571	A	4/1994	Mastrototaro
5,304,468	A	4/1994	Phillips et al.
5,310,469	A	5/1994	Cunningham et al.
5,316,008	A	5/1994	Suga et al.
5,324,322	A	6/1994	Grill et al.
5,330,521	A	7/1994	Cohen
5,330,634	A	7/1994	Wong et al.
5,331,555	A	7/1994	Hashimoto et al.
5,337,747	A	8/1994	Neftel
5,342,409	A	8/1994	Mullett
5,343,869	A	9/1994	Pross et al.
5,368,224	A	11/1994	Richardson et al.
5,372,133	A	12/1994	Hogen Esch
5,376,070	A	12/1994	Purvis et al.
5,384,028	A	1/1995	Ito
5,390,671	A	2/1995	Lord et al.
5,391,250	A	2/1995	Cheney et al.
5,411,647	A	5/1995	Johnson et al.
5,411,866	A	5/1995	Luong
5,429,735	A	7/1995	Johnson et al.
5,431,160	A	7/1995	Wilkins
5,434,412	A	7/1995	Sodickson et al.
5,448,992	A	9/1995	Kuperschmidt
5,462,051	A	10/1995	Oka et al.
5,462,064	A	10/1995	D'Angelo et al.
5,469,846	A	11/1995	Khan
5,474,552	A	12/1995	Palti
5,484,404	A	1/1996	Schulman et al.
5,491,474	A	2/1996	Suni et al.
5,494,562	A	2/1996	Maley et al.
5,496,453	A	3/1996	Uenoyama et al.
5,497,772	A	3/1996	Schulman et al.
5,502,396	A	3/1996	Desarzens et al.
5,507,288	A	4/1996	Bocker et al.
5,513,636	A	5/1996	Palti
5,518,601	A	5/1996	Foos et al.
5,531,878	A	7/1996	Vadgama et al.
5,540,828	A	7/1996	Yacynych
5,553,616	A *	9/1996	Ham et al. 600/316
5,568,806	A	10/1996	Cheney et al.
5,569,186	A	10/1996	Lord et al.
5,571,395	A	11/1996	Park et al.
5,575,930	A	11/1996	Tietje-Girault et al.
5,582,184	A	12/1996	Ericson et al.
5,584,813	A	12/1996	Livingston et al.
5,584,876	A	12/1996	Bruchman et al.
5,586,553	A	12/1996	Halili et al.
5,590,651	A	1/1997	Shaffer et al.
5,624,537	A	4/1997	Turner et al.
5,640,470	A	6/1997	Iyer et al.
5,653,863	A	8/1997	Genshaw et al.
5,660,163	A	8/1997	Schulman et al.
5,683,562	A	11/1997	Schaffar et al.
5,686,829	A	11/1997	Girault
5,695,623	A	12/1997	Michel et al.
5,711,861	A	1/1998	Ward et al.
5,730,654	A	3/1998	Brown
5,743,262	A	4/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.
5,749,907	A	5/1998	Mann
5,779,665	A	7/1998	Mastrototaro et al.
5,787,900	A	8/1998	Butler et al.
5,791,344	A	8/1998	Schulman et al.
5,795,774	A	8/1998	Matsumoto et al.
5,800,420	A	9/1998	Gross
5,807,375	A	9/1998	Gross et al.
5,814,599	A	9/1998	Mitragotri et al.
5,822,715	A	10/1998	Worthington et al.
5,833,603	A	11/1998	Kovacs et al.
5,836,887	A	11/1998	Oka et al.
5,836,989	A	11/1998	Shelton
5,837,728	A	11/1998	Purcell
5,851,197	A	12/1998	Marano et al.
5,861,019	A	1/1999	Sun et al.
5,871,514	A	2/1999	Wiklund et al.
5,882,494	A	3/1999	Van Antwerp
5,897,578	A	4/1999	Wiklund et al.
5,899,855	A	5/1999	Brown
5,904,708	A	5/1999	Goedeke
5,913,998	A	6/1999	Butler et al.
5,914,026	A	6/1999	Blubaugh, Jr. et al.
5,917,346	A	6/1999	Gord
5,919,215	A	7/1999	Wiklund et al.
5,928,155	A	7/1999	Eggers et al.
5,931,814	A	8/1999	Alex et al.
5,933,136	A	8/1999	Brown
5,944,661	A	8/1999	Swette et al.
5,957,854	A	9/1999	Besson et al.
5,957,903	A	9/1999	Mirzaee et al.
5,961,451	A	10/1999	Reber et al.
5,964,993	A	10/1999	Blubaugh et al.
5,965,380	A	10/1999	Heller et al.
5,971,922	A	10/1999	Arita et al.
5,976,085	A	11/1999	Kimball et al.

5,995,860 A	11/1999	Sun et al.	
5,999,848 A	12/1999	Gord et al.	
6,001,067 A	12/1999	Shults et al.	
6,001,471 A	12/1999	Bries et al.	
6,011,984 A	1/2000	Van Antwerp et al.	
6,013,113 A	1/2000	Mika	
6,016,448 A	1/2000	Busacker et al.	
6,027,445 A	2/2000	Von Bahr	
6,036,924 A	3/2000	Simons et al.	
6,049,727 A	4/2000	Crothall	
6,059,946 A	5/2000	Yukawa et al.	
6,063,637 A	5/2000	Arnold et al.	
6,081,735 A	6/2000	Diab et al.	
6,081,736 A	6/2000	Colvin et al.	
6,083,523 A	7/2000	Dionne et al.	
6,083,710 A	7/2000	Heller et al.	
6,088,608 A	7/2000	Schulman et al.	
6,091,975 A	7/2000	Daddona et al.	
6,093,172 A	7/2000	Funderburk et al.	
6,103,033 A	8/2000	Say et al.	
6,107,083 A	8/2000	Collins et al.	
6,115,634 A	9/2000	Donders et al.	
6,120,676 A	9/2000	Heller et al.	
6,121,009 A	9/2000	Heller et al.	
6,122,536 A	9/2000	Sun et al.	
6,123,827 A	9/2000	Wong et al.	
6,134,461 A	10/2000	Say et al.	
6,135,978 A	10/2000	Houben et al.	
6,144,869 A	11/2000	Berner et al.	
6,162,611 A	12/2000	Heller et al.	
6,167,614 B1	1/2001	Tuttle et al.	
6,168,568 B1	1/2001	Gavriely	
6,175,752 B1	1/2001	Say et al.	
6,180,416 B1	1/2001	Kurnik et al.	
6,187,062 B1	2/2001	Oweis et al.	
6,189,536 B1	2/2001	Martinez et al.	
6,201,980 B1	3/2001	Darrow et al.	
6,201,993 B1	3/2001	Kruse et al.	
6,206,856 B1	3/2001	Mahurkar	
6,208,894 B1	3/2001	Schulman et al.	
6,212,416 B1	4/2001	Ward et al.	
6,212,424 B1	4/2001	Robinson	
6,214,185 B1	4/2001	Offenbacher et al.	
6,223,083 B1	4/2001	Rosar	
6,230,059 B1	5/2001	Duffin	
6,233,080 B1	5/2001	Brenner et al.	
6,233,471 B1	5/2001	Berner et al.	
6,241,863 B1	6/2001	Monbouquette	
6,248,067 B1	6/2001	Causey, III et al.	
6,256,522 B1	7/2001	Schultz	
6,259,937 B1	7/2001	Schulman et al.	
6,272,364 B1	8/2001	Kurnik	
6,272,480 B1	8/2001	Tresp et al.	
6,275,717 B1	8/2001	Gross et al.	
6,284,478 B1	9/2001	Heller et al.	
6,293,925 B1	9/2001	Safabash et al.	
6,299,578 B1	10/2001	Kurnik et al.	
6,300,002 B1	10/2001	Webb et al.	
6,302,855 B1	10/2001	Lav et al.	
6,309,351 B1	10/2001	Kurnik et al.	
6,309,884 B1	10/2001	Cooper et al.	
6,325,978 B1	12/2001	Labuda et al.	
6,325,979 B1	12/2001	Hahn et al.	
6,326,160 B1	12/2001	Dunn et al.	
6,329,161 B1	12/2001	Heller et al.	
6,329,929 B1	12/2001	Weijand et al.	
6,330,464 B1	12/2001	Colvin, Jr.	
6,343,225 B1	1/2002	Clark, Jr.	
6,356,776 B1	3/2002	Berner et al.	
6,366,794 B1	4/2002	Moussy et al.	
6,368,274 B1	4/2002	Van Antwerp et al.	
6,370,941 B2	4/2002	Nakamura	
6,379,301 B1	4/2002	Worthington et al.	
6,400,974 B1	6/2002	Lesho	
6,405,066 B1	6/2002	Essenpreis et al.	
6,406,066 B1	6/2002	Uegane	
6,406,426 B1	6/2002	Reuss et al.	
6,409,674 B1	6/2002	Brockway et al.	
6,413,393 B1	7/2002	Van Antwerp et al.	
6,416,651 B1 *	7/2002	Millar	205/775
6,424,847 B1	7/2002	Mastrototaro et al.	
6,447,448 B1	9/2002	Ishikawa et al.	
6,454,710 B1	9/2002	Ballerstadt et al.	
6,461,496 B1	10/2002	Feldman et al.	
6,466,810 B1	10/2002	Ward et al.	
6,471,689 B1	10/2002	Joseph et al.	
6,475,750 B1	11/2002	Han et al.	
6,477,392 B1	11/2002	Honigs et al.	
6,477,395 B2	11/2002	Schulman et al.	
6,481,440 B2	11/2002	Gielen et al.	
6,484,046 B1	11/2002	Say et al.	
6,494,830 B1	12/2002	Wessel	
6,498,043 B1	12/2002	Schulman et al.	
6,510,329 B2	1/2003	Heckel	
6,512,939 B1	1/2003	Colvin et al.	
6,526,298 B1	2/2003	Khalil et al.	
6,527,729 B1	3/2003	Turcott	
6,544,212 B2	4/2003	Galley et al.	
6,546,268 B1	4/2003	Ishikawa et al.	
6,546,269 B1	4/2003	Kurnik	
6,547,839 B2	4/2003	Zhang et al.	
6,551,496 B1	4/2003	Moles et al.	
6,553,241 B2	4/2003	Mannheimer et al.	
6,553,244 B2	4/2003	Lesho et al.	
6,558,320 B1	5/2003	Causey	
6,558,321 B1	5/2003	Burd et al.	
6,558,351 B1	5/2003	Steil et al.	
6,560,471 B1	5/2003	Heller et al.	
6,561,978 B1	5/2003	Conn et al.	
6,565,509 B1	5/2003	Plante et al.	
6,569,521 B1	5/2003	Sheridan et al.	
6,572,545 B2	6/2003	Knobbe et al.	
6,574,490 B2	6/2003	Abbink et al.	
6,575,905 B2	6/2003	Knobbe et al.	
6,579,498 B1	6/2003	Eglise	
6,579,690 B1	6/2003	Bonnecaze et al.	
6,585,644 B2	7/2003	Lebel et al.	
6,585,763 B1	7/2003	Keilman et al.	
6,589,229 B1	7/2003	Connelly et al.	
6,591,125 B1	7/2003	Buse et al.	
6,595,919 B2	7/2003	Berner et al.	
6,605,072 B2	8/2003	Struys et al.	
6,607,509 B2	8/2003	Bobroff et al.	
6,613,379 B2	9/2003	Ward et al.	
6,618,934 B1	9/2003	Feldman et al.	
6,633,772 B2	10/2003	Ford et al.	
6,641,533 B2	11/2003	Causey et al.	
6,642,015 B2	11/2003	Vachon et al.	
6,645,181 B1	11/2003	Lavi et al.	
6,648,821 B2	11/2003	Lebel et al.	
6,654,625 B1	11/2003	Say et al.	
6,673,022 B1	1/2004	Bobo et al.	
6,673,596 B1	1/2004	Sayler et al.	
6,683,535 B1	1/2004	Utke	
6,694,191 B2	2/2004	Starkweather et al.	
6,695,860 B1	2/2004	Ward et al.	
6,699,188 B2	3/2004	Wessel	
6,699,218 B2	3/2004	Flaherty et al.	
6,702,857 B2	3/2004	Brauker et al.	
6,702,972 B1	3/2004	Markle	
6,721,587 B2	4/2004	Gough	
6,731,976 B2	5/2004	Penn et al.	
6,740,075 B2	5/2004	Lebel et al.	
6,741,877 B1	5/2004	Shults et al.	
6,810,290 B2	10/2004	Lebel et al.	
6,869,413 B2	3/2005	Langley et al.	
6,895,263 B2	5/2005	Shin et al.	
6,925,393 B1	8/2005	Kalatz et al.	
6,931,327 B2	8/2005	Goode et al.	
6,952,604 B2	10/2005	DeNuzzio et al.	
6,998,247 B2	2/2006	Monfre et al.	
7,011,630 B2	3/2006	Desai et al.	
7,025,743 B2	4/2006	Mann et al.	
7,029,444 B2	4/2006	Shin et al.	
7,060,059 B2	6/2006	Keith et al.	
7,074,307 B2	7/2006	Simpson et al.	
7,098,803 B2	8/2006	Mann et al.	
7,108,778 B2	9/2006	Simpson et al.	

7,134,999	B2	11/2006	Brauker et al.	
7,169,289	B2	1/2007	Schulein et al.	
7,192,450	B2	3/2007	Brauker et al.	
7,229,288	B2	6/2007	Stuart et al.	
7,261,690	B2	8/2007	Teller et al.	
7,267,665	B2	9/2007	Steil et al.	
7,276,029	B2	10/2007	Goode et al.	
7,278,983	B2	10/2007	Ireland et al.	
7,295,867	B2	11/2007	Berner et al.	
7,354,420	B2	4/2008	Steil et al.	
7,359,723	B2	4/2008	Jones	
7,402,153	B2	7/2008	Steil et al.	
7,417,164	B2	8/2008	Suri	
7,426,408	B2	9/2008	DeNuzzio et al.	
7,519,408	B2	4/2009	Rasdal et al.	
7,519,478	B2	4/2009	Bartkowiak et al.	
7,523,004	B2	4/2009	Bartkowiak et al.	
7,583,990	B2	9/2009	Goode, Jr. et al.	
7,587,287	B2	9/2009	Connolly et al.	
7,591,801	B2	9/2009	Brauker et al.	
7,599,726	B2	10/2009	Goode, Jr. et al.	
7,618,368	B2	11/2009	Brown	
7,624,028	B1	11/2009	Brown	
7,636,602	B2	12/2009	Baru Fassio et al.	
7,640,048	B2	12/2009	Dobbles et al.	
7,647,237	B2	1/2010	Malave et al.	
7,711,402	B2	5/2010	Shults et al.	
7,771,352	B2	8/2010	Shults et al.	
7,774,145	B2	8/2010	Brauker et al.	
7,792,562	B2	9/2010	Shults et al.	
2001/0016682	A1	8/2001	Berner et al.	
2001/0041830	A1	11/2001	Varalli et al.	
2001/0051768	A1	12/2001	Schulman et al.	
2002/0019022	A1	2/2002	Dunn et al.	
2002/0026111	A1	2/2002	Ackerman	
2002/0042090	A1	4/2002	Heller et al.	
2002/0042561	A1	4/2002	Schulman et al.	
2002/0045808	A1	4/2002	Ford et al.	
2002/0065453	A1	5/2002	Lesho et al.	
2002/0068860	A1	6/2002	Clark, Jr.	
2002/0099282	A1	7/2002	Knobbe et al.	
2002/0111547	A1	8/2002	Knobbe et al.	
2002/0119711	A1	8/2002	Van Antwerp et al.	
2002/0155615	A1	10/2002	Novikov et al.	
2002/0161288	A1*	10/2002	Shin et al.	600/316
2002/0198513	A1	12/2002	Lebel et al.	
2003/0006669	A1	1/2003	Pei et al.	
2003/0023171	A1*	1/2003	Sato et al.	600/476
2003/0023317	A1	1/2003	Brauker et al.	
2003/0028089	A1	2/2003	Galley et al.	
2003/0032874	A1	2/2003	Rhodes et al.	
2003/0050537	A1	3/2003	Wessel	
2003/0050546	A1	3/2003	Desai et al.	
2003/0060765	A1	3/2003	Campbell et al.	
2003/0070548	A1	4/2003	Clausen	
2003/0076082	A1	4/2003	Morgan et al.	
2003/0078481	A1	4/2003	McIvor et al.	
2003/0078560	A1	4/2003	Miller et al.	
2003/0097082	A1	5/2003	Purdy et al.	
2003/0100821	A1	5/2003	Heller et al.	
2003/0117296	A1	6/2003	Seely	
2003/0125612	A1	7/2003	Fox et al.	
2003/0125613	A1	7/2003	Enegren et al.	
2003/0130616	A1	7/2003	Steil et al.	
2003/0134347	A1	7/2003	Heller et al.	
2003/0187338	A1	10/2003	Say et al.	
2003/0188427	A1	10/2003	Say et al.	
2003/0199744	A1	10/2003	Buse et al.	
2003/0208113	A1	11/2003	Mault et al.	
2003/0211625	A1	11/2003	Cohan	
2003/0212317	A1	11/2003	Kovatchev et al.	
2003/0212346	A1	11/2003	Yuzhakov et al.	
2003/0212347	A1	11/2003	Sohrab	
2003/0217966	A1	11/2003	Tapsak et al.	
2003/0235817	A1	12/2003	Bartkowiak et al.	
2004/0010207	A1	1/2004	Flaherty et al.	
2004/0011671	A1	1/2004	Shults et al.	
2004/0015063	A1	1/2004	DeNuzzio et al.	
2004/0015134	A1	1/2004	Lavi et al.	
2004/0024327	A1	2/2004	Brodnick	
2004/0030285	A1	2/2004	Lavi et al.	
2004/0030294	A1	2/2004	Mahurkar	
2004/0039298	A1	2/2004	Abreu	
2004/0039406	A1	2/2004	Jessen	
2004/0045879	A1	3/2004	Shults et al.	
2004/0068230	A1	4/2004	Estes et al.	
2004/0078219	A1	4/2004	Kaylor	
2004/0106857	A1	6/2004	Gough	
2004/0143173	A1	7/2004	Reghabi et al.	
2004/0152187	A1	8/2004	Haight et al.	
2004/0152622	A1	8/2004	Keith et al.	
2004/0167801	A1	8/2004	Say et al.	
2004/0186362	A1	9/2004	Brauker et al.	
2004/0186365	A1	9/2004	Jin et al.	
2004/0199059	A1	10/2004	Brauker et al.	
2004/0219664	A1	11/2004	Heller et al.	
2005/0010265	A1	1/2005	Baru Fassio et al.	
2005/0027180	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0027181	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0027182	A1	2/2005	Siddiqui et al.	
2005/0027462	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0027463	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0031689	A1	2/2005	Shults et al.	
2005/0033132	A1	2/2005	Shults et al.	
2005/0043598	A1	2/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0051427	A1	3/2005	Brauker et al.	
2005/0051440	A1	3/2005	Simpson et al.	
2005/0054909	A1	3/2005	Petisce et al.	
2005/0056552	A1	3/2005	Simpson et al.	
2005/0090607	A1	4/2005	Tapsak et al.	
2005/0096519	A1	5/2005	DeNuzzio et al.	
2005/0101847	A1	5/2005	Routt et al.	
2005/0113653	A1	5/2005	Fox et al.	
2005/0115832	A1	6/2005	Simpson et al.	
2005/0121322	A1	6/2005	Say	
2005/0139489	A1	6/2005	Davies et al.	
2005/0143635	A1	6/2005	Kamath et al.	
2005/0143675	A1	6/2005	Neel et al.	
2005/0154271	A1	7/2005	Rasdal et al.	
2005/0187720	A1	8/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0192557	A1	9/2005	Brauker et al.	
2005/0203360	A1	9/2005	Brauker et al.	
2005/0211571	A1	9/2005	Schulein et al.	
2005/0215872	A1	9/2005	Berner et al.	
2005/0239154	A1	10/2005	Feldman et al.	
2005/0242479	A1	11/2005	Petisce et al.	
2005/0245795	A1	11/2005	Goode et al.	
2005/0245799	A1	11/2005	Brauker et al.	
2006/0015020	A1	1/2006	Neale et al.	
2006/0015024	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0016700	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0019327	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0020186	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0020187	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0020188	A1	1/2006	Kamath et al.	
2006/0020189	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0020190	A1	1/2006	Kamath et al.	
2006/0020191	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0020192	A1	1/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036139	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036140	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036141	A1	2/2006	Kamath et al.	
2006/0036142	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036143	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036144	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0036145	A1	2/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0040402	A1	2/2006	Brauker et al.	
2006/0100588	A1	5/2006	Brunnberg et al.	
2006/0183984	A1	8/2006	Dobbles et al.	
2006/0183985	A1	8/2006	Brister et al.	
2006/0222566	A1	10/2006	Brauker et al.	
2006/0258929	A1	11/2006	Goode et al.	
2006/0281985	A1	12/2006	Ward et al.	
2007/0016381	A1	1/2007	Kamath et al.	
2007/0032706	A1	2/2007	Kamath et al.	
2007/0049873	A1	3/2007	Hansen et al.	
2007/0066873	A1	3/2007	Kamath et al.	
2007/0203410	A1	8/2007	Say et al.	

2007/0203966	A1	8/2007	Brauker et al.	
2007/0208244	A1	9/2007	Brauker et al.	
2007/0208245	A1	9/2007	Brauker et al.	
2007/0208246	A1	9/2007	Brauker et al.	
2007/0213610	A1*	9/2007	Say et al.	600/365
2007/0232876	A1	10/2007	Otto et al.	
2008/0021666	A1	1/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0033254	A1	2/2008	Kamath et al.	
2008/0071157	A1	3/2008	Mcgarraugh et al.	
2008/0071158	A1	3/2008	Mcgarraugh et al.	
2008/0072663	A1	3/2008	Keenan et al.	
2008/0154101	A1	6/2008	Jain et al.	
2008/0183061	A1	7/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0183399	A1	7/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0187655	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	
2008/0188722	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	
2008/0188725	A1	8/2008	Markle et al.	
2008/0189051	A1	8/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0194936	A1	8/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0194937	A1	8/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0195967	A1	8/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0287764	A1	11/2008	Rasdal et al.	
2008/0287765	A1	11/2008	Rasdal et al.	
2008/0287766	A1	11/2008	Rasdal et al.	
2008/0305009	A1	12/2008	Gamsey et al.	
2008/0305506	A1	12/2008	Suri	
2008/0306368	A1	12/2008	Goode et al.	
2008/0306434	A1	12/2008	Dobbles et al.	
2008/0306435	A1	12/2008	Kamath et al.	
2008/0306444	A1	12/2008	Brister et al.	
2009/0005666	A1	1/2009	Shin et al.	
2009/0012379	A1	1/2009	Goode et al.	
2009/0018418	A1	1/2009	Markle et al.	
2009/0018426	A1	1/2009	Markle et al.	
2009/0036758	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0043181	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0043182	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0043525	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0043541	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0043542	A1	2/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0061528	A1	3/2009	Suri	
2009/0062633	A1	3/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0076361	A1	3/2009	Kamath et al.	
2009/0081803	A1	3/2009	Gamsey et al.	
2009/0124877	A1	5/2009	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2009/0124878	A1	5/2009	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2009/0156924	A1	6/2009	Shariati et al.	
2009/0177143	A1	7/2009	Markle et al.	
2009/0182217	A1	7/2009	Li et al.	
2009/0192366	A1	7/2009	Mensinger et al.	
2009/0192380	A1	7/2009	Shariati et al.	
2009/0192722	A1	7/2009	Shariati et al.	
2009/0192724	A1	7/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0192745	A1	7/2009	Kamath et al.	
2009/0192751	A1	7/2009	Kamath et al.	
2009/0203981	A1	8/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0204341	A1	8/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0216103	A1	8/2009	Brister et al.	
2009/0240120	A1	9/2009	Mensinger et al.	
2009/0240128	A1	9/2009	Mensinger et al.	
2009/0240193	A1	9/2009	Mensinger et al.	
2009/0242399	A1	10/2009	Kamath et al.	
2009/0242425	A1	10/2009	Kamath et al.	
2009/0247857	A1	10/2009	Harper et al.	
2009/0264719	A1	10/2009	Markle et al.	
2009/0264856	A1	10/2009	Lebel et al.	
2009/0287074	A1	11/2009	Shults et al.	
2009/0299162	A1	12/2009	Brauker et al.	
2009/0299276	A1	12/2009	Brauker et al.	
2010/0010324	A1	1/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0010331	A1	1/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0010332	A1	1/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0016687	A1	1/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0022855	A1	1/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0030053	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0030484	A1	2/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0030485	A1	2/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0036215	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0036216	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0036222	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0036223	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0036224	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0036225	A1	2/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0045465	A1	2/2010	Brauker et al.	
2010/0081908	A1	4/2010	Dobbles et al.	
2010/0161269	A1	6/2010	Kamath et al.	
2010/0174158	A1	7/2010	Kamath et al.	
2010/0174167	A1	7/2010	Kamath et al.	
2010/0174168	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0179399	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0179405	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0179406	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0185065	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0185072	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0185073	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0185074	A1	7/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0204555	A1	8/2010	Shults et al.	
2010/0214104	A1	8/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0217106	A1	8/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0217555	A1	8/2010	Goode et al.	
2010/0217557	A1	8/2010	Goode, Jr. et al.	
2010/0234796	A1	9/2010	Kamath et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 098 592	A2	1/1984
EP	0 107 634		5/1984
EP	0 127 958		12/1984
EP	0 286 118		10/1988
EP	0 288 793		11/1988
EP	0 320 109		6/1989
EP	0 352 610		1/1990
EP	0 352 631		1/1990
EP	0 353 328		2/1990
EP	0 390 390		10/1990
EP	0 406 473		1/1991
EP	0 440 044		8/1991
EP	0 441 252		8/1991
EP	0 467 078		1/1992
EP	0 534 074		3/1993
EP	0 563 795		10/1993
EP	0 323 605		1/1994
EP	0 647 849		4/1995
EP	0 424 633		1/1996
EP	776628	A2	6/1997
EP	0 838 230		4/1998
EP	0 880 936		12/1998
EP	995805	A1	4/2000
EP	1 077 634	B1	2/2001
EP	1 078 258	B1	2/2001
EP	1077634	B1	2/2001
EP	1078258	B1	2/2001
EP	1 153 571		11/2001
EP	2 226 086		8/2010
EP	2 223 710		9/2010
FR	2 656 423		6/1991
FR	2 760 962		9/1998
GB	1 442 303		7/1976
GB	2149918		6/1985
JP	62083849		4/1987
JP	2002513602		5/2002
WO	WO 89/02720		4/1989
WO	WO 90/00738		1/1990
WO	WO 90/10861		9/1990
WO	WO 92/13271		8/1992
WO	WO 93/14693		8/1993
WO	WO 94/22367		10/1994
WO	WO 95/07109		3/1995
WO	WO 96/14026		5/1996
WO	WO 96/25089		8/1996
WO	WO 96/30431		10/1996
WO	WO 97/01986		1/1997
WO	WO 97/28737		8/1997
WO	WO 97/43633		11/1997
WO	WO 98/24358		6/1998
WO	WO 99/56613		4/1999
WO	WO 99/48419		9/1999
WO	WO 99/58051		11/1999
WO	WO 99/58973		11/1999

WO	WO 00/12720		3/2000
WO	WO 00/13002		3/2000
WO	WO 00/13003		3/2000
WO	WO 00/19887		4/2000
WO	WO 00/32098		6/2000
WO	WO 00/33065		6/2000
WO	WO 00/59373		10/2000
WO	WO 00/74753		12/2000
WO	WO 00/78210		12/2000
WO	WO 01/12158		2/2001
WO	WO 01/16579		3/2001
WO	WO 01/20019	A2	3/2001
WO	WO 01/20334	A1	3/2001
WO	WO 01/34243	A1	5/2001
WO	WO 01/43660		6/2001
WO	WO 01/52727	A1	7/2001
WO	WO 01/58348		8/2001
WO	WO 01/58348	A2	8/2001
WO	WO 01/68901	A2	9/2001
WO	WO 01/69222	A2	9/2001
WO	WO 01/88524	A1	11/2001
WO	WO 01/88534	A2	11/2001
WO	WO 02/05702		1/2002
WO	WO 02/24065	A1	3/2002
WO	WO 02/082989	A1	10/2002
WO	WO02082989	A1	10/2002
WO	WO 02/089666		11/2002
WO	WO 02/100266		12/2002
WO	WO 03/101862		12/2003
WO	WO03101862	A1	12/2003
WO	WO 2004/110256		12/2004
WO	WO 2005/011489		2/2005
WO	WO 2005/012873		2/2005
WO	WO 2005/032400		4/2005
WO	WO 2005/057168		6/2005
WO	WO 2005/057175		6/2005
WO	WO 2005/078424		8/2005
WO	WO 2006/050405		5/2006
WO	WO 2006/105146		10/2006
WO	WO 2006/118713		11/2006
WO	WO 2006/131288		12/2006
WO	WO 2007/002579		1/2007
WO	WO 2007/065285		6/2007
WO	WO 2007/114943		10/2007
WO	WO 2007/127606		11/2007
WO	WO 2007/143225		12/2007
WO	WO 2008/076868		6/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Atanasov, et al. 1994. Biosensor forcontinuous glucose monitoring. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 43:262-266.

Aussedat, et al. 1997. A user-friendly method for calibrating a subcutaneous glucose sensor-based hypoglycaemic alarm. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 12(11):1061-1071.

Baker, et al. 1993. Dynamic concentration challenges for biosensor characterization. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 8:433-441.

Baker, et al. 1996. Dynamic delay and maximal dynamic error in continuous biosensors. *Anal Chem*, 68:1292-1297.

Bani Amer, M. M. 2002. An accurate amperometric glucose sensor based glucometer with eliminated cross-sensitivity. *J Med Eng Technol*, 26(5):208-213.

Beach, et al. 1999. Subminiature implantable potentiostat and modified commercial telemetry device for remote glucose monitoring. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 48(6):1239-1245.

Bindra, et al. 1989. Pulsed amperometric detection of glucose in biological fluids at a surface-modified gold electrode. *Anal Chem*, 61:2566-2570.

Bisenberger, et al. 1995. A triple-step potential waveform at enzyme multisensors with thick-film gold electrodes for detection of glucose and sucrose. *Sensors and Actuators*, B 28:181-189.

Bland, et al. 1986. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet*, 1:307-310.

Bland, et al. 1990. A note on the use of the intraclass correlation coefficient in the evaluation of agreement between two methods of measurement. *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 20(5):337-340.

Bode, et al. 1999. Continuous glucose monitoring used to adjust diabetes therapy improves glycosylated hemoglobin: A pilot study. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 46:183-190.

Bode, B. W. 2000. Clinical utility of the continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technol Ther*, 2 Suppl 1, S35-41.

Bode, et al. 2000. Using the continuous glucose monitoring system to improve the management of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S43-48.

Bolinder, et al. 1992. Microdialysis measurement of the absolute glucose concentration in subcutaneous adipose tissue allowing glucose monitoring in diabetic patients. *Diabetologia*, 35:1177-1180.

Bolinder, et al. 1997. Self-monitoring of blood glucose in type I diabetic patients: Comparison with continuous microdialysis measurements of glucose in subcutaneous adipose tissue during ordinary life conditions. *Diabetes Care*, 20(1):64-70.

Bott, A. W. 1997. A comparison of cyclic voltammetry and cyclic staircase voltammetry. *Current Separations*, 16(1):23-26.

Bott, A. 1998. Electrochemical methods for the determination of glucose. *Current Separations*, 17(1):25-31.

Bremer, et al. 1999. Is blood glucose predictable from previous values? A solicitation for data. *Diabetes*, 48:445-451.

Bremer, et al. 2001. Benchmark data from the literature for evaluation of new glucose sensing technologies. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 3:409-418.

Chen, et al. 2002. Defining the period of recovery of the glucose concentration after its local perturbation by the implantation of a miniature sensor. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.*, 40:786-789.

Choleau, et al. 2002. Calibration of a subcutaneous amperometric glucose sensor. Part 1. Effect of measurement uncertainties on the determination of sensor sensitivity and badcground current. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 17:641-646.

Choleau, et al. 2002. Calibration of a subcutaneous amperometric glucose sensor implanted for 7 days in diabetic patients. Part 2. Superiority of the one-point calibration method. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 17:647-654.

Csöregi, et al. 1994. Amperometric microbiosensors for detection of hydroen peroxide and glucose based on peroxidase-modified carbon fibers. *Electroanalysis*, 6:925-933.

Dixon, et al. 2002. Characterization in vitro and in vivo of the oxygen dependence of an enzyme/polymer biosensor for monitoring brain glucose. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 119:135-142.

Ernst, et al. 2002. Reliable glucose monitoring through the use of microsystem technology. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 373:758-761.

Fare, et al. 1998. Functional characterization of a conducting polymer-based immunoassay system. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 13(3-4):459-470.

Frost, et al. 2002. Implantable chemical sensors for real-time clinical monitoring: Progress and challenges. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 6:633-641.

Garg, et al. 1999. Correlation of fingerstick blood glucose measurements with GlucoWatch biographer glucose results in young subjects with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 22(10):1708-1714.

Gerritsen, et al. 1999. Performance of subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors for continuous monitoring. *The Netherlands Journal of Medicine*, 54:167-179.

Gerritsen, M. 2000. Problems associated with subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors. *Diabetes Care*, 23(2):143-145.

Gilligan, et al. 1994. Evaluation of a subcutaneous glucose sensor out to 3 months in a dog model. *Diabetes Care*, 17(8):882-887.

Gough, et al. 2000. Immobilized glucose oxidase in implantable glucose sensor technology. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2(3):377-380.

Gross, et al. 2000. Performance evaluation of the MiniMed® continuous glucose monitoring system during patient home use. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2(1):49-56.

Gross, et al. 2000. Efficacy and reliability of the continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S19-26.

Gross, Todd, "Letters to the Editor Re: Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 2000;2:49-56." vol. 3, No. 1, p. 130-131, 2001.

Hall, et al. 1998. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part 1. An adsorption-controlled mechanism. *Electrochimica Acta*, 43(5-6):579-588.

Hall, et al. 1998. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part II: Effect of potential. *Electrochimica Acta*, 43(14-15):2015-2024.
Hall, et al. 1999. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part III: Effect of temperature. *Electrochimica Acta*, 44:2455-2462.
Hall, et al. 1999. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part IV: Phosphate buffer dependence. *Electrochimica Acta*, 44:4573-4582.
Hall, et al. 2000. Electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide at platinum electrodes. Part V: Inhibition by chloride. *Electrochimica Acta*, 45:3573-3579.
Heise, et al. 2003. Hypoglycemia warning signal and glucose sensors: Requirements and concepts. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 5:563-571.
Hitchman, M. L. 1978. "Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen." in Elving, et al. (Eds.). Chemical Analysis, vol. 49, Chap. 3, pp. 34-49, 59-123. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
Huang, C., O'Grady, W.E.; Yeager, E. Electrochemical Generation of Oxygen. 1: The Effects of Anions and Cations on Hydrogen Chemisorption and Aniodic Oxide Film Formation on Platinum Electrode. 2: The Effects of Anions and Cations on Oxygen Generation on Platinum Electrode, pp. 1-116, Aug. 1975.
Ishikawa, et al. 1998. Initial evaluation of a 290-μm diameter subcutaneous glucose sensor: Glucose monitoring with a biocompatible, flexible-wire, enzyme-based amperometric microsensor in diabetic and nondiabetic humans. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications*, 12:295-301.
Jablecki, et al. 2000. Simulations of the frequency response of implantable glucose sensors. *Analytical Chemistry*, 72:1853-1859.
Jaremko, et al. 1998. Advances toward the implantable artificial pancreas for treatment of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 21(3):444-450.
Jensen, et al. 1997. Fast wave forms for pulsed electrochemical detection of glucose by incorporation of reductive desorption of oxidation products. *Analytical Chemistry*, 69(9):1776-1781.
Johnson, et al. 1992. In vivo evaluation of an electroenzymatic glucose sensor implanted in subcutaneous tissue. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 7:709-714.
Jovanovic, L. 2000. The role of continuous glucose monitoring in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S67-71.
Kaufman, F. R. 2000. Role of the continuous glucose monitoring system in pediatric patients. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S49-52.
Kerner, W. 2001. Implantable glucose sensors: Present status and future developments. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes*, 109 Suppl 2, S341-346.
Koschinsky, et al. 2001. Sensors for glucose monitoring: Technical and clinical aspects. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.*, 17:113-123.
Krouwer, J. S. 2002. Setting performance goals and evaluating total analytical error for diagnostic assays. *Clinical Chemistry*, 48(6):919-927.
Kruger, et al. 2000. Psychological motivation and patient education: A role for continuous glucose monitoring. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S93-97.
Kurnik, et al. 1999. Application of the mixtures of experts algorithm for signal processing in a noninvasive glucose monitoring system. *Sensors and Actuators*, B 60:19-26.
LaCourse, et al. 1993. Optimization of waveforms for pulsed amperometric detection of carbohydrates based on pulsed voltammetry. *Analytical Chemistry*, 65:50-52.
Lerner, et al. 1984. An implantable electrochemical glucose sensor. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 428:263-278.
Leypoldt, et al. 1984. Model of a two-substrate enzyme electrode for glucose. *Anal. Chem.*, 56:2896-2904.
Lynch, et al. 2001. Estimation-based model predictive control of blood glucose in type I diabetics: A simulation study. *Proceedings of the IEEE 27th Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference*, pp. 79-80.
Lynn, P. A. 1971. Recursive digital filters for biological signals. *Med. & Biol. Engng.*, 9:37-43.
Makale, et al. 2003. Tissue window chamber system for validation of implanted oxygen sensors. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.*, 284:H2288-2294.
Malin, et al. Noninvasive Prediction of Glucose by Near-Infrared Diffuse Reflectance Spectroicopy. Clinical Chemistry, 45:9, 1651-1658, 1999.
Mancy, et al. 1962. A galvanic cell oxygen analyzer. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 4:65-92.
Maran, et al. 2002. Continuous subcutaneous glucose monitoring in diabetic patients: A multicenter analysis. *Diabetes Care*, 25(2):347-352.
Martin, R. F. 2000. General Deming regression for estimating systematic bias and its confidence interval in method-comparison studies. *Clinical Chemistry*, 46(1):100-104.
Mastrototaro, et al. 2003. Reproducibility of the continuous glucose monitoring system matches previous reports and the intended use of the product. *Diabetes Care*, 26:256; author reply 257.
Metzger, et al. 2002. Reproducibility of glucose measurements using the glucose sensor. *Diabetes Care*, 25(6):1185-1191.
Monsod, et al. 2002. Do sensor glucose levels accurately predict plasma glucose concentrations during hypoglycemia and hyperinsulinemia? *Diabetes Care*, 25(5):889-893.
Moussy, et al. 1994. A miniaturized Nation-based glucose sensor. In vitro and in vivo evaluation in dogs. *Int. J. Artif. Organs*, 17(2):88-94.
Neuburger, et al. 1987. Pulsed amperometric detection of carbohydrates at gold electrodes with a two-step potential waveform. *Anal. Chem.*, 59:150-154.
Palmisano, et al. 2000. Simultaneous monitoring of glucose and lactate by an interference and cross-talk free dual electrode amperometric biosensor based on electropolymerized thin films. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 15:531-539.
Panteleon, et al. 2003. The role of the independent variable to glucose sensor calibration. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 5(3):401-410.
Parker, et al. 1999. A model-based algorithm for blood glucose control in type I diabetic patients. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 46(2):148-157.
Pitzer, et al. 2001. Detection of hypoglycemia with the GlucoWatch biographer. *Diabetes Care*, 24(5):881-885.
Poirier, et al. 1998. Clinical and statistical evaluation of self monitoring blood glucose meters. *Diabetes Care*, 21(11):1919-1924.
Poitout, et al. 1993. A glucose monitoring system for on line estimation in man of blood glucose concentration using a miniaturized glucose sensor implanted in the subcutaneous tissue and a wearable control unit. *Diabetologia*, 36:658-663.
Postlethwaite, et al. 1996. Interdigitated array electrode as an alternative to the rotated ring-disk electrode for determination of the reaction products of dioxygen reduction. *Analytical Chemistry*, 68:2951-2958.
Reach, G. 2001. Which threshold to detect hypoglycemia? Value of receiver-operator curve analysis to find a compromise between sensitivity and specificity. *Diabetes Care*, 24(5):803-804.
Reach, Gerard, "Letters to the Editor Re: Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 2000;2:49-56," vol. 3, No. 1, p. 129-130, 2001.
Rebrin, et al. 1999. Subcutaneous glucose predicts plasma glucose independent of insulin: Implications for continuous monitoring. *Am. J. Physiol.*, 277:E561-71.
Rhodes, et al. 1994. Prediction of pocket-portable and implantable glucose enzyme electrode performance from combined species permeability and digital simulation analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*, 66(9):1520-1529.
Rinken, et al. 1998. Calibration of glucose biosensors by using pre-steady state kinetic data. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*. 13:801-807.
Sansen, et al. 1985. "Glucose sensor with telemetry system." in Ko, W. H. (Ed.). Implantable Sensors for Closed Loop Prosthetic Systems. Chap. 12, pp. 167-175, Mount Kisco, NY: Futura Publishing Co.
Sansen, et al. 1990. A smart sensor for the voltammetric measurement of oxygen or glucose concentrations. *Sensors and Actuators*, B 1:298-302.
Schmidt, et al. 1993. Glucose concentration in subcutaneous extracellular space. *Diabetes Care*, 16(5):695-700.

Schoemaker, et al. 2003. The SCGM1 system: Subcutaneous continuous glucose monitoring based on microdialysis technique. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 5(4):599-608.
Shichirl, et al. 1986. Telemetry glucose monitoring device with needle-type glucose sensor: A useful tool for blood glucose monitoring in diabetic individuals. *Diabetes Care*, 9(3):298-301.
Shults, et al. 1994. A telemetry-instrumentation system for monitoring multiple subcutaneously implanted glucose sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 41(10):937-942.
Skyler, J. S. 2000. The economic burden of diabetes and the benefits of improved glycemic control: The potential role of a continuous glucose monitoring system. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S7-12.
Sokolov, et al. 1995. Metrological opportunities of the dynamic mode of operating an enzyme amperometric biosensor. *Med. Eng. Phys.*, 17(6):471-476.
Sproule, et al. 2002. Fuzzy pharmacology: Theory and applications. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, 23(9):412-417.
Steil, et al. 2003. Determination of plasma glucose during rapid glucose excursions with a subcutaneous glucose sensor. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 5(1):27-31.
Sternberg, et al. 1996. Does fall in tissue glucose precede fall in blood glucose? *Diabetologia*, 39:609-612.
Street, et al. 1988. A note on computing robust regression estimates via iteratively reweighted least squares. *The American Statistician*, 42(2):152-154.
Tanenberg, et al. 2000. Continuous glucose monitoring system: A new approach to the diagnosis of diabetic gastroparesis. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 2 Suppl 1, S73-80.
Thomé-Duret, et al. 1996. Modification of the sensitivity of glucose sensor implanted into subcutaneous tissue. *Diabetes Metabolism*, 22:174-178.
Tierney, et al. 2000. The GlucoWatch® biographer: A frequent, automatic and noninvasive glucose monitor. *Ann. Med.*, 32:632-641.
Tilbury, et al. 2000. Receiver operating characteristic analysis for intelligent medical systems—A new approach for finding confidence intervals. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 47(7):952-963.
Trajanoski, et al. 1998. Neural predictive controller for insulin delivery using the subcutaneous route. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 45(9):1122-1134.
Updike, et al. 1967. The enzyme electrode. *Nature*, 214:986-988.
Updike, et al. 1979. Continuous glucose monitor based on an immobilized enzyme electrode detector. *J Lab Clin Med*, 93(4):518-527.
Updike, et al. 1982. Implanting the glucose enzyme electrode: Problems, progress, and altemative solutions. *Diabetes Care*, 5(3):207-212.
Updike et al. 1994. Improved long-term performance in vitro and in vivo. *ASAIO Journal*, 40(2):157-163.
Updike et al. 1997. Principles of long-term fully Implanted sensors with emphasis on radiotelemtric monitoring of blood glucose from inside a subcutaneous foreign body capsule (FBC). In Fraser, D. M. (Ed.). Biosensors in the Body: Continuous in vivo Monitoring. Chap. 4, pp. 117-137, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
Updike, et al. 2000. A subcutaneous glucose sensor with improved longevity, dynamic range, and stability of calibration. *Diabetes Care*, 23(2):208-214.
Valdes, et al. 2000. In vitro and in vivo degradation of glucose oxidase enzyme used for an implantable glucose biosensor. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.*, 2:367-376.
Velho, et al. 1989. Strategies for calibrating a subcutaneous glucose sensor. *Biomed Biochim Acta*, 48(11/12):957-964.
Wagner, et al. 1998. Continuous amperometric monitoring of glucose in a brittle diabetic chimpanzee with a miniature subcutaneous electrode. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 95:6379-6382.
Ward, et al. 1999. Assessment of chronically implanted subcutaneous glucose sensors in dogs: The effect of surrounding fluid masses. *ASAIO Journal*, 45:555-561.
Ward, et al. 2000. Rise in background current over time in a subcutaneous glucose sensor in the rabbit: Relevance to calibration and accuracy. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 15:53-61.
Ward et al. 2002. A new amperometric glucose microsensor: In vitro and short-term in vivo evaluation. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 17:181-189.
Wilkins, et al. 1995. Integrated implantable device for long-term glucose monitoring. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 10:485-494.
Wilson, et al. 1992. Progress toward the development of an implantable sensor for glucose. *Clin. Chem.*, 38(9):1613-1617.
Wilson, et al. 2000. Enzyme-based biosensors for in vivo measurements. *Chem. Rev.*, 100:2693-2704.
Wu, et al. 1999. In situ electrochemical oxygen generation with an immunoisolation device. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, 875:105-125.
Yang, et al. 1998. Development of needle-type glucose sensor with high selectivity. *Science and Actuators*,B 46:249-256.
Zavalkoff, et al. 2002. Evaluation of conventional blood glucose monitoring as an indicator of integrated glucose values using a continuous subcutaneous sensor. *Diabetes Care*, 25(9):1603-1606.
Zhang, et al. 1994. Elimination of the acetaminophen interference in an implantable glucose sensor. *Analytical Chemistry*, 66(7):1183-1188.
Zhu, et al. 2002. Planar amperometric glucose sensor based on glucose oxidase immobilized by chitosan film on Prussian Blue layer. *Sensors*, 2:127-136.
U.S. Appl. No. 09/447,227, filed Nov. 22, 1999.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/632;537, filed Aug. 1, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/633,367, filed Aug. 1, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/633,404, filed Aug. 1, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/646,333, filed Aug. 22, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/647,065, filed Aug. 22, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/648,849, filed Aug. 22, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/695,636, filed Oct. 28, 2003.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/789,359, filed Feb. 26, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,658, filed May 3, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,909, filed May 3, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,912, filed May 3, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/842,716, filed May 10, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/846,150, filed May 14, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/885,476, filed Jul. 6, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,637, filed Jul. 21, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,772, filed Jul. 21, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,639, filed Jul. 21, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/897,377, filed Jul. 21, 2004.
U.S. Appl. No. 10/896,312, filed Jul. 21, 2004.
Abel, P. U.; von Woedtke, T. Biosensors for in vivo glucose measurement: can we cross the experimental stage. Biosens Bioelectron 2002, 17, 1059-1070.
Atanasov, P.; Yang. S.; Salehi, C.; Ghindilis, A. L.; Wilkins, E.; Schade, D. Implantation of a refillable glucose monitoring-telemetry device. Biosens Bioelectron 1997, 12, 669-680.
Bowman, L.; Meindl, J. D. The packaging of implantable Integrated sensors. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1986, 33, 248-255.
Cai, Q.; Zeng, K.; Ruan, C.; Desai, T. A.; Grimes, C. A. A wireless, remote query glucose biosensor based on a pH-sensitive polymer. Anal Chem 2004, 76, 4038-4043.
Chia, C. W.; Saudek, C. D. Glucose sensors: toward dosed loop insulin delivery. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2004, 33, 175-95, xi.
Cox, D. J.; Clarke, W. L.; Gonder-Frederick, L.; Pohl, S.; Hoover, C.; Snyder, A.; Zimbelman, L.; Carter, W. R.; Bobbitt, S.; Pennebaker, J. Accuracy of perceiving blood glucose in IDDM. Diabetes Care 1985, 8, 529-536.
El-Sa'ad, L.; Yates, D. Moisture Absorption by Epoxy Resins: the Reverse Thermal Effect. Journal of Materials Science 1990, 25, 3577-3582.
Feldman, B.; Brazg, R.; Schwartz, S.; Weinstein, R. A continuous gluciose sensor based on wired enzyme technology—results from a 3-day trial in patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2003, 5, 769-779.
Garg, S.; Schwartz, S.; Edelman, S. Improved Glucose Excursions Using an Implantable Real-Time Continuous Glucose Sensor in Adults wtih Type I Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004, 27, 734-738.
Gilligan, B. C.; Shults, M.; Rhodes, R. K.; Jacobs, P. G.; Brauker, J. H.; Pintar, T. J.; Updike, S. J. Feasibility of continuous long-term

glucose monitoring from a subcutaneous glucose sensor in humans. Diabetes Technol Ther 2004, 6, 378-386.
Heller, A. Implanted electrochemical glucose sensors for the management of diabetes. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 1999, 1, 153-175.
Heller, A. Plugging metal connectors into enzymes. Nat Biotechnol 2003, 21, 631-2.
Hrapovic, S.; Luong. J. H. Picoamperometric detection of glucose at ultrasmall platinum-based biosensors: preparation and characterization. Anal Chem 2003, 75, 3308-3315.
Hunter, I., Jones, L., Kanigan, T., Brenan, C., Sanbol, L. Sosnowski, L. Minimally Invasive Glucose Sensor and Insulin Delivery System. MIT Home Automation and Healthcare Contortium 2000.
Jeutter, D. C. A transcutaneous implanted battery recharging and biotelemeter power switching system. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1982, 29, 314-321.
Kang, S. K.; Jeong, R. A.; Park, S.; Chung, T. D.; Park, S.; Kim, H. C. In vitro and short-term in vivo characteristics of a Kel-F thin film modified glucose sensor. Anal Sci 2003, 19, 1481-1486.
Kraver, K.; Guthaus, M. R.; Strong, T.; Bird, P.; Cha, G.; Hoeld, W.; Brown, R. A mixed-signal sensor interface microinstrument. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical 2001, 91, 266-277.
March, W. F. Dealing with the delay. Diabetes Technol Ther 2002, 4, 49-50.
Mastrototaro, J. J. The MiniMed continuous glucose monitoring system. Diabetes Technol Ther 2000, 2 Suppl 1, S13-8.
McCartney, L. J.; Pickup, J. C.; Rolinski, O. J.; Birch, D. J. Near-infrared fluorescence lifetime assay for serum glucose based on allophycocyanin-labeled concanavalin A. Anal Biochem 2001, 292, 216-221.
McGrath, M. J.; Iwuoha, E. I.; Diamond, D.; Smyth, M. R. The use of differential measurements with a glucose biosensor for interference compensation during glucose determinations by flow injection analysis. Biosens Bioelectron 1995, 10, 937-943.
Memoli, A.; Annesini, M. C.; Mascini, M.; Papale, S.; Petralito, S. A comparison between different immobilised glucoseoxidase-based electrodes. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2002, 29, 1045-1052.
Moatti-Sirat, D.; Capron, F.; Poitout, V.; Reach, G.; Bindra, D. S.; Zhang, Y.; Wilson, G. S.; Thevenot, D. R. Towards continuous glucose monitoring: in vivo evaluation of a miniaturized glucose sensor implanted for several days in rat subcutaneous tissue. Diabetologia 1992, 35, 224-230.
Ohara, T. J.; Rajagopalan, R.; Heller, A. "Wired" enzyme electrodes for amperometrlc determination of glucose or lactate in the presence of interfering substances. Anal Chem 1994, 66, 2451-2457.
Okuda, J.; Miwa, I. Mutarotase effect on micro determinations of D-glucose and its anomers with -D-glucose oxidase. Anal Biochem 1971, 43, 312-315.
Patel, H.; Li, X.; Karan, H. I. Amperometric glucose sensors based on ferrocene containing polymeric electron transfer systems—a preliminary report. Biosens Bioelectron 2003, 18, 1073-6.
Pichert, J. W.; Campbell, K.; Cox, D. J.; D'Lugin, J. J.; Moffat, J. W.; Polonsky, W. H.; CN , -. . P. o. G. D. P. S. G. Issues for the coming age of continuous glucose monitoring. Diabetes Educ 2000, 26, 969-980.
Quinn, C. A.; Connor, R. E.; Heller, A. Biocompatible, glucose-permeable hydrogel for in situ coating of implantable biosensors. Biomaterials 1997, 18, 1665-1670.
Reach, G.; Abel; P.; Fischer, U. A Method for Evaluating in vivo the Functional Characteristics of Glucose Sensors. Biosensors 1986, 2, 211,220.
Schmidtke, D. W.; Heller, A. Accuracy of the one-point in vivo calibration of "wired" glucose oxidase electrodes implanted in jugular veins of rats in periods of rapid rise and decline of the glucose concentration. Anal Chem 1998, 70, 2149-2155.
Service, R. F. Can sensors make a home in the body? Science 2002, 297, 962-3.
Shichiri, M.; Kawamori, R.; Yamasaki, Y.; Hakui, N.; Abe, H. Wearable artificial endocrine pancrease with needle-type glucose sensor. Lancet 1982, 2, 1129-1131.
Shichiri, M.; Kawamori, R.; Yamasaki, Y.; Hakui, N.; Asakawa, N.; Abe, H. Needle-type Glucose Sensor for Wearable Artificial Endocrine Pancreas. Book Implantable Sensors 1985, 197-210.
Sriyudthsak, M.; Cholapranee, T.; Sawadsaringkarn, M.; Yupongchaey, N.; Jaiwang, P. Enzyme-epoxy membrane based glucose analyzing system and medical applications. Biosens Bioelectron 1996, 11, 735-742.
Sternberg, R.; Barrau, M. B.; Gangiotti, L.; Thevenot, D. R.; Bindra, D. S.; Wilson, G. S.; Velho, G.; Froguel, P.; Reach, G. Study and development of multilayer needles-type enzyme-based glucose microsensors. Biosensors 1989, 4, 27-40.
Tamura, T., et al., "Preliminary study of continuous glucose monitoring with a microdialysis technique and a null method—a numerical analysis," Frontiers Med. Biol. Engng. 10:2:147-156 (2000).
Thome-Duret, V.; Aussedat, B.; Reach, G.; Gangnerau, M. N.; Lemonnier, F.; Klein, J. C.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, Y.; Wilson, G. S. Continuous glucose monitoring in the free-moving rat. Metabolism 1998, 47, 799-803.
Tierney, M. J.; Garg, S.; Ackerman, N. R.; Fermi, S. J.; Kennedy, J.; Lopatin, M.; Potts, R. O.; Tamada, J. A. Effect of acetaminophen on the accuracy of glucose measurements obtained with the GlucoWatch biographer. Diabetes Technol Ther 2000, 2, 199-207.
Trecroci, D. A Glimpse into the Future—Continuous Monitoring of Glucose with a Microfiber. Diabetes Interview 2002, 42-43.
Velho, G.; Froguel, P.; Stemberg, R.; Thevenot, D. R.; Reach, G. In vitro and in vivo stability of electrode potentials in needle-type glucose sensors. Influence of needle material. Diabetes 1989, 38, 164-171.
Wang, J.; Liu, J.; Chen, L.; Lu, F. Highly Selective Membrane-Free, Mediator-Free Glucose Biosensor. Anal. Chem. 1994, 66, 3600-3603.
Wang, X.; Pardue, H. L. Improved ruggedness for membrane-based amperometric sensors using a pulsed amperometric method. Anal Chem 1997, 69, 4482-4489.
Ward, W. K.; Wood, M. D.; Troupe, J. E. Understanding Spontaneous Output Fluctuations of an Amperometric Glucose Sensor: Effect of Inhalation Anesthesia and Use of a Nonenzyme Containing Electrode. ASAIO Journal 2000, 540-546.
Wientjes, K. J. C. Development of a glucose sensor for diabetic patients. 2000.
Wilkins, E.; Atanasov, P. Glucose monitoring: state of the art and future possibilities. Med Eng Phys 1995, 18, 273-288.
Wood, W., et al., Hermetic Sealing with Epoxy. Mechanical Engineering Mar. 1990, 1-3.
Koschinsky, et al. 1998. New approach to technical and clinical evaluation of devices for self-monitoring of blood glucose. Diabetes Care 11(8): 619-619.
Pickup, et al. "In vivo molecular sensing in diabetes mellitus: an implantable glucose sensor with direct electron transfer," Diabetologia, 32:213-217 (1989).
Office Action dated Sep. 30, 2002 in U.S. Appl. No. 09/636,369.
Office Action dated Dec. 21, 2004 in U:S. Appl. No. 10/632,537.
Office Action dated Oct. 20, 2004 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/632,537.
Office Action dated Feb. 12, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/633,404.
Office Action dated Nov. 27, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/789,359.
Office Action dated Jun. 1, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,740.
Armour, et al. Dec. 1990. Application of Chronic Intravascular Blood Glucose Sensor in Dogs. Diabetes 39:1519-1526.
Service, et al. 1970. Mean amplitude of glycemic excursions, a measure of diabetic instability. Diabetes, 19: 644-655.
Sokol et al. 1980, Immobilized-enzyme rate-determination method for glucose analysis, Clin. Chem. 26(1):89-92.
Office Action dated Jul. 15, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/633,367.
Office Action dated Jun. 17, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/038,340.
Office Action dated May 29, 2008 in U.S. Reexam. No. 95/001,039.
Office Action dated Jun. 17, 2008 in U.S. Reexam. No. 95/001,038.
Office Action dated Jun. 24, 2008 n. U.S. Appl. No. 11/007,920.
Office Action dated Nov. 28, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/991,966.
Office Action dated Mar. 20, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/789,359.
Office Action dated Nov. 1, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,740.
Office Action dated Feb. 7, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,740.
Office Action dated Dec. 31, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,765,.
Office Action dated May 16, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,765.
Office Action dated Jun. 26, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/157,365.
Office Action dated Oct. 4, 2006 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/334,876.
Office Action dated Sep. 25, 2007 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/334,876.

Office Action dated May 2, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/334,876.
Office Action dated Jun. 30, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,252.
Lohn et al., A knowledge-based system for real-time validation of calibrations and measurements, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 1999 46, 57-66.
Office Action dated Jul. 22, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/991,966.
Office Action dated Jul. 25, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,740.
Office Action dated Aug. 26, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/334,876.
Office Action dated Aug. 11, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,819.
Bellucci et al. Jan. 1986. Electrochemical behaviour of graphite-epoxy composite materials (GECM) in aqueous salt solutions, Journal of Applied Electrochemistry, 16(1):15-22.
Bindra et al. 1991. Design and In Vitro Studies of a Needle-Type Glucose Senso for Subcutaneous Monitoring. Anal. Chem 63:1692-96.
Bobbioni-Harsch et al. 1993. Lifespan of subcutaneous glucose sensors and their performances during dynamic glycaemia changes in rats, J. Biomed. Eng. 15:457-463.
Brooks et al. "Development of an on-line glucose sensor for fermentation monitoring," Biosensors, 3:45-56 (1987/88).
Candas et al (1994). "An adaptive plasma glucose controller basedon on a nonlinear insulin/glucose model." *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 41(2): 116-124.
Cass et al. "Ferrocene-mediated enzyme electrodes for amperometric determination of glucose," Anal. Chem., 36:667-71 (1984).
Davies, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. I. An overview of membrane function, Biomaterials, 13(14):971-978.
Heller, "Electrical wiring of redox enzymes," *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 23:128-134 (1990).
Heller, A. 1992. Electrical Connection of Enzyme Redox Centers to Electrodes. J. Phys. Chem. 96:3579-3587.
Hicks, 1985. In Situ Monitoring, Clinical Chemistry, 31(12):1931-1935.
Hu, et al. 1993. A needle-type enzyme-based lactate sensor for in vivo monitoring, Analytica Chimica Acta, 281:503-511.
Kamath et al. Calibration of a continuous glucose monitor: effect of glucose rate of change, Eighth Annual Diabetes Technology Meeting, Nov. 13-15, 2008, p. A88.
Kawagoe et al. 1991. Enzyme-modified organic conducting salt microelectrode, Anal. Chem. 63:2961-2965.
Kerner et al. "The function of a hydrogen peroxide-detecting electroenzymatic glucose electrode is markedly impaired in human sub-cutaneous tissue and plasma," Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 8:473-482 (1993).
Lohn et al., A knowledge-based system for real-time validation of calibrations and measurements, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 1999 46, 57-66.
Maidan et al. 1992. Elimination of Electrooxidizable Interferent-Produced Currents in Amperometric Biosensors, Analytical Chemistry, 64:2889-2896.
Mastrototaro et al. "An electroenzymatic glucose sensor fabricated on a flexible substrate," Sensors and Actuators B, 5:139-44 (1991).
McKean, et al. Jul. 7, 1988. A Telemetry Instrumentation System for Chronically Implanted Glucose and Oxygen Sensors. Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 35:526-532.
Murphy, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. II. The design and fabrication of permselective hydrogels for electrochemical devices, Biomaterials, 13(14):979-990.
Ohara, et al. Dec. 1993. Glucose electrodes based on cross-linked bis(2,2'-bipyridine)chloroosmium(+/2+) complexed poly(1-vinylimidazole) films, Analytical Chemistry, 65:3512-3517.
Pickup et al. "Implantable glucose sensors: choosing the appropriate sensor strategy," Biosensors, 3:335-346 (1987/88).
Pishko et al. "Amperometric glucose microelectrodes prepared through immobilization of glucose oxidase in redox hydrogels," Anal. Chem., 63:2268-72 (1991).
Poitout, et al. 1991. In Vitro and In Vivo Evaluation in Dogs of a Miniaturized Glucose Sensor, ASAIO Transactions, 37:M298-M300.
Prabhu et al. 1981. Electrochemical studies of hydrogen peroxide at a platinum disc electrode, Electrochimica Acta 26(6):725-729.
Reach et al. 1992. Can continuous glucose monitoring be used for the treatment of diabetes? Analytical Chemistry 64(5):381-386.
Rebrin et al. "Automated feedback control of subcutaneous glucose concentration in diabetic dogs," Diabetologia, 32:573-76 (1989).
Sakakida et al. 1993. Ferrocene-Mediated Needle Type Glucose Sensor Covered with Newly Designed Biocompatible Membran, Sensors and Actuators B 13-14:319-322.
Shaw et al. "In vitro testing of a simply constructed, highly stable glucose sensor suitable for implantation in diabetic patients," Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 6:401-406 (1991).
Shichiri et al., 1989. Membrane Design for Extending the Long-Life of an Implantable Glucose Sensor. Diab. Nutr. Metab. 2:309-313.
Thompson et al., In Vivo Probes: Problems and Perspectives, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Canada, pp. 255-261, 1986.
Turner and Pickup, "Diabetes mellitus: biosensors for research and management," *Biosensors*, 1:85-115 (1985).
von Woedtke et al. 1989. In situ calibration of implanted electrochemical glucose sensors. Biomed Biochim. Acta 48(11/12):943-952.
Yang et al (1996). "A glucose biosensor based on an oxygen electrode: In-vitro performances in a model buffer solution and in blood plasma," Biomedical Instrumentation & Technology, 30:55-61.
Office Action dated Mar. 31, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,759.
Office Action dated Jul. 10, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,759.
Office Action dated Oct. 3, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/789,359.
Office Action dated Dec. 23, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/102,745.
Office Action dated Dec. 26, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,819.
Office Action dated Jan. 5, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/038,340.
Office Action dated Jan. 7, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/157,365.
Office Action dated Jan. 23, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,765.
Office Action dated Jan. 29, 2009, in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,252.
Office Action dated Apr. 28, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,740.
Office Action dated May 19, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/038,340.
Office Action dated May 26, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,759.
Office Action dated Jun. 11, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/633,367.
Office Action dated Jun. 23, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/648,849.
Office Action dated Jul. 7, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/102,729.
Office Action dated Jul. 21, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,739.
Office Action dated Jul. 21, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/157,365.
Office Action dated Jul. 23, 2009, in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,252.
Aalders et al. 1991. Development of a wearable glucose sensor; studies in healthy volunteers and in abetic patients. The International Journal of Artificial Organs 14(2):102-108 diabetic .
Abe et al. 1992. Characterization of glucose microsensors for intracellular measurements. Alan. Chem. 64(18):2160-2163.
Abel et al. 1984. Experience with an implantable glucose sensor as a prerequisite of an artifical beta cell, Biomed. Biochim. Acta 43(5):577-584.
Alcock & Turner. 1994. Continuous Analyte Monitoring to Aid Clinical Practice. IEEE Engineering in Med. & Biol. Mag. 13:319-325.
American Heritage Dictionary, 4th Edition. 2000. Houghton Mifflin Company, p. 82.
Amin et al. 2003. Hypoglycemia prevalence in prepubertal children with type 1 diabetes on standard insulin regimen: Use of continuous glucose monitoring system. Diabetes Care 26(3):662-667.
Answers.com. "xenogenic." The American Heritage Stedman's Medical Dictionary. Houghton Mifflin Company, 2002. Answers.com Nov. 7, 2006 http://www. Answers.com/topic/xenogenic.
Bailey et al. 2007. Reduction in hemoglobin A1c with real-time continuous glucose monitoring: results from a 12-week observational study. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 9(3):203-210.
Bessman et al., Progress toward a glucose sensor for the artificial pancreas, Proceedings of a Workshop on Ion-Selective Microelectrodes, Jun. 4-5, 1973, Boston, MA, 189-197.
Biermann et al. 2008. How would patients behave if they were continually informed of their blood glucose levels? A simulation study using a "virtual" patient. Diab. Thechnol. & Therapeut., 10:178-187.
Boedeker Plastics, Inc. 2009. Polyethylene Specifications Data Sheet, http://www.boedeker.com/polye_p.htm [Aug. 19, 2009 3:36:33 PM].
Boland et al. 2001. Limitations of conventional methods of self-monitoring of blood glucose. Diabetes Care 24(11):1858-1862.

Brauker et al. Jun. 27, 1996. Local Inflammatory Response Around Diffusion Chambers Containing Xenografts Transplantation 61(12):1671-1677.
Braunwald, 2008. Biomarkers in heart failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 358: 2148-2159.
Bruckel et al. 1989. In vivo measurement of subcutaneous glucose concentrations with an enzymatic glucose sensor and a wick method. Klin Wochenschr 67:491-495.
Campanella et al. 1993. Biosensor for direct determination of glucose and lactate in undiluted biological fluids. Biosensors & Bioelectronics 8:307-314.
Cassidy et al., Apr. 1993. Novel electrochemical device for the detection of cholesterol or glucose, Analyst, 118:415-418.
Chase et al. 2001. Continuous subcutaneous glucose monitoring in children with type 1 diabetes. Pediatrics 107:222-226.
Choleau et al. 2002. Calibration of a subcutaneous amperometric glucose sensor implanted for 7 days in diabetic patients. Part 1. Effect of measurement uncertainties on the determination of sensor sensitivity and background current . Biosensors and Bioel.
Ciba® Irgacure 2959 Photoinitiator Product Description, Ciba Specialty Chemicals Inc., Basel, Switzerland.
Claremont et al. 1986. Subcutaneous implantation of a ferrocene-mediated glucose sensor in pigs. Diabetologia 29:817-821.
Claremont et al. Jul. 1986. Potentially-impintable, ferrocene-mediated glucose sensor. J. Biomed. Eng. 8:272-274.
Clark et al., 1981. One-minute electrochemical enzymic assay for cholesterol in biological materials, Clin. Chem. 27(12):1978-1982.
Clark et al. 1987. Configurational cyclic voltammetry: increasing the specificity and reliablity of implanted electrodes, IEEE/Ninth Annual Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, pp. 0782-0783.
Clark et al. 1988. Long-term stability of electroenzymatic glucose sensors implanted in mice. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs 34:259-265.
CLSI. Performance metrics for continuous interstitial glucose monitoring; approved guideline, CLSI document POCT05-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: 2008 28(33), 72 pages.
Colangelo et al. 1967. Corrosion rate measurements in vivo, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research, 1:405-414.
Colowick et al. 1976. Methods in Enzymlology, vol. XLIV, Immobilized Enzymes. New York: Academic Press.
Csoregi et al., 1994. Design, characterization, and one-point in vivo calibration of a subcutaneously implanted glucose electrode. Anal Chem. 66(19):3131-3138.
Danielsson et al. 1988. Enzyme thermistors, Methods in Enzymology, 137:181-197.
Dassau et al., In silico evaluation platform for artifical pancreatic β-cell development-a dynamic simulator for closed loop control with hardware-in-the-loop, Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 11(3):1-8, 2009.
Davis et al. 1983. Bioelectrochemical fuel cell and sensor based on a quinoprotein, alcohol dehydrogenase. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, vol. 5, Sep., 383-388.
Direct 30/30® meter (Markwell Medical) (Catalog).
DuPont[1] Dimension AR® (Catalog), 1998.
Durliat et al. 1976. Spectrophotometric and electrochemical determinations of L(+)-lactate in blood by use of lactate dehydrogenase from yeast, Clin. Chem. 22(11):1802-1805.
Edwards Lifesciences. Accuracy for your and your patients. Marketing materials, 4 pp. 2002.
El Degheidy et al. 1986. Optimization of an implantable coated wire glucose sensor. J. Biomed Eng. 8: 121-129.
El-Khatib et al. 2007. Adaptive closed-loop control provides blood-glucose regulation using dual subcutaneous insulin and glucagon infusion in diabetic swine, Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology, 1(2):181-192.
Fabietti et al. 2007. Clinical validation of a new control-oriented model of insulin and glucose dynamcs in subjects with type 1 diabetes, Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 9(4):327-338.
Fahy et al., An analysis: hyperglycemic intensive care patients need continuous glocuse monitoring—easier said than done, Journal of Diabetese Science and Technology, 2(2):201-204, Mar. 2008.
Fischer et al. 1987. Assessment of subcutaneous glucose concentration: validation of the wick technique as a reference for implanted electrochemical sensors in normal and diabetic dogs, Diabetologia 30:940-945.
Fischer et al. 1989. Oxygen Tension at the Subcutaneous Implantation Site of Glucose Sensors. Biomed. Biochem 11/12:965-972.
Fischer et al. 1995. Hypoglycaemia-warning by means of subcutaneous electrochemical glucose sensors: an animal study, Horm. Metab. Rese. 27:53.
Freedman et al. 1991. Statistics, Second Edition, W.W. Norton & Company, p. 74.
Frohnauer et al. 2001. Graphical human insulin time-activity profiles using standardized definitions. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 3(3):419-429.
Gabbay et al. 2008. Optical coherence tomography-based continuous noninvasive glucose monitoring in patients with diabetes. Diab. Thechnol. & Therapeut., 10:188-193.
Ganesan et al., Gold layer-based dual crosslinking procedure of glucose oxidase with ferrocene monocarboxylic acid provides a stable biosensor, Analytical Biochemistry 343:188-191, 2005.
Ganesh et al., Evaluation of the VIA® blood chemistry monitor for glucose in healthy and diabetic volunteers, Journal of Diabetese Science and Technology, 2(2):182-193, Mar. 2008.
Godsland et al. 2001. Maximizing the Success Rate of Minimal Model Insulin Sensitivity Measurement in Humans: The Importance of Basal Glucose Levels. The Biochemical Society and the Medical Research Society, 1-9.
Gouda et al., Jul. 4, 2003. Thermal inactiviation of glucose oxidase, The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 278(27):24324-24333.
Gough et al. 2003. Frequency characterization of blood glucose dynamics. Annals of Biomedical Engineering 31:91-97.
Hamilton Syringe Selection Guide. 2006. Syringe Selection. www.hamiltoncompany.com.
Hashiguchi et al. (1994). "Development of a miniaturized glucose monitoring system by combining a needle-type glucose sensor with microdialysis sampling method: Long-term subcutaneous tissue glucose monitoring in ambulatory diabetic patients," *Diabetes C.*
Hoel, Paul G. 1976. Elementary Statistics, Fourth Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.. pp. 113-114.
http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary, definition for "aberrant," Aug. 19, 2008, p. 1.
Huang et al. A 0.5mV passive telemetry IC biomedical applications. Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. 4 pp.
Johnson (1991). "Reproducible electrodeposition of biomolecules for the fabrication of miniature electroenzymatic biosensors," *Sensors and Actuators B*, 5:85-89.
Kacaniklic May-Jun. 1994. Electroanalysis, 6(5-6):381-390.
Kaufman et al. 2001. A pilot study of the continuous glucose monitoring system. Diabetes Care 24(12):2030-2034.
Keedy et al. 1991. Determination of urate in undiluted whole blood by enzyme electrode. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 6: 491-499.
Kerner et al. 1988. A potentially implantable enzyme electrode for amperometric measurement of glucose, Horm Metab Res Suppl. 20:8-13.
Klueh et al. 2003. Use of Vascular Endothelia Cell Growth Factor Gene Transfer to Enhance Implantable Sensor Function in Vivo, Biosensor Function and Vegf-Gene Transfer, pp. 1072-1086.
Ko, Wen H. 1985. Implantable Sensors for Closed-Loop Prosthetic Systems, Futura Pub. Co., Inc., Mt. Kisco, NY, Chapter 15:197-210.
Kondo et al. 1982. A miniature glucose sensor, implantable in the blood stream. Diabetes Care. 5(3):218-221.
Kost et al. 1985. Glucose-sensitive membranes containing glucose oxidase: activitiy, swelling, and permeability studies, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research 19:1117-1133.
Koudelka et al. 1989. In vivo response of microfabricated glucose sensors to glycemia changes in normal rats. Biomed Biochim Acta 48(11-12):953-956.
Koudelka et al. 1991. In-vivo behaviour of hypodermically implanted microfabricated glucose sensors. Biosensors & Bioelectronics 6:31-36.
Kulys et al., 1994. Carbon-paste biosensors array for long-term glucose measurement, Biosensors& Beioelectronics, 9:491-500.

Kunjan et al., Automated blood sampling and glocuse sensing in critical care settings, Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology 2(3):194-200, Mar. 2008.
Kurtz et al. 2005. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals, Part 2: Blood pressure measurement in experimental animals, A statement for professionals from the subcommittee of professional and public education of.
Ladd et al., Structure Determination by X-ray Crystallography, 3rd ed. Plenum, 1996, Ch. 1, pp. xxi-xxiv and 1-58.
Lehmann et al. May 1994. Retrospective valication of a physiological model of glucose-iunsulin interaaction in tyhpe 1 diabetes mellitus, Med. Eng. Phys. 16:193-202.
Lewandowski et al. 1988. Evaluation of a miniature blood glucose sensor. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs 34:255-258.
Linke et al. 1994. Amperometric biosensor for in vivo glucose sensing based on glucose oxidase immobilized in a redox hydrogel. Biosensors & Bioelectronics 9:151-158.
Lowe, 1984. Biosensors, Trends in Biotechnology, 2(3):59-65.
Luong et al. 2004. Solubilization of Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes by 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane Towards the Fabrication of Electrochemical Biosensors with Promoted Electron Transfer. Electronanalysis 16(1-2):132-139.
Lyandres et al. (2008). Progress toward an in vivo surface-enhanced raman spectroscopy glucose sensor. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 10(4): 257-265.
Marena et al. 1993. The artifical endocrine pancreas in clinical practice and research. Panminerva Medica 35(2):67-74.
Mascini et al. 1989. Glucose electrochemical probe with extended linearity for whole blood. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 7(12): 1507-1512.
Matsumoto et al. 1998. A micro-planar amperometeric glucose sensor unsusceptible to interference species. Sensors and Actuators B 49:68-72.
Matthews et al. 1988. An amperometric needle-type glucose sensor testing in rats and man. Diabetic Medicine 5:248-252.
Mazze et al. 2008. Characterizing glucose exposure for individuals with normal glucose tolerance using continuous glucose monitoring and ambulatory glucose profile analysis. Diab. Thechnol. & Therapeut., 10:149-159.
Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Definition of "acceleration". http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Acceleration Jan. 11, 2010.
Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Definition of "system". http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/System Jan. 11, 2010.
Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. The term "nominal." http://www.m-w.com/dictionary/nominal.
Meyerhoff et al. 1992. On line continuous monitoring of subcutaneous tissue glucose in men by combining portable glucosensor with microdialysis. Diabetologia 35:1087-1092.
Moatti-Sirat et al. 1992. Evaluating in vitro and in vivo the interference of ascorbate and acetaminophen on glucose detection by a needle-type glucose sensor, Biosensors & Bioelectronics 7:345-352.
Moatti-Sirat et al., Reduction of acetaminophen interference in glucose sensors by a composite Nafion membrane: demonstration in rats and man, Diabetologia 37(6):610-616, Jun. 1994.
Morff et al. 1990. Microfabrication of reproducible, economical, electroenzymatic glucose sensors, Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 12(2):0483-0484.
Mosbach et al. 1975. Determination of heat changes in the proximity of immobilized enzymes with an enzyme termistor and its use for the assay of metobolites, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. (Enzymology), 403:256-265.
Motonaka et al. 1993. Determination of cholesteral and cholesteral ester with novel enzyme microsensors, Anal. Chem. 65:3258-3261.
Muslu. 1991. Trickling filter performance. Apllied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 37:211-224.
Nafion® 117 Solution Product Description, Product No. 70160, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO.
Oxford English Dictionary Online. Definition of "impending". http://www.askoxford.com/results/?view=dev dict&field-12668446 Impending&branch= Jan. 11, 2010.
Peacock et al. 2008. Cardiac troponin and outcome in acute heart failure. N. Engl. J. Med., 358: 2117-2126.
Pfeiffer, E.F. 1990. The glucose sensor: the missing link in diabetes therapy, Horm Metab Res Suppl. 24:154-164.
Pfeiffer et al. 1992. On line continuous monitoring of subcutaneous tissue glucose is feasible by combining portable glucosensor with microdialysis. Horm. Metab. Res. 25:121-124.
Pickup et al. 1989. Potentially-implantable, amperometric glucose sensors with mediated electron transfer: improving the operating stability. Biosensors 4:109-119.
Pickup et al. 1993. Developing glucose sensors for in vivo use. Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd (UK), TIBTECH vol. 11: 285-291.
Pinner et al., Cross-linking of cellulose acetate by ionizing radiation, Nature, vol. 184, 1303-1304, Oct. 24, 1959.
Poitout et al. 1994. Development of a glucose sensor for glucose monitoring in man: the disposable implant concept. Clinical Materials 15:241-246.
Quinn et al. 1995. Kinetics of glucose delivery to subcutaneous tissue in rats measured with 0.3-mm amperometric microsensors. The American Physiological Society E155-E161.
Rabah et al., 1991. Electrochemical wear of graphite anodes during electrolysis of brine, Carbon, 29(2):165-171.
Reach, G. 2001. Which threshold to detect hypoglycemia? Value of receiver-operator curve analysis to find a compromise between sensitivity and specificity. Diabetes Care 24(5):803-804.
Rebrin et al. 1992. Subcutaenous glucose monitoring by means of electrochemical sensors: fiction or reality? J. Biomed. Eng. 14:33-40.
Reusch. 2004. Chemical Reactivity. Organometallic Compounds. Virtual Textbook of Organic Chem. pp. 1-16, http://www.cem.msu.edu/~reusch/VirtualText/orgmetal.htm.
Rigla et al. 2008. Real-time continuous glucose monitoring together with telemedical assitance improves glycemic control and glucose stability in pump-treated patients. Diab. Thechnol. & Therapeut., 10:194-199.
Rivers et al., Central venous oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill patient, Current Opinion in Critical Care, 7:204-211, 2001.
Sakakida et al. 1992. Development of Ferrocene-Mediated Needle-Type Glucose Sensor as a Measure of True Subcutaneous Tissue Glucose Concentrations. Artif. Organs Today 2(2):145-158.
Salardi et al. 2002. The glucose area under the profiles obtained with continuous glucose monitoring system relationships with HbA1c in pediatric type 1 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 25(10):1840-1844.
San Diego Plastics, Inc. 2009. Polyethylene Data Sheet, http://www.sdplastics.com/polyeth.html.
Schmidtke et al., Measurement and modeling of the transient difference between blood and subcutaneous glucose concentrations in the rat after injection of insulin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998, 95, 294-299.
Schoonen et al. 1990 Development of a potentially wearable glucose sensor for patients with diabetes mellitus: design and in-vitro evaluation. Biosensors & Bioelectronics 5:37-46.
Service et al. 1987. Measurements of glucose control. Diabetes Care, 10: 225-237.
Sharkawy et al. 1996. Engineering the tissue which encapsulates subcutaneous implants. I. Diffusion properties, J Biomed Mater Res, 37:401-412.
Shichiri et al. 1983. Glycaemic Control in Pancreatectomized Dogs with a Wearable Artificial Endocrine Pancreas. Diabetologia 24:179-184.
Slater-Maclean et al. 2008. Accuracy of glycemic measurements in the critically ill. Diab. Thechnol. & Therapeut., 10:169-177.
Stern et al., 1957. Electrochemical polarization: 1. A theoretical analysis of the shape of polarization curves, Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 104(1):56-63.
Sumino T. et al. 1998. Preliminary study of continuous glucose monitoring with a microdialysis technique. Proceedings of the IEEE, 20(4):1775-1778.
Takegami et al. 1992. Pervaporation of ethanol water mixtures using novel hydrophobic membranes containing polydimethylsiloxane, Journal of Membrance Science, 75(93-105).
Tatsuma et al. 1991. Oxidase/peroxidase bilayer-modified electrodes as sensors for lactate, pyruvate, cholesteral and uric acid, Analytica Chimica Acta, 242:85-89.

Thome et al. 1995. -Abstract—Can the decrease in subcutaneous glucose concentration precede the decrease in blood glucose level? Proposition for a push-pull kinetics hypothesis, Horm. Metab. Res. 27:53.
Thomé-Duret et al. 1996. Modification of the sensitivity of glucose sensor implanted into subcutaneous tissue. Diabetes Metabolism, 22:174-178.
Torjman et al., Glucose monitoring in acute care: technologies on the horizon, Journal of Deabetes Science and Technology, 2(2):178-181, Mar. 2008.
Tse and Gough. 1987. Time-Dependent Inactivation of Immobilized Glucose Oxidase and Catalase. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 29:705-713.
Turner et al. 1984. Carbon Monoxide: Acceptor Oxidoreductase from Pseudomonas Thermocarboxydovorans Strain C2 and its use in a Carbon Monoxide Sensor. Analytica Chimica Acta, 163: 161-174.
Unger et al. 2004. Glucose control in the hospitalized patient. Emerg Med 36(9):12-18.
Updike et al. 1988. Laboratory Evaluation of New Reusable Blood Glucose Sensor. Diabetes Care, 11:801-807.
Utah Medical Products Inc., Blood Pressure Tranducers product specifications. 6 pp. 2003-2006, 2003.
Vadgama, P. Nov. 1981. Enzyme electrodes as practical biosensors. Journal of Medical Engineering & Technology 5(6):293-298.
Vadgama. 1988. Diffusion limited enzyme electrodes. NATO ASI Series: Series C, Math and Phys. Sci. 226:359-377.
Van den Berghe 2004. Tight blood glucose control with insulin in "real-life" intensive care. Mayo Clin Proc 79(8):977-978.
Wikipedia 2006. "Intravenous therapy," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intravenous_therapy, Aug. 15, 2006, 6 pp.
Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary. 2004. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 141, 142, 548, 549.
Wilkins et al. 1988. The coated wire electrode glucose sensor, Horm Metab Res Suppl., 20:50-55.
Woodward. 1982. How Fibroblasts and Giant Cells Encapsulate Implants: Considerations in Design of Glucose Sensor. Diabetes Care 5:278-281.
Worsley et al., Measurement of glucose in blood with a phenylboronic acid optical sensor, Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology, 2(2):213-220, Mar. 2008.
Wright et al., Bioelectrochemical dehalogenations via direct electrochemistry of poly(ethylene oxide)-modified myoglobin, Electrochemistry Communications 1 (1999) 603-611.
Yamasaki, Yoshimitsu. Sep. 1984. The development of a needle-type glucose sensor for wearable artificial endocrine pancreas. Medical Journal of Osaka University 35(1-2):25-34.
Yamasaki et al. 1989. Direct measurement of whole blood glucose by a needle-type sensor. Clinica Chimica Acta. 93:93-98.
Yang et al (1996). "A glucose biosensor based on an oxygen electrode: In-vitro performances in a model buffer solution and in blood plasma," Biomedical Instrumentation & Technology, 30:55-61.
Yang, et al. 2004. A Comparison of Physical Properties and Fuel Cell Performance of Nafion and Zirconium Phosphate/Nafion Composite Membranes. Journal of Membrane Science 237:145-161.
Ye et al. 1993. High Current Density 'Wired' Quinoprotein Glucose Dehydrogenase Electrode. Anal. Chem. 65:238-241.
Zamzow et al. Development and evaluation of a wearable blood glucose monitor. pp. M588-M591, 1990.
Zethelius et al. 2008. Use of multiple biomarkers to improve the prediction of death from cardiovascular causes. N. Engl. J. Med., 358: 2107-2116.
Zhang et al (1993). Electrochemical oxidation of H_2O_2 on Pt and Pt + Ir electrodes in physiological buffer and its applicability to H_2O_2-based biosensors. *J. Electroanal. Chem*., 345:253-271.
Zhang et al. 1993. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of oxygen effects on a glucose oxidase based implantable glucose sensor. Analytica Chimica Acta, 281:513-520.
Zhu et al. (1994). "Fabrication and characterization of glucose sensors based on a microarray H_2O_2 electrode." *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 9: 295-300.
Office Action dated Jul. 30, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/102,654.
Office Action dated Nov. 9, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/038,340.
Office Action mailed Jun. 5, 2008 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,909.
Office Action mailed Mar. 16, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 10/838,909.
Office Action dated Aug. 25, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/334,876.
Office Action dated Oct. 29, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,819.
Bard et al. 1980. Electrochemical Methods. John Wiley & Sons, pp. 173-175.
Brunstein et al. 1989. Preparation and validation of implantable electrodes for the measurement of oxygen and glucose. Biomed Biochim. Acta 48(11/12):911-917.
Cameron et al. 1997. Micromodular Implants to provide electrical stimulation of paralyzed muscles and limbs. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 44(9):781-790.
Clarke et al. Sep.-Oct. 1987. Evaluating Clinical Accuracy of Systems for Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose. Diabetes Care 10(5):622-628.
Currie et al., Novel non-intrusive trans-dermal remote wireless micro-fluidic monitoring systme applied to continuous glucose and lactate assays for casualty care and combat readiness assessment, RTO HFM Symposium, St. Pete Beach, RTO-MP-HFM-109, Aug. 1.
Deutsch et al., "Time series analysis and control of blood glucose levels in diabetic patients". Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 41 (1994) 167-182.
Jeong et al. 2003. In vivo calibration of the subcutaneous amperometric glucose sensors using a non-enzyme electrode. Biosensors and Bioelectronics 19:313-319.
Jeutter et al. 1993. Design of a radio-linked implantable cochlear prosthesis using surface acoustic wave devices. IEEE Transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics and frequency control 40(5):469-477.
Joung et al. 1998. An energy transmission system for an artificial heart using leakage inductance compensation of transcutaneous transformer. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 13(6):1013-1022.
Kovatchev et al. Aug. 2004. Evaluating the accuracy of continuous glucose-monitoring sensors: continuous glucose-error grid analysis illustrated by TheraSense Freestyle Navigator data. Diabetes Care 27(8):1922-1928.
Matsuki. 1994. Energy transfer system utilizing amorphous wires for implantable medical devices. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 31(2):1276-1282.
Miller et al. 1993. Development of an autotuned transcutaneous energy transfer system ASAIO Journal 39:M706-M710.
Philips. 1995. A high capacity transcutaneous energy transmission system. ASAIO Journal 41:M259-M262.
Pickup et al. 1993. Responses and Calibration of Amperometric Glucose Sensors Implanted in the Subcutaneous Tissue of Man. ACTA Diabetol, pp. 143-148.
Schmidt et al. 1992. Calibration of a wearable glucose sensor. The International Journal of Artificial Organs 15(1):55-61.
Smith et al. 1998. An externally powered, multichannel, implantable stimulator-telemeter for control of paralyzed muscle. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 45(4):463-475.
Sparacino et al., 2008. Continuous glucose monitoring time series and hypo/hyperglycemia prevention: requirements, methods, open problems, Current Diabetes Reviews, 4:181-192.
Ziaie et al. 1997. A single-channel implantable microstimulator for functional neuromuscular stimulation. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 44(10):909-920.
Office Action dated Mar. 10, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 12/102,654.
Office Action dated Dec. 29, 2009 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,739.
Office Action dated Mar. 1, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,739.
Office Action dated Feb. 3, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/077,765.
Office Action dated Jan. 21, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/157,365.
Office Action dated Apr. 7, 2010 in U.S. Appl. No. 11/360,819.
Adilman, Glenn, Videogames: Knowing the Score, Creative Computing, V9, p. 224(5), Dec. 1983, Dialog: File 148, Acc# 01891055.
Brunstein et al. 1989. Preparation and validation of implantable electrodes for the measurement of oxygen and glucose. Biomed Biochim. Acta 48(11/12):911-917.
Cameron et al. 1997. Micromodular Implants to provide electrical stimulation of paralyzed muscles and limbs. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 44(9):781-790.
Clarke et al. Sep.-Oct. 1987. Evaluating Clinical Accuracy of Systems for Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose. Diabetes Care 10(5):622-628.

Currie et al., Novel non-intrusive trans-dermal remote wireless micro-fluidic monitoring systme applied to continuous glucose and lactate assays for casualty care and combat readiness assessment, RTO HFM Symposium, St. Pete Beach, RTO-MP-HFM-109, Aug. 16-18, 2004, 18 pp.
Davies, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. I. An overview of membrane function, Biomaterials, 13(14):971-978.
Deutsch et al., "Time series analysis and control of blood glucose levels in diabetic patients". Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 41 (1994) 167-182.
Diabetes Educational Video Game Recognized by Software Publishers Association, Press Release, Novo Nordisk, Mar. 14, 1994.
Freiberger, Paul, Video Game Takes on Diabetes Superhero 'Captain Novolin' Offers Treatment Tips, San Francisco Examiner, Jun. 26, 1992, Fourth Edition, Business Sec. B1.
Hoel, Paul G. 1976. Elementary Statistics, Fourth Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.. pp. 113-114.
Jaffari et al. 1995. Recent advances in amperometric glucose biosensors for in vivo monitoring, Physiol. Meas. 16: 1-15.
Jeong et al. 2003. In vivo calibration of the subcutaneous amperometric glucose sensors using a non-enzyme electrode. Biosensors and Bioelectronics 19:313-319.
Jeutter et al. 1993. Design of a radio-linked implantable cochlear prosthesis using surface acoustic wave devices. IEEE Transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics and frequency control 40(5):469-477.
Joung et al. 1998. An energy transmission system for an artificial heart using leakage inductance compensation of transcutaneous transformer. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 13(6):1013-1022.
Kovatchev et al. Aug. 2004. Evaluating the accuracy of continuous glucose-monitoring sensors: continuous glucose-error grid analysis illustrated by TheraSense Freestyle Navigator data. Diabetes Care 27(8):1922-1928.
Matsuki. 1994. Energy transfer system utilizing amorphous wires for implantable medical devices. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 31(2):1276-1282.
Mazzola et al., Video Diabetes: A Teaching Tool for Children with Insulin-Dependent Diabetes, Proceedings—7th Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care; Washington, D.C.; Dialog:, (Oct. 1983), File 8, Acc# 01624462.
Miller et al. 1993. Development of an autotuned transcutaneous energy transfer system ASAIO Journal 39:M706-M710.
Murphy, et al. 1992. Polymer membranes in clinical sensor applications. II. The design and fabrication of permselective hydrogels for electrochemical devices, Biomaterials, 13(14):979-990.
Nintendo Healthcare, Wired, Dec. 1993.
Philips. 1995. A high capacity transcutaneous energy transmission system. ASAIO Journal 41:M259-M262.
Raya Systems Pioneers Healthy Video Games, PlayRight, Nov. 1993 (pp. 14-15).
Schmidt et al. 1992. Calibration of a wearable glucose sensor. The International Journal of Artificial Organs 15(1):55-61.
Smith et al. 1998. An externally powered, multichannel, implantable stimulator-telemeter for control of paralyzed muscle. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 45(4):463-475.
Sparacino et al., 2008. Continuous glucose monitoring time series and hypo/hyperglycemia prevention: requirements, methods, open problems, Current Diabetes Reviews, 4:181-192.
Sriyudthsak et al. 1996. Enzyme-epoxy membrane based glucose analyzing system and medical applications. Biosens Bioelectron 11:735-742.
Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary. 2004. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 141, 142, 548, 549.
Ye et al. 1993. High Current Density 'Wired' Quinoprotein Glucose Dehydrogenase Electrode. Anal. Chem. 65:238-241.
Ziaie et al. 1997. A single-channel implantable microstimulator for functional neuromuscular stimulation. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 44(10):909-920.
Bott, A. W. 1997. A Comparison of Cyclic Voltammetry and Cyclic Staircase Voltammetry Current Separations 16:1, 23-26.
Jobst et al., (1996) Thin-Film Microbiosensors for Glucose-Lactate Monitoring, Anal Chem. 8(18): 3173-3179.
Moussy et al. 1993. Performance of subcutaneously implanted needle-type glucose sensors employing a novel trilayer coating, Anal Chem. 85: 2072-2077.
Moussy, Francis (Nov. 2002) Implantable Glucose Sensor: Progress and Problems, Sensors, 1:270-273.
Samuels, M.P. 2004. The effects of flight and altitude. Arch Dis Child. 89: 448-455.
Thijssen et al. 1984. A Kalman Filter for Calibration, Evaluation of Unknown Samples and Quality Control in Drifting Systems, Part 1. Theory and Simulations, Anal Chim Acta 156: 87-101.
Thijssen et al. 1985. A Kalman Filter for Calibration, Evaluation of Unknown Samples and Quality Control in Drifting Systems, Part 3. Variance Reduction, Anal Chim Acta. 173: 265-272.
Thijssen et al. 1985. A Kalman Filter for Calibration, Evaluation of Unknown Samples and Quality Control in Drifting Systems, Part 4. Flow Injection Analysis, Anal Chim Acta. 174: 27-40.
Thijssen, P.C. 1984. A Kalman Filder for Calibration, Evaluation of Unknown Samples and Quality Control in Drifting Systems, Part 2. Optimal Designs, Anal Chim Acta. 162: 253-262.
Ward et al. 2004. A wire-based dual-analyte sensor for Glucose and Lactate: in Vitro and in Vivo Evaluation, Diab Tech Therapeut. 6(3): 389-401.
Electronic File History of Inter Partes Reexamination Control No. 95/001,039, filed Apr. 17, 2008 containing Office Action(s) dated May 29, 2008, Aug. 14, 2009, and Dec. 24, 2009 and May 3, 2011, 3rd Party/Requester Submissions dated Aug. 27, 2008, Nov. 13, 2009, Feb. 4, 2010, and May 3, 2010 and Applicant Respons(es) filed Jul. 29, 2008, Oct. 14, 2009, Jan. 21, 2010, Apr. 2, 2010 and May 5, 2010 as of May 3, 2011.
Electronic File History of Inter Partes Reexamination Control No. 95/001,038, filed Apr. 17, 2008 containing Office Action(s) dated Apr. 25, 2008, Jun. 17, 2008, Feb. 14, 2009, Jun. 19, 2009, Mar. 18, 2010, May 28, 2010, Aug. 13, 2010, Sep. 3, 2010, Sep. 17, 2010 and May 4, 2011, and Applicant(s) Responses filed Aug. 18, 2008, Oct. 19, 2009, Apr. 16, 2010, Jul. 28, 2010 and Third Party/Appellant Submissions of Sep. 16, 2008, Jul. 17, 2009, Sep. 17, 2009, Jun. 28, 2010, Oct. 8, 2010 as of May 5, 2011.
US 7,530,950, 05/2009, Brister et al. (withdrawn)

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1



20
POTENTIOSTAT
22
21
A/D CONVERTER
MICROPROCESSOR
23
24
EEPROM
SRAM
25
BATTERY
RF
TRANSCEIVER
27
26
CRYSTAL
28
CRYSTAL

FIG. 2



8000
7500
7000
SENSOR DATA (counts)
6500
6000
5500
2300
2400
2500
2600
TIME (minutes)

FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B



40
9:05 AM
12:05 PM
44
147
282
mg/dl
42

FIG. 4C



40
3:05 AM
12:05 PM
44
248
282
mg/dl
42

FIG. 4D



50
CRYSTAL
51
RF TRANSCEIVER
52
MICROPROCESSOR
53
EEPROM
54
SRAM
55
BATTERY
56
CRYSTAL
57
USER INTERFACE
KEYBOARD
SPEAKER
VIBRATOR
BACKLIGHT
LCD
BUTTONS
58
COM PORT (PC)
59
COM PORT (REFERENCE ANALYTE MONITOR)

FIG. 5



INITIAL CALIBRATION
61
RECEIVE SENSOR DATA FROM CONTINUOUS ANALYTE SENSOR
62
RECEIVE REFERENCE DATA FROM REFERENCE ANALYTE SOURCE
63
MATCH TIME CORRESPONDING SENSOR DATA AND REFERENCE DATA TO PROVIDE MATCHED DATA PAIRS
64
FORM A CALIBRATION SET FROM MATCHED PAIR(S)
65
EVALUATE STABILITY OF CONTINUOUS ANALYTE SENSOR OVER A PERIOD OF TIME
66
STABLE?
NO
YES
67
CALCULATE CONVERSION FUNCTION USING CALIBRATION SET
68
CONVERT SENSOR DATA USING CONVERSION FUNCTION
69
PROVIDE OUTPUT TO USER

FIG. 6



76
72
76
RAW SENSOR
DATA (counts)
74
REFERENCE ANALYTE VALUE (mg/dL)

FIG. 7



EVALUATE CLINICAL ACCEPTABILITY OF REFERENCE AND SENSOR DATA
81
RECEIVE REFERENCE DATA FROM REFERENCE ANALYTE SOURCE
82
EVALUATE CLINICAL ACCEPTABILITY OF REFERENCE DATA TO SUBSTANTIALLY TIME CORRESPONDING SENSOR DATA
83
CLINICALLY ACCEPTABLE?
YES
NO
84
OPTIONALLY RE-CALCULATE CONVERSION FUNCTION USING NEW REFERENCE DATA (FIG. 10)
85
CONTINUOUSLY CONVERT SENSOR DATA USING CONVERSION FUNCTION
86
CONTROL USER INTERFACE BASED ON CLINICAL ACCEPTABILITY

FIG. 8



94
92
540
450
ESTIMATED GLUCOSE SENSOR (mg/dL)
180
90
0
C
A
E
B
D
B
B
D
E
0
90
180
270
360
450
540
REFERENCE GLUCOSE VALUE (mg/dL)

FIG. 9



EVALUATE CALIBRATION SET FOR BEST CALIBRATION BASED ON INCLUSION CRITERIA
101
RECEIVE NEW REFERENCE DATA FROM REFERENCE ANALYTE SOURCE
102
MATCH TIME CORRESPONDING SENSOR DATA TO UPDATED REFERENCE DATA TO PROVIDE NEW MATCHED DATA PAIR
103
EVALUATE CALIBRATION SET WITH NEW MATCHED DATA PAIR BASED ON INCLUSION CRITERIA
104
UPDATE CALIBRATION SET?
NO
YES
105
UPDATE CONVERSION FUNCTION USING NEW CALIBRATION SET BASED ON RESULTS OF EVALUATION
106
CONVERT SENSOR DATA USING UPDATED CONVERSION FUNCTION

FIG. 10



EVALUATE QUALITY OF CALIBRATION SET DATA ASSOCIATION
111
RECEIVE SENSOR DATA FROM CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE SENSOR
112
RECEIVE REFERENCE DATA FROM REFERENCE GLUCOSE SOURCE
113
MATCH TIME CORRESPONDING SENSOR DATA AND REFERENCE DATA TO PROVIDE MATCHED DATA PAIRS
114
FORM CALIBRATION SET USING MATCHED DATA PAIR(S)
115
CALCULATE CONVERSION FUNCTION USING CALIBRATION SET
116
CONVERT SENSOR DATA USING CONVERSION FUNCTION
117
EVALUATE THE QUALITY OF CALIBRATION SET DATA ASSOCIATION
118
CONTROL USER INTERFACE BASED ON QUALITY OF DATA ASSOCIATION

FIG. 11




FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B

SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING ANALYTE SENSOR DATA

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to systems and methods for analyte sensor data processing. Particularly, the present invention relates to retrospectively and/or prospectively initiating a calibration, converting sensor data, updating the calibration, evaluating received reference and sensor data, and evaluating the calibration for the analyte sensor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Diabetes mellitus is a disorder in which the pancreas cannot create sufficient insulin (Type I or insulin dependent) and/or in which insulin is not effective (Type 2 or non-insulin dependent). In the diabetic state, the victim suffers from high blood sugar, which may cause an array of physiological derangements (e.g., kidney failure, skin ulcers, or bleeding into the vitreous of the eye) associated with the deterioration of small blood vessels. A hypoglycemic reaction (low blood sugar) may be induced by an inadvertent overdose of insulin, or after a normal dose of insulin or glucose-lowering agent accompanied by extraordinary exercise or insufficient food intake.

Conventionally, a diabetic person carries a self-monitoring blood glucose (SMBG) monitor, which typically comprises uncomfortable finger pricking methods. Due to the lack of comfort and convenience, a diabetic will normally only measure his or her glucose level two to four times per day. Unfortunately, these time intervals are so far spread apart that the diabetic will likely find out too late, sometimes incurring dangerous side effects, of a hyper- or hypo-glycemic condition. In fact, it is not only unlikely that a diabetic will take a timely SMBG value, but the diabetic will not know if their blood glucose value is going up (higher) or down (lower) based on conventional methods, inhibiting their ability to make educated insulin therapy decisions.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Systems and methods are needed that accurately provide estimated glucose measurements to a diabetic patient continuously and/or in real time so that they may proactively care for their condition to safely avoid hyper- and hypo-glycemic conditions. Real time and retrospective estimated glucose measurements require reliable data processing in order to provide accurate and useful output to a patient and/or doctor.

Similarly, systems and methods are needed that accurately provide substantially continuous estimated analyte measurements for a variety of known analytes (e.g., oxygen, salts, protein, and vitamins) to provide prospective and/or retrospective data analysis and output to a user.

Accordingly, systems and methods are provided for retrospectively and/or prospectively calibrating a sensor, initializing a sensor, converting sensor data into calibrated data, updating and maintaining a calibration over time, evaluating received reference and sensor data for clinical acceptability, and evaluating the calibration statistical acceptability, to ensure accurate and safe data output to a patient and/or doctor.

In a first embodiment a method is provided for initializing a substantially continuous analyte sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; and determining a stability of the continuous analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of determining the stability of the substantially continuous analyte sensor includes waiting a predetermined time period between about one minute and about six weeks.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of determining the stability of the substantially continuous analyte sensor includes evaluating at least two matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of determining the stability of the substantially continuous analyte sensor includes evaluating one of pH, oxygen, hypochlorite, interfering species, correlation of matched pairs, R-value, baseline drift, baseline offset, and amplitude.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the method further includes providing one of an audible, visual, or tactile output to a user based on the stability of the sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of providing output based on the stability of the sensor includes indicating at least one of a numeric estimated analyte value, a directional trend of analyte concentration, and a graphical representation of an estimated analyte value.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from a substantially continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes receiving reference data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor includes receiving within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set includes evaluating at least one matched data pair using inclusion criteria.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes algorithmically smoothing the received sensor data.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set includes including in the calibration set between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set includes including six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set further includes determining a value for n, where n is greater than one and represents the number of matched data pairs in the calibration set.

In an aspect of the first embodiment, the step of determining a value for n is determined as a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In a second embodiment, a system is provided for initializing a continuous analyte sensor, including: a sensor data module operatively connected to a continuous analyte sensor that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from the analyte sensor; a reference input module adapted to obtain reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; a processor module that forms one or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data and subsequently forms a calibration set including the one or more matched data pairs; and a start-up module associated with the processor module programmed to determine the stability of the continuous analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the sensor data module is adapted to wirelessly receive sensor data points from the sensor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the start-up module is programmed to wait a predetermined time period between six hours and six weeks.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the start-up module is programmed to evaluate at least two matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the start-up module is programmed to evaluate one of pH, oxygen, hypochlorite, interfering species, correlation of matched pairs, R-value, baseline drift, baseline offset, and amplitude.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the system further includes an output control module associated with the processor module and programmed to control output of sensor data.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the output control module indicates at least one of a numeric estimated analyte value, a directional trend of analyte concentration, and a graphical representation of an estimated analyte value.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the sensor data module is configured to receive sensor data from substantially the continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the sensor data module is configured to receive sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the sensor data module is configured to receive sensor data from subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the reference input module is configured to receive reference data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the reference input module is configured to download reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the reference input module is configured to download reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the system further includes a reference analyte monitor integral with the system and wherein the reference input module is configured to receive an internal communication from the reference analyte monitor.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the processor module includes programming to evaluate at least one matched data pair using inclusion criteria.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the reference input module is configured to receive sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the reference input module is configured to algorithmically smooth the received sensor data.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the calibration set includes between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the calibration set includes six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, the calibration set includes n matched data pairs, where n is greater than one.

In an aspect of the second embodiment, n is a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In a third embodiment, a computer system is provided for initializing a continuous analyte sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data receiving module that receives sensor data from the substantially continuous analyte sensor via a receiver, including one or more sensor data points; a reference data receiving module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; a data matching module that forms one or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; a calibration set module that forms a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; and a stability determination module that determines the stability of the continuous analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the stability determination module includes a system for waiting a predetermined time period.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the stability determination module evaluates at least two matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the stability determination module evaluates one of pH, oxygen, hypochlorite, interfering species, correlation of matched pairs, R-value, baseline drift, baseline offset, and amplitude.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the computer system further includes an interface control module that provides output to the user based on the stability of the sensor.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the output from the interface control module includes at least one of a numeric estimated analyte value, an indication of directional trend of analyte concentration, and a graphical representation of an estimated analyte value.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from a substantially continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from a subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to download reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive reference data from an internal reference analyte monitor that is housed integrally the computer system.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the calibration set module evaluates at least one matched data pair using inclusion criteria.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the sensor data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the computer system further includes a data smoothing module that smoothes the received sensor data.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the calibration set module includes between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the calibration set module includes six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, the calibration set includes n number of matched data pairs, where n is greater than one.

In an aspect of the third embodiment, n is a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In a fourth embodiment, method is provided for initializing a substantially continuous analyte sensor, the method including: receiving sensor data from a substantially continuous analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; forming one or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; determining stability of continuous analyte sensor; and outputting information reflective of the sensor data once a predetermined level of stability has been determined.

In a fifth embodiment, a system is provided for initializing a continuous analyte sensor, including: a sensor data module operatively linked to a continuous analyte sensor and configured to receive one or more sensor data points from the sensor; a reference input module adapted to obtain one or more reference data points; and a processor module associated with the sensor data module and the input module and programmed to match reference data points with time-matched sensor data points to form a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; and a start-up module associated with the processor module programmed to determine the stability of the continuous analyte sensor and output information reflective of the sensor data once a predetermined level of stability has been determined.

In a sixth embodiment, a computer system is provided for initializing a continuous analyte sensor, the system including: a sensor data receiving module that receives sensor data including one or more sensor data points from the substantially continuous analyte sensor via a receiver; a reference data receiving module for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; a data matching module for forming one or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; a calibration set module for forming a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; a stability determination module for evaluating the stability of the continuous analyte sensor; and an interface control module that outputs information reflective of the sensor data once a predetermined level of stability has been determined.

In a seventh embodiment, a method for initializing a glucose sensor, the method including: receiving sensor data from the glucose sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference glucose monitor, including one or more reference data points; forming one or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; determining whether the glucose sensor has reached a predetermined level of stability.

In an eighth embodiment, a system is provided for initializing a continuous analyte sensor, including: a sensor data module operatively linked to a continuous analyte sensor and configured to receive one or more sensor data points from the sensor; a reference input module adapted to obtain one or more reference data points; and a processor module associated with the sensor data module and the input module and programmed to match reference data points with time-matched sensor data points to form a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; and a stability module associated with the processor module programmed to determine the stability of the continuous analyte sensor.

In a ninth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the method further includes providing an output through a user interface responsive to the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the step of providing an output includes alerting the user based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the step of providing an output includes altering the user interface based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the step of altering the user interface includes at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the step of evaluating the clinical acceptability includes using one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, a consensus grid, and a standard clinical acceptance test.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the method further includes requesting additional reference data if the clinical acceptability evaluation determines clinical unacceptability.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the method further includes repeating the clinical acceptability evaluation step for the additional reference data.

In an aspect of the ninth embodiment, the method further includes a step of matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data to form a matched pair after the clinical acceptability evaluation step.

In a tenth embodiment, a system is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the method including: means for receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, a plurality of time-spaced sensor data points; means for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and means for evaluating the clinical acceptability of at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk

associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the system further includes means for providing an output based through a user interface responsive to the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes means for alerting the user based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes means for altering the user interface based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the means for altering the user interface includes at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the means for evaluating the clinical acceptability includes using one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, a consensus grid, and a standard clinical acceptance test.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the system further includes means for requesting additional reference data if the clinical acceptability evaluation determines clinical unacceptability.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the system further includes means for repeated the clinical acceptability evaluation for the additional reference data.

In an aspect of the tenth embodiment, the system further includes means for matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data to form a matched data pair after the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an eleventh embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the computer system including: a sensor data receiving module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference data receiving module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and a clinical acceptability evaluation module that evaluates at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the computer system further includes an interface control module that controls the user interface based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the interface control module alerts the user based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the interface control module alters the user interface based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the interface control module alters the user interface by providing at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the clinical acceptability evaluation module uses one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, a consensus grid, and a standard clinical acceptance test to evaluate clinical acceptability.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the interface control module that requests additional reference data if the clinical acceptability evaluation determines clinical unacceptability.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the interface control module evaluates the additional reference data using clinical acceptability evaluation module.

In an aspect of the eleventh embodiment, the computer system further includes a data matching module that matches clinically acceptable reference data to substantially time corresponding clinically acceptable sensor data to form a matched pair.

In a twelfth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data; and providing an output through a user interface responsive to the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an thirteenth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, including using one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, and a consensus grid.

In an fourteenth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; a clinical module that evaluates at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data; and an interface control module that controls the user interface based on the clinical acceptability evaluation.

In an fifteenth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and a clinical module that evaluates at least one of the reference and sensor

analyte data with substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the clinical module uses one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, a consensus grid, and a standard clinical acceptance test to evaluate clinical acceptability.

In an sixteenth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor via a receiver; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points; and a clinical module that uses a Clarke Error Grid to evaluate the clinical acceptability at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data; and a fail-safe module that controls the user interface responsive to the clinical module evaluating clinical unacceptability.

In an seventeenth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor glucose data, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference glucose monitor, including one or more reference data points; evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of the reference and sensor glucose data using substantially time corresponding reference and sensor data, wherein the at least one of the reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of the sensor and reference data; and a fail-safe module that controls the user interface responsive to the clinical module evaluating clinical unacceptability.

In an eighteenth embodiment, a method is provided for maintaining calibration of a substantially continuous analyte sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; subsequently obtaining one or more additional reference data points and creating one or more new matched data pairs; evaluating the calibration set when the new matched data pair is created, wherein evaluating the calibration set includes at least one of 1) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set span a predetermined time range, 2) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set are no older than a predetermined value, 3) ensuring the calibration set has substantially distributed high and low matched data pairs over the predetermined time range, and 4) allowing matched data pairs only within a predetermined range of analyte values; and subsequently modifying the calibration set if such modification is required by the evaluation.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of evaluating the calibration set further includes at least one of evaluating a rate of change of the analyte concentration, evaluating a congruence of respective sensor and reference data in the matched data pairs, and evaluating physiological changes.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of evaluating the calibration set includes evaluating only the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of evaluating the calibration set includes evaluating all of the matched data pairs in the calibration set and the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of evaluating the calibration set includes evaluating combinations of matched data pairs from the calibration set and the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving a data stream from a long-term implantable analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving a data stream that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data stream includes algorithmically smoothing the data stream.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor includes receiving within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the reference analyte monitor includes self-monitoring of blood analyte.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of creating a conversion function includes linear regression.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of creating a conversion function includes non-linear regression.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set includes including in the calibration set between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set includes including six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of forming a calibration set further includes determining a value for n, where n is greater than one and represents the number of matched data pairs in the calibration set.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the step of determining a value for n is determined as a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the method further includes determining a set of matching data pairs from the evaluation of the calibration set and re-forming a calibration set.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the method further includes repeating the step of re-creating the conversion function using the re-formed calibration set.

In an aspect of the eighteenth embodiment, the method further includes converting sensor data into calibrated data using the re-created conversion function.

In a nineteenth embodiment, a system is provided for maintaining calibration of a substantially continuous analyte sensor, the system including: means for receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, a plurality of time-spaced sensor data points; means for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points;

means for providing two or more matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; means for forming a calibration set including at least two matched data pair; means for creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; means for converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; subsequently obtaining one or more additional reference data points and creating one or more new matched data pairs; means for evaluating the calibration set when the new matched data pair is created, wherein evaluating the calibration set includes at least one of 1) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set span a predetermined time range, 2) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set are no older than a predetermined value, 3) ensuring the calibration set has substantially distributed high and low matched data pairs over the predetermined time range, and 4) allowing matched data pairs only within a predetermined range of analyte values; and means for modifying the calibration set if such modification is required by the evaluation.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for evaluating the calibration set further includes at least one of means for evaluating a rate of change of the analyte concentration, means for evaluating a congruence of respective sensor and reference data in matched data pairs; and means for evaluating physiological changes.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for evaluating the calibration set includes means for evaluating only the one or more new matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for evaluating the calibration set includes means for evaluating all of the matched data pairs in the calibration set and the one or more new matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for evaluating the calibration set includes means for evaluating combinations of matched data pairs from the calibration set and the one or more new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data from a long-term implantable analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for algorithmically smoothing the receiving sensor data.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for downloading reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor includes means for receiving within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for receiving from a self-monitoring of blood analyte.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for creating a conversion function includes means for performing linear regression.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for creating a conversion function includes means for performing non-linear regression.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for forming a calibration set includes including in the calibration set between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for forming a calibration set includes including in the calibration set six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for forming a calibration set further includes determining a value for n, where n is greater than one and represents the number of matched data pairs in the calibration set.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the means for determining a value for n is determined as a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the system further includes means for determining a set of matching data pairs from the evaluation of the calibration set and re-forming a calibration set.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the system further includes the means for repeating the set of creating the conversion function using the re-formed calibration set.

In an aspect of the nineteenth embodiment, the system further includes means for converting sensor data into calibrated data using the re-created conversion function.

In a twentieth embodiment, a computer system is provided for maintaining calibration of a substantially continuous analyte sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data receiving module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference data receiving module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; a data matching module that forms two or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; a calibration set module that forms a calibration set including at least two matched data pairs; a conversion function module that creates a conversion function using the calibration set; a sensor data transformation module that converts sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; and a calibration evaluation module that evaluates the calibration set when the new matched data pair is provided, wherein evaluating the calibration set includes at least one of 1) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set span a predetermined time period, 2) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set are no older than a predetermined value, 3) ensuring the calibration set has substantially distributed high and low matched data pairs over a predetermined time range, and 4) allowing matched data pairs only within a predetermined range of analyte values, wherein the conversion function module is programmed to re-create the conversion function of such modification is required by the calibration evaluation module.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the evaluation calibration module further evaluates at least one of a rate of change of the analyte concentration, a congruence of respective sensor and reference data in matched data pairs; and physiological changes.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the evaluation calibration module evaluates only the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the evaluation calibration module evaluates all of the matched data pairs in the calibration set and the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the evaluation calibration module evaluates combinations of matched data pairs from the calibration set and the new matched data pair.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module receives the data stream from a long-term implantable analyte sensor.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module receives an algorithmically smoothed data stream.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module includes programming to smooth the data stream.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the reference data receiving module downloads reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the reference data receiving module downloads reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the reference data receiving module receives within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the reference data receiving module receives reference data from a self-monitoring of blood analyte.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the conversion function module includes programming that performs linear regression.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the conversion function module includes programming that performs non-linear regression.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the calibration set module includes in the calibration set between one and six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the calibration set module includes in the calibration set six matched data pairs.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the calibration set module further includes programming for determining a value for n, where n is greater than one and represents the number of matched data pairs in the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the programming for determining a value for n determines n as a function of the frequency of the received reference data points and signal strength over time.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, data matching module further includes programming to re-form the calibration set based on the calibration evaluation.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the conversion function module further includes programming to re-create the conversion function based on the re-formed calibration set.

In an aspect of the twentieth embodiment, the sensor data transformation module further including programming for converting sensor data into calibrated using the re-created conversion function.

In a twenty-first embodiment, a method is provided for maintaining calibration of a glucose sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; subsequently obtaining one or more additional reference data points and creating one or more new matched data pairs; and evaluating the calibration set when the new matched data pair is created, wherein evaluating the calibration set includes at least one of 1) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set span a predetermined time range, 2) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set are no older than a predetermined value, 3) ensuring the calibration set has substantially distributed high and low matched data pairs over the predetermined time range, and 4) allowing matched data pairs only within a predetermined range of analyte values.

In a twenty-second embodiment, a computer system is provided for maintaining calibration of a glucose sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; a processor module that forms two or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data and subsequently forms a calibration set including the two or more matched data pairs; and a calibration evaluation module that evaluates the calibration set when the new matched data pair is provided, wherein evaluating the calibration set includes at least one of 1) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set span a predetermined time period, 2) ensuring matched data pairs in the calibration set are no older than a predetermined value, 3) ensuring the calibration set has substantially distributed high and low matched data pairs over a predetermined time range, and 4) allowing matched data pairs only within a predetermined range of analyte values, wherein the conversion function module is programmed to re-create the conversion function of such modification is required by the calibration evaluation module.

In a twenty-third embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; receiving additional sensor data from the analyte sensor; converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; and evaluating the quality of the calibration set using a data association function.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving a data stream that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes algorithmically smoothing the data stream.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from a substantially continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving sensor data includes receiving sensor data from a subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes receiving reference data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving reference data includes downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor includes receiving within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function includes performing one of linear regression, non-linear regression, rank correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation, and mean absolute relative difference.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function includes performing linear least squares regression.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function includes setting a threshold of data association.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on data association includes performing linear least squares regression and wherein the step of setting a threshold hold includes an R-value threshold of 0.79.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the method further includes providing an output to a user interface responsive to the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of providing an output includes displaying analyte values to a user dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of providing an output includes alerting the dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of providing an output includes altering the user interface dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-third embodiment, the step of providing an output includes at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In a twenty-fourth embodiment, a system is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the system including: means for receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, a plurality of time-spaced sensor data points; means for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; means for providing two or more matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; means for forming a calibration set including at least two matched data pair; means for creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; means for converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; means for evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for algorithmically smoothing the receiving sensor data.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data from substantially continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving sensor data includes means for receiving sensor data from subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for receiving reference data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for downloading reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data includes means for downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor includes means for receiving within a receiver internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for evaluating the quality of the calibration set includes means for performing one of linear regression, non-linear regression, rank correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation, and mean absolute relative difference.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for evaluating the quality of the calibration set includes means for performing linear least squares regression.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for evaluating the quality of the calibration set includes means for setting a threshold of data association.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for evaluating the quality of the calibration set includes means for performing linear least squares regression and wherein the means for setting a threshold hold includes an R-value threshold of 0.71.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the system further includes means for providing an output to a user interface responsive to the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes means for displaying analyte values to a user dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes means for alerting the dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes means for altering the user interface dependent upon the quality of the calibration.

In an aspect of the twenty-fourth embodiment, the means for providing an output includes at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In a twenty-fifth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data receiving module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference data receiving module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; a data matching module that forms two or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; a calibration set module that forms a calibration set including at least two matched data pairs; a conversion function module that creates a conversion function using the calibration set; a sensor data transformation module that converts

sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; and a quality evaluation module that evaluates the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module receives sensor data that has been algorithmically smoothed.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the computer system further includes a data smoothing module that algorithmically smoothes sensor data received from the sensor data receiving module.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from substantially continuous glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from an implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the sensor data receiving module is adapted to receive sensor data from subcutaneously implantable glucose sensor.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive reference data from a self-monitoring blood glucose test.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to download reference data via a cabled connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to download reference data via a wireless connection.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the reference data receiving module is adapted to receive reference data from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the quality evaluation module performs one of linear regression, non-linear regression, rank correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation, and mean absolute relative difference to evaluate calibration set quality.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the quality evaluation module performs linear least squares regression.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the quality evaluation module sets a threshold for the data association function.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the quality evaluation module performs linear least squares regression and wherein the threshold of the data association function includes an R-value threshold of at least 0.79.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the computer system further includes an interface control module that controls the user interface based on the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the interface control module displays analyte values to a user dependent upon the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the interface control module alerts the user based upon the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the interface control module alters the user interface based upon the quality of the calibration set.

In an aspect of the twenty-fifth embodiment, the interface control module provides at least one of color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), and/or fail-safe information.

In a twenty-sixth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; receiving additional sensor data from the analyte sensor; converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; and evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on a data association function selected from the group consisting of linear regression, non-linear regression, rank correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation, and mean absolute relative difference.

In a twenty-seventh embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the method including: receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; providing at least two matched data pairs by matching reference analyte data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including the at least two matching data pairs; creating a conversion function based on the calibration set; receiving additional sensor data from the analyte sensor; converting sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; evaluating the quality of the calibration set using a data association function; and providing an output to a user interface responsive to the quality of the calibration set.

In a twenty-eighth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; a processor module that forms two or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data and subsequently forms a calibration set including the two or more matched data pairs; and a conversion function module that creates a conversion function using the calibration set; a sensor data transformation module that converts sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; a quality evaluation module that evaluates the quality of the calibration set based on a data association selected from the group consisting of linear regression, non-linear regression, rank correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation, and mean absolute relative difference.

In a twenty-ninth embodiment, a computer system is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of an analyte sensor, the computer system including: a sensor data module that receives a data stream including a plurality of time spaced sensor data points from a substantially continuous analyte sensor; a reference input module that receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including two or more reference data points; a processor module that forms two or more matched data pairs by matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data and subsequently forms a calibration set including the two or more matched data pairs; and a conversion function module that creates a conversion function using the calibration set; a sensor data transformation module that converts sensor data into calibrated data using the conversion function; a quality evaluation module that evaluates the quality of the calibration set based on data association; and a fail-safe module that controls the user interface based on the quality of the calibration set.

In a thirtieth embodiment, a method is provided for evaluating the quality of a calibration of a glucose sensor, the

method including: receiving sensor data from a glucose sensor, including one or more sensor data points; receiving reference data from a reference glucose monitor, including one or more reference data points; providing one or more matched data pairs by matched reference glucose data to substantially time corresponding sensor data; forming a calibration set including at least one matched data pair; and evaluating the quality of the calibration set based on data association.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is an exploded perspective view of a glucose sensor in one embodiment.

FIG. **2** is a block diagram that illustrates the sensor electronics in one embodiment.

FIG. **3** is a graph that illustrates data smoothing of a raw data signal in one embodiment.

FIGS. **4**A to **4**D are schematic views of a receiver in first, second, third, and fourth embodiments, respectively.

FIG. **5** is a block diagram of the receiver electronics in one embodiment.

FIG. **6** is a flow chart that illustrates the initial calibration and data output of the sensor data in one embodiment.

FIG. **7** is a graph that illustrates a regression performed on a calibration set to obtain a conversion function in one exemplary embodiment.

FIG. **8** is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluating the clinical acceptability of reference and sensor data in one embodiment.

FIG. **9** is a graph of two data pairs on a Clarke Error Grid to illustrate the evaluation of clinical acceptability in one exemplary embodiment.

FIG. **10** is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluation of calibration data for best calibration based on inclusion criteria of matched data pairs in one embodiment.

FIG. **11** is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluating the quality of the calibration in one embodiment.

FIGS. **12**A and **12**B are graphs that illustrate an evaluation of the quality of calibration based on data association in one exemplary embodiment using a correlation coefficient.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS

The following description and examples illustrate some exemplary embodiments of the disclosed invention in detail. Those of skill in the art will recognize that there are numerous variations and modifications of this invention that are encompassed by its scope. Accordingly, the description of a certain exemplary embodiment should not be deemed to limit the scope of the present invention.

Definitions

In order to facilitate an understanding of the disclosed invention, a number of terms are defined below.

The term "analyte," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, to refer to a substance or chemical constituent in a biological fluid (for example, blood, interstitial fluid, cerebral spinal fluid, lymph fluid or urine) that can be analyzed. Analytes may include naturally occurring substances, artificial substances, metabolites, and/or reaction products. In some embodiments, the analyte for measurement by the sensor heads, devices, and methods is analyte. However, other analytes are contemplated as well, including but not limited to acarboxyprothrombin; acylcarnitine; adenine phosphoribosyl transferase; adenosine deaminase; albumin; alpha-fetoprotein; amino acid profiles (arginine (Krebs cycle), histidine/urocanic acid, homocysteine, phenylalanine/tyrosine, tryptophan); andrenostenedione; antipyrine; arabinitol enantiomers; arginase; benzoylecgonine (cocaine); biotinidase; biopterin; c-reactive protein; carnitine; camosinase; CD4; ceruloplasmin; chenodeoxycholic acid; chloroquine; cholesterol; cholinesterase; conjugated 1-βhydroxy-cholic acid; cortisol; creatine kinase; creatine kinase MM isoenzyme; cyclosporin A; d-penicillamine; de-ethylchloroquine; dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; DNA (acetylator polymorphism, alcohol dehydrogenase, alpha 1-antitrypsin, cystic fibrosis, Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophy, analyte-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, hemoglobinopathies, A,S,C,E, D-Punjab, beta-thalassemia, hepatitis B virus, HCMV, HIV-1, HTLV-1, Leber hereditary optic neuropathy, MCAD, RNA, PKU, *Plasmodium vivax*, sexual differentiation, 21-deoxycortisol); desbutylhalofantrine; dihydropteridine reductase; diptheria/tetanus antitoxin; erythrocyte arginase; erythrocyte protoporphyrin; esterase D; fatty acids/acylglycines; free β-human chorionic gonadotropin; free erythrocyte porphyrin; free thyroxine (FT4); free tri-iodothyronine (FT3); fumarylacetoacetase; galactose/gal-1-phosphate; galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase; gentamicin; analyte-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; glutathione; glutathione perioxidase; glycocholic acid; glycosylated hemoglobin; halofantrine; hemoglobin variants; hexosaminidase A; human erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase I; 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone; hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase; immunoreactive trypsin; lactate; lead; lipoproteins ((a), B/A-I, β); lysozyme; mefloquine; netilmicin; phenobarbitone; phenyloin; phytanic/pristanic acid; progesterone; prolactin; prolidase; purine nucleoside phosphorylase; quinine; reverse tri-iodothyronine (rT3); selenium; serum pancreatic lipase; sissomicin; somatomedin C; specific antibodies (adenovirus, anti-nuclear antibody, anti-zeta antibody, arbovirus, Aujeszky's disease virus, dengue virus, *Dracunculus medinensis, Echinococcus granulosus, Entamoeba histolytica*, enterovirus, *Giardia duodenalisa, Helicobacter pylori*, hepatitis B virus, herpes virus, HIV-1, IgE (atopic disease), influenza virus, *Leishmania donovani, leptospira*, measles/mumps/rubella, *Mycobacterium leprae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, Myoglobin, Onchocerca volvulus, parainfluenza virus, *Plasmodium falciparum*, poliovirus, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, respiratory syncytial virus, *rickettsia* (scrub typhus), *Schistosoma mansoni, Toxoplasma gondii, Trepenoma pallidium, Trypanosoma cruzi/rangeli*, vesicular stomatis virus, *Wuchereria bancrofti*, yellow fever virus); specific antigens (hepatitis B virus, HIV-1); succinylacetone; sulfadoxine; theophylline; thyrotropin (TSH); thyroxine (T4); thyroxine-binding globulin; trace elements; transferrin; UDP-galactose-4-epimerase; urea; uroporphyrinogen I synthase; vitamin A; white blood cells; and zinc protoporphyrin. Salts, sugar, protein, fat, vitamins and hormones naturally occurring in blood or interstitial fluids may also constitute analytes in certain embodiments. The analyte may be naturally present in the biological fluid, for example, a metabolic product, a hormone, an antigen, an antibody, and the like. Alternatively, the analyte may be introduced into the body, for example, a contrast agent for imaging, a radioisotope, a chemical agent, a fluorocarbon-based synthetic blood, or a drug or pharmaceutical composition, including but not limited to insulin; ethanol; *cannabis* (marijuana, tetrahydrocannabinol, hashish); inhalants (nitrous oxide, amyl nitrite, butyl nitrite, chlorohydrocarbons, hydrocarbons); cocaine (crack cocaine); stimulants (amphetamines, methamphetamines, Ritalin, Cylert, Preludin, Didrex, PreState, Voranil, Sandrex, Plegine); depressants (barbituates, methaqualone, tranquilizers such as Valium, Librium, Miltown, Serax, Equanil, Tranxene); hallucinogens

(phencyclidine, lysergic acid, mescaline, peyote, psilocybin); narcotics (heroin, codeine, morphine, opium, meperidine, Percocet, Percodan, Tussionex, Fentanyl, Darvon, Talwin, Lomotil); designer drugs (analogs of fentanyl, meperidine, amphetamines, methamphetamines, and phencyclidine, for example, Ecstasy); anabolic steroids; and nicotine. The metabolic products of drugs and pharmaceutical compositions are also contemplated analytes. Analytes such as neurochemicals and other chemicals generated within the body may also be analyzed, such as, for example, ascorbic acid, uric acid, dopamine, noradrenaline, 3-methoxytyramine (3MT), 3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), Homovanillic acid (HVA), 5-Hydroxytryptamine (5HT), and 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic acid (FHIAA).

The terms "operably connected" and "operably linked," as used herein, are broad terms and are used in their ordinary sense, including, without limitation, one or more components being linked to another component(s) in a manner that allows transmission of signals between the components, e.g., wired or wirelessly. For example, one or more electrodes may be used to detect the amount of analyte in a sample and convert that information into a signal; the signal may then be transmitted to an electronic circuit means. In this case, the electrode is "operably linked" to the electronic circuitry.

The term "EEPROM," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, electrically erasable programmable read-only memory, which is user-modifiable read-only memory (ROM) that can be erased and reprogrammed (e.g., written to) repeatedly through the application of higher than normal electrical voltage.

The term "SRAM," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, static random access memory (RAM) that retains data bits in its memory as long as power is being supplied.

The term "A/D Converter," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, hardware that converts analog signals into digital signals.

The term "microprocessor," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation a computer system or processor designed to perform arithmetic and logic operations using logic circuitry that responds to and processes the basic instructions that drive a computer.

The term "RF transceiver," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a radio frequency transmitter and/or receiver for transmitting and/or receiving signals.

The term "jitter" as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, uncertainty or variability of waveform timing, which may be cause by ubiquitous noise caused by a circuit and/or environmental effects; jitter can be seen in amplitude, phase timing, or the width of the signal pulse.

The term "raw data signal," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, an analog or digital signal directly related to the measured analyte from the analyte sensor. In one example, the raw data signal is digital data in "counts" converted by an A/D converter from an analog signal (e.g., voltage or amps) representative of an analyte concentration.

The term "counts," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a unit of measurement of a digital signal. In one example, a raw data signal measured in counts is directly related to a voltage (converted by an A/D converter), which is directly related to current.

The term "analyte sensor," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, any mechanism (e.g., enzymatic or non-enzymatic) by which analyte can be quantified. For example, some embodiments utilize a membrane that contains glucose oxidase that catalyzes the conversion of oxygen and glucose to hydrogen peroxide and gluconate:

$$\text{Glucose}+O_2 \rightarrow \text{Gluconate}+H_2O_2$$

Because for each glucose molecule metabolized, there is a proportional change in the co-reactant O_2 and the product H_2O_2, one can use an electrode to monitor the current change in either the co-reactant or the product to determine glucose concentration.

The term "host," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, mammals, particularly humans.

The term "matched data pairs", as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, reference data (e.g., one or more reference analyte data points) matched with substantially time corresponding sensor data (e.g., one or more sensor data points).

The term "Clarke Error Grid", as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, an error grid analysis, which evaluates the clinical significance of the difference between a reference glucose value and a sensor generated glucose value, taking into account 1) the value of the reference glucose measurement, 2) the value of the sensor glucose measurement, 3) the relative difference between the two values, and 4) the clinical significance of this difference. See Clarke et al., "Evaluating Clinical Accuracy of Systems for Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose", Diabetes Care, Volume 10, Number 5, September-October 1987, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

The term "Consensus Error Grid", as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, an error grid analysis that assigns a specific level of clinical risk to any possible error between two time corresponding glucose measurements. The Consensus Error Grid is divided into zones signifying the degree of risk posed by the deviation. See Parkes et al., "A New Consensus Error Grid to Evaluate the Clinical Significance of Inaccuracies in the Measurement of Blood Glucose", Diabetes Care, Volume 23, Number 8, August 2000, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

The term "clinical acceptability", as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, determination of the risk of inaccuracies to a patient. Clinical acceptability considers a deviation between time corresponding glucose measurements (e.g., data from a glucose sensor and data from a reference glucose monitor) and the risk (e.g., to the decision making of a diabetic patient) associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by the sensor and/or reference data. One example of clinical acceptability may be 85% of a given set of measured analyte values within the "A" and "B" region of a standard Clarke Error Grid when the sensor measurements are compared to a standard reference measurement.

The term "R-value," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, one conventional way of summarizing the correlation of data; that is, a statement of what residuals (e.g., root mean square deviations) are to be expected if the data are fitted to a straight line by the a regression.

The term "data association" and "data association function," as used herein, are a broad terms and are used in their

ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a statistical analysis of data and particularly its correlation to, or deviation from, from a particular curve. A data association function is used to show data association. For example, the data that forms that calibration set as described herein may be analyzed mathematically to determine its correlation to, or deviation from, a curve (e.g., line or set of lines) that defines the conversion function; this correlation or deviation is the data association. A data association function is used to determine data association. Examples of data association functions include, but are not limited to, linear regression, non-linear mapping/regression, rank (e.g., non-parametric) correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation (MAD), mean absolute relative difference. In one such example, the correlation coefficient of linear regression is indicative of the amount of data association of the calibration set that forms the conversion function, and thus the quality of the calibration.

The term "quality of calibration" as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the statistical association of matched data pairs in the calibration set used to create the conversion function. For example, an R-value may be calculated for a calibration set to determine its statistical data association, wherein an R-value greater than 0.79 determines a statistically acceptable calibration quality, while an R-value less than 0.79 determines statistically unacceptable calibration quality.

The term "substantially" as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, being largely but not necessarily wholly that which is specified.

The term "congruence" as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the quality or state of agreeing, coinciding, or being concordant. In one example, congruence may be determined using rank correlation.

The term "concordant" as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, being in agreement or harmony, and/or free from discord.

The phrase "continuous (or continual) analyte sensing," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the period in which monitoring of analyte concentration is continuously, continually, and or intermittently (but regularly) performed, for example, about every 5 to 10 minutes.

The term "sensor head," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the region of a monitoring device responsible for the detection of a particular analyte. In one example, a sensor head comprises a non-conductive body, a working electrode (anode), a reference electrode and a counter electrode (cathode) passing through and secured within the body forming an electrochemically reactive surface at one location on the body and an electronic connective means at another location on the body, and a sensing membrane affixed to the body and covering the electrochemically reactive surface. The counter electrode has a greater electrochemically reactive surface area than the working electrode. During general operation of the sensor a biological sample (e.g., blood or interstitial fluid) or a portion thereof contacts (directly or after passage through one or more membranes or domains) an enzyme (e.g., glucose oxidase); the reaction of the biological sample (or portion thereof) results in the formation of reaction products that allow a determination of the analyte (e.g., glucose) level in the biological sample. In some embodiments, the sensing membrane further comprises an enzyme domain (e.g., and enzyme layer), and an electrolyte phase (e.g., a free-flowing liquid phase comprising an electrolyte-containing fluid described further below).

The term "electrochemically reactive surface," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, the surface of an electrode where an electrochemical reaction takes place. In the case of the working electrode, the hydrogen peroxide produced by the enzyme catalyzed reaction of the analyte being detected creates a measurable electronic current (e.g., detection of analyte utilizing analyte oxidase produces H_2O_2 peroxide as a by product, H_2O_2 reacts with the surface of the working electrode producing two protons ($2H^+$), two electrons ($2e^-$) and one molecule of oxygen (O_2) which produces the electronic current being detected). In the case of the counter electrode, a reducible species, e.g., O_2 is reduced at the electrode surface in order to balance the current being generated by the working electrode.

The term "electronic connection," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, any electronic connection known to those in the art that may be utilized to interface the sensor head electrodes with the electronic circuitry of a device such as mechanical (e.g., pin and socket) or soldered.

The term "sensing membrane," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a permeable or semi-permeable membrane that may be comprised of two or more domains and constructed of materials of a few microns thickness or more, which are permeable to oxygen and may or may not be permeable to an analyte of interest. In one example, the sensing membrane comprises an immobilized glucose oxidase enzyme, which enables an electrochemical reaction to occur to measure a concentration of glucose.

The term "biointerface membrane," as used herein, is a broad term and is used in its ordinary sense, including, without limitation, a permeable membrane that may be comprised of two or more domains and constructed of materials of a few microns thickness or more, which may be placed over the sensor body to keep host cells (e.g., macrophages) from gaining proximity to, and thereby damaging, the sensing membrane or forming a barrier cell layer and interfering with the transport of analyte across the tissue-device interface.

In the disclosure which follows, the following abbreviations apply: Eq and Eqs (equivalents); mEq (milliequivalents); M (molar); mM (millimolar) μM (micromolar); N (Normal); mol (moles); mmol (millimoles); μmol (micromoles); nmol (nanomoles); g (grams); mg (milligrams); μg (micrograms); Kg (kilograms); L (liters); mL (milliliters); dL (deciliters); μL (microliters); cm (centimeters); mm (millimeters); μm (micrometers); nm (nanometers); h and hr (hours); min. (minutes); s and sec. (seconds); ° C. (degrees Centigrade).

Overview

The preferred embodiments relate to the use of an analyte sensor that measures a concentration of analyte of interest or a substance indicative of the concentration or presence of the analyte. In some embodiments, the sensor is a continuous device, for example a subcutaneous, transdermal, or intravascular device. In some embodiments, the device may analyze a plurality of intermittent blood samples. The analyte sensor may use any method of analyte-sensing, including enzymatic, chemical, physical, electrochemical, spectrophotometric, polarimetric, calorimetric, radiometric, or the like.

The analyte sensor uses any known method, including invasive, minimally invasive, and non-invasive sensing techniques, to provide an output signal indicative of the concen-

tration of the analyte of interest. The output signal is typically a raw signal that is used to provide a useful value of the analyte of interest to a user, such as a patient or physician, who may be using the device. Accordingly, appropriate smoothing, calibration, and evaluation methods may be applied to the raw signal and/or system as a whole to provide relevant and acceptable estimated analyte data to the user.

Sensor

The analyte sensor useful with the preferred embodiments may be any device capable of measuring the concentration of an analyte of interest. One exemplary embodiment is described below, which utilizes an implantable glucose sensor. However, it should be understood that the devices and methods described herein may be applied to any device capable of detecting a concentration of analyte of and providing an output signal that represents the concentration of the analyte.

FIG. **1** is an exploded perspective view of a glucose sensor in one embodiment. The implantable glucose sensor **10** utilizes amperometric electrochemical sensor technology to measure glucose. In this exemplary embodiment, a body **12** and a head **14** house electrodes **16** and sensor electronics, which are described in more detail with reference to FIG. **2**. Three electrodes **16** are operably connected to the sensor electronics (FIG. **2**) and are covered by a sensing membrane **17** and a biointerface membrane **18**, which are attached by a clip **19**. In alternative embodiments, the number of electrodes may be less than or greater than three.

The three electrodes **16**, which protrude through the head **14**, including a platinum working electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and a silver/silver chloride reference electrode. The top ends of the electrodes are in contact with an electrolyte phase (not shown), which is a free-flowing fluid phase disposed between the sensing membrane and the electrodes. The sensing membrane **17** includes an enzyme, e.g., glucose oxidase, which covers the electrolyte phase. In turn, the biointerface membrane **18** covers the sensing membrane **17** and serves, at least in part, to protect the sensor from external forces that may result in environmental stress cracking of the sensing membrane **17**.

In the illustrated embodiment, the counter electrode is provided to balance the current generated by the species being measured at the working electrode. In the case of a glucose oxidase based glucose sensor, the species being measured at the working electrode is H_2O_2. Glucose oxidase catalyzes the conversion of oxygen and glucose to hydrogen peroxide and gluconate according to the following reaction:

$$\text{Glucose}+O_2 \rightarrow \text{Gluconate}+H_2O_2$$

The change in H_2O_2 can be monitored to determine glucose concentration because for each glucose molecule metabolized, there is a proportional change in the product H_2O_2. Oxidation of H_2O_2 by the working electrode is balanced by reduction of ambient oxygen, enzyme generated H_2O_2, or other reducible species at the counter electrode. The H_2O_2 produced from the glucose oxidase reaction further reacts at the surface of working electrode and produces two protons ($2H^+$), two electrons ($2e^-$), and one oxygen molecule (O_2) (See, e.g., Fraser, D. M. "An Introduction to In vivo Biosensing: Progress and problems." In "Biosensors and the Body," D. M. Fraser, ed., 1997, pp. 1-56 John Wiley and Sons, New York.)

In one embodiment, a potentiostat is used to measure the electrochemical reaction(s) at the electrode(s) (see FIG. **2**). The potentiostat applies a constant potential between the working and reference electrodes to produce a current value. The current that is produced at the working electrode (and flows through the circuitry to the counter electrode) is proportional to the diffusional flux of H_2O_2. Accordingly, a raw signal may be produced that is representative of the concentration of glucose in the users body, and therefore may be utilized to estimate a meaningful glucose value, such as described elsewhere herein.

One problem of enzymatic glucose sensors such as described above is the non-glucose reaction rate-limiting phenomenon. For example, if oxygen is deficient, relative to the amount of glucose, then the enzymatic reaction will be limited by oxygen rather than glucose. Consequently, the output signal will be indicative of the oxygen concentration rather than the glucose concentration.

FIG. **2** is a block diagram that illustrates the sensor electronics in one embodiment. In this embodiment, the potentiostat **20** is shown, which is operatively connected to electrodes **16** (FIG. **1**) to obtain a current value, and includes a resistor (not shown) that translates the current into voltage. An A/D converter **21** digitizes the analog signal into counts for processing. Accordingly, the resulting raw data signal in counts is directly related to the current measured by the potentiostat **20**.

A microprocessor **22** is the central control unit that houses EEPROM **23** and SRAM **24**, and controls the processing of the sensor electronics. It may be noted that alternative embodiments utilize a computer system other than a microprocessor to process data as described herein. In some alternative embodiments, an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) may be used for some or all the sensor's central processing. The EEPROM **23** provides semi-permanent storage of data, storing data such as sensor ID and necessary programming to process data signals (e.g., programming for data smoothing such as described below). The SRAM **24** is used for the system's cache memory, for example for temporarily storing recent sensor data.

A battery **25** is operatively connected to the microprocessor **22** and provides the necessary power for the sensor. In one embodiment, the battery is a Lithium Manganese Dioxide battery, however any appropriately sized and powered battery may be used (e.g., AAA, Nickel-cadmium, Zinc-carbon, Alkaline, Lithium, Nickel-metal hydride, Lithium-ion, Zinc-air, Zinc-mercury oxide, Silver-zinc, or hermetically-sealed). In some embodiments, a plurality of batteries may be used to power the system. A Quartz Crystal **26** is operatively connected to the microprocessor **22** and maintains system time for the computer system as a whole.

An RF Transceiver **27** is operably connected to the microprocessor **22** and transmits the sensor data from the sensor to a receiver (see FIGS. **4** and **5**). Although an RF transceiver is shown here, other embodiments include a wired rather than wireless connection to the receiver. In yet other embodiments, the receiver is transcutaneously powered via an inductive coupling, for example. A quartz crystal **28** provides the system time for synchronizing the data transmissions from the RF transceiver. It may be noted that the transceiver **27** may be substituted for a transmitter in one embodiment.

Data Smoothing

Typically, an analyte sensor produces a raw data signal that is indicative of the analyte concentration of a user, such as described in more detail with reference to FIGS. **1** and **2**, above. However, it is well known that the above described glucose sensor is only one example of an abundance of analyte sensors that are able to provide a raw data signal output indicative of the concentration of the analyte of interest. Thus, it should be understood that the devices and methods of the preferred embodiments, including data smoothing, calibra-

tion, evaluation, and other data processing, may be applied to raw data obtained from any analyte sensor capable of producing a output signal.

It has been found that raw data signals received from an analyte sensor include signal noise, which degrades the quality of the data. Thus, it has been known to use smoothing algorithms help improve the signal-to-noise ratio in the sensor by reducing signal jitter, for example. One example of a conventional data smoothing algorithms include finite impulse response filter (FIR), which is particularly suited for reducing high-frequency noise (see Steil et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,558,351). Other analyte sensors have utilized heuristic and moving average type algorithms to accomplish data smoothing of signal jitter in data signals, for example.

It is advantageous to also reduce signal noise by attenuating transient, low frequency, non-analyte related signal fluctuations (e.g., transient ischemia and/or long transient periods of postural effects that interfere with sensor function due to lack of oxygen and/or other physiological effects).

In one embodiment, this attenuation of transient low frequency non-analyte related signal noise is accomplished using a recursive filter. In contrast to conventional non-recursive (e.g., FIR) filters in which each computation uses new input data sets, a recursive filter is an equation that uses moving averages as inputs; that is, a recursive filter includes previous averages as part of the next filtered output. Recursive filters are advantageous at least in part due to their computational efficiency.

FIG. **3** is a graph that illustrates data smoothing of a raw data signal in one embodiment. In this embodiment, the recursive filter is implemented as a digital infinite impulse response filter (IIR) filter, wherein the output is computed using 6 additions and 7 multiplies as shown in the following equation:

$$y(n) = \frac{a_0 * x(n) + a_1 * x(n-1) + a_2 * x(n-2) + a_3 * x(n-3) - b_1 * y(n-1) - b_2 * y(n-2) - b_3 * y(n-3)}{b_0}$$

This polynomial equation includes coefficients that are dependent on sample rate and frequency behavior of the filter. In this exemplary embodiment, frequency behavior passes low frequencies up to cycle lengths of 40 minutes, and is based on a 30 second sample rate.

In some embodiments, data smoothing may be implemented in the sensor and the smoothed data transmitted to a receiver for additional processing. In other embodiments, raw data may be sent from the sensor to a receiver for data smoothing and additional processing therein. In yet other embodiments, the sensor is integral with the receiver and therefore no transmission of data is required.

In one exemplary embodiment, wherein the sensor is an implantable glucose sensor, data smoothing is performed in the sensor to ensure a continuous stream of data. In alternative embodiments, data smoothing may be transmitted from the sensor to the receiver, and the data smoothing performed at the receiver; it may be noted however that there may be a risk of transmit-loss in the radio transmission from the sensor to the receiver when the transmission is wireless. For example, in embodiments wherein a sensor is implemented in vivo, the raw sensor signal may be more consistent within the sensor (in vivo) than the raw signal transmitted to a source (e.g., receiver) outside the body (e.g., if a patient were to take the receiver off to shower, communication between the sensor and receiver may be lost and data smoothing in the receiver would halt accordingly.) Consequently, it may be noted that a multiple point data loss in the filter may take, for example, anywhere from 25 to 40 minutes for the smoothed data to recover to where it would have been had there been no data loss.

Receiver

FIGS. **4**A to **4**D are schematic views of a receiver in first, second, third, and fourth embodiments, respectively. A receiver **40** comprises systems necessary to receive, process, and display sensor data from an analyte sensor, such as described elsewhere herein. Particularly, the receiver **40** may be a pager-sized device, for example, and comprise a user interface that has a plurality of buttons **42** and a liquid crystal display (LCD) screen **44**, and which may include a backlight. In some embodiments the user interface may also include a keyboard, a speaker, and a vibrator such as described with reference to FIG. **5**.

FIG. **4**A illustrates a first embodiment wherein the receiver shows a numeric representation of the estimated analyte value on its user interface, which is described in more detail elsewhere herein.

FIG. **4**B illustrates a second embodiment wherein the receiver shows an estimated glucose value and one hour of historical trend data on its user interface, which is described in more detail elsewhere herein.

FIG. **4**C illustrates a third embodiment wherein the receiver shows an estimated glucose value and three hours of historical trend data on its user interface, which is described in more detail elsewhere herein.

FIG. **4**D illustrates a fourth embodiment wherein the receiver shows an estimated glucose value and nine hours of historical trend data on its user interface, which is described in more detail elsewhere herein.

In some embodiments a user is able to toggle through some or all of the screens shown in FIGS. **4**A to **4**D using a toggle button on the receiver. In some embodiments, the user is able to interactively select the type of output displayed on their user interface. In some embodiments, the sensor output may have alternative configurations, such as is described with reference to FIG. **6**, block **69**, for example.

FIG. **5** is a block diagram of the receiver electronics in one embodiment. It may be noted that the receiver may comprise a configuration such as described with reference to FIGS. **4**A to **4**D, above. Alternatively, the receiver may comprise any configuration, including a desktop computer, laptop computer, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a server (local or remote to the receiver), or the like. In some embodiments, a receiver may be adapted to connect (via wired or wireless connection) to a desktop computer, laptop computer, a PDA, a server (local or remote to the receiver), or the like in order to download data from the receiver. In some alternative embodiments, the receiver is housed within or directly connected to the sensor in a manner that allows sensor and receiver electronics to work directly together and/or share data processing resources. Accordingly, the receiver, including its electronics, may be generally described as a "computer system."

A quartz crystal **50** is operatively connected to an RF transceiver **51** that together function to receive and synchronize data signals (e.g., raw data signals transmitted from the RF transceiver). Once received, the microprocessor **52** processes the signals, such as described below.

The microprocessor **52** is the central control unit that provides the necessary processing, such as calibration algorithms stored within an EEPROM **53**. The EEPROM **53** is operatively connected to the microprocessor **52** and provides semi-permanent storage of data, storing data such as receiver ID and necessary programming to process data signals (e.g.,

programming for performing calibration and other algorithms described elsewhere herein). In some embodiments, an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) may be used for some or all the receiver's central processing. An SRAM **54** is used for the system's cache memory and is helpful in data processing.

The microprocessor **52**, which is operatively connected to EEPROM **53** and SRAM **54**, controls the processing of the receiver electronics including, but not limited to, a sensor data receiving module, a reference data receiving module, a data matching module, a calibration set module, a conversion function module, a sensor data transformation module, a quality evaluation module, a interface control module, and a stability determination module, which are described in more detail below. It may be noted that any of the above processing may be programmed into and performed in the sensor electronics (FIG. **2**) in place of, or in complement with, the receiver electronics (FIG. **5**).

A battery **55** is operatively connected to the microprocessor **52** and provides the necessary power for the receiver. In one embodiment, the battery is a AAA battery, however any appropriately sized and powered battery may be used. In some embodiments, a plurality of batteries may be used to power the system. A quartz crystal **56** is operatively connected to the microprocessor **52** and maintains system time for the computer system as a whole.

A user interface **57** comprises a keyboard, speaker, vibrator, backlight, LCD, and a plurality of buttons. The components that comprise the user interface **57** provide the necessary controls to interact with the user. A keyboard may allow, for example, input of user information about himself/herself, such as mealtime, exercise, insulin administration, and reference analyte values. A speaker may provide, for example, audible signals or alerts for conditions such as present and/or predicted hyper- and hypoglycemic conditions. A vibrator may provide, for example, tactile signals or alerts for reasons such as described with reference to the speaker, above. A backlight may be provided, for example, to aid the user in reading the LCD in low light conditions. An LCD may be provided, for example, to provide the user with visual data output such as described in more detail with reference to FIGS. **4**A to **4**D and FIG. **6**. Buttons may provide toggle, menu selection, option selection, mode selection, and reset, for example.

Communication ports, including a personal computer (PC) corn port **58** and a reference analyte monitor corn port **59** may be provided to enable communication with systems that are separate from, or integral with, the receiver. The PC corn port **58** comprises means for communicating with another computer system (e.g., PC, PDA, server, or the like). In one exemplary embodiment, the receiver is able to download historic data to a physician's PC for retrospective analysis by the physician. The reference analyte monitor corn port **59** comprises means for communicating with a reference analyte monitor so that reference analyte values may be automatically downloaded into the receiver. In one embodiment, the reference analyte monitor is integral with the receiver, and the reference analyte com port **59** allows internal communication between the two integral systems. In another embodiment, the reference analyte monitor corn port **59** allows a wireless or wired connection to the reference analyte monitor such as a self-monitoring blood glucose monitor (e.g., for measuring finger stick blood samples).

Algorithms

Reference is now made to FIG. **6**, which is a flow chart that illustrates the initial calibration and data output of the sensor data in one embodiment.

Calibration of an analyte sensor comprises data processing that converts sensor data signal into an estimated analyte measurement that is meaningful to a user. Accordingly, a reference analyte value is used to calibrate the data signal from the analyte sensor.

At block **61**, a sensor data receiving module, also referred to as the sensor data module, receives sensor data (e.g., a data stream), including one or more time-spaced sensor data points, from a sensor via the receiver, which may be in wired or wireless communication with the sensor. The sensor data point(s) may be smoothed, such as described with reference to FIG. **3**, above. It may be noted that during the initialization of the sensor, prior to initial calibration, the receiver (e.g., computer system) receives and stores the sensor data, however may not display any data to the user until initial calibration and possibly stabilization of the sensor has been determined.

At block **62**, a reference data receiving module, also referred to as the reference input module, receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, including one or more reference data points. In one embodiment, the reference analyte points may comprise results from a self-monitored blood analyte test (e.g., from a finger stick test). In one such embodiment, the user may administer a self-monitored blood analyte test to obtain an analyte value (e.g., point) using any known analyte sensor, and then enter the numeric analyte value into the computer system. In another such embodiment, a self-monitored blood analyte test comprises a wired or wireless connection to the receiver (e.g. computer system) so that the user simply initiates a connection between the two devices, and the reference analyte data is passed or downloaded between the self-monitored blood analyte test and the receiver. In yet another such embodiment, the self-monitored analyte test is integral with the receiver so that the user simply provides a blood sample to the receiver, and the receiver runs the analyte test to determine a reference analyte value.

It may be noted that certain acceptability parameters may be set for reference values received from the user. For example, in one embodiment, the receiver may only accept reference analyte values between about 40 and about 400 mg/dL. Other examples of determining valid reference analyte values are described in more detail with reference to FIG. **8**.

At block **63**, a data matching module, also referred to as the processor module, matches reference data (e.g., one or more reference analyte data points) with substantially time corresponding sensor data (e.g., one or more sensor data points) to provide one or more matched data pairs. In one embodiment, one reference data point is matched to one time corresponding sensor data point to form a matched data pair. In another embodiment, a plurality of reference data points are averaged (e.g., equally or non-equally weighted average, mean-value, median, or the like) and matched to one time corresponding sensor data point to form a matched data pair. In another embodiment, one reference data point is matched to a plurality of time corresponding sensor data points averaged to form a matched data pair. In yet another embodiment, a plurality of reference data points are averaged and matched to a plurality of time corresponding sensor data points averaged to form a matched data pair.

In one embodiment, a time corresponding sensor data comprises one or more sensor data points that occur 15±5 min after the reference analyte data timestamp (e.g., the time that the reference analyte data is obtained). In this embodiment, the 15 minute time delay has been chosen to account for an approximately 10 minute delay introduced by the filter used in data smoothing and an approximately 5 minute physiologi-

cal time-lag (e.g., the time necessary for the analyte to diffusion through a membrane(s) of an analyte sensor). In alternative embodiments, the time corresponding sensor value may be more or less than the above-described embodiment, for example ±60 minutes. Variability in time correspondence of sensor and reference data may be attributed to, for example a longer or shorter time delay introduced by the data smoothing filter, or if the configuration of the analyte sensor incurs a greater or lesser physiological time lag.

It may be noted that in some practical implementations of the sensor, the reference analyte data may be obtained at a time that is different from the time that the data is input into the receiver. Accordingly, it should be noted that the "time stamp" of the reference analyte (e.g., the time at which the reference analyte value was obtained) is not the same as the time at which the reference analyte data was obtained by receiver. Therefore, some embodiments include a time stamp requirement that ensures that the receiver stores the accurate time stamp for each reference analyte value, that is, the time at which the reference value was actually obtained from the user.

In some embodiments, tests are used to evaluate the best matched pair using a reference data point against individual sensor values over a predetermined time period (e.g., about 30 minutes). In one such exemplary embodiment, the reference data point is matched with sensor data points at 5-minute intervals and each matched pair is evaluated. The matched pair with the best correlation may be selected as the matched pair for data processing. In some alternative embodiments, matching a reference data point with an average of a plurality of sensor data points over a predetermined time period may be used to form a matched pair.

At block **64**, a calibration set module, also referred to as the processor module, forms an initial calibration set from a set of one or more matched data pairs, which are used to determine the relationship between the reference analyte data and the sensor analyte data, such as will be described in more detail with reference to block **67**, below.

The matched data pairs, which make up the initial calibration set, may be selected according to predetermined criteria. It may be noted that the criteria for the initial calibration set may be the same as, or different from, the criteria for the update calibration set, which is described in more detail with reference to FIG. **10**. In some embodiments, the number (n) of data pair(s) selected for the initial calibration set is one. In other embodiments, n data pairs are selected for the initial calibration set wherein n is a function of the frequency of the received reference data points. In one exemplary embodiment, six data pairs make up the initial calibration set.

In some embodiments, the data pairs are selected only within a certain analyte value threshold, for example wherein the reference analyte value is between about 40 and about 400 mg/dL. In some embodiments, the data pairs that form the initial calibration set are selected according to their time stamp. In some embodiments, the calibration set is selected such as described with reference to FIG. **10**

At block **65**, a stability determination module, also referred to as the start-up module, determines the stability of the analyte sensor over a period of time. It may be noted that some analyte sensors may have an initial instability time period during which the analyte sensor is unstable for environmental, physiological, or other reasons. One example of initial sensor instability is an embodiment wherein the analyte sensor is implanted subcutaneously; in this example embodiment, stabilization of the analyte sensor may be dependent upon the maturity of the tissue ingrowth around and within the sensor. Another example of initial sensor instability is in an embodiment wherein the analyte sensor is implemented transdermally; in this example embodiment, stabilization of the analyte sensor may be dependent upon electrode stabilization and/or sweat, for example.

Accordingly, in some embodiments, determination of sensor stability may include waiting a predetermined time period (e.g., an implantable sensor is known to require a time period for tissue, and a transdermal sensor is known to require time to equilibrate the sensor with the user's skin); in some embodiments, this predetermined waiting period is between about one minute and about six weeks. In some embodiments, the sensitivity (e.g., sensor signal strength with respect to analyte concentration) may be used to determine the stability of the sensor; for example, amplitude and/or variability of sensor sensitivity may be evaluated to determine the stability of the sensor. In alternative embodiments, detection of pH levels, oxygen, hypochlorite, interfering species (e.g., ascorbate, urea, and acetaminophen), correlation between sensor and reference values (e.g., R-value), baseline drift and/or offset, and the like may be used to determine the stability of the sensor. In one exemplary embodiment, wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor, it is known to provide a signal that is associated with interfering species (e.g., ascorbate, urea, acetaminophen), which may be used to evaluate sensor stability. In another exemplary embodiment, wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor such as described with reference to FIGS. **1** and **2**, the counter electrode can be monitored for oxygen deprivation, which may be used to evaluate sensor stability or functionality.

At decision block **66**, the system (e.g., microprocessor) determines whether the analyte sensor is sufficiently stable according to certain criteria, such as described above. In one embodiment wherein the sensor is an implantable glucose sensor, the system waits a predetermined time period believed necessary for sufficient tissue ingrowth and evaluates the sensor sensitivity (e.g., between about one minute and six weeks). In another embodiment, the receiver determines sufficient stability based on oxygen concentration near the sensor head. In yet another embodiment, the sensor determines sufficient stability based on a reassessment of baseline drift and/or offset. In yet another alternative embodiment, the system evaluates stability by monitoring the frequency content of the sensor data stream over a predetermined amount of time (e.g., 24 hours); in this alternative embodiment, a template (or templates) are provided that reflect acceptable levels of glucose physiology and are compared with the actual sensor data, wherein a predetermined amount of agreement between the template and the actual sensor data is indicative of sensor stability. It may be noted that a few examples of determining sufficient stability are given here, however a variety of known tests and parameters may be used to determine sensor stability without departing from the spirit and scope of the preferred embodiments.

If the receiver does not assess that the stability of the sensor is sufficient, then the processing returns to block **61**, wherein the receiver receives sensor data such as described in more detail above. The above-described steps are repeated until sufficient stability is determined.

If the receiver does assess that the stability of the sensor is sufficient, then processing continues to block **67** and the calibration set is used to calibrate the sensor.

At block **67**, the conversion function module uses the calibration set to create a conversion function. The conversion function substantially defines the relationship between the reference analyte data and the analyte sensor data.

A variety of known methods may be used with the preferred embodiments to create the conversion function from

the calibration set. In one embodiment, wherein a plurality of matched data points form the initial calibration set, a linear least squares regression is performed on the initial calibration set such as described with reference to FIG. **7**.

FIG. **7** is a graph that illustrates a regression performed on a calibration set to create a conversion function in one exemplary embodiment. In this embodiment, a linear least squares regression is performed on the initial calibration set. The x-axis represents reference analyte data; the y-axis represents sensor data. The graph pictorially illustrates regression of the matched pairs **76** in the calibration set. Regression calculates a slope **72** and an offset **74** (y=mx+b), which defines the conversion function.

In alternative embodiments other algorithms could be used to determine the conversion function, for example forms of linear and non-linear regression, for example fuzzy logic, neural networks, piece-wise linear regression, polynomial fit, genetic algorithms, and other pattern recognition and signal estimation techniques.

In yet other alternative embodiments, the conversion function may comprise two or more different optimal conversions because an optimal conversion at any time is dependent on one or more parameters, such as time of day, calories consumed, exercise, or analyte concentration above or below a set threshold, for example. In one such exemplary embodiment, the conversion function is adapted for the estimated glucose concentration (e.g., high vs. low). For example in an implantable glucose sensor it has been observed that the cells surrounding the implant will consume at least a small amount of glucose as it diffuses toward the glucose sensor. Assuming the cells consume substantially the same amount of glucose whether the glucose concentration is low or high, this phenomenon will have a greater effect on the concentration of glucose during low blood sugar episodes than the effect on the concentration of glucose during relatively higher blood sugar episodes. Accordingly, the conversion function is adapted to compensate for the sensitivity differences in blood sugar level. In one implementation, the conversion function comprises two different regression lines wherein a first regression line is applied when the estimated blood glucose concentration is at or below a certain threshold (e.g., 150 mg/dL) and a second regression line is applied when the estimated blood glucose concentration is at or above a certain threshold (e.g., 150 mg/dL). In one alternative implementation, a predetermined pivot of the regression line that forms the conversion function may be applied when the estimated blood is above or below a set threshold (e.g., 150 mg/dL), wherein the pivot and threshold are determined from a retrospective analysis of the performance of a conversion function and its performance at a range of glucose concentrations. In another implementation, the regression line that forms the conversion function is pivoted about a point in order to comply with clinical acceptability standards (e.g., Clarke Error Grid, Consensus Grid, mean absolute relative difference, or other clinical cost function). Although only a few example implementations are described, the preferred embodiments contemplate numerous implementations wherein the conversion function is adaptively applied based on one or more parameters that may affect the sensitivity of the sensor data over time.

Referring again to FIG. **6**, at block **68**, a sensor data transformation module uses the conversion function to transform sensor data into substantially real-time analyte value estimates, also referred to as calibrated data, as sensor data is continuously (or intermittently) received from the sensor. For example, in the embodiment of FIG. **7**, the sensor data, which may be provided to the receiver in "counts", is translated in to estimate analyte value(s) in mg/dL. In other words, the offset value at any given point in time may be subtracted from the raw value (e.g., in counts) and divided by the slope to obtain the estimate analyte value:

$$\text{mg/dL} = \frac{(rawvalue - \text{offset})}{\text{slope}}$$

In some alternative embodiments, the sensor and/or reference analyte values are stored in a database for retrospective analysis.

At block **69**, an output module provides output to the user via the user interface. The output is representative of the estimated analyte value, which is determined by converting the sensor data into a meaningful analyte value such as described in more detail with reference to block **68**, above. User output may be in the form of a numeric estimated analyte value, an indication of directional trend of analyte concentration, and/or a graphical representation of the estimated analyte data over a period of time, for example. Other representations of the estimated analyte values are also possible, for example audio and tactile.

In one exemplary embodiment, such as shown in FIG. **4**A, the estimated analyte value is represented by a numeric value. In other exemplary embodiments, such as shown in FIGS. **4**B to **4**D, the user interface graphically represents the estimated analyte data trend over predetermined a time period (e.g., one, three, and nine hours, respectively). In alternative embodiments, other time periods may be represented.

In some embodiments, the user interface begins displaying data to the user after the sensor's stability has been affirmed. In some alternative embodiments however, the user interface displays data that is somewhat unstable (e.g., does not have sufficient stability at block **66**); in these embodiments, the receiver may also include an indication of instability of the sensor data (e.g., flashing, faded, or another indication of sensor instability displayed on the user interface). In some embodiments, the user interface informs the user of the status of the stability of the sensor data.

Accordingly, after initial calibration of the sensor, and possibly determination of stability of the sensor data, real-time continuous analyte information may be displayed on the user interface so that the user may regularly and proactively care for his/her diabetic condition within the bounds set by his/her physician.

In alternative embodiments, the conversion function is used to predict analyte values at future points in time. These predicted values may be used to alert the user of upcoming hypoglycemic or hyperglycemic events. Additionally, predicted values may be used to compensate for the time lag (e.g., 15 minute time lag such as described elsewhere herein), so that an estimate analyte value displayed to the user represents the instant time, rather than a time delayed estimated value.

In some embodiments, the substantially real time estimated analyte value, a predicted future estimate analyte value, a rate of change, and/or a directional trend of the analyte concentration is used to control the administration of a constituent to the user, including an appropriate amount and time, in order to control an aspect of the user's biological system. One such example is a closed loop glucose sensor and insulin pump, wherein the analyte data (e.g., estimated glucose value, rate of change, and/or directional trend) from the glucose sensor is used to determine the amount of insulin, and time of administration, that may be given to a diabetic user to evade hyper- and hypoglycemic conditions.

Reference is now made to FIG. **8**, which is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluating the clinical acceptability of reference and sensor data in one embodiment. Although some clinical acceptability tests are disclosed here, any known clinical standards and methodologies may be applied to evaluate the clinical acceptability of reference and analyte data herein.

It may be noted that the conventional analyte meters (e.g., self-monitored blood analyte tests) are known to have a +−20% error in analyte values. For example, gross errors in analyte readings are known to occur due to patient error in self-administration of the blood analyte test. In one such example, if the user has traces of sugar on his/her finger while obtaining a blood sample for a glucose concentration test, then the measured glucose value will likely be much higher than the actual glucose value in the blood. Additionally, it is known that self-monitored analyte tests (e.g., test strips) are occasionally subject to manufacturing error.

Another cause for error includes infrequency and time delay that may occur if a user does not self-test regularly, or if a user self-tests regularly but does not enter the reference value at the appropriate time or with the appropriate time stamp. Therefore, it may be advantageous to validate the acceptability of reference analyte values prior to accepting them as valid entries. Accordingly, the receiver evaluates the clinical acceptability of received reference analyte data prior to their acceptance as a valid reference value.

In one embodiment, the reference analyte data (and/or sensor analyte data) is evaluated with respect to substantially time corresponding sensor data (and/or substantially time corresponding reference analyte data) to determine the clinical acceptability of the reference analyte and/or sensor analyte data. Clinical acceptability considers a deviation between time corresponding glucose measurements (e.g., data from a glucose sensor and data from a reference glucose monitor) and the risk (e.g., to the decision making of a diabetic patient) associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by the sensor and/or reference data. Evaluating the clinical acceptability of reference and sensor analyte data, and controlling the user interface dependent thereon, may minimize clinical risk.

In one embodiment, the receiver evaluates clinical acceptability each time reference data is obtained. In another embodiment, the receiver evaluates clinical acceptability after the initial calibration and stabilization of the sensor, such as described with reference to FIG. **6**, above. In some embodiments, the receiver evaluates clinical acceptability as an initial pre-screen of reference analyte data, for example after determining if the reference glucose measurement is between about 40 and 400 mg/dL. In other embodiments, other methods of pre-screening data may be used, for example by determining if a reference analyte data value is physiologically feasible based on previous reference analyte data values (e.g., below a maximum rate of change).

After initial calibration such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**, the sensor data receiving module **61** receives substantially continuous sensor data (e.g., a data stream) via a receiver and converts that data into estimated analyte values. As used herein, "substantially continuous" is broad enough to include a data stream of individual measurements taken at time intervals (e.g., time-spaced) ranging from fractions of a second up to, e.g., 1, 2, or 5 minutes. As sensor data is continuously converted, it may be occasionally recalibrated such as described in more detail with reference FIG. **10**. Initial calibration and re-calibration of the sensor requires a reference analyte value. Accordingly, the receiver may receive reference analyte data at any time for appropriate processing. These reference analyte values may be evaluated for clinical acceptability such as described below as a fail-safe against reference analyte test errors.

At block **81**, the reference data receiving module, also referred to as the reference input module, receives reference analyte data from a reference analyte monitor. In one embodiment, the reference data comprises one analyte value obtained from a reference monitor. In some alternative embodiments however, the reference data includes a set of analyte values entered by a user into the interface and averaged by known methods such as described elsewhere herein.

In some embodiments, the reference data is pre-screened according to environmental and physiological issues, such as time of day, oxygen concentration, postural effects, and patient-entered environmental data. In one example embodiment, wherein the sensor comprises an implantable glucose sensor, an oxygen sensor within the glucose sensor is used to determine if sufficient oxygen is being provided to successfully complete the necessary enzyme and electrochemical reactions for glucose sensing. In another example embodiment wherein the sensor comprises an implantable glucose sensor, the counter electrode could be monitored for a "rail-effect", that is, when insufficient oxygen is provided at the counter electrode causing the counter electrode to reach operational (e.g., circuitry) limits. In yet another example embodiment, the patient is prompted to enter data into the user interface, such as meal times and/or amount of exercise, which could be used to determine likelihood of acceptable reference data.

It may be further noted that evaluation data, such as described in the paragraph above, may be used to evaluate an optimum time for reference analyte measurement. Correspondingly, the user interface may then prompt the user to provide a reference data point for calibration within a given time period. Consequently, because the receiver proactively prompts the user during optimum calibration times, the likelihood of error due to environmental and physiological limitations may decrease and consistency and acceptability of the calibration may increase.

At block **82**, the clinical acceptability evaluation module, also referred to as clinical module, evaluates the clinical acceptability of newly received reference data and/or time corresponding sensor data. In some embodiments of evaluating clinical acceptability, the rate of change of the reference data as compared to previous data is assessed for clinical acceptability. That is, the rate of change and acceleration (or deceleration) of many analytes has certain physiological limits within the body. Accordingly, a limit may be set to determine if the new matched pair is within a physiologically feasible range, indicated by a rate of change from the previous data that is within known physiological and/or statistical limits. Similarly, in some embodiments any algorithm that predicts a future value of an analyte may be used to predict and then compare an actual value to a time corresponding predicted value to determine if the actual value falls within a clinically acceptable range based on the predictive algorithm, for example.

In one exemplary embodiment, the clinical acceptability evaluation module **82** matches the reference data with a substantially time corresponding converted sensor value such as described with reference to FIG. **6** above, and plots the matched data on a Clarke Error Grid such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **9**.

FIG. **9** is a graph of two data pairs on a Clarke Error Grid to illustrate the evaluation of clinical acceptability in one exemplary embodiment. The Clarke Error Grid may be used by the clinical acceptability evaluation module to evaluate the clini-

cal acceptability of the disparity between a reference glucose value and a sensor glucose (e.g., estimated glucose) value, if any, in an embodiment wherein the sensor is a glucose sensor. The x-axis represents glucose reference glucose data and the y-axis represents estimated glucose sensor data. Matched data pairs are plotted accordingly to their reference and sensor values, respectively. In this embodiment, matched pairs that fall within the A and B regions of the Clarke Error Grid are considered clinically acceptable, while matched pairs that fall within the C, D, and E regions of the Clarke Error Grid are not considered clinically acceptable. Particularly, FIG. **9** shows a first matched pair **92** is shown which falls within the A region of the Clarke Error Grid, therefore is it considered clinically acceptable. A second matched pair **94** is shown which falls within the C region of the Clarke Error Grid, therefore it is not considered clinically acceptable.

It may be noted that a variety of other known methods of evaluation of clinical acceptability may be utilized. In one alternative embodiment, the Consensus Grid is used to evaluate the clinical acceptability of reference and sensor data. In another alternative embodiment, a mean absolute difference calculation may be used to evaluate the clinical acceptability of the reference data. In another alternative embodiment, the clinical acceptability may be evaluated using any relevant clinical acceptability test, such as a known grid (e.g., Clarke Error or Consensus), and including additional parameters such as time of day and/or the increase or decreasing trend of the analyte concentration. In another alternative embodiment, a rate of change calculation may be used to evaluate clinical acceptability. In yet another alternative embodiment, wherein the received reference data is in substantially real time, the conversion function could be used to predict an estimated glucose value at a time corresponding to the time stamp of the reference analyte value (this may be required due to a time lag of the sensor data such as described elsewhere herein). Accordingly, a threshold may be set for the predicted estimated glucose value and the reference analyte value disparity, if any.

Referring again to FIG. **8**, the results of the clinical acceptability evaluation are assessed. If clinical acceptability is determined with the received reference data, then processing continues to block **84** to optionally recalculate the conversion function using the received reference data in the calibration set. If, however, clinical acceptability is not determined, then the processing progresses to block **86** to control the user interface, such as will be described with reference to block **86** below.

At block **84**, the conversion function module optionally recreates the conversion function using the received reference data. In one embodiment, the conversion function module adds the newly received reference data (e.g., including the matched sensor data) into the calibration set, displaces the oldest, and/or least concordant matched data pair from the calibration set, and recalculates the conversion function accordingly. In another embodiment, the conversion function module evaluates the calibration set for best calibration based on inclusion criteria, such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **10**.

At **85**, the sensor data transformation module uses the conversion function to continually (or intermittently) convert sensor data into estimated analyte values, also referred to as calibrated data, such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**, block **68**.

At block **86**, the interface control module, also referred to as the fail-safe module, controls the user interface based upon the clinical acceptability of the reference data received. If the evaluation (block **82**) deems clinical acceptability, then the user interface may function as normal; that is, providing output for the user such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**, block **69**.

If however the reference data is not considered clinically acceptable, then the fail-safe module begins the initial stages of fail-safe mode. In some embodiments, the initial stages of fail-safe mode include altering the user interface so that estimated sensor data is not displayed to the user. In some embodiments, the initial stages of fail-safe mode include prompting the user to repeat the reference analyte test and provide another reference analyte value. The repeated analyte value is then evaluated for clinical acceptability such as described with reference to blocks **81** to **83**, above.

If the results of the repeated analyte test are determined to be clinically unacceptable, then fail-safe module may alter the user interface to reflect full fail-safe mode. In one embodiment, full fail-safe mode includes discontinuing sensor analyte display output on the user interface. In other embodiments, color-coded information, trend information, directional information (e.g., arrows or angled lines), gauges, and/or fail-safe information may be displayed, for example.

If the results of the repeated analyte test are determined to be clinically acceptable, then the first analyte value is discarded, and the repeated analyte value is accepted. The process returns to block **84** to optionally recalculate the conversion function, such as described in more detail with reference to block **84**, above.

Reference is now made to FIG. **10**, which is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluation of calibration data for best calibration based on inclusion criteria of matched data pairs in one embodiment.

It may be noted that calibration of analyte sensors may be variable over time; that is, the conversion function suitable for one point in time may not be suitable for another point in time (e.g., hours, days, weeks, or months later). For example, in an embodiment wherein the analyte sensor is subcutaneously implantable, the maturation of tissue ingrowth over time may cause variability in the calibration of the analyte sensor. As another example, physiological changes in the user (e.g., metabolism, interfering blood constituents, lifestyle changes) may cause variability in the calibration of the sensor. Accordingly, a continuously updating calibration algorithm is disclosed that includes reforming the calibration set, and thus recalculating the conversion function, over time according to a set of inclusion criteria.

At block **101**, the reference data receiving module, also referred to as the reference input module, receives a new reference analyte value (e.g., data point) from the reference analyte monitor. In some embodiments, the reference analyte value may be pre-screened according to criteria such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**, block **62**. In some embodiments, the reference analyte value may be evaluated for clinical acceptability such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **8**.

At block **102**, the data matching module, also referred to as the processor module, forms one or more updated matched data pairs by matching new reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data, such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**, block **63**.

At block **103**, a calibration evaluation module evaluates the new matched pair(s) inclusion into the calibration set. In some embodiments, the receiver simply adds the updated matched data pair into the calibration set, displaces the oldest and/or least concordant matched pair from the calibration set, and proceeds to recalculate the conversion function accordingly (block **105**).

In some embodiments, the calibration evaluation includes evaluating only the new matched data pair. In some embodiments, the calibration evaluation includes evaluating all of the matched data pairs in the existing calibration set and including the new matched data pair; in such embodiments not only is the new matched data pair evaluated for inclusion (or exclusion), but additionally each of the data pairs in the calibration set are individually evaluated for inclusion (or exclusion). In some alternative embodiments, the calibration evaluation includes evaluating all possible combinations of matched data pairs from the existing calibration set and including the new matched data pair to determine which combination best meets the inclusion criteria. In some additional alternative embodiments, the calibration evaluation includes a combination of at least two of the above-described embodiments.

Inclusion criteria comprise one or more criteria that define a set of matched data pairs that form a substantially optimal calibration set. One inclusion criterion comprises ensuring the time stamp of the matched data pairs (that make up the calibration set) span at least a set time period (e.g., three hours). Another inclusion criterion comprises ensuring that the time stamps of the matched data pairs are not more than a set age (e.g., one week old). Another inclusion criterion ensures that the matched pairs of the calibration set have a substantially distributed amount of high and low raw sensor data, estimated sensor analyte values, and/or reference analyte values. Another criterion comprises ensuring all raw sensor data, estimated sensor analyte values, and/or reference analyte values are within a predetermined range (e.g., 40 to 400 mg/dL for glucose values). Another criterion comprises evaluating the rate of change of the analyte concentration (e.g., from sensor data) during the time stamp of the matched pair(s). For example, sensor and reference data obtained during the time when the analyte concentration is undergoing a slow rate of change may be less susceptible inaccuracies caused by time lag and other physiological and non-physiological effects. Another criterion comprises evaluating the congruence of respective sensor and reference data in each matched data pair; the matched pairs with the most congruence may be chosen. Another criterion comprises evaluating physiological changes (e.g., low oxygen due to a user's posture that may effect the function of a subcutaneously implantable analyte sensor, or other effects such as described with reference to FIG. **6**) to ascertain a likelihood of error in the sensor value. It may be noted that evaluation of calibration set criteria may comprise evaluating one, some, or all of the above described inclusion criteria. It is contemplated that additional embodiments may comprise additional inclusion criteria not explicitly described herein.

At block **104**, the evaluation of the calibration set determines whether to maintain the previously established calibration set, or if the calibration set should be updated (e.g., modified) with the new matched data pair. In some embodiments, the oldest matched data pair is simply displaced when a new matched data pair is included. It may be noted however that a new calibration set may include not only the determination to include the new matched data pair, but in some embodiments, may also determine which of the previously matched data pairs should be displaced from the calibration set.

At block **105**, the conversion function module recreates the conversion function using the modified calibration set. The calculation of the conversion function is described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

At block **106**, the sensor data transformation module converts sensor data to calibrated data using the updated conversion function. Conversion of raw sensor data into estimated analyte values is described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

Reference is now made to FIG. **11**, which is a flow chart that illustrates the process of evaluating the quality of the calibration in one embodiment. The calibration quality may be evaluated by determining the statistical association of data that forms the calibration set, which determines the confidence associated with the conversion function used in calibration and conversion of raw sensor data into estimated analyte values.

In one embodiment calibration quality may be evaluated after initial or updated calculation of the conversion function such as described elsewhere herein. However it may be noted that calibration quality may be performed at any time during the data processing.

At block **111**, a sensor data receiving module, also referred to as the sensor data module, receives the sensor data from the sensor such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

At block **112**, a reference data receiving module, also referred to as the reference input module, receives reference data from a reference analyte monitor, such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

At block **113**, the data matching module, also referred to as the processor module, matches received reference data with substantially time corresponding sensor data to provide one or more matched data pairs, such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

At block **114**, the calibration set module, also referred to as the processor module, forms a calibration set from one or more matched data pairs such as described in more detail with reference to FIGS. **6**, **8**, and **10**.

At block **115**, the conversion function module calculates a conversion function using the calibration set, such as described in more detail with reference to FIGS. **6**, **8**, and **10**.

At block **116**, the sensor data transformation module continuously (or intermittently) converts received sensor data into estimated analyte values, also referred to as calibrated data, such as described in more detail with reference to FIGS. **6**, **8**, and **10**.

At block **117**, a quality evaluation module evaluates the quality of the calibration. In one embodiment, the quality of the calibration is based on the association of the calibration set data using statistical analysis. Statistical analysis may comprise any known cost function such as linear regression, non-linear mapping/regression, rank (e.g., non-parametric) correlation, least mean square fit, mean absolute deviation (MAD), mean absolute relative difference, and the like. The result of the statistical analysis provides a measure of the association of data used in calibrating the system. A threshold of data association may be set to determine if sufficient quality is exhibited in a calibration set.

In another embodiment, the quality of the calibration is determined by evaluating the calibration set for clinical acceptability, such as described with reference to blocks **82** and **83** (e.g., Clarke Error Grid, Consensus Grid, or clinical acceptability test). As an example, the matched data pairs that form the calibration set may be plotted on a Clarke Error Grid, such that when all matched data pairs fall within the A and B regions of the Clarke Error Grid, then the calibration is determined to be clinically acceptable.

In yet another alternative embodiment, the quality of the calibration is determined based initially on the association of the calibration set data using statistical analysis, and then by evaluating the calibration set for clinical acceptability. If the calibration set fails the statistical and/or the clinical test, the

processing returns to block **115** to recalculate the conversion function with a new (e.g., optimized) set of matched data pairs. In this embodiment, the processing loop (block **115** to block **117**) iterates until the quality evaluation module 1) determines clinical acceptability, 2) determines sufficient statistical data association, 3) determines both clinical acceptability and sufficient statistical data association, or 4) surpasses a threshold of iterations; after which the processing continues to block **118**.

FIGS. **12**A and **12**B illustrate one exemplary embodiment wherein the accuracy of the conversion function is determined by evaluating the correlation coefficient from linear regression of the calibration set that formed the conversion function. In this exemplary embodiment, a threshold (e.g., 0.79) is set for the R-value obtained from the correlation coefficient.

FIGS. **12**A and **12**B are graphs that illustrate an evaluation of the quality of calibration based on data association in one exemplary embodiment using a correlation coefficient. Particularly, FIGS. **12**A and **12**B pictorially illustrate the results of the linear least squares regression performed on a first and a second calibration set (FIGS. **12**A and **12**B, respectively). The x-axis represents reference analyte data; the y-axis represents sensor data. The graph pictorially illustrates regression that determines the conversion function.

It may be noted that the regression line (and thus the conversion function) formed by the regression of the first calibration set of FIG. **12**A is the same as the regression line (and thus the conversion function) formed by the regression of the second calibration set of FIG. **12**B. However, the correlation of the data in the calibration set to the regression line in FIG. **12**A is significantly different than the correlation of the data in the calibration set to the regression line in FIG. **12**A. In other words, there is a noticeably greater deviation of the data from the regression line in FIG. **12**B than the deviation of the data from the regression line in FIG. **12**A.

In order to quantify this difference in correlation, an R-value may be used to summarize the residuals (e.g., root mean square deviations) of the data when fitted to a straight line via least squares method, in this exemplary embodiment. R-value may be calculated according to the following equation:

$$R = \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_i (x_i - x)^2} \sqrt{\sum_i y_i - y)^2}}$$

In the above equation: i is an index (1 to n), x is a reference analyte value, y is a sensor analyte value, $\bar{x}$ is an average of 1/n reference analyte values, and $\bar{y}$ is an average of 1/n sensor analyte values.

In the exemplary calibration set shown in FIG. **12**A, the calculated R-value is about 0.99, which may also be expressed as the correlation coefficient of regression. Accordingly, the calibration exhibits sufficient data association (and thus insufficient quality) because it falls above the 0.79 threshold set in this exemplary embodiment.

In the exemplary calibration set shown in FIG. **12**B, the calculated R-value is about 0.77, which may also be expressed as the correlation coefficient of regression. Accordingly, the calibration exhibits insufficient data association (and thus insufficient quality) because it falls below the 0.79 threshold set in this exemplary embodiment.

Reference is again made to FIG. **11**, at block **118**, the interface control module, also referred to as the fail-safe module, controls the user interface based upon the quality of the calibration. If the calibration is exhibits sufficient quality, then the user interface may function as normal; that is providing output for the user such as described in more detail with reference to FIG. **6**.

If however the calibration is not deemed sufficient in quality, then fail-safe module **118** begins the initial stages of fail-safe mode, which are described in more detail with reference to FIG. **8**. In some embodiments, the initial stages of fail-safe mode include altering the user interface so that estimated sensor data is not displayed to the user. In some embodiments, the initial stages of fail-safe mode also include prompting the user to provide an updated reference analyte value. The updated analyte value is then processed as described above and the updated conversion function that results from the repeated reference analyte test, if any, is evaluated for statistical accuracy.

If the results of the updated evaluation again exhibit insufficient quality, then the fail-safe module alters user interface to reflect full fail-safe mode, which is described in more detail with reference to FIG. **8**. If however the results of the updated evaluation exhibit sufficient quality, then the first reference analyte value is discarded, and the repeated reference analyte value is accepted and the process continues as described herein.

It may be noted that the initial stages of fail-safe mode and full fail safe mode may be similar to that described with reference to FIG. **8**, including user interface control for example. Additionally, it is contemplated herein that a variety of difference modes between initial and full fail-safe mode may be provided depending on the relative quality of the calibration. In other words, the confidence level of the calibration quality may control a plurality of different user interface screens providing error bars, ±values, and the like. Similar screens may be implements in the clinical acceptability embodiments described with reference to FIG. **8**.

The above description discloses several methods and materials of the disclosed invention. This invention is susceptible to modifications in the methods and materials, as well as alterations in the fabrication methods and equipment. Such modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art from a consideration of this disclosure or practice of the invention disclosed herein. Consequently, it is not intended that this invention be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed herein, but that it cover all modifications and alternatives coming within the true scope and spirit of the invention as embodied in the attached claims. All patents, applications, and other references cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for calibrating an analyte sensor, the method comprising:

receiving sensor data from an analyte sensor, including one or more sensor data points;

receiving reference data, including one or more reference data points;

providing one or more matched data pairs by matching a reference data point to a substantially time corresponding sensor data point;

forming a calibration set including one or more matched data pairs;

forming a conversion function based at least in part on the calibration set;

modifying, using a processor module, the conversion function using a modified calibration set including one or

more matched data pairs, wherein the modified calibration set is not the same as the calibration set; and

converting, using the processor module, the sensor data into calibrated sensor data using the modified conversion function.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein the modifying the conversion function is responsive to a clinical acceptability analysis.

3. The method of claim **2**, wherein the clinical acceptability analysis comprises using a clinical cost function.

4. The method of claim **3**, wherein the clinical cost function comprises a Clarke Error Grid, a Consensus Grid or a mean absolute relative difference.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein the modifying the conversion function is responsive to a statistical association of the one or more matched data pairs in the calibration set.

6. The method of claim **5**, wherein the statistical association is determined based on a cost function.

7. The method of claim **5**, wherein the statistical association is determined by evaluating a correlation of the one or more matched data pairs of the calibration set with a regression line formed from the one or more matched data pairs of the calibration set.

8. The method of claim **1**, wherein receiving sensor data comprises receiving the sensor data from a continuous glucose sensor.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein receiving reference data comprises receiving reference data from an in vitro blood glucose monitor.

10. The method of claim **1**, wherein receiving reference data comprises downloading reference data via a wireless connection.

11. The method of claim **1**, wherein receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor comprises receiving within a receiver an internal communication from a reference analyte monitor integral with the receiver.

12. The method of claim **1**, wherein the calibration set comprises a single matched data pair.

13. The method of claim **1**, wherein the calibration set comprises a plurality of matched data pairs.

14. A computer system for calibrating an analyte sensor, the computer system comprising:

a sensor data receiving module configured to receive a data stream comprising one or more sensor data points;

a reference data receiving module configured to receive reference data, including one or more reference data points;

a data matching module configured to form one or more matched data pairs by matching one or more reference data points to one or more substantially time corresponding sensor data points;

a calibration set module configured to form a calibration set including the one or more matched data pairs;

a conversion module configured to form a conversion function based at least in part on the calibration set, wherein the conversion function module is further configured to modify the conversion function using a modified calibration set including one or more matched data pairs, wherein the modified calibration set is not the same as the calibration set; and

a sensor transformation module configured to convert the sensor data into calibrated sensor data using the modified conversion function.

15. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein the conversion module is configured to modify the conversion function responsive to a clinical acceptability analysis.

16. The computer system of claim **15**, wherein the clinical acceptability analysis comprises using a clinical cost function.

17. The computer system of claim **16**, wherein the clinical cost function comprises a Clarke Error Grid, a Consensus Grid or a mean absolute relative difference.

18. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein the conversion module is configured to modify the conversion function responsive to a statistical association of the one or more matched data pairs in the calibration set.

19. The computer system of claim **18**, wherein the statistical association is determined based on a cost function.

20. The computer system of claim **18**, wherein the statistical association is determined by evaluating a correlation of the one or more matched data pairs of the calibration set with a regression line formed from the one or more matched data pairs of the calibration set.

21. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein the sensor data is continuous glucose sensor.

22. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein system is physically connected to a reference glucose monitor.

23. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein the calibration set comprises a single matched data pair.

24. The computer system of claim **14**, wherein the calibration set comprises a plurality of matched data pairs.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 8,060,173 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 10/633329
DATED : November 15, 2011
INVENTOR(S) : Goode et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Issued Patent		Description of Discrepancy
Column	**Line**	
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	49	Under Other Publications, change "forcontinuous" to --for continuous--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 1	52	Under Other Publications, change "hypoglycaemic" to --hypoglycemic--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 2	30	Under Other Publications, change "badcground" to --background--.
(Item 56) Page 6 Col. 2	37	Under Other Publications, change "hydroen" to --hydrogen--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 1	21	Under Other Publications, change "Aniodic" to --Anodic--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 2	5	Under Other Publications, change "Spectroicopy." to --Spectroscopy.--.
(Item 56) Page 7 Col. 2	23	Under Other Publications, change "Nation-based" to --Nafion-based--.
(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 1	4	Under Other Publications, change "Shichirl," to --Shichiri,--.
(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 1	46	Under Other Publications, change "altemative" to --alternative--.

Signed and Sealed this
Seventeenth Day of April, 2012



David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 1	51	Under Other Publications, change “radiotelemtric” to --radiotelemetric--.
(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 2	24	Under Other Publications, change “10/632;537,” to --10/632,537,--.
(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 2	65	Under Other Publications, change “gluciose” to --glucose--.
(Item 56) Page 8 Col. 2	70	Under Other Publications, change “wtih” to --with--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 1	12	Under Other Publications, change “Contortium” to --Consortium--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 1	43	Under Other Publications, change “amperometrIc” to --amperometric--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 1	67	Under Other Publications, change “pancrease” to --pancreas--.
(Item 56) Page 9 Col. 2	49	Under Other Publications, change “U:S.” to --U.S.--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 1	14	Under Other Publications, change “Senso” to --Sensor--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 1	21	Under Other Publications, change “basedon” to --based--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	6	Under Other Publications, change “Membran,” to --Membrane,--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	43	Under Other Publications, change “abetic” to --diabetic--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	48	Under Other Publications, change “artifical” to --artificial--.
(Item 56) Page 10 Col. 2	68	Under Other Publications, change “Thechnol.” to --Technol.--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	24	Under Other Publications, change “Potentially-impintable,” to --Potentially-implantable,--.

(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	29	Under Other Publications, change “reliablity” to --reliability--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	40	Under Other Publications, change “Enzymlology,” to --Enzymology,--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	47	Under Other Publications, change “artifical” to --artificial--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	59	Under Other Publications, change “your and your” to --you and your--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	68	Under Other Publications, change “dynamcs” to --dynamics--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	71	Under Other Publications, change “glocuse” to --glucose--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 1	72	Under Other Publications, change “Diabetese” to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	7	Under Other Publications, change “Hypoglycaemia-warning” to --Hypoglycemia-warning--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	17	Under Other Publications, change “Thechnol.” to --Technol.--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	22	Under Other Publications, change “Diabetese” to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	28	Under Other Publications, change “inactiviation” to --inactivation--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	42	Under Other Publications, after “IC” insert --for--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	63	Under Other Publications, change “activitiy,” to --activity,--.
(Item 56) Page 11 Col. 2	72	Under Other Publications, change “Biosensors& Beioelectronics,” to --Biosensors & Bioelectronics,--.
(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 1	1	Under Other Publications, change “glocuse” to --glucose--.

(Item 56)	10	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “valication”
Col. 1		to --validation--.
(Item 56)	11	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “glucose-iunsulin interaaction in tyhpe”
Col. 1		to --glucose-insulin interaction in type--.
(Item 56)	22	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Electronanalysis”
Col. 1		to --Electroanalysis--.
(Item 56)	26	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “artifical” to --artificial--.
Col. 1		
(Item 56)	30	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “amperometeric”
Col. 1		to --amperometric--.
(Item 56)	37	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Thechnol.” to --Technol.--.
Col. 1		
(Item 56)	60	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “termistor” to --thermistor--.
Col. 1		
(Item 56)	61	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “metobolites,”
Col. 1		to --metabolites,--.
(Item 56)	63	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “cholesteral and cholesteral”
Col. 1		to --cholesterol and cholesterol--.
(Item 56)	65	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Apllied” to --Applied--.
Col. 1		
(Item 56)	24	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Subcutaenous”
Col. 2		to --Subcutaneous--.
(Item 56)	30	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “assitance” to --assistance--.
Col. 2		
(Item 56)	31	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Thechnol.” to --Technol.--.
Col. 2		
(Item 56)	60	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Thechnol.” to --Technol.--.
Col. 2		
(Item 56)	69	Under Other Publications,
Page 12		change “Membrance” to --Membrane--.
Col. 2		

(Item 56) Page 12 Col. 2	71	Under Other Publications, change “cholesteral” to --cholesterol--.
(Item 56) Page 13 Col. 1	9	Under Other Publications, change “Deabetes” to --Diabetes--.
(Item 56) Page 13 Col. 1	20	Under Other Publications, change “Tranducers” to --Transducers--.
(Item 56) Page 13 Col. 2	15	Under Other Publications, change “systme” to --system--.
(Item 56) Page 14 Col. 1	2	Under Other Publications, change “systme” to --system--.
(Item 56) Page 14 Col. 2	34	Under Other Publications, change “Filder” to --Filter--.
(Item 56) Page 14 Col. 2	44	Under Other Publications, change “Respons(es)” to --Response(s)--.
20	2	Change “andrenostenedione;” to --androstenedione;--.
20	4	Change “camosinase;” to --carnosinase;--.
20	16	Change “diptheria/” to --diphtheria/--.
20	23	Change “perioxidase;” to --peroxidase;--.
20	28	Change “B/A-I,” to --B/A-1,--.
20	29	Change “phenyloin;” to --phenytoin;--.
20	36-37	Change “duodenalisa,” to --duodenalis,--.
20	44	Change “Trepenoma pallidium,” to --Treponema pallidum,--.
20	45	Change “stomatis” to --stomatitis--.
20	66	Change “(barbituates,” to --(barbiturates,--.
22	65	Change “the a” to --the--.
23	44	Change “and or” to --and/or--.
24	12	Change “by product,” to --byproduct,--.

29	46	Change “corn” to --com--.
29	46	Change “corn” to --com--.
29	48	Change “corn” to --com--.
29	53	Change “corn” to --com--.
29	60	Change “corn” to --com--.
31	56	After “FIG. 10” insert --.--.